## On dimensionally restricted maps

by

## H. Murat Tuncali and Vesko Valov (North Bay)

**Abstract.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed n-dimensional surjective map of metrizable spaces. It is shown that if Y is a C-space, then: (1) the set of all maps  $g: X \to \mathbb{I}^n$  with  $\dim(f \triangle g) = 0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ ; (2) for every  $0 \le k \le n-1$  there exists an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $A_k$  of X such that  $\dim A_k \le k$  and the restriction  $f|(X \setminus A_k)$  is (n-k-1)-dimensional. These are extensions of theorems by Pasynkov and Toruńczyk, respectively, obtained for finite-dimensional spaces. A generalization of a result due to Dranishnikov and Uspenskij about extensional dimension is also established.

1. Introduction. All spaces are assumed to be completely regular and all maps continuous. This paper is concerned with the following two results. The first one was proved by Pasynkov [25] (see [24] for noncompact versions) and the second one by Toruńczyk [31]:

THEOREM 1.1 (Pasynkov). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be an n-dimensional map with X and Y being finite-dimensional compact metric spaces. Then there exists  $g: X \to \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $f \triangle g: X \to Y \times \mathbb{I}^n$  is 0-dimensional. Moreover, the set of all such g is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology.

THEOREM 1.2 (Toruńczyk). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed map of separable metric spaces with dim f = n and dim  $Y < \infty$ . Then for each  $0 \le k \le n-1$  there exists an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $A_k$  of X such that dim  $A_k \le k$  and the restriction  $f|(X \setminus A_k)$  is (n-k-1)-dimensional.

The above two theorems are equivalent in the realm of compact spaces (see [19] and [29]). However, the problem whether they hold without any dimensional restrictions on Y is still open. Sternfeld and Levin made a significant progress in solving this problem. In 1995, Sternfeld [29] proved that

 $<sup>2000\ \</sup>textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}: \textit{Primary 54F45}; \textit{Secondary 55M10}, \, 54C65.$ 

Key words and phrases: finite-dimensional maps, extensional dimension, C-space.

The first author was partially supported by NSERC grant.

The second author was partially supported by Nipissing University Research Council Grant.

if  $f: X \to Y$  is an n-dimensional map between compact metric spaces, then  $\dim(f \triangle g) \leq 1$  for almost all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ , where  $f \triangle g$  denotes the diagonal product of the maps f and g; equivalently, there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact (n-1)-dimensional subset A of X such that  $\dim(f|(X \setminus A)) \leq 1$ . Levin [19] improved Sternfeld's result showing that  $\dim(f \triangle g) \leq 0$  for almost all maps  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^{n+1})$ , which is equivalent to the existence of a  $\sigma$ -compact n-dimensional set  $A \subset X$  with  $\dim(f|(X \setminus A)) \leq 0$ .

In the present paper we generalize Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to arbitrary metrizable spaces by replacing the finite dimensionality of Y with the less restrictive condition of being a C-space. Recall that a space X is a C-space [1] if for any sequence  $\{\omega_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open covers of X there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open disjoint families in X such that each  $\gamma_n$  refines  $\omega_n$  and  $\bigcup \{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers X. The C-space property was introduced by Haver [15] for compact metric spaces, and Addis and Gresham [1] extended Haver's definition to more general spaces. All countable-dimensional metrizable spaces (spaces which are countable unions of finite-dimensional subsets), in particular all finite-dimensional ones, form a proper subclass of the class of C-spaces because there exists a metric C-compactum which is not countable-dimensional [27].

Here is a generalized version of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.3. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed map of metric spaces with  $\dim f = n$  and Y a C-space. Then all maps  $g: X \to \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $\dim(f \triangle g) = 0$  form a dense subset of  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology. Moreover, if f is  $\sigma$ -perfect, then this set is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.

Theorem 1.3 answers affirmatively Pasynkov's question in [25] whether Theorem 1.1 is true for countable-dimensional spaces.

For any map  $f: X \to Y$ , dim  $f = \sup\{\dim f^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$  is the dimension of f. We say that a surjective map  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\sigma$ -closed (resp.,  $\sigma$ -perfect) if X is the union of countably many closed sets  $X_i$  such that each restriction  $f|X_i: X_i \to f(X_i)$  is a closed (resp., perfect) map and all  $f(X_i)$  are closed in Y.

Using Theorem 1.3 we prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.2:

THEOREM 1.4. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed map of metric spaces with  $\dim f = n$  and Y a C-space. Then for each  $0 \le k \le n-1$  there exists an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $A_k$  of X such that  $\dim A_k \le k$  and  $f|(X \setminus A_k)$  is (n-k-1)-dimensional.

A few words about this note. In Section 2 we give a characterization of finite-dimensional proper maps (see Theorem 2.2), which is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on

a selection theorem established by V. Gutev and the second author [14, Theorem 1.1]. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, respectively. In the last Section 5 we provide applications of the main results. One of them is a generalization of a result by Dranishnikov and Uspenskij [10] concerning maps which lower extensional dimension, another one is a parametric version of the Bogatyĭ representation theorem for *n*-dimensional metrizable spaces [2]. Some results in the spirit of Pasynkov's recent paper [24] are also obtained.

We wish to thank the referee who recommended some improvements of the paper.

**2. Finite-dimensional maps.** In this section we provide a characterization of n-dimensional perfect maps onto paracompact C-spaces (see Theorem 2.2 below).

For any spaces M and K, we denote by C(K,M) the set of all continuous maps from K into M. If (M,d) is a metric space and K is any space, then the source limitation topology on C(K,M) is defined in the following way: a subset  $U \subset C(K,M)$  is open in C(K,M) with respect to the source limitation topology provided for every  $g \in U$  there exists a continuous function  $\alpha: K \to (0,\infty)$  such that  $\overline{B}(g,\alpha) \subset U$ . Here,  $\overline{B}(g,\alpha)$  denotes the set  $\{h \in C(K,M): d(g(x),h(x)) \leq \alpha(x) \text{ for each } x \in K\}$ .

The source limitation topology is also known as the fine topology and C(K, M) with this topology has the Baire property provided (M, d) is a complete metric space [23]. We also need the following fact: if K is paracompact and  $F \subset K$  closed, then the restriction map  $p_F : C(K, M) \to C(F, M)$ ,  $p_F(g) = g|F$ , is continuous when both C(K, M) and C(F, M) are equipped with the source limitation topology; moreover  $p_F$  is open and surjective provided M is a closed convex subset of a Banach space and d is the metric on M generated by the norm. Finally, when K and M are metrizable, the source limitation topology on C(K, M) does not depend on the metric on M.

Let  $\omega$  be an open cover of the space M and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . A family  $\gamma$  of subsets of M is said to be  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in M if  $\operatorname{ord}(\gamma) \leq m+1$  (i.e., every point of M belongs to at most m+1 elements of  $\gamma$ ) and  $\gamma$  refines  $\omega$ ; a subset of M which can be represented as the union of an open  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete family in M is called  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete; a map  $g: M \to Z$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete if every  $z \in g(M)$  has a neighborhood  $V_z$  in Z such that  $g^{-1}(V_z)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in M.

We denote by cov(M) the family of all open covers of M. In case (M, d) is a metric space,  $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$  (resp.,  $\overline{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ ) stands for the open (resp., closed) ball in (M, d) with center x and radius  $\varepsilon$ .

Lemma 2.1. If  $\omega \in \text{cov}(M)$  and  $K \subset M$  is compact, then every functionally open  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete subset of K can be extended to an  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete subset of M.

Proof. Let  $U \subset K$  be functionally open and  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete in K and  $\gamma = \{U_s : s \in A\}$  an open  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete family in K whose union is U. Since U is paracompact (being functionally open in K), we can suppose that  $\gamma$  is locally finite and there exists a partition of unity  $\{f_s : s \in A\}$  in U such that  $U_s = f_s^{-1}((0,1])$  for each  $s \in A$ . Denote by  $\mathcal N$  the nerve of  $\gamma$  with the Whitehead topology and define  $f:U\to \mathcal N$  by  $f(x)=\sum_{s\in A}f_s(x)s$ . Observe that  $\mathcal N$  is at most m-dimensional because  $\operatorname{ord}(\gamma)\leq m+1$ . Let W be a functionally open subset of  $\beta M$  with  $W\cap K=U$ . Then, by [11], there exists an open set  $V\subset W$  containing U and an extension  $g:V\to \mathcal N$  of f. The map g generates maps  $g_s:V\to [0,1]$  such that each  $g_s$  extends  $f_s$ . We finally choose  $G_s\in \omega$  with  $U_s\subset G_s$ ,  $s\in A$ , and define  $V_s=G_s\cap g_s^{-1}((0,1])$ . Then the family  $\{V_s:s\in A\}$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete in M and  $\bigcup_{s\in A}V_s$  is the required  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete extension of U.

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{I}^k$  denotes the k-dimensional cube equipped with the Euclidean metric  $d_k$ , and  $D_k$  denotes the uniform convergence metric on  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  generated by  $d_k$ . If  $f:X\to Y$ , we denote by  $C(X,Y\times\mathbb{I}^k,f)$  the set of all maps  $h:X\to Y\times\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $\pi_Y\circ h=f$ , where  $\pi_Y:Y\times\mathbb{I}^k\to Y$  is the projection. For any  $\omega\in\operatorname{cov}(X)$  and closed  $K\subset X$ ,  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,Y\times\mathbb{I}^k,f)$  stands for the set of all  $h\in C(X,Y\times\mathbb{I}^k,f)$  with h|K being  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete (as a map from K into  $Y\times\mathbb{I}^k$ ) and  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$  consists of all  $g\in C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  such that  $f\triangle g\in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,Y\times\mathbb{I}^k,f)$ . In case K=X we write simply  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X,Y\times\mathbb{I}^k,f)$  (resp.,  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ ) instead of  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|X,Y\times\mathbb{I}^k,f)$  (resp.,  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ ).

Now we can establish the following characterization of n-dimensional perfect maps:

THEOREM 2.2. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a perfect surjection between paracompact spaces with Y being a C-space. Then dim  $f \le n$  if and only if for any  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$  and  $0 \le k \le n$  the set  $C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  is open and dense in  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.

Sufficiency is a consequence of the following observation: if  $C_{(0,\omega)}(X,\mathbb{I}^n)$  is not empty for all  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$ , then every open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , admits an open refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ , i.e.  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \leq n$ . Indeed, let  $\gamma$  be a family of open subsets of X covering  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $\omega = \gamma \cup \{X \setminus f^{-1}(y)\} \in \text{cov}(X)$ , so there exists  $g \in C_{(0,\omega)}(X,\mathbb{I}^n)$ . Obviously,  $g|f^{-1}(y)$  is  $(0,\omega)$ -discrete. Hence, every  $z \in H = g(f^{-1}(y))$  has a neighborhood  $G_z$  in  $\mathbb{I}^n$  with  $g^{-1}(G_z) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  being the union of a disjoint family  $\mu_z$  of sets open in  $f^{-1}(y)$  which refines  $\omega$ . Take finitely many  $z(i) \in H$ ,

 $i=1,\ldots,p$ , such that  $\lambda=\{G_{z(i)}:i=1,\ldots,p\}$  covers H. Since dim  $H\leq n$ , we can suppose that  $\operatorname{ord}(\lambda)\leq n+1$ . Then  $\mu=\bigcup\{\mu_{z(i)}:i=1,\ldots,p\}$  is an open cover of  $f^{-1}(y)$  refining  $\gamma$  and  $\operatorname{ord}(\mu)\leq n+1$ .

To prove necessity we need a few lemmas; the proof will be completed by Lemma 2.9. In all these lemmas we suppose that X and Y are given paracompact spaces and  $f: X \to Y$  a perfect surjective map with dim  $f \le n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also fix  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$ , an integer k such that  $0 \le k \le n$ , and an arbitrary  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Then there exists a neighborhood U of y in Y such that  $g|f^{-1}(U)$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete.

Proof. Obviously,  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$  implies that  $g|f^{-1}(y)$  is an  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete map. Hence, for every  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  there exists an open neighborhood  $V_{g(x)}$  of g(x) in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $g^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  is an  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete set in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $V_{g(x)}$  is functionally open in  $\mathbb{I}^k$ , so is  $g^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$  in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, there is an  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete subset  $W_x$  in X extending  $g^{-1}(V_{g(x)}) \cap f^{-1}(y)$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  we have  $(f \triangle g)^{-1}(f(x),g(x))=f^{-1}(y)\cap g^{-1}(g(x))\subset W_x$  and, since  $f \triangle g$  is a closed map, there exists an open neighborhood  $H_x=U_y^x\times G_x$  of (y,g(x)) in  $Y\times\mathbb{I}^k$  with  $S_x=(f\triangle g)^{-1}(H_x)\subset W_x$ . Next, choose finitely many points  $x(i)\in f^{-1}(y),\ i=1,\ldots,p,$  such that  $f^{-1}(y)\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p S_{x(i)}$ . As f is a closed map we can find a neighborhood  $U_y$  of y in Y such that  $U_y\subset \bigcap_{i=1}^p U_y^{x(i)}$  and  $f^{-1}(U_y)\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p S_{x(i)}$ .

Let us show that  $g|f^{-1}(U_y)$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete. Indeed, if  $z \in f^{-1}(U_y)$ , then  $z \in S_{x(j)}$  for some j and  $g(z) \in G_{x(j)}$  because  $S_{x(j)} = f^{-1}(U_y^{x(j)}) \cap g^{-1}(G_{x(j)})$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_{x(j)}) \subset S_{x(j)} \subset W_{x(j)}$ . Therefore,  $G_{x(j)}$  is a neighborhood of g(z) such that  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_{x(j)})$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete in  $f^{-1}(U_y)$  as a subset of the  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete set  $W_{x(j)}$  in X.

COROLLARY 2.4. If  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , then  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ .

Proof. We need to show that  $f \triangle g$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete, i.e. for any  $x \in X$  there exist neighborhoods  $U_y$  of y = f(x) in Y and  $G_x$  of g(x) in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_x)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in X. Indeed, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a neighborhood  $U_y$  of y in Y such that  $g|f^{-1}(U_y)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete. Therefore, we can find a neighborhood  $G_x$  of g(x) in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  with  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_x)$  being  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in  $f^{-1}(U_y)$ . Consequently,  $f^{-1}(U_y) \cap g^{-1}(G_x)$  is  $(m, \omega)$ -discrete in X.

LEMMA 2.5. The set  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$  is open in  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology for any closed  $K \subset X$ .

Proof. Let  $g_0 \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$ . We are going to find  $\alpha \in C(X,(0,\infty))$  with  $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha) \subset C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$ . Since each restriction  $g_0|(f^{-1}(y)\cap K)$ ,  $y\in H=f(K)$ , is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete, by Lemma 2.3, for every  $y\in H$  there exists a neighborhood  $U_y$  of y in Y such that  $g_0|(f^{-1}(U_y)\cap K)$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete. Then  $\omega_1=\{U_y:y\in H\}\cup\{Y\setminus H\}$  is an open cover of Y. As Y is paracompact, we can find a metric space (M,d), a surjection  $p:Y\to M$  and  $\mu\in\operatorname{cov}(M)$  such that  $p^{-1}(\mu)$  refines  $\omega_1$ . Hence, every  $z\in p(H)$  has a neighborhood  $W_z$  in M such that  $g_0|(p\circ f)^{-1}(W_z)\cap K$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete. The last condition implies that  $h_0|K$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete, where  $h_0=(p\circ f)\bigtriangleup g_0$ . Now we need the following:

CLAIM. There exists an open family  $\gamma$  in  $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$  covering  $h_0(K)$  such that every  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  belongs to  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  provided h|K is  $\gamma$ -close to  $h_0|K$ , where  $h = (p \circ f) \triangle g$ .

Proof of the claim. Since  $h_0|K$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete, every  $t\in h_0(K)$  has an open neighborhood  $V_t$  in  $M\times\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $h_0^{-1}(V_t)\cap K$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete in K. Then  $\nu=\{V_t:t\in h_0(K)\}$  forms an open cover of  $h_0(K)$ . Take  $\gamma$  to be a locally finite open cover of  $V=\bigcup\nu$  such that  $\{\operatorname{St}(W,\gamma):W\in\gamma\}$  refines  $\nu$ . Let h|K be a map  $\gamma$ -close to  $h_0|K$ , where  $h=(p\circ f)\triangle g$  with  $g\in C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ . If  $W\in\gamma$ , then  $h_0(h^{-1}(W)\cap K)\subset\operatorname{St}(W,\gamma)$ . But  $\operatorname{St}(W,\gamma)$  is contained in  $V_t$  for some  $t\in h_0(K)$ . Consequently,  $h^{-1}(W)\cap K\subset h_0^{-1}(V_t)\cap K$ . The last inclusion implies that  $h^{-1}(W)\cap K$  is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete in K because  $h_0^{-1}(V_t)\cap K$  is. Therefore, h|K is  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete. To finish the proof of the claim observe that h|K being  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete implies  $(f\triangle g)|K$  is also  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete, i.e.  $g\in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$ .

We continue with the proof of Lemma 2.5. Let  $\varrho$  be the metric on  $M \times \mathbb{I}^k$  defined by  $\varrho(t_1,t_2)=d(z_1,z_2)+d_k(w_1,w_2)$ , where  $t_i=(z_i,w_i), \ i=1,2$ . Let  $\alpha_1:K\to (0,\infty)$  be the function  $\alpha_1(x)=2^{-1}\sup\{\varrho(h_0(x),V\setminus W):W\in\gamma\}$ . Since  $h_0(K)\subset V$  and  $\gamma$  is a locally finite open cover of  $V, \alpha_1$  is continuous. Moreover, if  $h=(p\circ f)\triangle g$  with  $g\in C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  and  $\varrho(h_0(x),h(x))\leq \alpha_1(x)$  for every  $x\in K$ , then h|K is  $\gamma$ -close to  $h_0|K$ . According to the claim, the last relation yields  $g\in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$ . We finally take a continuous extension  $\alpha:X\to (0,\infty)$  of  $\alpha_1$ . Observe that  $d_k(g_0(x),g(x))=\varrho(h_0(x),h(x))$  for every  $x\in X$ . Therefore,  $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)\subset C_{(m,\omega)}(X|K,\mathbb{I}^k)$ .

LEMMA 2.6. If  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  is equipped with the uniform convergence topology, then the set-valued map  $\psi_{(m,\omega)}: Y \to 2^{C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)}$ , defined by the formula  $\psi_{(m,\omega)}(y) = C(X, \mathbb{I}^k) \setminus C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ , has a closed graph.

*Proof.* Let  $G = \bigcup \{y \times \psi_{(m,\omega)}(y) : y \in Y\} \subset Y \times C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  be the graph of  $\psi_{(m,\omega)}$  and  $(y_0,g_0) \in (Y \times C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)) \setminus G$ . We are going to show that  $(y_0,g_0)$  has a neighborhood in  $Y \times C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  which does not meet G.

Since  $(y_0,g_0) \not\in G$ ,  $g_0 \not\in \psi_{(m,\omega)}(y_0)$ . Hence,  $g_0 \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y_0),\mathbb{I}^k)$  and, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a neighborhood U of  $y_0$  in Y with  $g_0|f^{-1}(U)$  being  $(m,\omega)$ -discrete, in particular,  $g_0 \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U),\mathbb{I}^k)$ . We can assume that  $U \subset Y$  is closed, hence so is  $f^{-1}(U)$  in X. Then, according to Lemma 2.5,  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U),\mathbb{I}^k)$  is open in  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Consequently, there exists a continuous positive function  $\alpha$  on X such that  $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$  is contained in  $C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U),\mathbb{I}^k)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y_0)$  is compact,  $2\delta = \min\{\alpha(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y_0)\} > 0$  and  $H = \{x \in f^{-1}(U) : \alpha(x) > \delta\}$  is a neighborhood of  $f^{-1}(y_0)$ . Therefore, there exists a closed neighborhood V of V0 in V1 with V2. We use again the fact that V3 is a closed map. Let V3 with center V4 (we use again the fact that V5 is a neighborhood of V6 with center V7 in V8 with center V8 is a neighborhood of V9 with center V9 and radius V8. Since V8 with respect to the uniform metric V9 with center V9 and radius V9. Since V9 is a neighborhood of V9 in V9 in V9 in V9 in V9 in V9. The following claim completes the proof.

Claim.  $W \cap G = \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $(y,g) \in W \cap G$  for some  $(y,g) \in Y \times C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ . Then  $y \in V$  and

(1) 
$$d_k(g(x), g_0(x)) \le \delta < \alpha(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in f^{-1}(V).$$

Let us show that the existence of a function  $g_1 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that

(2) 
$$g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \text{ and } g_1|f^{-1}(V) = g|f^{-1}(V)$$

contradicts the assumption  $(y,g) \in W \cap G$ . Indeed,  $g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$  yields  $g_1 \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(U),\mathbb{I}^k)$  and, since  $f^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(U)$ , we have  $g_1 \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$ . So,  $g \in C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$  because  $g_1|f^{-1}(y) = g|f^{-1}(y)$  (recall that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ ). On the other hand,  $(y,g) \in G$  implies  $g \in \psi_{(m,\omega)}(y)$ , i.e.  $g \notin C_{(m,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y),\mathbb{I}^k)$ .

Therefore, the proof is reduced to finding  $g_1$  satisfying (2). And this can be done by using the convex-valued selection theorem of Michael [21]. Define the set-valued map  $\Phi: X \to \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$  by  $\Phi(x) = g(x)$  if  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $\Phi(x) = \overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  otherwise. Here,  $\mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$  denotes the convex closed subsets of  $\mathbb{I}^k$  and  $\overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  is the closed ball in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  with center  $g_0(x)$  and radius  $\alpha(x)$ . By (1),  $g(x) \in \overline{B}_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  for all  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . The last condition, together with the definition of  $\Phi$  outside  $f^{-1}(V)$ , implies that  $\Phi$  is lower semicontinuous (i.e.,  $\{x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in X for any open set  $O \subset \mathbb{I}^k$ ). Then, by the above mentioned Michael theorem,  $\Phi$  admits a continuous selection  $g_1$ . Since  $g_1(x) \in \Phi(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $g_1|f^{-1}(V) = g|f^{-1}(V)$  and  $g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ .

LEMMA 2.7. Let K and M be compact spaces such that  $\dim K \leq n$  and M is metrizable. Then for every  $\gamma \in \text{cov}(K)$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$  the set of all maps  $h \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with each  $h|(\{z\} \times K), z \in M$ , being  $(n - k, \gamma)$ -

discrete (as a map from K into  $\mathbb{I}^k$ ) is dense in  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology.

*Proof.* Suppose first that K is metrizable and let  $p_M: M \times K \to M$  and  $p_K: M \times K \to K$  be the projections. Then, by Hurewicz's theorem [18], there exists a 0-dimensional map  $h^*: K \to \mathbb{I}^n$ . Consequently,  $g^* = h^* \circ p_K$ is a map from  $M \times K$  into  $\mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $p_M \triangle g^* : M \times K \to M \times \mathbb{I}^n$  is also 0-dimensional. According to Levin's [19] and Sternfeld's [29] results, the existence of such a map  $g^*$  implies that the set  $\mathcal{M}_n$  of all maps  $g \in$  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $\dim(p_M \triangle g) \leq 0$  is dense in  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology. If  $q: \mathbb{I}^n \to \mathbb{I}^k$  is the projection generated by the first k coordinates, then the map  $g \mapsto g \circ g$  is a continuous surjection from  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^n)$  onto  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  (both equipped with the uniform convergence topology), so  $\mathcal{M}_k = \{q \circ g : g \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$  is dense in  $C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Moreover, since dim q = n - k and each  $p_M \triangle g$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , is 0-dimensional,  $\dim(p_M \triangle h) \leq n - k$  for any  $h \in \mathcal{M}_k$  (the last conclusion follows from the Hurewicz theorem on closed maps which lower dimension [16]). Therefore,  $h_z = h|(\{z\} \times K)$  is an (n-k)-dimensional map for every  $z \in M$  and  $h \in \mathcal{M}_k$ .

Let us show that any such  $h_z$  is  $(n-k,\gamma)$ -discrete. Indeed, for fixed  $y\in h_z(K)$  we have  $\dim h_z^{-1}(y)\leq n-k$ . So, there exists  $\nu\in\operatorname{cov}(h_z^{-1}(y))$  refining  $\gamma$  such that  $\operatorname{ord}(\nu)\leq n-k+1$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, we obtain an  $(n-k,\gamma)$ -discrete set  $W_y$  in K which contains  $h_z^{-1}(y)$ . Finally, choose a neighborhood  $V_y$  of y in  $\mathbb{I}^k$  such that  $h_z^{-1}(V_y)\subset W_y$  and observe that  $h_z^{-1}(V_y)$  is  $(n-k,\gamma)$ -discrete.

Suppose now K is not metrizable and fix  $\delta > 0$  and  $h_0 \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We are going to find  $h \in C(M \times K, \mathbb{I}^k)$  satisfying the requirement of the lemma and such that h is  $\delta$ -close to  $h_0$ . To this end, represent K as the limit space of a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system  $\mathcal{S} = \{K_{\beta}, \pi_{\beta}^{\beta+1} : \beta \in B\}$  such that each  $K_{\beta}$  is a metrizable compactum with  $\dim K_{\beta} \leq n$ . Applying standard inverse spectra arguments (see [4]), we can find  $\theta \in B$ ,  $\gamma_1 \in \operatorname{cov}(K_{\theta})$  and  $h_{\theta} \in C(M \times K_{\theta}, \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that  $h_{\theta} \circ (\operatorname{id}_M \times \pi_{\theta}) = h_0$  and  $\pi_{\theta}^{-1}(\gamma_1)$  refines  $\gamma$ , where  $\pi_{\theta} : K \to K_{\theta}$  denotes the  $\theta$ th limit projection. Then, by the previous case, there exists a map  $h_1 \in C(M \times K_{\theta}, \mathbb{I}^k)$  which is  $\delta$ -close to  $h_{\theta}$  and  $h_1|(\{z\} \times K_{\theta})$  is  $(n-k,\gamma_1)$ -discrete. It follows from our construction that  $h = h_1 \circ (\operatorname{id}_M \times \pi_{\theta})$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $h_0$  and  $h|(\{z\} \times K)$  is  $(n-k,\gamma)$ -discrete.

Recall that a closed subset F of the metrizable space M is said to be a Z-set in M if the set  $C(Q, M \setminus F)$  is dense in C(Q, M) with respect to the uniform convergence topology, where Q denotes the Hilbert cube. If, in the above definition, Q is replaced by  $\mathbb{I}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we say that F is a  $Z_m$ -set in M.

LEMMA 2.8. Let  $\alpha: X \to (0, \infty)$  be a positive continuous function and  $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Then  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y) \cap \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  is a Z-set in  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , where  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  is considered as a subspace of  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with the uniform convergence topology.

*Proof.* In this proof all function spaces are equipped with the uniform convergence topology. Since, by Lemma 2.6,  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}$  has a closed graph, each  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$  is closed in  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$ . Hence,  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)\cap \overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$  is closed in  $\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$ . We need to show that, for fixed  $y\in Y$ ,  $\delta>0$  and a map  $u:Q\to \overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$  there exists a map  $v:Q\to \overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)\setminus \psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$  which is  $\delta$ -close to u with respect to the uniform metric  $D_k$ .

To this end, observe first that u generates  $h \in C(Q \times X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ , h(z, x) = u(z)(x), such that  $d_k(h(z, x), g_0(x)) \leq \alpha(x)$  for any  $(z, x) \in Q \times X$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact, we can find  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lambda \sup\{\alpha(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} < \delta/2$ . Now, define  $h_1 \in C(Q \times f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  by  $h_1(z, x) = (1 - \lambda)h(z, x) + \lambda g_0(x)$ . Then, for every  $(z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)$ , we have

(3) 
$$d_k(h_1(z, x), g_0(x)) \le (1 - \lambda)\alpha(x) < \alpha(x)$$

and

(4) 
$$d_k(h_1(z,x),h(z,x)) \le \lambda \alpha(x) < \delta/2.$$

Let  $q < \min\{r, \delta/2\}$ , where r is the positive number  $\inf\{\alpha(x) - d_k(h_1(z, x), g_0(x)) : (z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)\}$ . Since  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \le n$ , by Lemma 2.7 (applied to the product  $Q \times f^{-1}(y)$ ), there is a map  $h_2 \in C(Q \times f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  such that  $d_k(h_2(z, x), h_1(z, x)) < q$  and  $h_2|(\{z\} \times f^{-1}(y))$  is an  $(n - k, \omega)$ -discrete map for each  $(z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)$ . Then, by (3) and (4), for all  $(z, x) \in Q \times f^{-1}(y)$  we have

(5) 
$$d_k(h_2(z,x), h(z,x)) < \delta$$
 and  $d_k(h_2(z,x), g_0(x)) < \alpha(x)$ .

Because both Q and  $f^{-1}(y)$  are compact,  $u_2(z)(x) = h_2(z,x)$  defines the map  $u_2: Q \to C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ . The required map v will be obtained as a lifting of  $u_2$ . The restriction map  $\pi: \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \to C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $\pi(g) = g|f^{-1}(y)$ , is obviously continuous (with respect to the uniform convergence topology).

CLAIM.  $\pi: \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \to \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha))$  is an open map.

It is enough to show that

(6) 
$$\pi(\bar{B}(g_0,\alpha) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(g)) = \pi(\bar{B}(g_0,\alpha)) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(\pi(g))$$

for every  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $B_{\varepsilon}(g)$  and  $B_{\varepsilon}(\pi(g))$  are open balls, respectively, in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  and  $C(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$ , both with the uniform metric generated by  $d_k$ . Let  $p \in \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(\pi(g))$ . Then  $d_k(p(x), g_0(x)) \leq \alpha(x)$  and  $d_k(p(x), g(x)) < \eta < \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and some positive number  $\eta$ . Define the closed-and-convex-valued map  $\Phi : X \to \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$  by  $\Phi(x) = p(x)$  if  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\Phi(x) = \overline{B_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x)) \cap B_{\eta}(g(x))}$  if  $x \notin f^{-1}(y)$  (recall

that  $B_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))$  and  $B_{\eta(x)}(g(x))$  are open balls in  $\mathbb{I}^k$ ). Since  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ ,  $\Phi(x) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in X$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha$ , g and  $g_0$  are continuous,  $\Phi$  is lower semicontinuous. Therefore, by Michael's convex-valued selection theorem,  $\Phi$  admits a selection  $g_1 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . Then  $\pi(g_1) = p$  and  $g_1 \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(g)$ . So,  $\pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(\pi(g)) \subset \pi(\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(g))$  and, because the converse inclusion is trivial, we are done.

Before completing the final step of our proof, note that  $u_2(z) \in \pi(\overline{B}(g_0,\alpha))$  for every  $z \in Q$  (indeed, consider the lower semicontinuous set-valued map  $\phi: X \to \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{I}^k)$ ,  $\phi(x) = u_2(z)(x)$  for  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\phi(x) = \overline{B_{\alpha(x)}(g_0(x))}$  for  $x \notin f^{-1}(y)$ , and take any continuous selection g of  $\phi$ ). Now, we are going to lift the map  $u_2$  to a map  $v: Q \to \overline{B}(g_0,\alpha)$  such that v is  $\delta$ -close to u.

To this end, define  $\theta: Q \to \mathcal{F}_{c}(C(X, \mathbb{I}^{k}))$  by  $\theta(z) = \overline{\pi^{-1}(u_{2}(z)) \cap B_{\delta}(u(z))}$ . The first inequality in (5) implies that  $u_{2}(z) \in B_{\delta}(\pi(u(z)))$  for every  $z \in Q$ . Since each  $u_{2}(z)$  belongs to  $\pi(\overline{B}(g_{0}, \alpha))$ , by (6),  $\theta(z) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $z \in Q$ . On the other hand, since  $\pi$  is open, by [21, Example 1.1\* and Proposition 2.5],  $\theta$  is lower semicontinuous. Obviously, every image  $\theta(z)$  is convex and closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^{k})$ , which is, in its turn, closed and convex in the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions from X into  $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ . Therefore, using again the Michael selection theorem [21, Theorem 3.2"], we can find a continuous selection  $v: Q \to C(X, \mathbb{I}^{k})$  for  $\theta$ . Then v maps Q into  $\overline{B}(g_{0}, \alpha)$  and v is  $\delta$ -close to u. Moreover, for any  $z \in Q$  we have  $\pi(v(z)) = u_{2}(z)$ , and  $u_{2}(z)$ , being the restriction  $h_{2}|(\{z\} \times f^{-1}(y))$ , is  $(n - k, \omega)$ -discrete. Hence,  $v(z) \in C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^{k}), z \in Q$ , i.e.  $v(Q) \subset \overline{B}(g_{0}, \alpha) \setminus \psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$ .

LEMMA 2.9. If Y is a C-space, then  $C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  is dense in  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^k)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.

Proof. It suffices to show that, for fixed  $g_0 \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  and a positive continuous function  $\alpha: X \to (0, \infty)$ , there exists  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ . We equip  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$  with the uniform convergence topology and consider the constant (and hence, lower semicontinuous) map  $\phi: Y \to \mathcal{F}_c(C(X, \mathbb{I}^k))$ ,  $\phi(y) = \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$ . According to Lemma 2.8,  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap \psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$  is a Z-set in  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . So, we have a lower semicontinuous map  $\phi: Y \to \mathcal{F}_c(E)$  and a map  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}: Y \to 2^E$  such that  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}$  has a closed graph (see Lemma 2.6) and  $\phi(y) \cap \psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$  is a Z-set in  $\phi(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ , where E is the Banach space of all bounded continuous maps from Y into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Therefore, we can apply [14, Theorem 1.1] to obtain a continuous map  $h: Y \to E$  with  $h(y) \in \phi(y) \setminus \psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$  (Theorem 1.1 of [14] was proved under the assumption that  $\psi_{(n-k,\omega)}$  has nonempty values, but the proof works without this restriction). Observe that h is a map from Y into  $\overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  such that  $h(y) \notin \psi_{(n-k,\omega)}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ , i.e.

 $h(y) \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha) \cap C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k), \ y \in Y.$  Then  $g(x) = h(f(x))(x), x \in X$ , defines a map  $g \in \overline{B}(g_0, \alpha)$  such that  $g \in C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X|f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{I}^k)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Hence, by Corollary 2.4,  $g \in C_{(n-k,\omega)}(X, \mathbb{I}^k)$ .

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. The following proposition proves Theorem 1.3 in the special case when f is  $\sigma$ -perfect.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -perfect map of metrizable spaces with dim  $f \leq n$  and Y being a C-space. Then the set of all maps  $g: X \to \mathbb{I}^n$  such that dim $(f \triangle g) = 0$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.

Proof. All function spaces in this proof are considered with the source limitation topology. Let X be the union of closed sets  $X_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots$ , such that each restriction  $f_i=f|X_i$  is perfect and  $Y_i=f(X_i)$  is closed in Y. Fix a sequence  $\{\omega_q\}$  of open covers of X with mesh $(\omega_q) < q^{-1}$ . Every  $Y_i$  is a C-space (being a closed set in Y), so we can apply Lemma 2.9 to the maps  $f_i: X_i \to Y_i$  and conclude that  $H_i = \bigcap_{q=1}^{\infty} C_{(0,\omega_q)}(X_i,\mathbb{I}^n)$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X_i,\mathbb{I}^n)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here,  $C_{(0,\omega_q)}(X_i,\mathbb{I}^n)$  consists of all  $h \in C(X_i,\mathbb{I}^n)$  such that  $f_i \triangle h$  is  $(0,\omega_q)$ -discrete. Since all restriction maps  $p_i: C(X,\mathbb{I}^n) \to C(X_i,\mathbb{I}^n)$ ,  $p_i(g) = g|X_i$ , are continuous, open and surjective, the sets  $C_i = p_i^{-1}(H_i)$  are dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X,\mathbb{I}^n)$ , and hence so is the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ . It remains to observe that  $g \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  if and only if  $\dim(f_i \triangle g_i) = 0$  for every i, where  $g_i = g|X_i$ . Hence, by the countable sum theorem,  $g \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$  if and only if  $\dim(f \triangle g) = 0$ .

We now continue with the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.3. Suppose  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed n-dimensional surjection with both X and Y metrizable and Y a C-space. By the Vainstein lemma [12], the boundary  $\operatorname{Fr} f^{-1}(y)$  of every  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact. Defining F(y) to be  $\operatorname{Fr} f^{-1}(y)$  if  $\operatorname{Fr} f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , and an arbitrary point from  $f^{-1}(y)$  otherwise, we obtain the set  $X_0 = \bigcup \{F(y) : y \in Y\}$  such that  $X_0 \subset X$  is closed and the restriction  $f|X_0:X_0\to Y$  is a perfect surjection. Moreover, each  $f^{-1}(y)\setminus X_0$  is open in X, so  $\dim(X\setminus X_0)\leq n$ .

Represent  $X \setminus X_0$  as the union of countably many closed sets  $X_i \subset X$  and for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  let  $p_i : C(X, \mathbb{I}^n) \to C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  be the restriction map. By Proposition 3.1, the set  $C_0$  consisting of all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $(f \triangle g)|X_0$  being 0-dimensional is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Consequently,  $C_0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . On the other hand, since  $\dim X_i \leq n$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , the set  $H_i \subset C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  of all uniformly 0-dimensional maps is dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the uniform convergence topology [17] (recall that a map  $h: X_i \to \mathbb{I}^n$  is uniformly 0-dimensional if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists

 $\eta > 0$  such that if  $T \subset \mathbb{I}^n$  and diam $(T) \leq \eta$ , then  $h^{-1}(T)$  is covered by a disjoint open family in  $X_i$  consisting of sets with diameter  $\leq \varepsilon$ ). Because  $p_i$  are open and continuous surjections when  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  and  $C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  carry the uniform convergence topology, all  $C_i = p_i^{-1}(H_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , are uniformly dense and  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Therefore,  $C_\infty = \bigcap_{i=0}^\infty C_i$  is  $G_\delta$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology. Moreover,  $f \triangle g$  is 0-dimensional for every  $g \in C_\infty$ .

It remains to show that  $C_{\infty}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . For every  $g \in C_0$  let  $H(g) = \{h \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n) : h | X_0 = g | X_0\}$ . Obviously,  $C_0 = \bigcup \{H(g) : g \in C_0\}$  and each H(g) is uniformly closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . So,  $C_{\infty}$  is the union of the sets  $A(g) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \cap H(g)$ ,  $g \in C_0$ . For fixed  $g \in C_0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ , let  $p_i(g) = p_i | H(g)$ . Using the fact that  $X_0$  and  $X_i$  are closed disjoint subsets of X, one can show that every  $p_i(g) : H(g) \to C(X_i, \mathbb{I}^n)$  is a uniformly continuous open surjection. Hence,  $H(g) \cap C_i$  is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in H(g) with respect to the uniform convergence topology, being the preimage of  $H_i$  under  $p_i(g)$ . Therefore, A(g) is uniformly dense in H(g) (recall that H(g) is uniformly closed in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ , so it has the Baire property). We finally observe that the uniform density of  $C_0$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  and the uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each B(g) yield the uniform density of  $C_{\infty}$  in A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniform density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) in A(g) is uniformly density of A(g) in A(g) for each A(g) in A(g) in A(g) in A(g) in A(g)

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.4.** It suffices to prove this theorem for closed maps, so we suppose that  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed surjection. If  $A_{n-1}$  is constructed, then for k < n-1, we can find an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $A_k \subset A_{n-1}$  with  $\dim A_k \leq k$  and  $\dim(A_{n-1} \setminus A_k) \leq n-k-2$  (this can be accomplished by induction, the first step is to represent  $A_{n-1}$  as the union of 0-dimensional  $G_{\delta}$ -subsets  $B_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$  and to set  $A_{n-2} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} B_j$ ). Therefore, we only need to construct  $A_{n-1}$ . To this end, we first establish the following analogue of Sternfeld's [29, Lemma 1] which was proved for compact metrizable spaces.

LEMMA 4.1. Let M be metrizable and K a compact metric space with  $\dim K \leq n$ . Then there exists a  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $B \subset M \times K$  such that  $\dim B \leq n-1$  and  $\pi_M|(M \times K) \setminus B$  is 0-dimensional, where  $\pi_M : M \times K \to M$  is the projection.

*Proof.* As in [29], the proof can be reduced to the case n=1 and K=[0,1]. So, we are going to show the existence of a 0-dimensional  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset B of  $M \times \mathbb{I}$  such that each set  $(\{y\} \times \mathbb{I}) \setminus B$ ,  $y \in M$ , is 0-dimensional, and that will complete the proof.

Let  $h: Z \to M$  be a perfect surjection with Z being a 0-dimensional metrizable space. Then, by [24, Proposition 9.1], there exists a map  $g: Z \to Q$  such that  $h \triangle g: Z \to M \times Q$  is a closed embedding. Next, let D be the Cantor set and take a surjection  $p: D \to Q$  admitting an averaging

operator between the function spaces C(D) and C(Q) [26] (such maps are called  $Milyutin\ maps$ ). According to [6], there exists a lower semicontinuous compact-valued map  $\phi:Q\to 2^D$  with  $\phi(y)\subset p^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y\in Q$ . We can apply Michael's 0-dimensional selection theorem [22] to obtain a continuous selection q for the map  $\phi\circ g$ . Obviously  $h\bigtriangleup q:Z\to M\times D$  is a closed embedding, so  $Z_0=(h\bigtriangleup q)(Z)$  is a 0-dimensional closed subset of  $M\times D$ . Finally, considering D as a subset of  $\mathbb{I}$ , let  $Z_r=\{(h(z),q(z)+r):z\in Z\}\subset M\times \mathbb{I}$  for every rational  $r\in \mathbb{I}$ , where addition q(z)+r is taken in  $\mathbb{R}$  mod 1. Then each  $Z_r$  is a closed subset of  $M\times \mathbb{I}$  homeomorphic to Z, so  $B=\bigcup\{Z_r:r \text{ is rational}\}$  is 0-dimensional and  $F_\sigma$  in  $M\times \mathbb{I}$ . Moreover,  $(\{y\}\times \mathbb{I})\setminus B$  is also 0-dimensional for every  $y\in M$ .

Let us continue the proof of Theorem 1.4. As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, there are closed subsets  $X_i \subset X$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , such that  $f|X_0$  is a perfect map onto Y, each  $X_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is at most n-dimensional and  $X \setminus X_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . For every  $i \geq 1$  we choose an (n-1)-dimensional  $F_{\sigma}$ -set  $H_i \subset X_i$  with  $\dim(X_i \setminus H_i) \leq 0$ .

A similar subset of  $X_0$  can also be found. Indeed, let  $f_0 = f|X_0$  and take  $g: X_0 \to \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $f_0 \triangle g: X_0 \to Y \times \mathbb{I}^n$  is 0-dimensional (see Theorem 1.3). By Lemma 4.1, there exists an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set  $B \subset Y \times \mathbb{I}^n$  with  $\dim B \leq n-1$  and each  $(\{y\} \times \mathbb{I}^n) \setminus B$ ,  $y \in Y$ , being 0-dimensional. Then  $H_0 = (f_0 \triangle g)^{-1}(B)$  is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $X_0$ . Since  $f_0 \triangle g$  is perfect, by the generalized Hurewicz theorem on closed maps lowering dimension [28], we have  $\dim H_0 \leq n-1$  and  $\dim(f_0^{-1}(y) \setminus H_0) \leq 0$  for every  $g \in Y$ .

Finally, set  $A_{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$ . Obviously, dim  $A_{n-1} \leq n-1$ . On the other hand, each  $f^{-1}(y) \setminus A_{n-1}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is the union of its closed sets  $F_i(y) = f^{-1}(y) \cap X_i \setminus A_{n-1}$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . But  $F_0(y) = f_0^{-1}(y) \setminus H_0$  and  $F_i(y) \subset f^{-1}(y) \cap (X_i \setminus H_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ , so all  $F_i(y)$  are 0-dimensional. Consequently, dim $(f^{-1}(y) \setminus A_{n-1}) \leq 0$  for every  $y \in Y$ , i.e.  $f(X \setminus A_{n-1})$  is 0-dimensional.

**5. Some applications.** Our first application deals with extensional dimension introduced by Dranishnikov [7] (see also [3] and [8]). Let K be a CW-complex and X a normal space. We say that the extensional dimension of X does not exceed K, written e-dim  $X \leq K$ , if every map  $h: A \to K$ , where  $A \subset X$  is closed, can be extended to a map from X into K provided there exist a neighborhood U of A in X and a map  $g: U \to K$  extending h. Obviously, if K is an absolute neighborhood extensor for X, then e-dim  $X \leq K$  iff K is an absolute extensor for X. In this notation, dim  $X \leq n$  is equivalent to e-dim  $X \leq \mathbb{S}^n$ . We also write e-dim  $X \leq \mathbb{S}^n$  if e-dim  $X \leq K$  implies e-dim  $X \leq K$  for any  $X \leq K$ 

Dranishnikov and Uspenskij [10] provided a generalization of the Hurewicz theorem on dimension lowering maps: if  $f: X \to Y$  is an n-dimensio-

nal surjection between compact finite-dimensional spaces, then e-dim  $X \le$  e-dim $(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ ; moreover, this statement holds for any compact spaces (not necessarily finite-dimensional) when n = 0. We can improve this result as follows (see also [5] and [9] for other extension-dimensional variants of Hurewicz's theorem):

THEOREM 5.1. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a perfect n-dimensional surjection such that Y is a paracompact C-space, then e-dim  $X \leq \text{e-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ .

Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 2.2 and the next proposition which can be extracted from the Dranishnikov and Uspenskij proof of their [10, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.4].

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let K be a CW-complex and X paracompact. If for any  $\omega \in \text{cov}(X)$  there exist a paracompact space  $Z_{\omega}$  with e-dim  $Z_{\omega} \leq K$  and a perfect  $(0,\omega)$ -discrete map  $g: X \to Z_{\omega}$ , then e-dim  $X \leq K$ .

COROLLARY 5.3. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed n-dimensional surjection between metrizable spaces with Y being a C-space. Then e-dim  $X \le \operatorname{e-dim}(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n)$ .

Proof. Let K be a CW-complex with e-dim $(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n) \leq K$ . It suffices to show that e-dim  $X \leq K$ . Since extension dimension satisfies the countable sum theorem, the proof reduces to the case of f closed. We can also assume that K is an open subset of a normed space because every CW-complex is homotopy equivalent to such a set. Represent X as the union of closed sets  $X_i \subset X$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , such that  $f|X_0$  is a perfect map onto Y and  $\dim X_i \leq n$  for each  $i \geq 1$  (see the proof of Theorem 1.3). Then, by Theorem 5.1, e-dim  $X_0 \leq K$ . On the other hand, e-dim $(Y \times \mathbb{I}^n) \leq K$  implies that e-dim  $\mathbb{I}^n \leq K$ , in particular, every map from  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  into K is extendable to a map from  $\mathbb{I}^n$  into K. In other words, K is  $C^{n-1}$  and, as an open subset of a normed space, K is also  $LC^{n-1}$ . It is well known that  $LC^{n-1}$  and  $C^{n-1}$  metrizable spaces are precisely the absolute extensors for n-dimensional metrizable spaces. Hence, e-dim  $X_i \leq K$  for every  $i \geq 1$ . Finally, by the countable sum theorem for extensional dimension, we have e-dim  $X \leq K$ .

Another application is a parametric version of the Bogatyĭ decomposition theorem for n-dimensional metrizable spaces [2]: For every metrizable n-dimensional space M there exist countably many 0-dimensional  $G_{\delta}$ -subsets  $M_k \subset M$  such that  $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} M_{k(i)}$  for all pairwise distinct  $k(1), \ldots, k(n+1)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a closed n-dimensional surjection between metrizable spaces with Y a C-space. Then there exists a sequence  $\{A_k\}$  of  $G_{\delta}$ -subsets of X such that every restriction  $f|A_k$  is 0-dimensional and for any  $P \subset \mathbb{N}$  of cardinality n+1 we have  $X = \bigcup_{k \in P} A_k$ .

Proof. Take closed sets  $X_i \subset X$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , and a map  $g: X \to \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $f|X_0$  is perfect,  $X \setminus X_0 = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} X_i$ ,  $\dim(f \triangle g) = 0$  and each  $g|X_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , is uniformly 0-dimensional (see the proof of Theorem 1.3). According to the Bogatyĭ theorem, there exists a sequence of 0-dimensional  $G_{\delta}$ -subsets  $B_k \subset \mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $\mathbb{I}^n$  is the union of any n+1 elements of this sequence. Let  $A_k = (f \triangle g)^{-1}(Y \times B_k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The only nontrivial condition we need to check is that each restriction  $f|A_k$  is 0-dimensional, i.e.  $\dim f^{-1}(y) \cap A_k \leq 0$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For fixed y and k we have  $f^{-1}(y) \cap A_k = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} g_i^{-1}(B_k)$ , where  $g_i$  denotes the restriction  $g|(f^{-1}(y)\cap X_i)$ . Since every  $g_i^{-1}(B_k)$  is closed in  $f^{-1}(y) \cap A_k$ , it suffices to show that the sets  $g_i^{-1}(B_k)$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , are 0-dimensional. For i = 0 this follows from the Hurewicz lowering dimension theorem [16] because  $g_0$  is a perfect 0-dimensional map. For  $i \geq 1$  we use the fact that  $g|X_i$  is uniformly 0-dimensional and the preimage of any 0-dimensional set under a uniformly 0-dimensional map is again 0-dimensional.

A map  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be of countable functional weight [24] (notation  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$ ) if there exists a map  $h: X \to Q$ , where Q is the Hilbert cube, such that  $f \triangle h: X \to Y \times Q$  is an embedding. In [24] Pasynkov has shown that his results from [25] remain valid for maps  $f: X \to Y$  between finite-dimensional completely regular spaces X and Y such that  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$  and both f and its Čech–Stone extension have the same dimension (the last condition holds, for example, if X is normal, Y paracompact and f closed). We are going to show that Theorem 2.2 implies a similar result with Y being a C-space.

THEOREM 5.5. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed n-dimensional surjection of countable functional weight such that X is normal and Y a paracompact C-space. Then the set  $\mathcal{G}$  of all maps  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $\dim(f \triangle g) = 0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . If, in addition, X is paracompact and f is  $\sigma$ -perfect, then  $\mathcal{G}$  is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology.

Proof. Since  $W(f) \leq \aleph_0$ , there exists a map  $h: X \to Q$  such that  $f \triangle h$  is an embedding. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\gamma_k$  be an open cover of Q of mesh  $\leq k^{-1}$ . Suppose f is  $\sigma$ -closed and represent X and Y as the union of closed sets  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$ , respectively, such that each  $f_i = f|X_i$  is a closed map onto  $Y_i$ . Let  $Z_i = (\beta f_i)^{-1}(Y_i)$  and  $\overline{f}_i = (\beta f_i)|Z_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\beta f_i$  denotes the Čech–Stone extension of  $f_i$ . Because X is normal, each  $Z_i$  is a closed subset of  $Z = (\beta f)^{-1}(Y)$  and  $\overline{f}_i: Z_i \to Y_i$  is a perfect n-dimensional map. Moreover, Z is paracompact as the preimage of Y. We consider the extension  $\overline{h}: Z \to Q$  of h and the covers  $\omega_k = \overline{h}^{-1}(\gamma_k) \in \text{cov}(Z)$ . By Theorem 2.2 (applied to the maps  $\overline{f}_i$ ), the sets  $\mathcal{H}_{i,k}$  consisting of all  $g \in C(Z, \mathbb{I}^n)$  such that  $f_i \triangle g$ 

is  $(0, \omega_k)$ -discrete,  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are open and dense in  $C(Z, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with respect to the source limitation topology, and hence so is  $\mathcal{H} = \bigcap_{i,k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{i,k}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(Z, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g | X : g \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ . Since h is a homeomorphism on every fiber of  $f, \mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}$  is also uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ .

Let f be  $\sigma$ -perfect and X paracompact. Then substituting  $\overline{f}_i = f_i$ ,  $Z_i = X_i$  and Z = X in the previous proof, we deduce that  $\mathcal{G}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{H}$ .

COROLLARY 5.6. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a  $\sigma$ -closed n-dimensional surjection having second countable fibers. If X is metrizable and Y a paracompact C-space, then the set of all  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$  with  $\dim(f \triangle g) = 0$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{I}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Since f is of countable functional weight (see [24, Proposition 9.1]), this corollary follows from Theorem 5.5.  $\blacksquare$ 

We finally formulate the following result; its proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 5.7. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a perfect surjection of countable functional weight with Y a paracompact C-space. Then all maps  $g \in C(X,Q)$  such that  $f \triangle g$  is an embedding form a dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset of C(X,Q) with respect to the source limitation topology.

COROLLARY 5.8. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a perfect surjection between metrizable spaces. If Y is a C-space, then the set of all  $g \in C(X,Q)$  with  $f \triangle g$  being an embedding is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in C(X,Q) with respect to the source limitation topology.

**Addendum.** In the special case when both X and Y are compact metric spaces, Theorem 1.3 (the existence of a map  $g:X\to\mathbb{I}^n$  such that  $\dim(f\triangle g)=0$ ), Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 5.3 have recently been obtained by Y. Turygin in his preprint Approximation of k-dimensional maps. He also proved that if  $f:X\to Y$  is a k-dimensional map between metric compacta, then the following two conditions are equivalent: (i) there exists a map  $g:X\to\mathbb{I}^k$  with  $\dim(f\triangle g)=0$ ; (ii) f admits an approximation by k-dimensional simplicial maps (see [32] for this notion).

## References

- D. Addis and J. Gresham, A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part I: Dimension theory and Alexandroff's Problem, Fund. Math. 101 (1978), 195–205.
- [2] S. Bogatyĭ, Urysohn's decomposition and Zolotarev's theorem, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1 Mat. 1999, no. 3, 10–14 (in Russian).

- [3] A. Chigogidze, *Infinite dimensional topology and shape theory*, in: Handbook of Geometric Topology, R. Daverman and R. Sher (eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002, 307–371.
- [4] —, Inverse Spectra, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [5] A. Chigogidze and V. Valov, Extensional dimension and C-spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [6] S. Ditor, Averaging operators in C(S) and lower semicontinuous selections of continuous maps, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 175 (1973), 195–208.
- [7] A. Dranishnikov, The Eilenberg-Borsuk theorem for mappings into an arbitrary complex, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. 81 (1995), 467–475.
- [8] A. Dranishnikov and J. Dydak, Extension dimension and extension types, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 212 (1996), 55–88.
- [9] A. Dranishnikov, D. Repovš and E. Ščepin, Transversal intersection formula for compacta, Topology Appl. 85 (1998), 93–117.
- [10] A. Dranishnikov and V. Uspenskij, Light maps and extensional dimension, ibid. 80 (1997), 91–99.
- [11] J. Dydak, Extension theory: The interface between set-theoretic and algebraic topology, Topology Appl. 74 (1996), 225–258.
- [12] R. Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [13] —, Theory of Dimensions: Finite and Infinite, Heldermann, Lemgo, 1995.
- [14] V. Gutev and V. Valov, Continuous selections and C-spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2001), 233–242.
- [15] W. Haver, A covering property for metric spaces, in: Topology Conference (Virginia Polytechnic Inst. and State Univ., 1973), R. Dickman, Jr. and P. Fletcher (eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. 375, Springer, New York, 1974, 108–113.
- [16] W. Hurewicz, Über stetige Bilder von Punktmengen (Zweite Mitteilung), Proc. Akad. Amsterdam 30 (1927), 159–165.
- [17] M. Katetov, On the dimension of nonseparable spaces I, Czechoslovak Math. J. 2 (77) (1952), 333–368 (in Russian).
- [18] K. Kuratowski, Topology II, PWN, Warszawa, 1968.
- [19] M. Levin, Bing maps and finite-dimensional maps, Fund. Math. 151 (1996), 47–52.
- [20] —, On extensional dimension of metrizable spaces, preprint.
- [21] E. Michael, Continuous selections I, Ann. of Math. 63 (1956), 361–382.
- [22] —, Selected selection theorems, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956), 233–238.
- [23] J. Munkres, Topology, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1975.
- [24] B. Pasynkov, On geometry of continuous maps of countable functional weight, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. 4 (1998), 155–164 (in Russian).
- [25] —, On geometry of continuous maps of finite-dimensional compact metric spaces, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 212 (1996), 147–172 (in Russian).
- [26] A. Pełczyński, Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions, Dissertationes Math. 58 (1968).
- [27] R. Pol, A weakly infinite-dimensional compactum which is not countable-dimensional, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1981), 634–636.
- [28] E. Sklyarenko, A theorem on dimension-lowering mappings, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 10 (1962), 429–432 (in Russian).
- [29] Y. Sternfeld, On finite-dimensional maps and other maps with "small" fibers, Fund. Math. 147 (1995), 127–133.

- [30] H. Toruńczyk, On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q-manifolds, ibid. 106 (1980), 31–40.
- [31] —, Finite-to-one restrictions of continuous functions, ibid. 125 (1985), 237–249.
- [32] V. Uspenskij, A selection theorem for C-spaces, Topology Appl. 85 (1998), 351–374.

Department of Mathematics Nipissing University 100 College Drive P.O. Box 5002 North Bay, ON, P1B 8L7, Canada E-mail: muratt@nipissingu.ca veskov@nipissingu.ca

> Received 18 June 2001; in revised form 16 May 2002