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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a compact submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector embedded in the unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$. By using the Sobolev inequalities of P. Li to get $L_{p}$ estimates for the norms of certain tensors related to the second fundamental form of $M$, we prove some rigidity theorems. Denote by $H$ and $\|\sigma\|_{p}$ the mean curvature and the $L_{p}$ norm of the square length of the second fundamental form of $M$. We show that there is a constant $C$ such that if $\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}<C$, then $M$ is a minimal submanifold in the sphere $S^{n+p-1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$ with sectional curvature $1+H^{2}$.


1. Introduction and results. Inspired by the well-known results about minimal submanifolds in a sphere due to J. Simons [7], the investigation of submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector in a sphere has made big progress [5, 6, 8, 9]. However, most of these works estimate some kind of curvature of a manifold in order to obtain some pinching condition in a pointwise manner. Recently C. L. Shen [6] has obtained some global pinching theorems for minimal hypersurfaces in a sphere. He has proven that if $M$ is a compact minimal hypersurface with nonnegative Ricci curvature embedded in the unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$, then there exists a constant $A$ such that if $\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}<A$, then $M$ must be totally geodesic, where $\sigma$ is the square length of the second fundamental form of $M$ and $\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}$ is the $L_{n / 2}$ norm of $\sigma$.

The purpose of the paper is to extend Shen's result to submanifolds in a sphere with constant mean curvature vector. Also we notice that H. Alencar and M. do Carmo [1] study hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature $H$ by introducing a tensor $\phi$, related to $H$ and to the second fundamental form. By obtaining an $L_{p}$ estimate of $\phi$ and $\sigma$, we will prove the following.

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact submanifold embedded in the unit sphere $S^{n+p}(1)(n \geq 3, p>1)$. Suppose that $M$ has parallel mean curvature vector. Denote by $\sigma$ the square length of the second fundamental form of $M$. Then there is a constant $C$ (see (3.18) below) such that
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if $\left(\int_{M} \sigma^{n / 2}\right)^{2 / n}<C$, then $M$ must be quasiumbilical. Furthermore $M$ is a minimal submanifold in the sphere $S^{n+p-1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$ with sectional curvature $1+H^{2}$.

In the case of surfaces in the sphere $S^{p+2}(1)(p>1)$ we have the following result where the constant depends only on the mean curvature and the lower bound on the Gauss curvature.

TheOrem 2. Let $M$ be a compact surface with parallel mean curvature vector and zero genus embedded in the sphere $S^{p+2}(1)(p>1)$. Suppose that the Gauss curvature of $M$ has a positive lower bound $k$. If

$$
\int_{M} \sigma^{2}<\frac{4 k^{7}}{\pi^{11}\left(1+H^{2}\right)^{6}}
$$

where $H$ is the mean curvature and $\sigma$ the square length of the second fundamental form of $M$, then $M$ is a quasiumbilical surface in the unit sphere $S^{p+2}(1)$. Furthermore $M$ is a minimal surface in the sphere $S^{p+1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$ with sectional curvature $1+H^{2}$.
2. Preliminaries. The Sobolev inequality obtained by P. Li [4, 6] states: Suppose that $M$ is a compact oriented connected Riemannian manifold. For every $f \in H_{1,2}\left(M^{n}\right), n=\operatorname{dim} M>2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \times C_{0}^{2 / n}\left\{2^{-(n+2) / n}\|f\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}-(\operatorname{vol} M)^{-2 / n} 2^{E(n)}\|f\|_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{M}|f|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{2.2}\\
& E(n)= \begin{cases}(n-4)(n-2) / 2 & \text { if } n>3 \\
1 & \text { if } n=3\end{cases} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and the best Sobolev constant $C_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \leq C_{0} \leq 2 C_{1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.4), the isoperimetric constant of $M^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\inf \frac{(\operatorname{area}(S))^{n}}{\left(\min \left(\operatorname{vol} M_{1}, \operatorname{vol} M_{2}\right)\right)^{n-1}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ ranges over all hypersurfaces of $M, S$ divides $M$ into two parts $M_{1}, M_{2}$, and $\operatorname{area}(S)$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional volume of $S$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1} & =2^{-3-2 / n}\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)^{2} C_{1}^{2 / n} \\
k_{2} & =2^{E(n)+2 / n-2}\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)^{2} C_{1}^{2 / n}(\operatorname{vol} M)^{-2 / n} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq k_{1}\|f\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}-k_{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S^{n+p}$ be an $(n+p)$-dimensional standard sphere in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+p+1}$ and $M$ a compact submanifold isometrically embedded in $S^{n+p}(1)$. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{A}\right\}, 1 \leq A \leq n+p$, in $S^{n+p}$ such that when restricted to $M$, the vectors $\left\{e_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are tangent to $M$. We denote the second fundamental form of $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\sum_{i, j, \alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{\alpha} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is the dual frame of $\left\{e_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$. The Weingarten transformation $H_{\alpha}$ corresponding to the normal vector $e_{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{\alpha}(X), Y\right\rangle=\left\langle B(X, Y), e_{\alpha}\right\rangle \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X, Y$ are tangent vectors to $M$. Denote the mean curvature vector of $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}\right) e_{\alpha} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}$ is the trace of the transformation $H_{\alpha}$. Then the mean curvature $H$ and the square length $\sigma$ of the second fundamental form of $M$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=|\xi|=\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha}\left(\operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}\right)^{2}}, \quad \sigma=\sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\alpha}^{2}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $e_{n+1}$ such that $H e_{n+1}=\xi$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}=n H, \quad \operatorname{tr} H_{\beta}=0, \quad n+2 \leq \beta \leq n+p \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $M$ is quasiumbilical if and only if $H_{n+1}=H I$, where $I$ is the identical mapping. $M$ is called a manifold with parallel mean curvature vector if $\xi$ is parallel in the normal bundle of $M$, i.e., $\nabla \frac{\perp}{X} \xi=0$ for any tangent vector $X$ to $M$ where $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the connection of the normal bundle. From $\nabla_{X}\langle\xi, \xi\rangle=0$ it is easy to check that then the mean curvature of $M$ is a constant. The Gauss equation of $M$ in the sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ is given by (see [9, I, pp. 348-349])

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}+\sum_{\alpha}\left(h_{i k}^{\alpha} h_{j l}^{\alpha}-h_{i l}^{\alpha} h_{j k}^{\alpha}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Ricci identity we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}^{\alpha}-h_{i j l k}^{\alpha}=\sum_{m} h_{i m}^{\alpha} R_{m j k l}+\sum_{m} h_{m j}^{\alpha} R_{m i k l}-\sum_{\beta} h_{i j}^{\beta} R_{\alpha \beta k l} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known from [9, II, p. 78] that if $e_{n+1}$ is the normalized mean curvature normal vector, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\beta} H_{n+1}=H_{n+1} H_{\beta} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1 \beta k l}=0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M$ has constant mean curvature we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h_{i j}^{n+1}=\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}^{n+1}=\sum_{m, k}\left(h_{k m}^{n+1} R_{m i j k}+h_{i m}^{n+1} R_{m k j k}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we denote the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of $M$ immersed in $S^{n+p}(1)$ by $R_{i j k l}$ and $R_{\alpha \beta k l}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \Delta \sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.18}\\
& \quad=\sum_{i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{n+1}\left(h_{k m}^{n+1} R_{m i j k}+h_{i m}^{n+1} R_{m k j k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the Gauss equation (2.13) and (2.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{k m}^{n+1} R_{m i j k}=\sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}-n^{2} H^{2}+\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{4}\right) \\
& -\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}+\sum_{\beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(H_{n+1} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}\right)-\sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1} H_{\beta}\right)\right)^{2}, \\
& \sum_{i, j, k, m} h_{i j}^{n+1} h_{i m}^{n+1} R_{m k j k}=(n-1) \sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.19}\\
& \quad+n H \operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{3}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{4}\right)-\sum_{\beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(H_{n+1} H_{\beta}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.18) and (2.19) the Laplacian of the function $\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{2}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \Delta \sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+n \operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{2}\right)-n^{2} H^{2}  \tag{2.20}\\
& +n H \operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{3}\right)-\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{2}\right)\right)^{2}-\sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1} H_{\beta}\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{A}\right\}, 1 \leq A \leq n+p$, in $S^{n+p}(1)$ such that when restricted to $M$, the vectors $\left\{e_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are tangent to $M$. Furthermore $H e_{n+1}=\xi$, where $\xi$ is the mean curvature vector of $M$. Now we define two tensors $\phi$ and $\psi$ of type $(1,2)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi & =\sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}-H \delta_{i, j}\right) \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{n+1}  \tag{3.1}\\
\psi & =\sum_{i, j, \beta} h_{i j}^{\beta} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{\beta} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is the dual frame to $\left\{e_{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, n+2 \leq \beta \leq n+p$. Denote by $\sigma_{H}$ the square length of the second fundamental form in the direction of the normal vector $\xi$. It is easily checked that $\operatorname{tr} \phi=0$ and $|\phi|^{2}=\sigma_{H}-n H^{2}$, where $\operatorname{tr} \phi$ is the trace of $\phi$. Then $M$ is a quasiumbilical submanifold if and only if $|\phi|^{2}=0$. The square norm of $\psi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi|^{2}=\sum_{\beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}\left(H_{\beta}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & =|\phi|^{2}+|\psi|^{2}+n H^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
\sigma_{H}^{2} & =\left(\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}^{2}\right)^{2}=|\phi|^{4}-2 n H^{2}|\phi|^{2}+n^{2} H^{4}  \tag{3.5}\\
\sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1} H_{\beta}\right)\right)^{2} & =\sum_{\beta \neq n+1}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(H_{n+1}-H I\right) H_{\beta}\right)\right)^{2} \leq|\phi|^{2}|\psi|^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{tr} \phi=0$, we can use Lemma (2.6) of [1] to obtain

$$
\left|\operatorname{tr} \phi^{3}\right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\phi|^{3}
$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(H_{n+1}^{3}\right) & =n H^{3}+3 H|\phi|^{2}-\operatorname{tr} \phi^{3}  \tag{3.7}\\
& \geq n H^{3}+3 H|\phi|^{2}-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|\phi|^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (3.5)-(3.7) in (2.20), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \Delta \sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}^{n+1}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \geq \sum_{i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+|\phi|^{2}\left(n+n H^{2}-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{n H^{2}}|\phi|-|\phi|^{2}-|\psi|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H$ is constant, we have $\sum_{i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k}^{n+1}\right)^{2}=|\nabla \phi|^{2}$. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\phi|^{2} \geq|\nabla \phi|^{2}+|\phi|^{2}\left(n+n H^{2}-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{n H^{2}}|\phi|-|\phi|^{2}-|\psi|^{2}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a quadratic form $F$ with eigenvalues $\pm \frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=x^{2}-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n-1}} x y-y^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists an orthogonal transformation $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \psi(x, y)=$ $(u, v)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x=\sqrt{n H^{2}}, y=|\phi|$, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}+v^{2}=n H^{2}+|\phi|^{2}=\sigma-|\psi|^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\sqrt{n H^{2}},|\phi|\right) \geq-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)=-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\left(\sigma-|\psi|^{2}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\nabla| \phi\left|\left.\right|^{2} \leq|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right.$, from (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\phi|^{2} & \geq|\nabla| \phi| |^{2}+|\phi|^{2}\left(n-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}} \sigma+\left(\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}-1\right)|\psi|^{2}\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& \geq|\nabla| \phi| |^{2}+n|\phi|^{2}-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}} \sigma|\phi|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla| \phi\left\|^{2} \geq k_{1}\right\| \phi\left\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}-k_{2}\right\| \phi \|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ have been defined by (2.6). Integrating both sides of (3.14) and applying (3.15) and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma|\phi|^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}\|\phi\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq\left(n-k_{2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+k_{1}\|\phi\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\left\|\sigma|\phi|^{2}\right\|_{1}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \geq\left(n-k_{2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+\left(k_{1}-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}<\min \left\{\frac{2 \sqrt{n-1}}{n} k_{1}, 2 \sqrt{n-1} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right\} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.14), (3.16) and (3.18) we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq n\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\left\|\sigma|\phi|^{2}\right\|_{1}  \tag{3.19}\\
& \geq n\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\|\phi\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}\|\sigma\|_{n / 2} \\
& \geq n\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}-n \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\|\phi\|_{2 n /(n-2)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $|\phi|^{2} \neq 0$, it follows from (3.17)-(3.19) that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq\left(n-k_{2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+\left(k_{1}-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}}\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}\right) \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{3.20}\\
& \geq\left(n-\frac{n}{2 \sqrt{n-1}} \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\|\sigma\|_{n / 2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}>0
\end{align*}
$$

a contradiction. Hence $|\phi|^{2}=0$, i.e., $M$ is quasiumbilical in $S^{n+p}(1)$. From (3.1) we have $h_{i j}^{n+1}=H \delta_{i j}$. The mean curvature vector $\xi$ can be treated as a subbundle of the normal bundle $T^{\perp} M$ with base $M$ embedded in the sphere $S^{n+p}(1)$ with fiber dimension 1 . Now $M$ is umbilical with respect to $\xi$, and $\xi$ is parallel in $T^{\perp} M$. According to a theorem of Yau ([9, I, p. 351]), we derive that $M$ lies in an $n+p$-1-dimensional umbilical hypersurface with $\xi$ perpendicular to the umbilical hypersurface. Furthermore, the Gauss equation of $M$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=\left(1+H^{2}\right)\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)+\sum_{\beta}\left(h_{i k}^{\beta} h_{j l}^{\beta}-h_{i l}^{\beta} h_{j k}^{\beta}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the hypersurface must be $S^{n+p-1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$. Since we know from (2.12) that $\operatorname{tr} H_{\beta}=0$ for every normal vector $e_{\beta}$ of $M$ in $S^{n+p-1}\left(1+H^{2}\right), n+2 \leq$ $\beta \leq n+p$, we derive that $M$ is a minimal submanifold in $S^{n+p-1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$. Thus we conclude that Theorem 1 holds.
4. Proof of Theorem 2. When $n=2$, it follows from (3.4) and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\phi|^{2} \geq|\nabla| \phi| |^{2}+2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right)|\phi|^{2}-\sigma|\phi|^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, P. Li [4] obtained another Sobolev inequality for $\operatorname{dim} M=2$ : For every $f \in H_{1,2}\left(M^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M}|\nabla f|^{2} & \geq \frac{C_{0}}{4}\left\{(\operatorname{vol} M)^{-1 / 2}\left(\int_{M} f^{4}\right)^{1 / 2}-(\operatorname{vol} M)^{-1} \int_{M} f^{2}\right\} \\
& \geq \widetilde{k}_{1}\|f\|_{4}^{2}-\widetilde{k}_{2}\|f\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{k}_{1}=\frac{C_{1}}{4}(\operatorname{vol} M)^{-1 / 2}, \quad \widetilde{k}_{2}=\frac{C_{1}}{2}(\operatorname{vol} M)^{-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{0}$ is the best Sobolev constant, $C_{1}$ the isoperimetric constant of $M$, $C_{1} \leq C_{0} \leq 2 C_{1}$. Since $H$ is constant and $|\nabla| \phi\left|\left.\right|^{2} \leq|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right.$, integrating both sides of (4.1) and applying (4.2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq \widetilde{k}_{1}\|\phi\|_{4}^{2}-\widetilde{k}_{2}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}-\left\|\sigma|\phi|^{2}\right\|_{1}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left.\geq\left\{2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right)-\widetilde{k}_{2}\right)\right\}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\widetilde{k}_{1}-\|\sigma\|_{2}\right)\|\phi\|_{4}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\sigma\|_{2}<\min \left\{\widetilde{k}_{1}, 2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right) \frac{\widetilde{k}_{1}}{\widetilde{k}_{2}}\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.1) and (4.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right)\|\phi\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|\sigma|\phi|^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq\|\sigma\|_{2}\|\phi\|_{4}^{2} \leq 2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right) \frac{\widetilde{k}_{1}}{\widetilde{k}_{2}}\|\phi\|_{4}^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\widetilde{k}_{2}}{\widetilde{k}_{1}}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|\phi\|_{4}^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|\phi|^{2} \neq 0$, from (4.3) and (4.6) we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq\left\{2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right)-\frac{\widetilde{k}_{2}}{\widetilde{k}_{1}}\|\sigma\|_{2}\right\}\|\phi\|_{2}^{2}>0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $|\phi|^{2}=0$, i.e., $M$ is quasiumbilical. By the same reason as in Theorem 1, we conclude that $M$ is a minimal surface in the sphere $S^{p+1}\left(1+H^{2}\right)$.

Let us find a lower bound of the isoperimetric constant $C_{1}$ and an upper bound of the volume of $M$ to obtain a lower bound of the quantity $\min \left\{\widetilde{k}_{1}, 2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right) \widetilde{k}_{1} / \widetilde{k}_{2}\right\}$ which depends only on $H$ and $k$. We will make use of Wang's argument [8].

From a result of B. Y. Chen [2] we know that for any p-dimensional compact submanifold $\bar{M}$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{M}}|\bar{H}|^{p} \geq \omega_{p} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{H}$ is the mean curvature of $\bar{M}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\omega_{p}$ is the volume of the unit sphere $S^{p}(1)$. In our case that $M$ is an embedded surface in $S^{p+1}(1)$,
we have $\bar{H}^{2}=1+H^{2}$, where $H$ is the constant mean curvature of $M$ in $S^{p+1}(1)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol} M \geq \frac{\omega_{2}}{1+H^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi}{1+H^{2}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ with positive Ricci curvature, a result due to C. B. Croke [3] shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}(M) & \geq \frac{(\operatorname{vol} M)^{n+1}}{4 \omega_{n-1} \omega_{n}^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{d}(\sqrt{1 / k} \sin \sqrt{k} r)^{n-1} d r}\right)^{n+1}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \geq \frac{n^{n+1}(\operatorname{vol} M)^{n+1}}{4 d^{n(n+1)} \omega_{n-1} \omega_{n}^{n-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geq 2$, where $(n-1) k$ is the lower bound of the Ricci curvature, $d$ is the diameter of $M$ and $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the unit sphere $S^{n}(1)$. It follows from the Myers theorem that $d \leq \pi / \sqrt{k}$ for a compact manifold whose Ricci curvature has positive lower bound $(n-1) k$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(M)>\frac{n^{n+1} k^{n(n+1) / 2}}{4 \pi^{n(n+1)}} \frac{(\operatorname{vol} M)^{n+1}}{\omega_{n-1} \omega_{n}^{n-1}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=2$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(M) \geq \frac{16 k^{3}}{\pi^{5}\left(1+H^{2}\right)^{3}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Gauss-Bonnet formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \operatorname{vol} M \leq \int_{M} K d V=2 \pi \chi(M)=4 \pi \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the positive lower bound of the Gauss curvature $K$ of $M$ and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of the surface $M$ with genus zero. Thus both $\widetilde{k}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{k}_{1} / \widetilde{k}_{2}$ have positive lower bounds depending only on $H$ and $k$. It follows from (4.9) and (4.13) that $k \leq 1+H^{2}$. By a direct calculation from (4.2), (4.9), (4.12) and (4.13) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 k^{7 / 2}}{\pi^{11 / 2}\left(1+H^{2}\right)^{3}} \leq \min \left\{\widetilde{k}_{1}, 2\left(1+2 H^{2}\right) \frac{\widetilde{k}_{1}}{\widetilde{k}_{2}}\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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