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#### Abstract

Suppose that $L, L^{\prime}$ are simply connected nilpotent Lie groups such that the groups $\gamma_{i}(L)$ and $\gamma_{i}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ in their lower central series have the same dimension. We show that the Nielsen and Lefschetz coincidence numbers of maps $f, g: \Gamma \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ between nilmanifolds $\Gamma \backslash L$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ can be computed algebraically as follows: $$
L(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right), \quad N(f, g)=|L(f, g)|,
$$ where $F_{*}, G_{*}$ are the matrices, with respect to any preferred bases on the uniform lattices $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$, of the homomorphisms between the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ of $L, L^{\prime}$ induced by $f, g$.


1. Introduction. Let $M$ and $N$ be closed manifolds, and $f, g: M \rightarrow N$ continuous maps. Then we define

$$
\operatorname{Coin}(f, g)=\{x \in M \mid f(x)=g(x)\}
$$

the coincidence set of $f$ and $g$. Coincidence theory for pairs $f, g$ is a natural extension of fixed point theory for a self-map $f: M \rightarrow M$. There are well known invariants in coincidence theory which are the Lefschetz coincidence number $L(f, g)$ and Nielsen coincidence number $N(f, g)$.

Suppose that $M, N$ are closed orientable manifolds of the same dimension $n$. Then $L(f, g)$ is defined and $L(f, g) \neq 0$ implies the existence of a coincidence for any maps $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ which are homotopic to $f, g$, respectively. The definition of $L(f, g)$ is in [13, Chap. 7]. The Nielsen coincidence number $N(f, g)$ is a non-negative integer with the property that any two maps $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ which are homotopic to $f, g$, respectively, have at least $N(f, g)$ coincidences. In [12], Schirmer shows that if $n \geq 3$, then there are two maps $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$, homotopic to $f, g$ respectively, such that they have exactly $N(f, g)$ coincidences. Therefore, if $n \geq 3$ and $N(f, g)=0$, then there are coincidence free maps in the homotopy classes of $f, g$. Thus the Nielsen coincidence number is much

[^0]more powerful than the Lefschetz coincidence number but computing it is very hard.

In [2], Brooks, Brown, Pak and Taylor show that for a self-map $f: M \rightarrow M$ on a torus, the Nielsen number $N(f)$ and Lefschetz number $L(f)$ are equal up to sign, i.e.,

$$
N(f)=|L(f)|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-f_{*}\right)\right|
$$

where $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ is the homomorphism on $\pi_{1}(M)$ induced by $f$. In [1] and [4], this result is extended to compact nilmanifolds. Let $L$ be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group, $\Gamma$ a uniform lattice of it, and $M=\Gamma \backslash L$ a nilmanifold. Any $f: M \rightarrow M$ is homotopic to a map obtained from an endomorphism $F: L \rightarrow L$ for which $F(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma$. Let $F_{*}$ be the corresponding endomorphism of the Lie algebra of $L$. Then $N(f)=|L(f)|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I-F_{*}\right)\right|$. In [10], McCord generalized this result to coincidences on nilmanifolds (see also [3], [5], [8] and [14]). If $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are nilmanifolds of the same dimension, then $N(f, g)=|L(f, g)|$ for any $f, g: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$.

The purpose of this work is to offer an algebraic computation formula for the Nielsen and Lefschetz coincidence numbers of any pair of continuous maps between nilmanifolds $\Gamma \backslash L$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$. Suppose that $L, L^{\prime}$ are simply connected nilpotent Lie groups such that the groups $\gamma_{i}(L), \gamma_{i}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ in the lower central series have the same dimension. Any continuous maps $f, g$ : $\Gamma \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ induce homomorphisms $\Phi_{*}, \Psi_{*}$ between the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$. The uniform lattices $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ give rise to preferred bases for $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$. Let $F_{*}, G_{*}$ be the matrices of the homomorphisms $\Phi_{*}, \Psi_{*}$ with respect to any preferred bases of $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}$. Then we show that

$$
L(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right), \quad N(f, g)=|L(f, g)|
$$

Since every infra-nilmanifold admits a finite covering by a closed nilmanifold, the averaging formula for Nielsen coincidence numbers on infra-nilmanifolds in [9] will become a practical computation formula.
2. Anosov theorem for coincidences on nilmanifolds. Let $f, g$ : $\Gamma \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ be continuous maps between nilmanifolds $\Gamma \backslash L$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ of the same dimension. In what follows, we shall fix liftings $\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g}: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ of $f, g$. Then these liftings define homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\widetilde{f} \gamma=\varphi(\gamma) \widetilde{f}, \quad \widetilde{g} \gamma=\psi(\gamma) \widetilde{g}
$$

By [6], the homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ extend uniquely to Lie group homomorphisms $\Phi, \Psi: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$. Then they induce Lie algebra homomorphisms $\Phi_{*}, \Psi_{*}: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$. Since $\Phi(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Psi(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma^{\prime}$, the endomorphisms $\Phi, \Psi$ induce maps $\varphi_{\#}, \psi_{\#}: \Gamma \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{\#}$ and $f$ induce exactly the same homomorphism $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$, and $\psi_{\#}$ and $g$ induce exactly the same
homomorphism $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$. Since $\Gamma \backslash L$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ are $K(\pi, 1)$-manifolds, $\varphi_{\#}$ and $f$ are homotopic and $\psi_{\#}$ and $g$ are homotopic. Since the Nielsen and Lefschetz coincidence numbers are homotopy invariants, we may assume in what follows that $f, g$ are induced by homomorphisms $\Phi, \Psi$ between the universal covering nilpotent Lie groups $L$ and $L^{\prime}$.

The homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}$ define the Reidemeister action of $\Gamma$ on $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as follows:

$$
\Gamma \times \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime}, \quad\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \psi(\gamma) \gamma^{\prime} \varphi(\gamma)^{-1}
$$

Denote the set of Reidemeister classes of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ determined by $f, g$ by $\mathcal{R}[f, g]$. Then the coincidence set $\operatorname{Coin}(f, g)$ splits into a disjoint union of coincidence classes

$$
\operatorname{Coin}(f, g)=\coprod_{\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right] \in \mathcal{R}[f, g]} p\left(\operatorname{Coin}\left(\gamma^{\prime} \Phi, \Psi\right)\right)
$$

Let $\Gamma$ be a uniform lattice of a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group $L$. Then $\Gamma$ is a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group. Recall that the lower central series of $\Gamma$ is defined inductively via $\gamma_{1}(\Gamma)=\Gamma$ and $\gamma_{i+1}(\Gamma)=\left[\gamma_{i}(\Gamma), \Gamma\right]$. Suppose that $\Gamma$ is $c$-step nilpotent, i.e., $\gamma_{c}(\Gamma) \neq 1$, but $\gamma_{c+1}(\Gamma)=1$. The isolator of a subgroup $H$ of $\Gamma$, denoted by $\sqrt[\Gamma]{H}$, is the set $\left\{x \in \Gamma \mid x^{k} \in H\right.$ for some $\left.k\right\}$. It is well known ([11, p. 473]) that the sequence

$$
\Gamma=\Gamma_{1}=\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{1}(\Gamma)} \supset \Gamma_{2}=\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{2}(\Gamma)} \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_{c}=\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{c}(\Gamma)} \supset \Gamma_{c+1}=1
$$

forms a central series with $\Gamma_{i} / \Gamma_{i+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k_{i}}$. Since $\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)}=\Gamma \cap \gamma_{i}(L)$, $\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)}$ is a uniform lattice of $\gamma_{i}(L)$ and hence the nilmanifolds $\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)} \backslash \gamma_{i}(L)$ are naturally sitting inside the nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash L$. Now we fix the orientations of all manifolds arising in the natural embeddings $\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)} \backslash \gamma_{i}(L) \hookrightarrow \Gamma \backslash L$. This means that the fixed orientation of $\Gamma \backslash L$ induces the fixed orientations of all the submanifolds $\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)} \backslash \gamma_{i}(L)$. We can choose a generating set

$$
\mathbf{a}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\}
$$

in such a way that $\Gamma_{i}$ is the group generated by $\mathbf{a}_{i}=\left\{a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i n_{i}}\right\}$ and $\Gamma_{i+1}$, and $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{i}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\}$ determines the fixed orientation of $\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)} \backslash \gamma_{i}(L)$ for each $i=1, \ldots, c$. We refer to $\mathbf{a}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\}$ as a preferred basis of $\Gamma$.

We use $\mathfrak{L}$ to indicate the Lie algebra of $L$. This Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ has the same dimension and nilpotency class as $L$. Moreover, in the case of connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie groups it is known that the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow L$ is a diffeomorphism, We denote its inverse by log. If $L^{\prime}$ is another connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$, then we have the following properties:

- For any homomorphism $\phi: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ of Lie groups, there exists a unique homomorphism $d \phi: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ (differential of $\phi$ ) of Lie algebras, making the following diagram commuting:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L \quad \xrightarrow{\phi} L^{\prime} \\
\log \left|\begin{array}{lll}
\downarrow \\
\mid \exp & \log \downarrow \\
\downarrow
\end{array}\right| \exp \\
\mathfrak{L} \xrightarrow{d \phi} \\
\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Conversely, for any homomorphism $d \phi: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ of Lie algebras, there exists a unique homomorphism $\phi: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ of Lie groups, making the above diagram commuting.

If $\mathbf{a}$ is a preferred basis of $\Gamma$, then $\log \mathbf{a}=\left\{\log \mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \log \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{L}$ can be regarded as a basis for the vector space $\mathfrak{L}$. We also call it preferred. In particular, if $\Gamma$ is a uniform lattice of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ then every preferred basis a of $\Gamma$ becomes a preferred basis $\log \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}$ for the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $M=\Gamma \backslash L$ be a nilmanifold of dimension $d$ and $T=$ $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a torus. Then for any continuous maps $f, g: M \rightarrow T$, we have

$$
L(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right), \quad N(f, g)=|L(f, g)|
$$

where $F_{*}, G_{*}$ are the $d \times d$ matrices, with respect to any preferred bases $\log \mathbf{a}$ and $\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$, of the homomorphisms from $\mathfrak{L}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ induced by $f, g: M \rightarrow T$.

Proof. If we assume that $M$ is also a torus then the result is known. Otherwise, the homomorphism $\Psi-\Phi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ from the non-abelian Lie group $L$ into the abelian Lie group $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ must be singular. In this case, $L(f, g)=N(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right)=0$.

REMARK 2.2. Our original proof was longer, and this one was suggested by the referee.

Notation. For the commuting diagram

we shall use the following notations.

- $F_{*}$ is the matrix of the homomorphism $\Phi_{*}: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ with respect to any preferred bases $\log \mathbf{a}, \log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ of the uniform lattices $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}$ respectively. That is,

$$
F_{*}=\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{a}}^{\log } \mathbf{a}^{\prime}
$$

- If $L=L^{\prime}$, then $f_{*}$ is the matrix of the homomorphism $\Phi_{*}: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ with respect to an arbitrarily chosen basis $\mathfrak{b}$ for $\mathfrak{L}$. That is,

$$
f_{*}=\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{b}} .
$$

Example 2.3. For any $c \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$, consider $f, g: \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R} \rightarrow c \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}$. Then we have a commuting diagram


Here for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \Phi(x)=a(c x)$ and $\Psi(x)=b(c x)(x \in \mathbb{R})$. A preferred basis of $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbf{a}=\{1\}$ and a preferred basis of $c \mathbb{Z}$ is $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}=\{c\}$. Thus $F_{*}=$ $\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}}=[a], G_{*}=\left[\Psi_{*}\right]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}}=[b], f_{*}=\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{a}}=\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}}=[a c], g_{*}=[b c]$ and

$$
L(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right)=b-a, \quad N(f, g)=|b-a| .
$$

The following is our main result.
Theorem 2.4. Let $M=\Gamma \backslash L$ and $M^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L^{\prime}$ be nilmanifolds. Suppose that the groups $\gamma_{i}(L)$ and $\gamma_{i}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ in the lower central series of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ have the same dimension. Then for any continuous maps $f, g: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$, we have

$$
L(f, g)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right), \quad N(f, g)=|L(f, g)| .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $L$ is a simply connected $c$-step nilpotent Lie group. Then $\gamma_{c}(L) \neq 1$ but $\gamma_{c+1}(L)=1$. Let $L_{c}=\gamma_{c}(L), \Gamma_{c}=\Gamma \cap L_{c}, L_{c}^{\prime}=\gamma_{c}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{c}^{\prime}=\Gamma^{\prime} \cap L_{c}^{\prime}$. Note that $\Gamma_{c}=\Gamma \cap \gamma_{c}(L)=\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{c}(\Gamma)}$ and $\Gamma_{c}^{\prime}=\sqrt[\Gamma^{\prime}]{\gamma_{c}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)}$. Now we obtain principal fiber bundles $T \rightarrow M \rightarrow B$ and $T^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, where $T=\Gamma_{c} \backslash L_{c}$ and $T^{\prime}=\Gamma_{c}^{\prime} \backslash L_{c}^{\prime}$ are tori of the same dimension, and $B=\left(\Gamma / \Gamma_{c}\right) \backslash\left(L / L_{c}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{c}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(L^{\prime} / L_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ are nilmanifolds of the same dimension.

We may assume that the diagram

is commuting. The restrictions of $\Phi, \Psi: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ induce endomorphisms $\widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Psi}: L_{c} \rightarrow L_{c}^{\prime}$ and hence, in turn, endomorphisms $\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Psi}: L / L_{c} \rightarrow L^{\prime} / L_{c}^{\prime}$ so that the following diagrams are commuting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \longrightarrow L_{c} \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L / L_{c} \longrightarrow 1 \\
& \widehat{\Phi} \downarrow \widehat{\Psi} \quad \Phi \downarrow \Psi \quad \bar{\Phi} \downarrow \bar{\Psi} \\
& 1 \longrightarrow L_{c}^{\prime} \longrightarrow L^{\prime} \longrightarrow L^{\prime} / L_{c}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 1 \\
& 1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{c} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L} / \mathfrak{L}_{c} \longrightarrow 1 \\
& \widehat{\Phi}_{*} \downarrow \widehat{\Psi}_{*} \quad \Phi_{*} \downarrow \Psi_{*} \quad \bar{\Phi}_{*} \downarrow \bar{\Psi}_{*} \\
& 1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{c}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{L}_{c}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ is the Lie algebra of $L_{c}$ and so on. We choose any preferred basis $\log \mathbf{a}=\{\log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}, \log \overline{\mathbf{a}}\}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ so that $\log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ is a preferred basis for $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ and the image of $\log \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ in $\mathfrak{L} / \mathfrak{L}_{c}$ is a preferred basis for $\mathfrak{L} / \mathfrak{L}_{c}$. Similarly we choose any preferred basis $\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}=\left\{\log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}, \log \overline{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$.

Then $\Phi_{*}$ and $\Psi_{*}$ have matrices of the form

$$
F_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widehat{F}_{*} & * \\
0 & \bar{F}_{*}
\end{array}\right], \quad G_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\widehat{G}_{*} & * \\
0 & \bar{G}_{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\widehat{F}_{*}, \widehat{G}_{*}, \bar{F}_{*}$ and $\bar{G}_{*}$ are the matrices with respect to the preferred bases $\log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}, \log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}$, the image of $\log \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ and the image of $\log \overline{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}$.

Thus $\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{G}_{*}-\widehat{F}_{*}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\bar{G}_{*}-\bar{F}_{*}\right)$. Furthermore, $\widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Psi}$ map $\Gamma_{c}$ into $\Gamma_{c}^{\prime}$, and $\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Psi} \operatorname{map} \Gamma / \Gamma_{c}$ into $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{c}^{\prime}$. Thus they induce maps $\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}$ : $T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ and $\bar{f}, \bar{g}: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ so that the following diagram is commutative:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
T \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow \\
\hat{f} \mid \hat{g} & f \downarrow^{2} & \bar{f} \downarrow_{\bar{g}} \\
T^{\prime} \longrightarrow & M^{\prime} \longrightarrow B^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Now we prove the theorem using induction on the nilpotency of $L$. On the tori, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
L(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})=\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{G}_{*}-\widehat{F}_{*}\right), \quad N(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})=|L(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})|
$$

where $\widehat{F}_{*}$ and $\widehat{G}_{*}$ are the matrices with respect to the preferred bases $\log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\log \widehat{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}$ of the vector spaces $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{c}^{\prime}$ corresponding to any preferred bases $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}$ of the uniform lattices $\Gamma_{c}$ and $\Gamma_{c}^{\prime}$, respectively. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
L(\bar{f}, \bar{g})=\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{G}_{*}-\bar{F}_{*}\right), \quad N(\bar{f}, \bar{g})=|L(\bar{f}, \bar{g})|
$$

where $\bar{F}_{*}$ and $\bar{G}_{*}$ are the matrices with respect to the preferred bases $\log \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\log \overline{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}$ of the vector spaces $\mathfrak{L} / \mathfrak{L}_{c}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{L}_{c}^{\prime}$ corresponding to any preferred bases $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{a}}^{\prime}$ of the uniform lattices $\Gamma / \Gamma_{c}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma_{c}^{\prime}$, respectively. (Here we abuse notation: $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \subset \Gamma$ and the image of $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ in $\Gamma / \Gamma_{c}$ is the preferred basis $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$.)

If $L(\bar{f}, \bar{g})=0$, then $N(\bar{f}, \bar{g})=0$ by the induction hypothesis, and hence $(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$ is homotopic to a coincidence free pair. This fact follows from the Wecken type theorem if $\operatorname{dim} B=\operatorname{dim} B^{\prime} \geq 3$ (see [12]). If $\operatorname{dim} B=\operatorname{dim} B^{\prime}$ $<3$, then they are $T^{1}$ or $T^{2}$ and hence this fact is easily deduced. Next, this homotopy may be lifted to give rise to a deformation of $(f, g)$ to a coincidence free pair. Thus $N(f, g)=L(f, g)=0$.

Now assume that $L(f, g) \neq 0$. Then the assumptions of [8, Theorem 6.5] are satisfied, because the second fundamental group of any nilmanifold vanishes and $\operatorname{Coin}(\bar{\Phi}, \bar{\Psi})=\{0\}$ by [10, Lemma 2.5]. Thus the product formula $N(f, g)=N(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) \cdot N(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$ holds. Since the fibration $T^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is orientable, the formula $L(f, g)=L(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) \cdot L(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$ also holds. Hence

$$
|L(f, g)|=|L(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) \cdot L(\bar{f}, \bar{g})|=N(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) \cdot N(\bar{f}, \bar{g})=N(f, g)
$$

and

$$
L(f, g)=L(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) \cdot L(\bar{f}, \bar{g})=\operatorname{det}\left(\widehat{G}_{*}-\widehat{F}_{*}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\bar{G}_{*}-\bar{F}_{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right)
$$

Finally, suppose that $\log \mathbf{b}=\{\log \widehat{\mathbf{b}}, \log \overline{\mathbf{b}}\}$ and $\log \mathbf{b}^{\prime}=\left\{\log \widehat{\mathbf{b}^{\prime}}, \log \overline{\mathbf{b}^{\prime}}\right\}$ are other preferred bases of $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$, respectively. We notice that the transition matrices from one preferred basis to another one (both corresponding to the same uniform lattice) have determinant +1 since both preferred bases determine the same orientation. Namely, the transition matrices $[\mathrm{id}] \log \mathbf{l} \mathbf{b}$ and $[\mathrm{id}] \log \mathbf{l}^{\log } \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ have determinant +1 . Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{a}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}}=[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}^{\prime}}^{\log \mathbf{b}^{\prime}} \cdot\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{b}^{\prime}} \cdot[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{a}}^{\log \mathbf{a}} \\
& \left.\left.\left[\Psi_{*}\right] \log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}^{\prime}}^{\log \mathbf{b}^{\prime}} \cdot\left[\Psi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}}^{\log } \cdot[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{a}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of the pairs of preferred bases $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}$. This finishes the proof.
3. Example. For $\mathbf{x}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\} \subset \Gamma, \mathbf{X}=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{L}$, and a $p \times p$ integral matrix $N=\left(n_{i j}\right)$, we use the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{N} & =\left\{x_{1}^{n_{11}} x_{2}^{n_{12}} \cdots x_{p}^{n_{1 p}}, \ldots, x_{1}^{n_{p 1}} x_{2}^{n_{p 2}} \cdots x_{p}^{n_{p p}}\right\} \\
N \mathbf{X} & =\left\{n_{11} X_{1}+\cdots+n_{1 p} X_{p}, \ldots, n_{p 1} X_{1}+\cdots+n_{p p} X_{p}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that for a uniform lattice $\Gamma$ of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $L$, we let $\Gamma_{i}=\sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)}$; then a generating set

$$
\mathbf{a}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\}
$$

is a preferred basis of $\Gamma$ if and only if $\Gamma_{i}$ is the group generated by $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ and $\Gamma_{i+1}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, c$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ be uniform lattices of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group L. Let $\mathbf{a}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\}$ be a preferred basis of $\Gamma$. If $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$,
then there exists an upper triangular block integral matrix

$$
N=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
N_{11} & N_{12} & \ldots & N_{1 c} \\
0 & N_{22} & \ldots & N_{2 c} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & N_{c c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

such that the diagonal blocks have positive determinant, $[\Gamma: \Lambda]=\operatorname{det}(N)$ and $\Lambda$ has a preferred basis

$$
\mathbf{a}^{N}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}^{N_{11}} \mathbf{a}_{2}^{N_{12}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{1 c}} ; \mathbf{a}_{2}^{N_{22}} \mathbf{a}_{3}^{N_{23}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{2 c}} ; \ldots ; \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{c c}}\right\}
$$

If $\mathbf{b}$ is any preferred basis of $\Lambda$, then there exists an upper triangular block integral matrix $N$ whose diagonal blocks have positive determinant, $[\Gamma: \Lambda]=$ $\operatorname{det}(N)$ and $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{a}^{N}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda_{i}=\Lambda \cap \Gamma_{i}=\Lambda \cap \sqrt[\Gamma]{\gamma_{i}(\Gamma)}$ for $i=1, \ldots, c$. Then

$$
\Lambda=\Lambda_{1} \supset \cdots \supset \Lambda_{c} \supset \Lambda_{c+1}=1
$$

is a central series of $\Lambda$ with $\Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k_{i}}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, c$. In fact, there is a natural injection $\Lambda_{i} / \Lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{i} / \Gamma_{i+1}$.

Since $\Lambda_{c} \subset \Gamma_{c}=\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{c}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k_{c}}$, there is an integral matrix $N_{c c}$ with positive determinant such that $\mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{c c}}$ is a generating set of $\Lambda_{c}$. Obviously $\left[\Gamma_{c}: \Lambda_{c}\right]=\operatorname{det}\left(N_{c c}\right)$. Next we consider the following commuting diagram of homomorphisms:


Since $\mathbf{a}_{c-1} \Gamma_{c}$ is a generating set of $\Gamma_{c-1} / \Gamma_{c}$, we can take $\mathbf{a}_{c-1}^{\prime} \subset \Lambda_{c-1}$ so that $\mathbf{a}_{c-1}^{\prime} \Lambda_{c}$ is a generating set of $\Lambda_{c-1} / \Lambda_{c}$. Then $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{c-1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{c c}}\right\}$ is a generating set of $\Lambda_{c-1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{c-1}^{\prime}=\mathbf{a}_{c-1}^{N_{c-1, c-1}} \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{c-1, c}}$, where $N_{c-1, c-1}$ and $N_{c-1, c}$ are integral matrices so that $\operatorname{det}\left(N_{c-1, c-1}\right)=\left[\Gamma_{c-1} / \Gamma_{c}: \Lambda_{c-1} / \Lambda_{c}\right]$. Moreover, $\left[\Gamma_{c-1}\right.$ : $\left.\Lambda_{c-1}\right]=\left[\Gamma_{c-1} / \Gamma_{c}: \Lambda_{c-1} / \Lambda_{c}\right] \cdot\left[\Gamma_{c}: \Lambda_{c}\right]=\operatorname{det}\left(N_{c-1, c-1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(N_{c c}\right)$. Proceeding inductively, we obtain integral matrices $N_{11}, N_{12}, \ldots, N_{1 c} ; N_{22}, N_{23}, \ldots$, $N_{2 c} ; \ldots ; N_{c c}$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(N_{i i}\right)$ are positive, $[\Gamma: \Lambda]=\operatorname{det}\left(N_{11}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(N_{22}\right) \cdots$ $\cdots \operatorname{det}\left(N_{c c}\right)$ and $\Lambda$ has a preferred basis

$$
\mathbf{a}^{N}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}^{N_{11}} \mathbf{a}_{2}^{N_{12}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{1 c}} ; \mathbf{a}_{2}^{N_{22}} \mathbf{a}_{3}^{N_{23}} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{2 c}} ; \ldots ; \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{c c}}\right\}
$$

This proves the lemma.
Let $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ be uniform lattices of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group $L$. Let $q: \Lambda \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash L$ be the covering projection. Then we have
the commuting diagrams


Let $\Gamma$ have a preferred basis $\mathbf{a}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{c}\right\}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\Lambda$ has a preferred basis $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{a}^{N}$ for some upper triangular block integral matrix $N$ with $[\Gamma: \Lambda]=\operatorname{det}(N)$.

To compare $\log \mathbf{b}$ with $\log \mathbf{a}$, we recall the famous Baker-CampbellHausdorff formula:

$$
\log (a \cdot b)=\log a * \log b \quad \text { for all } a, b \in L
$$

where

$$
A * B=A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\sum_{m=3}^{\infty} C_{m}(A, B)
$$

Here $C_{m}(A, B)$ stands for a rational combination of $m$-fold Lie brackets in $A$ and $B$. Since our Lie algebra is nilpotent, the sum involved in $A * B$ is always finite.

Since $\mathbf{a}_{i} \subset \gamma_{i}(L)$, we have $\log \mathbf{a}_{i} \subset \log \gamma_{i}(L)=\gamma_{i}(\mathfrak{L})$. So, $\left[\log \mathbf{a}_{i}, \log \mathbf{a}_{i+1}\right]$ $\subset \gamma_{i+2}(\mathfrak{L})$. This implies that $\left[\log \mathbf{a}_{i}, \log \mathbf{a}_{i+1}\right]$ is a rational matrix linear combination of $\log \mathbf{a}_{j}$ where $j>i+1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\mathbf{a}_{i}^{N_{i}} \mathbf{a}_{i+1}^{N_{i+1}}\right. & \left.\cdots \mathbf{a}_{c}^{N_{c}}\right)=N_{i} \log \mathbf{a}_{i} \\
& + \text { a rational matrix linear combination of } \log \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \ldots, \log \mathbf{a}_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}\left(\left[q_{*}\right] \log \mathbf{l o g} \mathbf{b}\right)=\operatorname{det}([\mathrm{id}]]_{\log \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}}^{\log }\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
N_{11} & * & \ldots & * \\
0 & N_{22} & \ldots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & N_{c c}
\end{array}\right]=[\Gamma: \Lambda]
$$

We single this fact out as a lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ be uniform lattices of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group L. Let $q: \Lambda \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash L$ be the covering projection. For any preferred bases $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{a}^{N}$ of $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$, respectively, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left[q_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left([\mathrm{id}]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}\right)=[\Gamma: \Lambda]
$$

The following is practically useful in computing the Nielsen and Lefschetz coincidence numbers on some nilmanifolds.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\Gamma \backslash L$ and $\Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L$ be nilmanifolds, i.e., $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are uniform lattices of the connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group $L$. Suppose $\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}$ is a uniform lattice of $L$. Then for any continuous maps $f, g: \Gamma \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \backslash L$, we have

$$
L(f, g)=\frac{\left[\Gamma^{\prime}: \Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}\right]}{\left[\Gamma: \Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}\right]} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{*}-f_{*}\right), \quad N(f, g)=|L(f, g)| .
$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda:=\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}$ be the uniform lattice of $L$. Thus $\Lambda$ has finite index in both $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Choose preferred bases $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ of the uniform lattices $\Lambda, \Gamma, \Gamma^{\prime}$, respectively. Then by Lemma 3.1 we have $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{a}^{N}=\mathbf{a}^{\prime N^{\prime}}$ for some upper triangular block integral matrices $N, N^{\prime}$ with $[\Gamma: \Lambda]=\operatorname{det}(N)$ and $\left[\Gamma^{\prime}: \Lambda\right]=\operatorname{det}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$. The endomorphisms $\Phi_{*}, \Psi_{*}$ induced by $f, g$ on the vector space $\mathfrak{L}$ with various preferred bases yield the commuting diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(\mathfrak{L}, \log \mathbf{a}) \xrightarrow[\Psi_{*}]{\Phi_{*}} & \left(\mathfrak{L}, \log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}\right) \\
\uparrow_{\text {id }} & \uparrow_{\text {id }} \\
(\mathfrak{L}, \log \mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow[\Psi_{*}]{\Phi_{*}} & (\mathfrak{L}, \log \mathbf{b})
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding matrices thus satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{a}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}} \cdot[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}=[\mathrm{id}]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}} \cdot\left[\Phi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{b}}, \\
& \left.\left[\Psi_{*}\right]_{\log \mathbf{a}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}} \cdot[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}=[\mathrm{id}]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}} \cdot\left[\Psi_{*}\right] \log \mathbf{\operatorname { l o g } b},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\left.\left.F_{*} \cdot[\mathrm{idd}]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}=[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}} \cdot f_{*}, \quad G_{*} \cdot[\mathrm{id}]\right]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}}=[\mathrm{idd}]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}} \cdot g_{*}
$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}([\mathrm{idd}] \log \mathbf{\operatorname { l o g } \mathbf { a }})=[\Gamma: \Lambda], \quad \operatorname{det}([\mathrm{id}]]_{\log \mathbf{b}}^{\log \mathbf{a}^{\prime}}\right)=\left[\Gamma^{\prime}: \Lambda\right] .
$$

Theorem 2.4, together with the above observation, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(f, g) & =\operatorname{det}\left(G_{*}-F_{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{det}\left([\operatorname{idd}]_{\log \mathrm{b}} \mathrm{log}^{\prime}\right)}{\left.\operatorname{det}([\mathrm{id}]]_{\log \mathrm{b}} \mathrm{og}\right)} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{*}-f_{*}\right)=\frac{\left[\Gamma^{\prime}: \Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}\right]}{\left[\Gamma: \Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}\right]} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{*}-f_{*}\right), \\
N(f, g) & =|L(f, g)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.
Example 3.4. Let $L$ be the 3 -dimensional Heisenberg group. That is,

$$
L=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

We denote this general element by $\{x, y, z\}$. For any integer $k>0$, we consider the subgroups $\Gamma_{k}=\{\{m, n, l / k\} \mid m, n, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $L$. These are uniform lattices of $L$, and every uniform lattice of $L$ is isomorphic to some $\Gamma_{k}$.

Let $\Phi: L \rightarrow L$ be an endomorphism. Then we have a commuting diagram


Since $[L, L]=\{\{0,0, z\} \mid z \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $L /[L, L] \cong\{\{x, y, 0\} \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\Phi$ must send $\{x, y, z\}$ to $\{\alpha x+\gamma y, \beta x+\delta y, \eta z+\varphi(x, y, z)\}$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta$ $\in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $\Phi(\{x, y, 0\} \cdot\{0,0, z\})=\Phi(\{x, y, 0\}) \cdot \Phi(\{0,0, z\})$ implies that $\varphi(x, y, z)=\varphi(x, y, 0)$. Thus $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function depending only on $x$ and $y$. Comparing the images of $\{x, y, 0\}=\{0, y, 0\} \cdot\{x, 0,0\}$ and $\{x, y, x y\}=\{x, 0,0\} \cdot\{0, y, 0\}$ under $\Phi$ shows that $\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma=\eta$.

Suppose $\Phi$ maps $\Gamma_{k}$ into $\Gamma_{k^{\prime}}$. Then $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi(\{0,0,1 / k\})=$ $\{0,0, \eta / k\}=\left\{0,0, l / k^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, if $\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)=m$, i.e., $k=m s$, $k^{\prime}=m t$ and $(s, t)=1$, then $\eta$ is a multiple of $s$ and $l$ is a multiple of $t$.

Let $\Phi, \Psi: L \rightarrow L$ be the endomorphisms of $L$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(\{x, y, z\})=\left\{2 x-2 y, 2 x+y, 6 z+2 x^{2}-4 x y-y^{2}\right\}, \\
& \Psi(\{x, y, z\})=\left\{3 y, x+y,-3 z+3 x y+\frac{3}{2} y^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Phi\left(\Gamma_{6}\right) \subset \Gamma_{4}$ and $\Psi\left(\Gamma_{6}\right) \subset \Gamma_{4}$. Thus the endomorphisms $\Phi, \Psi: L \rightarrow L$ induce $f, g: \Gamma_{6} \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma_{4} \backslash L$ so that the following diagram commutes:


Since $\Gamma_{2}=\Gamma_{4} \cap \Gamma_{6}$, by Corollary 3.3 the Lefschetz and Nielsen coincidence numbers of $f, g$ are given by

$$
L(f, g)=\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{*}-f_{*}\right), \quad N(f, g)=|L(f, g)|,
$$

where $f_{*}, g_{*}$ are the matrices of the differentials of $\Phi, \Psi$ with respect to any basis of $\mathfrak{L}$.

We take an ordered (linear) basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ of $L$ as follows:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{e}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{e}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Note that this basis for $\mathfrak{L}$ is obtained from the preferred basis $\{0,0,1\}$, $\{1,0,0\},\{0,1,0\}$ for $\Gamma_{1}$. With respect to this basis, the differentials of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are

$$
f_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & -2 \\
0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad g_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore, the Lefschetz and Nielsen coincidence number of the maps $f, g$ : $\Gamma_{6} \backslash L \rightarrow \Gamma_{4} \backslash L$ are

$$
L(f, g)=\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{det}\left(g_{*}-f_{*}\right)=\frac{2}{3}(-45)=-30, \quad N(f, g)=30
$$
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