

Affine group acting on hyperspaces of compact convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n

by

Sergey A. Antonyan and Natalia Jonard-Pérez (México, D.F.)

Abstract. For every $n \geq 2$, let $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the hyperspace of all nonempty compact convex subsets of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n endowed with the Hausdorff metric topology. Let $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the subset of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all compact convex bodies. In this paper we discover several fundamental properties of the natural action of the affine group $\text{Aff}(n)$ on $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove that the space $E(n)$ of all n -dimensional ellipsoids is an $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant retract of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is applied to show that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homeomorphic to the product $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$, where Q stands for the Hilbert cube. Furthermore, we investigate the action of the orthogonal group $O(n)$ on $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular, we show that if $K \subset O(n)$ is a closed subgroup that acts nontransitively on the unit sphere S^{n-1} , then the orbit space $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube with a point removed, while $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a contractible Q -manifold homeomorphic to the product $(E(n)/K) \times Q$. The orbit space $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$, while $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the open cone over $\text{BM}(n)$.

1. Introduction. Let $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the hyperspace of all nonempty compact subsets of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 1$, equipped with the Hausdorff metric:

$$d_H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A), \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B) \right\},$$

where d is the standard Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

By $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we shall denote the subspace of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all compact convex bodies of \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.,

$$cb(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{Int } A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is easy to see that $cc(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is homeomorphic to the closed half-plane $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq y\}$, while $cb(\mathbb{R}^1)$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . In [22] it was

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 57N20, 57S10, 46B99; Secondary 55P91, 54B20, 54C55.

Key words and phrases: convex set, hyperspace, affine group, proper action, slice, orbit space, Banach–Mazur compacta, Q -manifold.

proved that for $n \geq 2$, $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube, i.e., Hilbert cube with a point removed. Furthermore, a simple combination of [6, Corollary 8] and [7, Theorem 1.4] shows that the hyperspace $\mathcal{B}(n)$, consisting of all centrally symmetric (about the origin) convex bodies $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^p \times Q$, where Q denotes the Hilbert cube and $p = n(n+1)/2$. However, the topological structure of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has remained open.

In this paper we study the topological structure of the hyperspace $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Namely, we will show that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homeomorphic to the product $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$. Our argument is based on some fundamental properties of the natural action of the affine group $\text{Aff}(n)$ on $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove that $\text{Aff}(n)$ acts properly on $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Theorem 3.3). Using a well-known result in affine convex geometry about the minimal-volume ellipsoid, we construct a convenient global $O(n)$ -slice $L(n)$ for $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Namely, as proved by F. John [17], for each $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a unique minimal-volume ellipsoid $l(A)$ that contains A (see also [15]). It turns out that the map $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$ is an $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant retraction onto the subset $E(n)$ of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all n -dimensional ellipsoids (Theorem 3.6). Then the convenient global $O(n)$ -slice of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just the inverse image $L(n) = l^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ of the n -dimensional closed Euclidean unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$. In other words, $L(n)$ is the subspace of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all convex bodies A for which \mathbb{B}^n is the minimal-volume ellipsoid. This fact implies that the two orbit spaces $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ and $L(n)/O(n)$ are homeomorphic (Corollary 3.7(2)). Taking into account the compactness of $L(n)$ (Proposition 3.4(d)) we recover Macbeath's result [20] from the early fifties to the effect that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ is compact (Corollary 3.7(1)).

We show in Corollary 3.9 that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homeomorphic (even $O(n)$ -equivariantly) to the product $L(n) \times E(n)$. Further, in Section 5 we prove that $L(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (Corollary 5.9), while $E(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ (Corollary 3.10). Thus, we conclude that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homeomorphic to $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$ (Corollary 3.11), one of the main results of the paper.

In Corollary 3.8 we prove that the orbit space $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$. Recall that $\text{BM}(n)$ is the set of isometry classes of n -dimensional Banach spaces topologized by the following metric best known in functional analysis as the *Banach–Mazur distance*:

$$d(E, F) = \ln \inf \{ \|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| \mid T : E \rightarrow F \text{ is a linear isomorphism} \}.$$

These spaces were introduced in 1932 by S. Banach [11] and they continue to be of interest. The original geometric representation of $\text{BM}(n)$ is based on the one-to-one correspondence between norms and odd symmetric convex bod-

ies (see [30, p. 644] and [19, p. 1191]). A. Pełczyński's question of whether the Banach–Mazur compacta $\text{BM}(n)$ are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (see [30, Problem 899]) was answered negatively for $n = 2$ by the first author [6]; the case $n \geq 3$ still remains open. The reader can find other results concerning the Banach–Mazur compacta and related spaces in [7].

In Section 4 we study the hyperspace $M(n)$ of all compact convex subsets of the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n which intersect the boundary sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . It is established in Corollary 4.13 that for every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the K -orbit space $M(n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. In particular, $M(n)$ is homeomorphic to Q . On the other hand, $M_0(n)/K$ is a Hilbert cube manifold for each closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, where $M_0(n) = M(n) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$. In Theorem 4.16 it is established that $M(n)/O(n)$ is just homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$. The main technique we develop in this section is further applied to Section 5 as well. There we establish analogous properties of the global $O(n)$ -slice $L(n)$ of the proper $\text{Aff}(n)$ -space $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Proposition 5.8, Corollary 5.9 and Theorem 5.11).

In Sections 6 and 7 we investigate some orbit spaces of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We prove in Theorem 7.1 that if K is a closed subgroup of $O(n)$ which acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , then $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube. The orbit space $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the open cone over the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$ (Theorem 7.2). Respectively, $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a contractible Q -manifold homeomorphic to $(E(n)/K) \times Q$ (Theorem 6.1), while the topological structure of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ mainly remains unknown.

2. Preliminaries. We refer the reader to the monographs [12] and [23] for basic notions of the theory of G -spaces. However we will recall here some special definitions and results which will be used throughout the paper.

All topological spaces and topological groups are assumed to be Tychonoff.

If G is a topological group and X is a G -space, for any $x \in X$ we denote by G_x the *stabilizer* of x , i.e., $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$. For a subset $S \subset X$ and a subgroup $H \subset G$, $H(S)$ denotes the H -saturation of S , i.e., $H(S) = \{hs \mid h \in H, s \in S\}$. If $H(S) = S$ then we say that S is an H -invariant set. In particular, $G(x)$ denotes the G -orbit of x , i.e., $G(x) = \{gx \in X \mid g \in G\}$. The orbit space is denoted by X/G .

For each subgroup $H \subset G$, the H -fixed point set X^H is the set $\{x \in X \mid H \subset G_x\}$. Clearly, X^H is a closed subset of X .

The family of all subgroups of G that are conjugate to H is denoted by $[H]$, i.e., $[H] = \{gHg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$. We will call $[H]$ a G -orbit type (or simply an orbit type). For two orbit types $[H_1]$ and $[H_2]$, one says that $[H_1] \preceq [H_2]$

iff $H_1 \subset gH_2g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. The relation \preceq is a partial ordering on the set of all orbit types. Since $G_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1}$ for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, we have $[G_x] = \{G_{gx} \mid g \in G\}$.

A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two G -spaces is called *equivariant* or a G -map if $f(gx) = g(fx)$ for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. If the action of G on Y is trivial and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an equivariant map, then we will say that f is an *invariant* map.

For any subgroup $H \subset G$, we will denote by G/H the G -space of cosets $\{gH \mid g \in G\}$ equipped with the action induced by left translations.

A G -space X is called *proper* (in the sense of Palais [24]) if it has an open cover consisting of so-called small sets. A set $S \subset X$ is called *small* if any point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood V such that the set $\langle S, V \rangle = \{g \in G \mid gS \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$, called the *transporter* from S to V , has compact closure in G .

Each orbit in a proper G -space is closed, and each stabilizer is compact [24, Proposition 1.1.4]. If G is a locally compact group and Y is a proper G -space, then for every point $y \in Y$ the orbit $G(y)$ is G -homeomorphic to G/G_y [24, Proposition 1.1.5].

For a given topological group G , a metrizable G -space Y is called a G -equivariant absolute neighborhood retract (denoted by $Y \in G\text{-ANR}$) if for any metrizable G -space M containing Y as an invariant closed subset, there exist an invariant neighborhood U of Y in M and a G -retraction $r : U \rightarrow Y$. If we can always take $U = M$, then we say Y is a G -equivariant absolute retract (denoted by $Y \in G\text{-AR}$).

Let us recall the well known definition of a slice [24, p. 305]:

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a G -space and H a closed subgroup of G . An H -invariant subset $S \subset X$ is called an H -slice in X if $G(S)$ is open in X and there exists a G -equivariant map $f : G(S) \rightarrow G/H$ such that $S = f^{-1}(eH)$. The saturation $G(S)$ is called a *tubular* set. If $G(S) = X$, then we say that S is a *global* H -slice of X .

In the case of a compact group G one has the following intrinsic characterization of H -slices. A subset $S \subset X$ of a G -space X is an H -slice if and only if it satisfies the following four conditions: (1) S is H -invariant, (2) $G(S)$ is open in X , (3) S is closed in $G(S)$, (4) if $g \in G \setminus H$ then $gS \cap S = \emptyset$ (see [12, Ch. II, §4 and §5]).

The following is one of the fundamental results in the theory of topological transformation groups (see, e.g., [12, Ch. II, §4 and §5]):

THEOREM 2.2 (Slice Theorem). *Let G be a compact Lie group, X a Tychonoff G -space and $x \in X$ any point. Then:*

- (1) *There exists a G_x -slice $S \subset X$ such that $x \in S$.*
- (2) *$[G_y] \preceq [G_x]$ for each point $y \in G(S)$.*

Let G be a compact Lie group and X a G -space. By a G -normal cover of X , we mean a family

$$\mathcal{U} = \{gS_\mu \mid g \in G, \mu \in M\}$$

where each S_μ is an H_μ -slice for some closed subgroup H_μ of G , the family $\{G(S_\mu)\}_{\mu \in M}$ of saturations is an open cover for X and there exists a locally finite invariant partition of unity $\{p_\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid \mu \in M\}$ subordinated to $\{G(S_\mu)\}_{\mu \in M}$. That is, each p_μ is an invariant function with $\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])} \subset G(S_\mu)$ and the supports $\{\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])} \mid \mu \in M\}$ constitute a locally finite family. We refer to [7] for further information on G -normal covers.

Yet another result which plays an important role in the paper is

THEOREM 2.3 (Orbit Space Theorem [4]). *Let G be a compact Lie group and X a G -ANR (resp., a G -AR). Then X/G is an ANR (resp., an AR).*

Let (X, d) be a metric G -space. If $d(gx, gy) = d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $g \in G$, then we say that d is a G -invariant (or simply invariant) metric.

Suppose that G is a compact group acting on a metric space (X, d) . If d is G -invariant, it is well-known [23, Proposition 1.1.12] that the quotient topology of X/G is generated by the metric

$$(2.1) \quad d^*(G(x), G(y)) = \inf_{g \in G} d(x, gy), \quad G(x), G(y) \in X/G.$$

It is evident that

$$(2.2) \quad d^*(G(x), G(y)) \leq d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

In the following we will denote by d the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^n . For any $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote $N(A, \varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$. In particular, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $N(x, \varepsilon)$ denotes the open ε -ball around x . On the other hand, if $\mathcal{C} \subset cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then for every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ we shall use $O(A, \varepsilon)$ for the ε -open ball in \mathcal{C} centered at A , i.e.,

$$O(A, \varepsilon) = \{B \in \mathcal{C} \mid d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon\},$$

where d_H stands for the Hausdorff metric induced by d .

For every subset $A \subset X$ of a topological space X , we write ∂A and \bar{A} for, respectively, the boundary and the closure of A in X .

We will denote by \mathbb{B}^n the n -dimensional Euclidean closed unit ball and by \mathbb{S}^{n-1} the corresponding unit sphere, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{B}^n = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

The Hilbert cube $[0, 1]^\infty$ will be denoted by Q . By $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the subspace of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all $A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $A \subset \mathbb{B}^n$. It is well known that $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is homeomorphic to Q (see [22, Theorem 2.2]).

A *Hilbert cube manifold* or a *Q-manifold* is a separable, metrizable space that admits an open cover each member of which is homeomorphic to an open subset of Q . We refer to [14] and [21] for the theory of Q -manifolds.

A closed subset A of a metric space (X, d) is called a *Z-set* if the set $\{f \in C(Q, X) \mid f(Q) \cap A = \emptyset\}$ is dense in $C(Q, X)$, where $C(Q, X)$ is the space of all continuous maps from Q to X endowed with the compact-open topology. In particular, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a map $f : X \rightarrow X \setminus A$ such that $d(x, f(x)) < \varepsilon$, then A is a *Z-set*.

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between topological spaces is called *proper* if $f^{-1}(C)$ is compact for each compact set $C \subset Y$. A proper map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between ANR's is called *cell-like* (abbreviated CE) if it is onto and each point inverse $f^{-1}(y)$ has the *property UV $^\infty$* : for each neighborhood U of $f^{-1}(y)$ there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of $f^{-1}(y)$ such that the inclusion $V \hookrightarrow U$ is homotopic to a constant map of V into U . In particular, if $f^{-1}(y)$ is contractible, then it has the property *UV $^\infty$* (see [14, Ch. XIII]).

3. Affine group acting properly on $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let (X, d) be a metric space and G a topological group acting continuously on X . Consider the hyperspace 2^X consisting of all nonempty compact subsets of X equipped with the Hausdorff metric topology. Define an action of G on 2^X by

$$(3.1) \quad (g, A) \mapsto gA, \quad gA = \{ga \mid a \in A\}.$$

The reader can easily verify the continuity of this action.

3.1. Properness of the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -action on $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Throughout the paper, n will always denote a natural number greater than or equal to 2.

We will denote by $\text{Aff}(n)$ the group of all affine transformations of \mathbb{R}^n . Let us recall the definition of $\text{Aff}(n)$. For every $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let $T_v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the translation by v , i.e., $T_v(x) = v + x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The set of all such translations is a group isomorphic to the additive group of \mathbb{R}^n . For every $\sigma \in GL(n)$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it is easy to see that $\sigma T_v \sigma^{-1} = T_{\sigma(v)}$. This yields a homomorphism from $GL(n)$ to the group of all linear automorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n , and hence we have an (internal) semidirect product

$$\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes GL(n)$$

called the *affine group* of \mathbb{R}^n (see e.g. [2, p. 102]). Each element $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ is usually represented by $g = T_v + \sigma$, where $\sigma \in GL(n)$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.,

$$g(x) = v + \sigma(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As a semidirect product, $\text{Aff}(n)$ is topologized by the product topology of $\mathbb{R}^n \times GL(n)$, thus becoming a Lie group with two connected components. Since the topology of $GL(n)$ is the one inherited from \mathbb{R}^{n^2} , we can also consider a natural topological embedding of $\text{Aff}(n)$ into $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} = \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)}$, which will be helpful in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Clearly, the natural action of $\text{Aff}(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n is continuous. This action induces a continuous action on $2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Observe that for every $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ and $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the set $gA = \{ga \mid a \in A\}$ belongs to $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an invariant subset of $2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and thus the restriction of the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -action to $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous. We will prove in Theorem 3.3 that this action is proper. First we prove the following two technical lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $x_0 \in A$ be such that $\overline{N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$ for a certain $\varepsilon > 0$. If $C \in O(A, \varepsilon)$ then $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset C$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists $C \in O(A, \varepsilon)$ such that $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \not\subset C$. Choose $x \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \setminus C$. Since C is compact, there exists $z \in C$ with $d(x, z) = d(x, C)$. Let H be the hyperplane through z in \mathbb{R}^n orthogonal to the ray \vec{xz} . Since C is convex, it lies in the halfspace determined by H which does not contain x . Let a be the intersection point of \vec{xz} with $\partial \overline{N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$. Evidently, $d(a, x_0) = 2\varepsilon$ and

$$d(a, z) = d(a, H) \leq d(a, C) \leq d_H(A, C) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $d(x_0, x) < \varepsilon$ the triangle inequality implies that

$$\varepsilon > d(a, z) > d(a, x) \geq d(a, x_0) - d(x_0, x) > 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction proves the lemma. ■

Observe that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not closed in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x_0 \in A$ be such that $\overline{N(x_0, 2\varepsilon)} \subset A$ for a certain $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$, the closure of $O(A, \varepsilon)$ in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is compact.*

Proof. First we observe that $O(A, \varepsilon)$ is contained in $cc(K)$ for some compact convex subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $cc(K)$ stands for the hyperspace of all compact convex subsets of K . By [22], $cc(K)$ is compact, and hence the closure of $O(A, \varepsilon)$ in $cc(K)$, denoted by $[O(A, \varepsilon)]$, is also compact. So, it is enough to prove that $[O(A, \varepsilon)]$ is contained in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $(D_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset O(A, \varepsilon)$ be a sequence of compact convex bodies converging to some $D \in cc(K)$. According to Lemma 3.1, $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset D_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $N(x_0, \varepsilon) \not\subset D$. Pick $x \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \setminus D$ and let $\eta = d(x, D) > 0$. Since $x \in D_m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clear that $d_H(D_m, D) \geq \eta$. This means that $(D_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot converge to D , a contradiction. This proves that $N(x_0, \varepsilon)$ is contained in D , and therefore D has

nonempty interior, so that $D \in \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $[O(A, \varepsilon)]$ is a compact set contained in $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which yields $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)} = [O(A, \varepsilon)]$, and hence $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$ is compact. ■

THEOREM 3.3. *Aff(n) acts properly on $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and assume that $x_0 \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are such that $N(x_0, 2\varepsilon) \subset A$. We claim that $O(A, \varepsilon)$ is a small neighborhood of A .

Indeed, let $B \in \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since B has nonempty interior, there are $z_0 \in B$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $N(z_0, 2\delta) \subset B$. We will prove that the transporter

$$\Gamma = \{g \in \text{Aff}(n) \mid gO(A, \varepsilon) \cap O(B, \delta) \neq \emptyset\}$$

has compact closure in $\text{Aff}(n)$.

It is sufficient to prove that Γ , viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, is bounded and its closure in $\text{Aff}(n)$ coincides with the one in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$.

For every $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n |x_i|$. There exists $M > 0$ such that, if $C \in O(A, \varepsilon) \cup O(B, \delta)$ then

$$(3.2) \quad \|c\|_\infty \leq M \quad \text{for all } c \in C.$$

In particular,

$$\text{diam } C = \sup_{c, c' \in C} \|c - c'\|_\infty \leq 2M.$$

Take any $\mu \in \Gamma$. There exist $A' \in O(A, \varepsilon)$ and $B' \in O(B, \delta)$ with $\mu A' = B'$. Since μ is an affine transformation, there are $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\sigma \in GL(n)$ such that $\mu(x) = u + \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let (σ_{ij}) be the matrix representing σ in the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n , and consider (σ_{ij}) as a point in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} .

Since $\mu A' = B' \in O(B, \delta)$, according to inequality (3.2), $\text{diam } \mu A' \leq 2M$. Observe that $\mu A' = \sigma A' + u$, and hence $\text{diam } \sigma A' = \text{diam } \mu A' \leq 2M$. Let

$$\xi_i = (0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon/2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\varepsilon/2$ is the i th coordinate. Then, by Lemma 3.1, $\xi_i + x_0 \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset A'$ and $-\xi_i + x_0 \in N(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset A'$. Since $\text{diam } \sigma A' \leq 2M$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|2\sigma(\xi_i)\|_\infty &= \|\sigma(2\xi_i)\|_\infty = \|\sigma((\xi_i + x_0) - (-\xi_i + x_0))\|_\infty \\ &= \|\sigma(\xi_i + x_0) - \sigma(-\xi_i + x_0)\|_\infty \leq 2M, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\|\sigma(\xi_i)\|_\infty \leq M$.

However, $\sigma(\xi_i) = (\sigma_{1i}\varepsilon/2, \dots, \sigma_{ni}\varepsilon/2)$, and therefore $|\sigma_{ji}\varepsilon/2| \leq M$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, $|\sigma_{ji}| < 2M/\varepsilon$.

Next, by (3.2), for every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A'$ one has $\|a\|_\infty \leq M$. Then

$$\|\sigma(a)\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} a_j \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2M}{\varepsilon} \|a\|_\infty \leq \frac{2nM^2}{\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, $\mu(a) \in B'$, which yields

$$M \geq \|\mu(a)\|_\infty = \|u + \sigma(a)\|_\infty \geq \|u\|_\infty - \|\sigma(a)\|_\infty \geq \|u\|_\infty - 2nM^2/\varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\|u\|_\infty \leq M + 2nM^2/\varepsilon$, and therefore Γ , viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, is bounded.

To complete the proof, it remains to show that the closure of Γ in $\text{Aff}(n)$ coincides with its closure in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. Observe that here \mathbb{R}^{n^2} represents the space of all real $n \times n$ -matrices, i.e., the space of all linear transformations from \mathbb{R}^n into itself. Therefore, an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ represents a map which is the composition of a linear transformation followed by a translation. In this case, λ is an affine transformation iff it is surjective.

Let $(\lambda_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$ be a sequence of affine transformations converging to some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. We need to prove that $\lambda \in \text{Aff}(n)$. Since $\lambda_m \in \Gamma$, there exist $A_m \in O(A, \varepsilon)$ and $B_m \in O(B, \delta)$ such that $\lambda_m A_m = B_m$. By Lemma 3.2, the closures $\overline{O(A, \varepsilon)}$ and $\overline{O(B, \delta)}$ are compact. Hence, we can assume that A_m converges to some $A_0 \in O(A, \varepsilon)$ and B_m converges to some $B_0 \in \overline{O(B, \delta)}$. Then the equality $\lambda_m A_m = B_m$ yields $\lambda A_0 = B_0$. Since B_0 has nonempty interior, we infer that $\dim B_0 = n$, and hence the dimension of $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$ also equals n . Thus, $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an n -dimensional hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n , which is possible only if $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, λ is surjective, as required. ■

3.2. A convenient global slice for $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A well-known result of F. John [17] (see also [15]) in affine convex geometry states that for each $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a unique minimal-volume ellipsoid $l(A)$ containing A (respectively, a maximal-volume ellipsoid $j(A)$ contained in A). Nowadays $j(A)$ is called the *John ellipsoid* of A while $l(A)$ is called its *Löwner ellipsoid*. We denote by $L(n)$ (resp., $J(n)$) the subspace of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all convex bodies $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which the Euclidean unit ball \mathbb{B}^n is the Löwner ellipsoid (resp., the John ellipsoid). By $E(n)$ we denote the subset of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all ellipsoids. Below we consider the map $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$ that sends a convex body $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to its minimal-volume ellipsoid $l(A)$. We call l the *Löwner map*.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *$L(n)$ has the following four properties:*

- (a) $L(n)$ is $O(n)$ -invariant.
- (b) The saturation $\text{Aff}(n)(L(n))$ coincides with $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (c) If $gL(n) \cap L(n) \neq \emptyset$ for some $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$, then $g \in O(n)$.
- (d) $L(n)$ is compact.

Proof. First we prove the following

CLAIM. *The Löwner map $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$ is $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant, i.e., $l(gA) = gl(A)$ for every $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ and $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Assume that there exist $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ such that $l(gA) \neq gl(A)$. Clearly, $gl(A)$ is an ellipsoid containing gA . Since the minimal-volume ellipsoid of $g(A)$ is unique, we infer that $\text{vol}(gl(A)) > \text{vol}(l(gA))$.

By the same argument, $\text{vol}(g^{-1}l(gA)) > \text{vol}(l(A))$. Now we apply the well-known fact that each affine transformation preserves the ratio of volumes of any pair of compact convex bodies. Thus

$$\frac{\text{vol}(l(A))}{\text{vol}(A)} = \frac{\text{vol}(gl(A))}{\text{vol}(gA)} > \frac{\text{vol}(l(gA))}{\text{vol}(gA)} = \frac{\text{vol}(g^{-1}l(gA))}{\text{vol}(A)} > \frac{\text{vol}(l(A))}{\text{vol}(A)}.$$

This contradiction proves the claim.

(a) Let $g \in O(n)$ and $A \in L(n)$. The Claim implies that $l(gA) = gl(A) = g\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{B}^n$, i.e., $gA \in L(n)$, so $L(n)$ is $O(n)$ -invariant.

(b) Let $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There exists $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ such that $l(A) = g\mathbb{B}^n$. According to the Claim we have

$$\mathbb{B}^n = g^{-1}l(A) = l(g^{-1}A).$$

Then $g^{-1}A \in L(n)$ and $A = g(g^{-1}A)$. This proves $\text{Aff}(n)(L(n)) = cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(c) If there exist $g \in \text{Aff}(n)$ and $A \in L(n)$ such that $gA \in L(n)$, then

$$\mathbb{B}^n = l(gA) = gl(A) = g\mathbb{B}^n.$$

Hence $g \in O(n)$.

(d) Clearly, $L(n) \subset cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Since $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is compact (in fact, it is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube [22, Theorem 2.2]), it suffices to show that $L(n)$ is closed in $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$.

Let $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L(n)$ be a sequence converging to $A \in cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$. We will prove that $A \in L(n)$. To this end, we first prove that A has nonempty interior. If not, there exists an $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $A \subset \mathcal{H}$. Let $E' \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an $(n-1)$ -dimensional ellipsoid containing A in its interior (with respect to \mathcal{H}). For any $r > 0$, consider the line segment T_r of length r which is orthogonal to \mathcal{H} and passes through the center of E' . Let $r > 0$ be small enough that the n -dimensional ellipsoid E generated by E' and T_r has volume less than $\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Since A lies in the interior of E , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $N(A, \delta) \subset E$. Now, we use the fact that (A_k) converges to A to find $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_{m_0} \subset N(A, \delta) \subset E$. Thus, E is an ellipsoid containing A_{m_0} and so

$$\text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \text{vol}(l(A_{m_0})) < \text{vol}(E) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n).$$

This contradiction proves that A has nonempty interior.

Consequently, $l(A)$ is defined and we have to show that $l(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$. Suppose that $l(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$. Since $A_k \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $A \subset \mathbb{B}^n$. Hence, by uniqueness of the minimal-volume ellipsoid, $\text{vol}(l(A)) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Let L be an ellipsoid concentric and homothetic with $l(A)$ with ratio > 1 and $\text{vol}(L) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)$. As $l(A)$ is contained in the interior of L , the distance $d_{\mathbb{H}}(\partial L, \partial l(A)) = \varepsilon$ is positive. Consider $U = N(\partial l(A), \varepsilon)$, the ε -neighborhood of $\partial l(A)$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Since $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to A and all the sets A_k are convex, $(\partial A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ∂A . Therefore, there exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that

$\partial A_{k_0} \subset U$. The convexity of A_{k_0} implies that $A_{k_0} \subset L$, and hence

$$\text{vol}(l(A_{k_0})) \leq \text{vol}(L) < \text{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \text{vol}(l(A_{k_0})).$$

This contradiction proves that $A \in L(n)$, and hence $L(n)$ is closed in $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$. ■

REMARK 3.5. The first three assertions of Proposition 3.4 are easy modifications of those in [6, proof of Theorem 4], while the fourth one provides a new way of proving Macbeath's result on compactness of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ (see Corollary 3.7(1)).

THEOREM 3.6.

- (1) *The Löwner map $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$ is an $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant retraction with $L(n) = l^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^n)$.*
- (2) *$L(n)$ is a compact global $O(n)$ -slice for the proper $\text{Aff}(n)$ -space $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. (1) In the proof of Proposition 3.4 we showed that $l : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$ is $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant. Clearly, it is a retraction. Its continuity is a standard consequence of the above four properties in Proposition 3.4, well known in transformation groups (see [12, Ch. II, Theorems 4.2 and 4.4] for compact group actions and [24] for locally compact proper group actions). However, using the compactness of $L(n)$ we shall give here a direct proof of this fact.

Let $(X_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that converges to $X \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$; we write $X_m \rightsquigarrow X$. We must show that $l(X_m) \rightsquigarrow l(X)$. Assume the contrary is true. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence (A_k) of (X_m) such that $d_H(l(A_k), l(A)) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

By Proposition 3.4(b), there are $g, g_k \in \text{Aff}(n)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $A_k = g_k S_k$ and $A = gP$ for some $P, S_k \in L(n)$. Due to compactness of $L(n)$, without loss of generality, one can assume that $S_k \rightsquigarrow S$ for some $S \in L(n)$. Since $\text{Aff}(n)$ acts properly on $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 3.3), the points S and P have neighborhoods U_S and U_P , respectively, such that the transporter $\langle U_S, U_P \rangle$ has compact closure. Since $S_k \rightsquigarrow S$ and $g^{-1}g_k S_k \rightsquigarrow P$, it then follows that there is a natural number k_0 such that $g^{-1}g_k \in \langle U_S, U_P \rangle$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Consequently, the sequence $(g^{-1}g_k)$ has a convergent subsequence. Again, it is no loss of generality to assume that $g^{-1}g_k \rightsquigarrow h$ for some $h \in \text{Aff}(n)$. This implies that $g^{-1}g_k S_k \rightsquigarrow hS$, which together with $g^{-1}g_k S_k \rightsquigarrow P$ yields $hS = P$. But S and P belong to $L(n)$, and hence Proposition 3.4(c) shows that $h \in O(n)$. Since $g_k \rightsquigarrow gh$, we get

$$l(A_k) = l(g_k S_k) = g_k l(S_k) = g_k \mathbb{B}^n \rightsquigarrow gh \mathbb{B}^n = g \mathbb{B}^n = gl(S) = l(gS) = l(A),$$

which contradicts the inequality $d_H(l(A_k), l(A)) \geq \varepsilon$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Hence, $l(X_m) \rightsquigarrow l(X)$, as required.

(2) Compactness of $L(n)$ was proved in Proposition 3.4(d). Since $E(n)$ is the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of $\mathbb{B}^n \in \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $O(n)$ is the stabilizer of \mathbb{B}^n , one has the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -homeomorphism $E(n) \cong \text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$ (see [24, Proposition 1.1.5]). This, together with (1), yields an $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant map $f: \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$ such that $L(n) = f^{-1}(O(n))$. Thus, $L(n)$ is a global $O(n)$ -slice for $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as required. ■

COROLLARY 3.7.

- (1) (Macbeath [20]) *The $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit space $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ is compact.*
- (2) *The orbit spaces $L(n)/O(n)$ and $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ are homeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\pi: L(n) \rightarrow \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ be the restriction of the orbit map $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$. Then π is continuous and it follows from Proposition 3.4(b) that π is onto. This already implies the first assertion if we remember that $L(n)$ is compact (see Proposition 3.4(d)).

Further, for $A, B \in L(n)$, it follows from Proposition 3.4(c) that $\pi(A) = \pi(B)$ iff A and B have the same $O(n)$ -orbit. Hence, π induces a continuous bijective map $p: L(n)/O(n) \rightarrow \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$. Since $L(n)/O(n)$ is compact we conclude that p is a homeomorphism. ■

In Theorem 5.11 we will prove that $L(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$. This, in combination with Corollary 3.7 implies the following:

COROLLARY 3.8. *The $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit space $\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\text{Aff}(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$.*

COROLLARY 3.9.

- (1) *There exists an $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction $r: \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ such that $r(A)$ belongs to the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of A .*
- (2) *The diagonal product of the retractions $r: \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ and $l: \text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow E(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism*

$$\text{cb}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong_{O(n)} L(n) \times E(n).$$

Proof. (1) Recall that $O(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aff}(n)$. According to the structure theorem (see [16, Ch. XV, Theorem 3.1]), there exists a closed subset $T \subset \text{Aff}(n)$ such that $gTg^{-1} = T$ for every $g \in O(n)$, and the multiplication map

$$(3.3) \quad (t, g) \mapsto tg: T \times O(n) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(n)$$

is a homeomorphism. In our case it is easy to see that for T one can take the set of all products AS , where A is a translation and S is an invertible symmetric (or self-adjoint) positive operator. This follows easily from

two standard facts in linear algebra: (1) each $a \in \text{Aff}(n)$ is uniquely represented as the composition of a translation $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an invertible operator $g \in GL(n)$, (2) by the polar decomposition theorem, every $g \in GL(n)$ can be uniquely represented as the composition of a nondegenerate symmetric positive operator and an orthogonal operator (see, e.g., [18, Sections 2.3 and 2.4]).

Now we define the required $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction $r: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$. Let $f: \text{Aff}(n) \rightarrow E(n)$ be defined by $f(g) = g\mathbb{B}^n$. Then f induces an $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism $\tilde{f}: \text{Aff}(n)/O(n) \rightarrow E(n)$ [24, Proposition 1.1.5] and f is the composition

$$\text{Aff}(n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Aff}(n)/O(n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} E(n),$$

where π is the natural quotient map. By compactness of $O(n)$, π is closed, and hence so is f , being the composition of two closed maps.

This implies that the restriction $f|_T: T \rightarrow E(n)$ is a homeomorphism. Moreover, this homeomorphism is $O(n)$ -equivariant if we let $O(n)$ act on T by inner automorphisms and on $E(n)$ by the action induced from $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Denote by $\xi: E(n) \rightarrow T$ the inverse map f^{-1} . Then we have the following characteristic property of ξ :

$$(3.4) \quad [\xi(C)]^{-1}C = \mathbb{B}^n \quad \text{for all } C \in E(n).$$

Next, we define

$$r(A) = [\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A \quad \text{for every } A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Clearly, r depends continuously on $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since $l(r(A)) = l([\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A) = [\xi(l(A))]^{-1}l(A)$, and since by (3.4), $[\xi(l(A))]^{-1}l(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$, we infer that $r(A) \in L(n)$. If $A \in L(n)$, then $l(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$ and $r(A) = [\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A = [\xi(\mathbb{B}^n)]^{-1}A = 1 \cdot A = A$. Thus, r is a well-defined retraction on $L(n)$.

Let us check that r is $O(n)$ -equivariant. Indeed, let $g \in O(n)$ and $A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $r(gA) = [\xi(l(gA))]^{-1}gA = [\xi(gl(A))]^{-1}gA$. By equivariance of ξ , one has $\xi(gl(A)) = g\xi(l(A))g^{-1}$, and hence $[\xi(gl(A))]^{-1} = g[\xi(l(A))]^{-1}g^{-1}$. Consequently,

$$r(gA) = (g[\xi(l(A))]^{-1}g^{-1})gA = g([\xi(l(A))]^{-1}A) = gr(A),$$

as required. Thus, $r: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -retraction, and clearly $r(A)$ belongs to the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of A .

(2) Next we define

$$\varphi(A) = (r(A), l(A)) \quad \text{for every } A \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then φ is an $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n) \times E(n)$ with inverse $\varphi^{-1}((C, E)) = \xi(E)C$ for every $(C, E) \in L(n) \times E(n)$. ■

COROLLARY 3.10.

- (1) $E(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR.
- (2) $E(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$.

Proof. (1) follows immediately from Theorem 3.6 and from the fact that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR [8, Corollary 4.8].

(2) As observed above, $E(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$ (see [24, Proposition 1.1.5]). Consequently, one should prove that $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$.

Since $\text{Aff}(n)$ is the semidirect product of \mathbb{R}^n and $GL(n)$, as a topological space $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n \times GL(n)/O(n)$. The RQ -decomposition theorem in linear algebra states that every invertible matrix can be uniquely represented as the product of an orthogonal matrix and an upper-triangular matrix with positive elements on the diagonal (see, e.g., [13, Fact 4.2.2 and Exercise 4.3.29]). This easily implies that $GL(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{(n+1)n/2}$, and hence $\text{Aff}(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^p , where $p = n + (n + 1)n/2 = n(n + 3)/2$. ■

In Section 5 we will prove that $L(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (Corollary 5.9). This, in combination with Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10, yields the following result, which is one of the main results of the paper:

COROLLARY 3.11. $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is homeomorphic to $Q \times \mathbb{R}^{n(n+3)/2}$.

REMARK 3.12. Using maximal-volume ellipsoids instead of minimal-volume ellipsoids, one can prove in a similar way that the subset $J(n)$, defined at the beginning of this subsection, is also a global $O(n)$ -slice for $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, it follows from a result of H. Abels [1, Lemma 2.3] that the two global $O(n)$ -slices $J(n)$ and $L(n)$ are equivalent in the sense that there exists an $\text{Aff}(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism $f: cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f(L(n)) = J(n)$. Consequently, all the results stated in terms of $L(n)$ have their analogs in terms of $J(n)$, which can be proven by trivial modification of our proofs.

4. The hyperspace $M(n)$. Let us denote by $M(n)$ the $O(n)$ -invariant subspace of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of all $A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\max_{a \in A} \|a\| = 1$. Thus, $M(n)$ consists of all compact convex subsets of \mathbb{B}^n which intersect the boundary sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

It is evident that $M(n)$ is closed in $cc(\mathbb{B}^n) \subset cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By compactness of $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ (a well-known fact) it follows that $M(n)$ is compact as well. The importance of $M(n)$ lies in the property that $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the open cone over $M(n)$ (see Section 7). In this section we will prove that $M(n)$ is also homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube (Corollary 4.13) and its orbit space $M(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$ (Theorem 4.16).

Let us recall that a G -space X is called *strictly G -contractible* if there exists a G -homotopy $F : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ and a G -fixed point $a \in X$ such that $F(x, 0) = x$ for all $x \in X$ and $F(x, t) = a$ if and only if $t = 1$ or $x = a$.

LEMMA 4.1. $M(n)$ is strictly $O(n)$ -contractible to its only $O(n)$ -fixed point \mathbb{B}^n .

Proof. The map $F : M(n) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M(n)$ defined by

$$F(A, t) = (1 - t)A + t\mathbb{B}^n$$

is the desired $O(n)$ -contraction. ■

Consider the map $\nu : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \nu(A) = \max_{a \in A} \|a\|, \quad A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

LEMMA 4.2. ν is a uniformly continuous $O(n)$ -invariant map.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A, B \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and suppose that $d_H(A, B) < \varepsilon$. Let $a \in A$ be such that $\nu(A) = \|a\|$. Then there exists $b \in B$ with $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\|b\| \leq \nu(B)$ we have

$$\varepsilon > \|a - b\| \geq \|a\| - \|b\| \geq \nu(A) - \nu(B).$$

Similarly, we can prove that $\nu(B) - \nu(A) < \varepsilon$, and hence ν is uniformly continuous.

Now, if $g \in O(n)$ then $\|gx\| = \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus,

$$\nu(gA) = \max_{a' \in gA} \|a'\| = \max_{a \in A} \|ga\| = \max_{a \in A} \|a\| = \nu(A).$$

This proves that ν is $O(n)$ -invariant, as required. ■

LEMMA 4.3. $M(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR with a unique $O(n)$ -fixed point, \mathbb{B}^n .

Proof. By [8, Corollary 4.8], $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR. Hence, $cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ is an $O(n)$ -ANR. The map $r : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M(n)$ defined by

$$(4.2) \quad r(A) = \frac{1}{\nu(A)}A$$

is an $O(n)$ -retraction, where ν is defined in (4.1). Thus $M(n)$, being an $O(n)$ -retract of an $O(n)$ -ANR, is itself an $O(n)$ -ANR. On the other hand, it was shown in Lemma 4.1 that $M(n)$ is $O(n)$ -contractible to its point \mathbb{B}^n . Since every $O(n)$ -contractible $O(n)$ -ANR space is an $O(n)$ -AR (see [3]) we conclude that $M(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR. ■

The following lemma will be used several times:

LEMMA 4.4. Let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a finite number of points. Let $K \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup which acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then the boundary of the convex hull

$$D = \text{conv}(K(p_1) \cup \dots \cup K(p_k))$$

does not contain an $(n-1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain, i.e., ∂D contains no open subset V which is at the same time an open connected subset of some $(n-1)$ -dimensional ellipsoid surface lying in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Assume that there exists an open subset $V \subset \partial D$ which is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain. Recall that a convex body $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *strictly convex* if every boundary point $a \in \partial A$ is an extreme point, that is, $A \setminus \{a\}$ is convex. Since every ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n is strictly convex, it will follow that every $v \in V$ is an extreme point of D too, as we now show.

Indeed, suppose that there are distinct points $b, c \in D$ such that v belongs to the relative interior of the line segment $[b, c] = \{\lambda b + (1-\lambda)c \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$. Since v is a boundary point of D , the whole segment $[b, c]$ lies in ∂D . Next, since V is open in ∂D , we infer that for b and c sufficiently close to v , the segment $[b, c]$ is contained in V . However, this is impossible because V is an elliptic domain.

Thus, we have proved that every $v \in V$ is an extreme point of D . Next, since D is the convex hull of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k K(p_i)$, each extreme point of D lies in $\bigcup_{i=1}^k K(p_i)$ (see, e.g., [29, Corollary 2.6.4]). This implies that $V \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k K(p_i)$. Further, by connectedness, V is contained in only one $K(p_i)$. However, we now show this is impossible.

Indeed, since $K(p_i)$ lies on the $(n-1)$ -sphere $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$ centered at the origin and having radius $\|p_i\|$, V should be a domain in this sphere. As $K(p_i)$ is a homogeneous compact space, there exists a finite cover $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ of $K(p_i)$, where each V_j is homeomorphic to V . Then, by the Domain Invariance Theorem (see, e.g., [26, Ch. 4, Section 7, Theorem 16]), each V_j is open in $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$. Hence, $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m = K(p_i)$ is open in $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$. But $K(p_i)$ is also compact, and therefore closed in $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$. Thus $K(p_i)$ is an open and closed subset of the connected space $\partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$, and consequently $K(p_i) = \partial N(0, \|p_i\|)$. This implies that K acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , which is a contradiction. ■

The *Fell topology* in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is generated by all sets of the form

$$\begin{aligned} U^- &= \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid A \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \quad \text{and} \\ (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+ &= \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus K\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact.

It is well known that the Fell topology and the Hausdorff metric topology coincide in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, e.g., [25, Remark 2]). In particular, they coincide in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This will be used in the proof of the following lemma:

LEMMA 4.5. *Let $T \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a convex body and $\mathcal{H} \subset cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a subset such that for every $A \in \mathcal{H}$, the intersection $A \cap T$ has nonempty interior.*

Then the map $v : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$v(A) = A \cap T, \quad A \in \mathcal{H},$$

is continuous.

Proof. It is enough to show that $v^{-1}(U^-)$ and $v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+)$ are open in \mathcal{H} for every open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and compact $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

First, suppose that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $A \in v^{-1}(U^-)$. Then $U \cap (A \cap T) \neq \emptyset$. Since U is open and $A \cap T$ is a convex body, there exists a point x_0 in the interior of $A \cap T$ such that $x_0 \in U$. So, one can find $\delta > 0$ satisfying

$$\overline{N(x_0, 2\delta)} \subset U \cap (A \cap T).$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, if $C \in O(A, \delta) \cap \mathcal{H}$ then $N(x_0, \delta) \subset C$. Since $x_0 \in U \cap T$, we conclude that $U \cap v(C) = U \cap (C \cap T) \neq \emptyset$. This proves that $O(A, \delta) \cap \mathcal{H} \subset v^{-1}(U^-)$, and hence $v^{-1}(U^-)$ is open in \mathcal{H} .

Consider now a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose $A \in \mathcal{H}$ is such that $v(A) \cap K = \emptyset$. If $K \cap T = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{H} = v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+)$, which is open in \mathcal{H} . If $K \cap T \neq \emptyset$ then we define

$$\eta = \inf\{d(a, x) \mid a \in A, x \in K \cap T\}.$$

Since $(A \cap T) \cap K = \emptyset$, we have $\eta > 0$. Let $C \in O(A, \eta) \cap \mathcal{H}$ and suppose that $v(C)$ meets K . Then there exists $x_0 \in C \cap T \cap K$. Since C belongs to the η -neighborhood of A , we can find $a \in A$ such that $d(a, x_0) < \eta$, contradicting the choice of η . Thus we conclude that

$$O(A, \eta) \cap \mathcal{H} \subset v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+),$$

and hence $v^{-1}((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K)^+)$ is open in \mathcal{H} . ■

Denote by $M_0(n)$ the complement $M(n) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$.

PROPOSITION 4.6. *For each closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts non-transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a K -equivariant map $\chi_\varepsilon : M(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$ which is ε -close to the identity map of $M(n)$. In particular, $\chi_\varepsilon(M(n)^K) \subset M_0(n)^K$.*

Proof. Let $r : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M(n)$ be the $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction defined in (4.2). Since $M(n)$ is compact, one can find $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/2$ such that $d_H(r(A), A) < \varepsilon/2$ for all A in the δ -neighborhood of $M(n)$ in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$.

Choose a convex polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ with nonempty interior, $\delta/4$ -close to \mathbb{B}^n , such that all the vertices p_1, \dots, p_k of P lie on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \partial\mathbb{B}^n$. Then

$$T = \text{conv}(K(p_1) \cup \dots \cup K(p_k))$$

is a compact convex K -invariant subset of \mathbb{R}^n . By Lemma 4.4, ∂T contains no $(n - 1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain. Furthermore,

$$(4.3) \quad d_H(\mathbb{B}^n, T) \leq d_H(\mathbb{B}^n, P) < \delta/4.$$

Let $h : M(n) \rightarrow M(n)$ be defined as follows:

$$h(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^n \mid d(x, A) \leq \delta/2\} \quad \text{for every } A \in M(n).$$

Clearly, $h(A) \cap T$ is a nonempty set with nonempty interior.

Then setting

$$\chi'(A) = h(A) \cap T$$

we obtain a map $\chi' : M(n) \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since T is a K -fixed point of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that χ' is K -equivariant.

Continuity of χ' follows from the one of h and Lemma 4.5.

We claim that for any $A \in M(n)$, $\chi'(A)$ is not a closed Euclidean ball centered at the origin.

Indeed, if $h(A) \subset T$ then $h(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$ since T is strictly contained in \mathbb{B}^n . In this case $\chi'(A) = h(A) \cap T = h(A)$, and hence $\chi'(A) \in M(n)$. However, the only Euclidean ball centered at the origin that belongs to $M(n)$ is \mathbb{B}^n . But $\chi'(A) = h(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$.

If $h(A) \not\subset T$, then the boundary of $\chi'(A)$ contains a domain lying in ∂T . Since ∂T contains no $(n-1)$ -dimensional elliptic domain (as shown in Lemma 4.4), $\chi'(A)$ is not an ellipsoid. In particular, it is not a Euclidean ball centered at the origin, and the claim is proved.

Now we assert that $\chi = r \circ \chi'$ is the desired map. Indeed, $r(A) = \mathbb{B}^n$ if and only if A is a Euclidean ball centered at the origin. Since $\chi'(A)$ is not such a ball, we infer that $\chi(A) = r(\chi'(A)) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$ for every $A \in M(n)$. Thus $\chi : M(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$ is a well-defined map. It is continuous and K -equivariant because χ' and r are.

Now, if $x \in \chi'(A)$ then $x \in h(A)$. Hence, $d(x, A) \leq \delta/2 < \delta$ and $\chi'(A) \subset N(A, \delta)$. On the other hand, if $a \in A \subset \mathbb{B}^n$, then by (4.3) there exists $x \in T$ such that $d(x, a) < \delta/4 < \delta/2$. Therefore, $x \in h(A) \cap T = \chi'(A)$, and hence $A \subset N(\chi'(A), \delta/2)$. This proves that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi'(A)) < \delta$.

By the choice of δ the last inequality implies $d_{\mathbb{H}}(r(\chi'(A)), \chi'(A)) \leq \varepsilon/2$. Then for all $A \in M(n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{H}}(\chi(A), A) &\leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(\chi(A), \chi'(A)) + d_{\mathbb{H}}(\chi'(A), A) \\ &= d_{\mathbb{H}}(r(\chi'(A)), \chi'(A)) + d_{\mathbb{H}}(\chi'(A), A) \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \delta < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that χ is ε -close to the identity map of $M(n)$, and the proof is complete. ■

Observe that the induced action of $O(n)$ on $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isometric with respect to the Hausdorff metric. In particular, for every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the Hausdorff metric on $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is K -invariant.

Let $d_{\mathbb{H}}^*$ be the metric on $M(n)/K$ induced by the Hausdorff metric on $M(n)$ as defined in (2.1):

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}^*(K(A), K(B)) = \inf_{k \in K} d_{\mathbb{H}}(A, kB), \quad A, B \in M(n).$$

COROLLARY 4.7. *Let $K \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then*

- (1) *the singleton $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set in $M(n)^K$,*
- (2) *the class of $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set in $M(n)/K$.*

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Proposition 4.6. For the second statement, take $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 4.6, there exists a K -map $\chi_\varepsilon : M(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$ such that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \chi_\varepsilon(A)) < \varepsilon$ for every $A \in M(n)$. This induces a continuous map $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon : M(n)/K \rightarrow M_0/K$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(K(A)) = \pi(\chi_\varepsilon(A)) = K(\xi_\varepsilon(A)), \quad A \in M(n),$$

where $\pi : M(n) \rightarrow M(n)/K$ is the K -orbit map. By (2.2) we have

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}^*(K(\chi_\varepsilon(A)), K(A)) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(\chi_\varepsilon(A), A) < \varepsilon,$$

and thus $\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon$ is ε -close to the identity map of $M(n)/K$.

On the other hand, since $\{\chi_\varepsilon(A)\} \neq \{\mathbb{B}^n\} = K(\mathbb{B}^n)$ for every $A \in M(n)$, we conclude that

$$\tilde{\chi}_\varepsilon(M(n)/K) \cap \{\mathbb{B}^n\} = \emptyset,$$

which proves that the class of $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set on $M(n)/K$. ■

Now, we shall give a sequence of lemmas and propositions culminating in Corollary 4.15.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}(n)$ the subspace of $M(n)$ consisting of all $A \in M(n)$ such that the contact set $A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ has empty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

For every $A \in M(n)$, $A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is nonempty, and therefore there exists $a \in A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. If $O(n)_A$ is the $O(n)$ -stabilizer of A then $O(n)_A(a) \subset A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Therefore, if $A \neq \mathbb{B}^n$, the subset $O(n)_A(a)$ should be different from \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and thus $O(n)_A$ acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

LEMMA 4.8. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $D \in M_0(n)$ there exist $A \in \mathcal{R}(n)$ such that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(D, A) < \varepsilon$ and the $O(n)$ -stabilizer $O(n)_A$ coincides with $O(n)_D$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2.2, there is $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$ such that if $d_{\mathbb{H}}(C, D) < \eta$ then the stabilizer $O(n)_C$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $O(n)_D$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in D$ be such that $P = \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}) \in M(n)$ (it is enough to choose one of the p_i 's lying in $\partial D \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$) and $d_{\mathbb{H}}(D, P) < \eta$. Next, we define

$$A = \text{conv}(O(n)_D(p_1) \cup \dots \cup O(n)_D(p_k)).$$

Clearly, $A \in M(n)$ and

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(D, A) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(D, P) < \eta < \varepsilon.$$

Since $O(n)_D$ acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , Lemma 4.4 show that ∂A contains no $(n - 1)$ -elliptic domain. In particular, $\partial A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ has empty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , i.e., $A \in \mathcal{R}(n)$.

By the choice of η the stabilizer $O(n)_A$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $O(n)_D$. On the other hand, A is an $O(n)_D$ -invariant subset, so that $O(n)_D \subset O(n)_A$. This implies that $O(n)_A = O(n)_D$, as required. ■

The following lemma is just a special case of [8, Theorem 4.5].

LEMMA 4.9. *Let $X \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, the open ball in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with radius ε centered at X is convex, i.e., if $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \subset cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a finite family such that for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, $d_H(A_i, X) < \varepsilon$, then the set*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k t_i A_i = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k t_i a_i \mid a_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

is ε -close to X , where $t_1, \dots, t_k \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i = 1$.

The following is perhaps the key result of this section:

PROPOSITION 4.10. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $O(n)$ -map $f_\varepsilon : M_0(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(n)$, ε -close to the identity map of $M_0(n)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{O(X, \varepsilon/4)\}_{X \in M_0(n)}$ be the open cover of $M_0(n)$ consisting of all open balls of radius $\varepsilon/4$. By [7, Lemma 4.1], there exists an $O(n)$ -normal cover of $M_0(n)$ (see Section 2 for the definition),

$$\mathcal{W} = \{gS_\mu \mid g \in O(n), \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

- (a) \mathcal{W} is a star-refinement of \mathcal{V} , that is, for each $gS_\mu \in \mathcal{W}$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ that contains the star of gS_μ with respect to \mathcal{W} , i.e.,

$$\text{St}(gS_\mu, \mathcal{W}) = \bigcup \{hS_\lambda \in \mathcal{W} \mid hS_\lambda \cap gS_\mu \neq \emptyset\} \subset V.$$

- (b) For each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, the set S_μ is an H_μ -slice, where H_μ coincides with the stabilizer $O(n)_{X_\mu}$ of a certain point $X_\mu \in S_\mu$.

Since $X_\mu \in M_0(n)$, we see that H_μ acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Thus, by Lemma 4.8, there exists $A_\mu \in \mathcal{R}(n)$ which is $\varepsilon/4$ -close to X_μ and $O(n)_{A_\mu} = H_\mu$.

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, set $O_\mu = O(n)(S_\mu)$. Define $F_\mu : O_\mu \rightarrow O(n)(A_\mu)$ by

$$F_\mu(gZ) = gA_\mu, \quad Z \in S_\mu, g \in O(n).$$

Clearly F_μ is a well-defined continuous $O(n)$ -map.

Fix an invariant locally finite partition of unity $\{p_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$ subordinated to the open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{O_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$, i.e.,

$$\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])} \subset O_\mu \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ be the nerve of the cover \mathcal{U} and suppose that \mathcal{M} is its vertex set. Denote by $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ the geometric realization of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$. Recall that every point $\alpha \in |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ can be expressed as a sum $\alpha = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \alpha_\mu v_\mu$, where v_μ is the geometric vertex corresponding to $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\alpha_\mu, \mu \in \mathcal{M}$, are the barycentric coordinates of α .

For a simplex σ of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ with vertices μ_0, \dots, μ_k , we will use the notation $\sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle$. By $|\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle|$ we denote the corresponding geometric simplex with geometric vertices $v_{\mu_0}, \dots, v_{\mu_k}$.

For every geometric simplex $|\sigma| = |\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle| \subset |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$, denote by $\beta(\sigma) \in |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ the geometric barycenter of $|\sigma|$, i.e., $\beta(\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \beta(\sigma)_\mu v_\mu$ where

$$\beta(\sigma)_\mu = \begin{cases} 1/(k+1) & \text{if } \mu \in \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \notin \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k\}. \end{cases}$$

Consider the map $\Psi : |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})| \rightarrow |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ defined in each $\alpha = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \alpha_\mu v_\mu \in |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ as follows: if $|\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle|$ is the carrier of α and $\alpha_{\mu_0} \geq \alpha_{\mu_1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_{\mu_k}$, then

$$\Psi(\alpha) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})} \Psi(\alpha)_\sigma \beta(\sigma)$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \Psi(\alpha)_\sigma = \begin{cases} (i+1)(\alpha_{\mu_i} - \alpha_{\mu_{i+1}}) & \text{if } \sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle, i = 0, \dots, k-1, \\ (k+1)\alpha_{\mu_k} & \text{if } \sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle, i = 0, \dots, k. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that Ψ is the identity map of $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ written in the barycentric coordinates with respect to the first barycentric subdivision of $|\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$; we shall need this representation in what follows.

Let $p : M_0(n) \rightarrow |\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})|$ be the canonical map defined by

$$p(X) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} p_\mu(X) v_\mu, \quad X \in M_0(n).$$

Since each p_μ is $O(n)$ -invariant, the map p is also $O(n)$ -invariant.

For every simplex $\sigma = \langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ the set $V_\sigma = O_{\mu_0} \cap \dots \cap O_{\mu_k}$ is a nonempty open subset of $M_0(n)$. Continuity of the union operator and the convex hull operator (see, e.g., [21, Corollary 5.3.7] and [29, Theorem 2.7.4(iv)]) imply that the map $\Omega'_\sigma : V_\sigma \rightarrow M_0(n)$ given by

$$\Omega'_\sigma(X) = \text{conv} \left(\bigcup_{\mu \in \sigma} F_\mu(X) \right), \quad X \in V_\sigma,$$

is a continuous $O(n)$ -map.

Observe that $\Omega'_\sigma(X) \in M_0(n)$ and

$$\Omega'_\sigma(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \left(\bigcup_{\mu \in \sigma} F_\mu(X) \right) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{\mu \in \sigma} (F_\mu(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

and hence

$$(4.5) \quad \Omega'_\sigma(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \quad \text{has empty interior in } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Fix $B \in M_0(n)$. For each simplex σ of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$, we extend the map Ω'_σ to a function $\Omega_\sigma : M_0(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$ as follows:

$$\Omega_\sigma(X) = \begin{cases} \Omega'_\sigma(X) & \text{if } X \in V_\sigma, \\ B & \text{if } X \notin V_\sigma. \end{cases}$$

The desired map $f_\varepsilon : M_0(n) \rightarrow M_0(n)$ can now be defined by

$$f_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X), \quad X \in M_0(n).$$

For every $X \in M_0(n)$, let $Q(X)$ be the subset of \mathcal{M} consisting of all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $X \in p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])$. Similarly, denote by $Q'(X)$ the subset of \mathcal{M} consisting of all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $X \in \overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}$.

It is clear that $Q(X) \subset Q'(X)$ and, by local finiteness of the cover $\{\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$, both sets are finite. Moreover, it follows from (4.4) that $\Psi(p(X))_\sigma = 0$ whenever $\sigma \not\subset Q'(X)$.

Then, for every $X \in M_0(n)$ we have

$$(4.6) \quad f_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q'(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X).$$

To see the continuity of f_ε , fix $C \in M_0(n)$ and define

$$V = \left(\bigcap_{\mu \in Q'(C)} O_\mu \right) \setminus \bigcup_{\mu \notin Q'(C)} \overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}.$$

Since the family $\{\overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$ is locally finite, $\bigcup_{\mu \notin Q'(C)} \overline{p_\mu^{-1}((0, 1])}$ is closed, and therefore V is a neighborhood of C . It is evident that $Q(X) \subset Q'(C)$ for every $X \in V$. Using (4.6), we infer that

$$f_\varepsilon(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q'(C)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X) \quad \text{for every } X \in V.$$

Observe that $V \subset V_\sigma$ for every simplex $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$ such that $\sigma \subset Q'(C)$, and hence $\Omega_\sigma|_V = \Omega'_\sigma|_V$ is continuous.

On the other hand, $\Psi(p(X))_\sigma$ is just the $\beta(\sigma)$ th barycentric coordinate of $\Psi(p(X))$. Thus, for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})$, the map $X \mapsto \Psi(p(X))_\sigma$ depends continuously on X . So, $f_\varepsilon|_V$ is a finite sum of continuous functions and so it is also continuous in V . Consequently, f_ε is continuous at $C \in M_0(n)$, as required.

If $g \in O(n)$ and $X \in M_0(n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_\varepsilon(gX) &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(gX))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(gX) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega'_\sigma(gX) \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U})} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma (g \Omega'_\sigma(X)) = g \left(\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega'_\sigma(X) \right) \\
 &= g \left(\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \sigma \subset Q(X)}} \Psi(p(X))_\sigma \Omega_\sigma(X) \right) = g f_\varepsilon(X),
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that f_ε is $O(n)$ -equivariant.

To see that $f_\varepsilon(X) \in M_0(n)$, suppose that

$$Q(X) = \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\mu_0}(X) \geq p_{\mu_1}(X) \geq \dots \geq p_{\mu_k}(X).$$

Then, by (4.4) and (4.6), the set $f_\varepsilon(X)$ can be seen as a convex sum:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_\varepsilon(X) &= (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle}(X) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1)(p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X))\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \\
 &= (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle}(X) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1)(p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X))\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f_\varepsilon(X)$ is a convex subset contained in \mathbb{B}^n . Furthermore, observe that $F_{\mu_0}(X) \subset \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X)$ for every $i = 0, \dots, k$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\mu_0}(X) &= (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)F_{\mu_0}(X) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1)(p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X))F_{\mu_0}(X) \\
 &\subset (k+1)p_{\mu_k}(X)\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_k \rangle}(X) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (i+1)(p_{\mu_i}(X) - p_{\mu_{i+1}}(X))\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \\
 &= f_\varepsilon(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_{\mu_0}(X) \in M_0(n)$, the inclusion $F_{\mu_0}(X) \subset f_\varepsilon(X)$ yields $f_\varepsilon(X) \in M_0(n)$.

On the other hand, the contact set $f_\varepsilon(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is contained in

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^k \Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \right) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^k (\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Further, since by (4.5), each $\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ has empty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} ,

we infer that the finite union $\bigcup_{i=0}^k (\Omega'_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ also has empty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . This shows that $f_\varepsilon(X) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ has empty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , as required.

It remains only to prove that $d_H(X, f_\varepsilon(X)) < \varepsilon$ for every $X \in M_0(n)$.

Since $f_\varepsilon(X)$ is a convex sum of the sets $\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X)$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$, according to Lemma 4.9 it is enough to prove that $\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X)$ is ε -close to X for every $i = 0, \dots, k$.

Recall that $\Omega_{\langle \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i \rangle}(X) = \text{conv}(\bigcup_{j=0}^i F_{\mu_j}(X))$, and hence we have only to prove that $d_H(X, F_{\mu_j}(X)) < \varepsilon$ for each j .

For this purpose, suppose that $g_j \in O(n)$ is such that $F_{\mu_j}(X) = g_j A_{\mu_j}$. Then $X \in g_j S_{\mu_j}$ and $g_j X_{\mu_j} \in g_j S_{\mu_j}$.

Since \mathcal{W} is a star-refinement of \mathcal{V} , there exists $Z \in M_0(n)$ such that $\text{St}(X, \mathcal{W}) = \bigcup \{g S_\mu \in \mathcal{W} \mid X \in g S_\mu\} \subset O(Z, \varepsilon/4)$. In particular,

$$(4.7) \quad d_H(X, Z) < \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(g_j X_{\mu_j}, Z) < \varepsilon/4.$$

This implies that $d_H(g_j X_{\mu_j}, X) < \varepsilon/2$. By the choice of A_{μ_j} , we see that $d_H(A_{\mu_j}, X_{\mu_j}) < \varepsilon/4$. Since the Hausdorff metric is $O(n)$ -invariant we get

$$d_H(g_j A_{\mu_j}, g_j X_{\mu_j}) = d_H(A_{\mu_j}, X_{\mu_j}) < \varepsilon/4,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(X, F_{\mu_j}(X)) &= d_H(X, g_j A_{\mu_j}) \leq d_H(X, g_j X_{\mu_j}) + d_H(g_j X_{\mu_j}, g_j A_{\mu_j}) \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/4 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

PROPOSITION 4.11. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $O(n)$ -map $h_\varepsilon : M_0(n) \rightarrow M_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{R}(n)$, ε -close to the identity map of $M_0(n)$.*

Proof. Define a continuous map $\gamma : M_0(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\varepsilon, d_H(\mathbb{B}^n, A)\} \quad \text{for every } A \in M_0(n).$$

Let $h_\varepsilon(A)$ be the closed $\gamma(A)$ -neighborhood of A in \mathbb{B}^n , i.e.,

$$h_\varepsilon(A) = A_{\gamma(A)} = \{x \in \mathbb{B}^n \mid d(x, A) \leq \gamma(A)\}, \quad A \in M_0(n).$$

By the choice of $\gamma(A)$, the set $h_\varepsilon(A)$ is different from \mathbb{B}^n , and since $A \subset h_\varepsilon(A)$, we see that $h_\varepsilon(A) \in M_0(n)$. Even more, $h_\varepsilon(A) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ has nonempty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Thus, $h_\varepsilon(A) \in M_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{R}(n)$.

By [7, Lemma 5.3], $d_H(A, A_{\gamma(A)}) < \gamma_A < \varepsilon$, which implies that h_ε is ε -close to the identity map of $M_0(n)$.

Let us check the continuity of h_ε . For any $A, C \in M_0(n)$,

$$d_H(h_\varepsilon(A), h_\varepsilon(C)) = d_H(A_{\gamma(A)}, C_{\gamma(C)}) \leq d_H(A_{\gamma(A)}, A_{\gamma(C)}) + d_H(A_{\gamma(C)}, C_{\gamma(C)}).$$

But

$$d_H(A_{\gamma(A)}, A_{\gamma(C)}) \leq |\gamma(A) - \gamma(C)| \quad \text{and} \quad d_H(A_{\gamma(C)}, C_{\gamma(C)}) \leq d_H(A, C)$$

(see, e.g., [7, Lemma 5.3]). Consequently,

$$d_H(h_\varepsilon(A), h_\varepsilon(C)) \leq |\gamma(A) - \gamma(C)| + d_H(A, C).$$

Now the continuity of γ implies the one of h_ε . ■

As a consequence of Propositions 4.10 and 4.11 we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 4.12. *For any closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the K -orbit space $M_0(n)/K$ is a Q -manifold.*

Proof. Consider the metric on $M_0(n)/K$ induced by d_H according to (2.1).

Clearly, $M_0(n)$ is a locally compact space, and thus $M_0(n)/K$ is also locally compact. Since $M(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR, and $M_0(n)$ is an open $O(n)$ -invariant set in $M(n)$, we infer that $M_0(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -ANR. This in turn implies that $M_0(n)$ is a K -ANR (see, e.g., [28]). Then, by Theorem 2.3, $M_0(n)/K$ is an ANR.

According to Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem [27, Theorem 1], it remains to check that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist continuous maps $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon, \tilde{h}_\varepsilon : M_0(n)/K \rightarrow M_0(n)/K$, ε -close to the identity map of $M_0(n)/K$ such that the images $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon$ and $\text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon$ are disjoint.

Let f_ε and h_ε be the $O(n)$ -maps from Propositions 4.10 and 4.11, respectively. They induce continuous maps $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : M_0(n)/K \rightarrow M_0(n)/K$ and $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon : M_0(n)/K \rightarrow M_0(n)/K$. Since $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } f_\varepsilon)/K$, $\text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } h_\varepsilon)/K$ and $\text{Im } f_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } h_\varepsilon = \emptyset$, we infer that $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, since f_ε and h_ε are ε -close to the identity map of $M_0(n)$, using inequality (2.2), we see that \tilde{f}_ε and \tilde{h}_ε are ε -close to the identity map of $M_0(n)/K$. ■

COROLLARY 4.13. *For any closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the K -orbit space $M(n)/K$ is a Hilbert cube. In particular, $M(n)$ is homeomorphic to Q .*

Proof. We have already seen in Corollary 4.7 that $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set in $M(n)/K$. Observe that the Q -manifold $M_0(n)/K$ can be seen as the complement $(M(n)/K) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$. It then follows from [27, §3] that $M(n)/K$ is also a Q -manifold. Furthermore, $M(n)/K$ is compact and contractible. But since the only compact contractible Q -manifold is the Hilbert cube (see [21, Theorem 7.5.8]), we conclude that $M(n)/K$ is homeomorphic to Q . ■

COROLLARY 4.14. *For any closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the K -fixed point set $M(n)^K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

Proof. Since $M(n)$ is compact and $M(n)^K$ is closed in $M(n)$, we see that $M(n)^K$ is also compact. By Theorem 4.3, $M(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR. This,

in combination with [9, Theorem 3.7], implies that $M(n)^K$ is an AR. In particular, $M(n)^K$ is contractible.

Let f_ε and h_ε be the $O(n)$ -maps from Propositions 4.10 and 4.11, respectively. By equivariance, we have

$$f_\varepsilon(M_0(n)^K) \subset M_0(n)^K \quad \text{and} \quad h_\varepsilon(M_0(n)^K) \subset M_0(n)^K.$$

By Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem [27, Theorem 1], $M_0(n)^K$ is a Q -manifold. But $M_0(n)^K = M(n)^K \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ and Corollary 4.7 implies that $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set in $M(n)^K$. This shows that $M(n)^K$ is also a Q -manifold (see [27, §3]). Furthermore, $M(n)^K$ is compact and contractible. Since the only compact contractible Q -manifold is the Hilbert cube (see [21, Theorem 7.5.8]), we conclude that $M(n)^K$ is homeomorphic to Q . ■

We summarize all the above results about the $O(n)$ -space $M(n)$ in the following corollary:

COROLLARY 4.15. *$M(n)$ is a Hilbert cube endowed with an $O(n)$ -action satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $M(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR with a unique $O(n)$ -fixed point, \mathbb{B}^n ,
- (2) $M(n)$ is strictly $O(n)$ -contractible to \mathbb{B}^n ,
- (3) for a closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the set $M(n)^K$ equals the singleton $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ if and only if K acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and $M(n)^K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube whenever $M(n)^K \neq \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$,
- (4) for any closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the K -orbit space $M_0(n)/K$ is a Q -manifold.

This corollary in combination with [10, Theorem 3.3] yields

THEOREM 4.16. *The orbit space $M(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$.*

5. Some properties of $L(n)$. Recall that $L(n)$ is the hyperspace of all compact convex bodies for which the Euclidean unit ball is the minimum-volume ellipsoid of Löwner.

In [7] the subset $L'(n)$ of $L(n)$ consisting of all $A \in L(n)$ with $A = -A$ was studied. It turns out that $L(n)$ enjoys all the properties of $L'(n)$ established in [7], and an easy modification of the method developed in [7, Section 5] allows one to establish similar properties of $L(n)$. However, for completeness, we shall provide in this section some more specific details and appropriate new references.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *$L(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR.*

Proof. It was proved in [8, Corollary 4.8] that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR. Since $L(n)$ is a global $O(n)$ -slice in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$, according to Corollary 3.9(2),

there exists an $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction $r : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$. This implies that $L(n)$ is also an $O(n)$ -AR. ■

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The map $F : L(n) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow L(n)$ defined by*

$$F(A, t) = (1 - t)A + t\mathbb{B}^n$$

is an $O(n)$ -strict contraction such that $F(A, 1) = \mathbb{B}^n$. In particular, for every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the orbit space $L(n)/K$ is contractible to its point \mathbb{B}^n .

Proof. It is evident that F satisfies the first assertion of the proposition. Letting $\tilde{F}(K(A), t) = K(F(A, t))$ we obtain a deformation of $L(n)/K$ to the point $\mathbb{B}^n \in L(n)/K$, thus proving that $L(n)/K$ is contractible. ■

By $\mathcal{P}(n)$ we will denote the subset of $L(n)$ consisting of all compact convex bodies $A \in L(n)$ such that $A \cap \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ has empty interior in $\partial\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Denote by $L_0(n)$ the complement $L(n) \setminus \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each convex body $X \in L_0(n)$, there exists a convex body $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ such that $d_H(X, A) < \varepsilon$ and the $O(n)$ -stabilizer $O(n)_A$ coincides with $O(n)_X$.*

Although the proof of Lemma 5.3 is similar to the one of Lemma 4.8, there is a significant difference, and for this reason we present a complete proof here.

Proof. Let $r : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ be the $O(n)$ -equivariant retraction used in the proof of Proposition 5.1 (cf. Corollary 3.9(2)). By Theorem 2.2, there is a $O(n)_X$ -slice S such that $X \in S$ and $[O(n)_C] \preceq [O(n)_X]$ whenever $C \in O(n)(S)$. Since $O(n)(S)$ is open, there exists $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$ such that $O(X, \eta) \subset O(n)(S)$. In particular, if $C \in O(X, \eta)$ then $[O(n)_C] \preceq [O(n)_X]$.

Since $L(n)$ is compact, there exists $0 < \delta < \eta/2$ such that $d_H(r(C), C) < \eta/2$ for every C in the δ -neighborhood of $L(n)$.

Let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \partial X$ be such that $P = \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\})$ has nonempty interior in \mathbb{R}^n and $d_H(P, X) < \delta$. Set

$$D = \text{conv}(O(n)_X(p_1) \cup \dots \cup O(n)_X(p_k)).$$

Since $P \subset D$, we see that D has nonempty interior, and hence $D \in cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $O(n)_X$ acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , Lemma 4.4 states that ∂D contains no $(n - 1)$ -elliptic domain. In particular, $D \cap \partial l(D)$ contains no elliptic domain (recall that here $l(D)$ denotes the minimal-volume ellipsoid containing D).

Let $A = r(D)$. Since $A \in L(n)$ and A lies in the $\text{Aff}(n)$ -orbit of D (see Corollary 3.9(1)), there exists an affine transformation g such that $A = gD$. The contact set $A \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is the image under g of $D \cap \partial l(D)$, and thus it has empty interior in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Hence, $A \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. The construction of P guarantees

that $P \subset D \subset X$, and therefore

$$d_H(D, X) \leq d_H(P, X) < \delta < \eta/2.$$

By the choice of δ one has $d_H(r(D), D) < \eta/2$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(A, X) &\leq d_H(A, D) + d_H(D, X) \\ &= d_H(r(D), D) + d_H(D, X) < \eta/2 + \eta/2 = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $d_H(A, X) < \eta < \varepsilon$, as required.

Furthermore, by the choice of η , $O(n)_A$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $O(n)_X$. It remains to prove that $O(n)_X = O(n)_A$. Since D is an $O(n)_X$ -invariant subset, one has $O(n)_X \subset O(n)_D$. Also, as r is an $O(n)$ -map, we have

$$O(n)_D \subset O(n)_{r(D)} = O(n)_A.$$

Thus, $O(n)_X \subset O(n)_A$, which implies, together with $[O(n)_A] \preceq [O(n)_X]$, that $O(n)_A = O(n)_X$, as required. ■

PROPOSITION 5.4. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $O(n)$ -map $f_\varepsilon : L_0(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$, ε -close to the identity map of $L_0(n)$.*

Proof. Repeat the proof of Proposition 4.10, replacing $M_0(n)$ by $L_0(n)$, until the construction of the family $\{X_\mu\}_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}}$. Next, use Lemma 5.3 to find, for every index μ , a compact set A_μ , $\varepsilon/4$ -close to X_μ , such that $O(n)_{A_\mu} = H_\mu$.

Now repeat the rest of the proof of Proposition 4.10, replacing $M_0(n)$ by $L_0(n)$, and $\mathcal{R}(n)$ by $\mathcal{P}(n)$. ■

PROPOSITION 5.5. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $O(n)$ -map $h_\varepsilon : L_0(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}(n)$, ε -close to the identity map of $L(n)$, such that $h_\varepsilon(A) \neq \mathbb{B}^n$ for every $A \in L(n)$.*

Proof. Repeat the proof of Proposition 4.11, replacing $M_0(n)$ by $L_0(n)$, and $M_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{R}(n)$ by $L_0(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}(n)$. ■

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let $K \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a K -equivariant map $\chi_\varepsilon : L(n) \rightarrow L_0(n)$, ε -close to the identity map of $L(n)$.*

Proof. The proof goes as the one of Proposition 4.6 if we replace $M(n)$ by $L(n)$, $M_0(n)$ by $L_0(n)$, $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the retraction r of (4.2) by the retraction $r : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L(n)$ given in Corollary 3.9(2). We omit the details. ■

In the same manner that Proposition 4.6 implies Corollary 4.7, we deduce from Proposition 5.6 the following corollary:

COROLLARY 5.7. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts non-transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} ,*

- (1) $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set in $L(n)^K$,
- (2) the class of $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ is a Z -set in $L(n)/K$.

PROPOSITION 5.8. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, $L_0(n)/K$ is a Q -manifold.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, $L(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR, hence a K -AR (see, e.g., [28]). Then Theorem 2.3 implies that $L(n)/K$ is an AR. Since $L_0(n)/K$ is open in $L(n)/K$ we conclude that $L_0(n)/K$ is a locally compact ANR.

According to Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem [27, Theorem 1], it is enough to check that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist continuous maps $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon, \tilde{h}_\varepsilon : L_0(n)/K \rightarrow L_0(n)/K$ ε -close to the identity map of $L_0(n)/K$ and with disjoint images.

Let f_ε and h_ε be the $O(n)$ -maps constructed in Propositions 5.4 and 5.5, respectively. They induce continuous maps $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon : L_0(n)/K \rightarrow L_0(n)/K$ and $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon : L_0(n)/K \rightarrow L_0(n)/K$. Since $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } f_\varepsilon)/K$, $\text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = (\text{Im } h_\varepsilon)/K$ and $\text{Im } f_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } h_\varepsilon = \emptyset$, we infer that $\text{Im } \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$. Since f_ε and h_ε are ε -close to the identity map of $L_0(n)$, using inequality (2.2), we conclude that \tilde{f}_ε and \tilde{h}_ε are ε -close to the identity map of $L_0(n)/K$, as required. ■

Now, Proposition 5.8, Corollary 5.7 and [27, §3] imply that $L(n)/K$ is a Q -manifold if $K \subset O(n)$ is a closed subgroup that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Since $L(n)/K$ is compact and contractible, we deduce from [21, Theorem 7.5.8] the following corollary:

COROLLARY 5.9. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the K -orbit space $L(n)/K$ is a Hilbert cube. In particular, $L(n)$ is a Hilbert cube.*

Repeating the same steps used in the proof of Corollary 4.14, we can infer from Corollary 5.7 and Propositions 5.4 and 5.5 the following result:

COROLLARY 5.10. *For any closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the K -fixed point set $L(n)^K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

Finally, similarly to the case of $M(n)$, we can infer from all previous results of this section that $L(n)$ is a Hilbert cube endowed with an $O(n)$ -action that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $L(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR with a unique $O(n)$ -fixed point, \mathbb{B}^n ,
- (2) $L(n)$ is strictly $O(n)$ -contractible to \mathbb{B}^n ,
- (3) for a closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the set $L(n)^K$ equals $\{\mathbb{B}^n\}$ if and only if K acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and $L(n)^K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube whenever $L(n)^K \neq \{\mathbb{B}^n\}$,
- (4) for any closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the K -orbit space $L_0(n)/K$ is a Q -manifold.

These properties in combination with [10, Theorem 3.3] yield

THEOREM 5.11. *The orbit space $L(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$.*

6. Orbit spaces of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In what follows we will denote by $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the complement

$$cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n) = cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus E(n).$$

In this section we shall prove the following main result:

THEOREM 6.1. *Let $K \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then:*

- (1) $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a Q -manifold.
- (2) $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a Q -manifold homeomorphic to $(E(n)/K) \times Q$.

By Corollary 3.9(2) we have an $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong_{O(n)} L(n) \times E(n).$$

Under this homeomorphism, $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ corresponds to $E(n) \times L_0(n)$, thus we have the $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$(6.1) \quad cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong_{O(n)} L(n) \times E(n).$$

We will consider the following $O(n)$ -invariant metric on the product $E(n) \times L(n)$:

$$D((A_1, E_1), (A_2, E_2)) = d_H(A_1, A_2) + d_H(E_1, E_2).$$

PROPOSITION 6.2. *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , there exists a K -equivariant map $\eta : cb(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is ε -close to the identity map of $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 5.6, there exists a K -map $\chi_\varepsilon : L(n) \rightarrow L_0(n)$ such that $d_H(A, \xi(A)) < \varepsilon$ for every $A \in L(n)$. Then the map

$$\eta = \chi_\varepsilon \times \text{Id} : L(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \times E(n)$$

is a K -map such that

$$D(\eta(A, E), (A, E)) = d_H(\xi(A), A) < \varepsilon. \blacksquare$$

The map η of Proposition 6.2 induces a map

$$\tilde{\eta} : \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K} \longrightarrow \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$$

which, by (2.2), is ε -close to the identity map of $\frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$. This yields the following corollary:

COROLLARY 6.3. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , $E(n)/K$ is a Z -set in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$. In particular, $E(n)$ is a Z -set in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

PROPOSITION 6.4. *Let $K \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup that acts non-transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and $\pi : L(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow E(n)$ be the second projection. Then the induced map $\tilde{\pi} : (L(n) \times E(n))/K \rightarrow E(n)/K$ is proper and has contractible fibers.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(n) \times E(n) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & E(n) \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_2 \\ \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & \frac{E(n)}{K} \end{array}$$

where p_1 and p_2 are the respective K -orbit maps.

Properness of $\tilde{\pi}$ easily follows from compactness of $L(n)$ and K . That the fibers of $\tilde{\pi}$ are contractible follows immediately from the fact that $L(n)$ is $O(n)$ -equivariantly contractible (see Proposition 5.2). ■

THEOREM 6.5 (R. D. Edwards). *Let M be a Q -manifold and Y a locally compact ANR. If there exists a CE-map $f : M \rightarrow Y$, then M is homeomorphic to $Y \times Q$.*

Proof. Since f is a CE-map, by a theorem of R. D. Edwards [14, Theorem 43.1] the product map

$$f \times \text{Id} : M \times Q \rightarrow Y \times Q$$

is a near homeomorphism. According to the Stability Theorem [14, Theorem 15.1], M is homeomorphic to $M \times Q$. Thus, we have the homeomorphisms

$$M \cong M \times Q \cong Y \times Q. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. (1) By (6.1), $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $O(n)$ -homeomorphic to $L_0(n) \times E(n)$. This implies that the orbit spaces $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ and $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ are homeomorphic. Hence, it is enough to prove that the latter is a Q -manifold.

Suppose that $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ is equipped with the metric D^* induced by D as defined in (2.1).

By Proposition 5.1, $L(n) \in O(n)$ -AR, and by Corollary 3.9(2), $E(n) \in O(n)$ -AR. Consequently, $L_0(n) \times E(n)$ is a locally compact $O(n)$ -ANR, which in turn implies that $L_0(n) \times E(n) \in K$ -AR (see, e.g., [28]). Then, by Theorem 2.3, $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ is a locally compact ANR.

Let f_ε and h_ε be the maps from Propositions 5.4 and 5.5, respectively. Consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_\varepsilon \times \text{Id} : L_0(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \times E(n), \\ h &= h_\varepsilon \times \text{Id} : L_0(n) \times E(n) \rightarrow L_0(n) \times E(n), \end{aligned}$$

where Id denotes the identity map of $E(n)$. Since f_ε and h_ε are $O(n)$ -maps with disjoint images, so are f and h . Hence they induce continuous maps

$$\tilde{f}, \tilde{h} : \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} \rightarrow \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$$

which make the following diagrams commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0(n) \times E(n) & \xrightarrow{f} & L_0(n) \times E(n) & & L_0(n) \times E(n) & \xrightarrow{h} & L_0(n) \times E(n) \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} & & \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K} \end{array}$$

Since, $d_{\text{H}}(f_\varepsilon(A), A) < \varepsilon$, we infer that

$$D(f(A, E), (A, E)) = D((f_\varepsilon(A), E), (A, E)) = d_{\text{H}}(f_\varepsilon(A), A) < \varepsilon$$

Similarly, we can prove that $D(h(A, E), (A, E)) < \varepsilon$. Thus, f and h are ε -close to the identity map of $L_0(n) \times E$. Next, using (2.2) we find that \tilde{f} and \tilde{h} are ε -close to the identity map of $\frac{L_0(n) \times E(n)}{K}$.

Finally, since $\text{Im } \tilde{f} = (\text{Im } f)/K$, $\text{Im } \tilde{h} = (\text{Im } h)/K$ and $\text{Im } f \cap \text{Im } h = \emptyset$, we infer that $\text{Im } \tilde{f} \cap \text{Im } \tilde{h} = \emptyset$. Consequently, by Toruńczyk's Characterization Theorem ([27, Theorem 1]), $\frac{L_0(n) \times E}{K}$ is a Q -manifold, as required.

(2) Since, by Corollary 3.9(2), $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L(n) \times E(n)$ are $O(n)$ -homeomorphic, so are the K -orbit spaces $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ and $\frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$. On the other hand, $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR ([8, Corollary 4.8]), and hence a K -AR (see, e.g., [28]). Then Theorem 2.3 shows that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \cong \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ is an AR. By the previous case (1), $cb_0(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a Q -manifold while its complement in $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a Z -set (see Corollary 6.3). Now a result of Toruńczyk [27, §3] implies that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a Q -manifold too.

Furthermore, by Corollary 3.10, $E(n)$ is an $O(n)$ -AR, and hence a K -AR (see, e.g., [28]). Then, according to Theorem 2.3, $E(n)/K$ is an AR.

Since, by Proposition 6.4, the map

$$\tilde{\pi} : \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K} \rightarrow E(n)/K$$

is proper and has contractible fibers, it is a CE-map (see [14, Ch. XIII]) between AR's. Since $\frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \cong \frac{L(n) \times E(n)}{K}$ is a Q -manifold, Edwards' Theorem 6.5 shows that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to $(E(n)/K) \times Q$, as required. ■

7. Orbit spaces of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this section we shall prove the following two main results:

THEOREM 7.1. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the orbit space $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube.*

THEOREM 7.2. *The orbit space $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the open cone over $BM(n)$.*

The proofs require some preparation.

LEMMA 7.3. *The map ν defined in (4.1) is proper and has contractible fibers.*

Proof. Clearly, ν is onto. Take a compact subset $C \subset [0, \infty)$. Let b be the supremum of C and denote by N_b the closed ball of radius b centered at the origin of \mathbb{R}^n . Clearly, $\nu^{-1}(C)$ is a closed subset of $cc(N_b)$. According to [22, Theorem 2.2], $cc(N_b)$ is compact, and thus $\nu^{-1}(C)$ is also compact. This shows that ν is a proper map.

We show that for every $t \in [0, \infty)$ the inverse image $\nu^{-1}(t)$ is contractible. Consider the homotopy $H : \nu^{-1}(t) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu^{-1}(t)$ defined by

$$(7.1) \quad H(A, s) = sN_t + (1 - s)A, \quad A \in \nu^{-1}(t), s \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to see that $H(A, s) \in \nu^{-1}(t)$, and hence H defines a (strict) homotopy of $\nu^{-1}(t)$ to its point $N_t \in \nu^{-1}(t)$. Thus, $\nu^{-1}(t)$ is contractible, as required. ■

Since ν is $O(n)$ -invariant, it induces, for every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, a continuous map

$$\tilde{\nu} : cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

given by

$$\tilde{\nu}(K(A)) = \nu(A), \quad K(A) \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K.$$

PROPOSITION 7.4. *$\tilde{\nu}$ is proper and has contractible fibers.*

Proof. Clearly, $\tilde{\nu}$ is an onto map. Let $p : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ be the K -orbit map. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} cc(\mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & [0, \infty) \\ p \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\nu} & \\ \frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} & & \end{array}$$

If $C \subset [0, \infty)$ is a compact set, then

$$\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(C) = \{K(A) \mid \nu(A) \in C\} = p(\nu^{-1}(C)),$$

which is compact because ν is proper and p is continuous. Thus $\tilde{\nu}$ is a proper map.

To finish the proof, let us show that $\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t)$ is contractible for every $t \in [0, \infty)$. Consider the homotopy H defined in (7.1). Observe that H is equivariant. Indeed, for every $g \in O(n)$ one has

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H(gA, s) &= sN_t + (1-s)gA = sgN_t + (1-s)gA \\ &= g(sN_t + (1-s)A) = gH(A, s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, H induces a homotopy $\tilde{H} : \tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t)$ defined as follows:

$$\tilde{H}(K(A), s) = K(H(A, s)).$$

Clearly, \tilde{H} is a contraction to the point $K(N_t)$, which proves that $\tilde{\nu}^{-1}(t)$ is contractible, as required. ■

PROPOSITION 7.5. *The complement*

$$\frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \setminus \frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K}$$

is a Z -set in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$.

Proof. For every positive ε , the map $\zeta_\varepsilon : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\zeta_\varepsilon(A) = A_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, A) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

is an $O(n)$ -equivariant map which is ε -close to the identity map of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence, for every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ it induces a continuous map

$$\tilde{\zeta}_\varepsilon : cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \rightarrow cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K.$$

Since the Hausdorff metric d_H is $O(n)$ -invariant, it induces a metric in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ as in (2.1). Then, by (2.2), the map $\tilde{\zeta}_\varepsilon$ is ε -close to the identity map of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$. This proves that

$$\frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} = \frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \setminus \frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K}$$

is a Z -set in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$. ■

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Since by Theorem 6.1, $cb(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is a Q -manifold and the complement $\frac{cc(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K} \setminus \frac{cb(\mathbb{R}^n)}{K}$ is a Z -set, it follows from [27, §3] that $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is also a Q -manifold.

Next, since by Proposition 7.4, the map $\tilde{\nu} : cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is proper and has contractible fibers, it is a CE-map (see [14, Ch. XIII]). Then we can use Edwards' Theorem 6.5 to conclude that $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to $[0, \infty) \times Q$. As shown in the proof of [14, Theorem 12.2], the product $[0, \infty) \times Q$ is homeomorphic to the punctured Hilbert cube, which completes the proof. ■

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 7.2.

The open cone over a topological space X is defined to be the quotient space

$$OC(X) = X \times [0, \infty)/X \times \{0\}.$$

We will denote by $[A, t]$ the equivalence class of the pair $(A, t) \in X \times [0, \infty)$ in this quotient space. It is evident that $[A, t] = [A', t']$ iff $t = 0 = t'$ or $A = A'$ and $t = t'$. For convenience, the class $[A, 0]$ will be denoted by θ .

Denote the open cone over $M(n)$ by $\widetilde{M}(n)$. The orthogonal group $O(n)$ acts continuously on $\widetilde{M}(n)$ by the rule

$$g * [A, t] = [gA, t].$$

PROPOSITION 7.6. *The hyperspace $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $O(n)$ -homeomorphic to $\widetilde{M}(n)$.*

Proof. Define $\Phi : cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}(n)$ by

$$\Phi(A) = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{if } A = \{0\}, \\ [r(A), \nu(A)] & \text{if } A \neq \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where ν and r are the maps defined in (4.1) and (4.2), respectively.

Since r is $O(n)$ -equivariant and ν is $O(n)$ -invariant, we infer that Φ is $O(n)$ -equivariant.

Clearly, Φ is a bijection with $\Phi^{-1} : \widetilde{M}(n) \rightarrow cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\Phi^{-1}([A, t]) = tA.$$

Continuity of $\Phi|_{cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}}$ and $\Phi^{-1}|_{\widetilde{M}(n) \setminus \{\theta\}}$ is evident. Let us prove simultaneously the continuity of Φ at $\{0\}$ and the continuity of Φ^{-1} at θ .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let O_ε be the open ε -ball in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ centered at $\{0\}$. Denote $U_\varepsilon = \{[A, t] \in \widetilde{M}(n) \mid t < \varepsilon\}$. Since U_ε is an open neighborhood of θ in $\widetilde{M}(n)$, it is enough to prove that $\Phi(O_\varepsilon) = U_\varepsilon$.

If $B \in O_\varepsilon$ then $B \subset N(\{0\}, \varepsilon)$, and hence $\nu(B) < \varepsilon$. This proves that $\Phi(B) = [r(B), \nu(B)] \in U_\varepsilon$, implying that

$$(7.3) \quad \Phi(O_\varepsilon) \subset U_\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, if $[A, t] \in U_\varepsilon$ then $t < \varepsilon$, implying that $tA \subset N(\{0\}, \varepsilon)$. This shows that for every $a \in A$, $d(ta, 0) < \varepsilon$. In particular, $0 \in N(tA, \varepsilon)$, and hence $d_H(\{0\}, tA) < \varepsilon$. Thus, $\Phi^{-1}(U_\varepsilon) \subset O_\varepsilon$ and

$$(7.4) \quad U_\varepsilon = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(U_\varepsilon)) \subset \Phi(O_\varepsilon).$$

Combining (7.3) and (7.4) we get $\Phi(O(\{0\}, \varepsilon)) = U_\varepsilon$. ■

Since Φ is an $O(n)$ -homeomorphism, it induces a homeomorphism between $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ and $\widetilde{M}(n)/O(n)$. Thus, we have

COROLLARY 7.7. *The orbit spaces $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ and $\widetilde{M}(n)/O(n)$ are homeomorphic.*

LEMMA 7.8. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the orbit space $\widetilde{M}(n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the open cone over $M(n)/K$.*

Proof. The map $\Psi : \widetilde{M}(n)/K \rightarrow \text{OC}(M(n)/K)$ defined by

$$\Psi(K[A, t]) = [K(A), t]$$

is a homeomorphism. ■

Proof of Theorem 7.2. According to Corollary 7.7 and Lemma 7.8, the orbit space $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the open cone $\text{OC}(M(n)/O(n))$. By Corollary 4.16, $M(n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$, and hence $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to $\text{OC}(\text{BM}(n))$, as required. ■

7.1. Conic structure of $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and related spaces. It is easy to see that \mathbb{R}^n is $O(n)$ -homeomorphic to the open cone over \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . This conic structure induces a conic structure in $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as shown in Proposition 7.6.

Furthermore, the $O(n)$ -homeomorphism between $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widetilde{M}(n)$, in combination with Lemma 7.8, yields the following:

THEOREM 7.9. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the K -orbit space $cc(\mathbb{R}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the open cone $\text{OC}(M(n)/K)$.*

On the other hand, if we restrict the $O(n)$ -homeomorphism from Proposition 7.6 to $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$, we get an $O(n)$ -homeomorphism between $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and the cone over $M(n)$.

As in Lemma 7.8, we can prove that the K -orbit space of the cone over $M(n)$ is homeomorphic to the cone over $M(n)/K$ for every closed subgroup K of $O(n)$. This implies the following result:

PROPOSITION 7.10. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$, the K -orbit space $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the cone over $M(n)/K$.*

COROLLARY 7.11. *For every closed subgroup $K \subset O(n)$ that acts non-transitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the K -orbit space $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.10, $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the cone over $M(n)/K$. Since K acts nontransitively on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , we infer from Corollary 4.13 that $M(n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. Thus, $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/K$ is homeomorphic to the cone over Q , which according to [14, Theorem 12.2] is homeomorphic to Q itself. ■

On the other hand, Theorem 4.16 and Proposition 7.10 imply our final result:

COROLLARY 7.12. *The orbit space $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/O(n)$ is homeomorphic to the cone over the Banach–Mazur compactum $\text{BM}(n)$.*

It is well known that $\text{BM}(n)$ is an absolute retract for all $n \geq 2$ (see [5]) and the only compact absolute retract that is homeomorphic to its own cone is the Hilbert cube (see, e.g., [21, Theorem 8.3.2]). Therefore, it follows

from Corollary 7.12 and Theorem 4.16 that Pełczyński's question of whether $BM(n)$ is homeomorphic to Q is equivalent to the following one:

QUESTION 7.13. *Are $cc(\mathbb{B}^n)/O(n)$ and $M(n)/O(n)$ homeomorphic?*

In conclusion we would like to formulate two more questions suggested by the referee of this paper.

QUESTION 7.14. *What is the topological type of the pair $(cc(\mathbb{R}^n), cb(\mathbb{R}^n))$?*

For any $0 \leq k \leq n$, define

$$cc_{\geq k}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{A \in cc(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \dim A \geq k\}$$

and observe that $cb(\mathbb{R}^n) = cc_{\geq n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $cc(\mathbb{R}^n) = cc_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

QUESTION 7.15. *What is the topological structure of the spaces $cc_{\geq k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and of the complements $cc_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = cc_{\geq k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus cc_{\geq k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 \leq k < n$?*

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and for drawing their attention to Questions 7.14 and 7.15.

The authors were supported by CONACYT grants 165195 and 207212, respectively.

References

- [1] H. Abels, *Parallelizability of proper actions, global K -slices and maximal compact subgroups*, Math. Anal. 212 (1974), 1–19.
- [2] J. L. Alperin and R. B. Bell, *Groups and Representations*, Grad. Texts in Math. 162, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [3] S. A. Antonyan, *Retracts in the categories of G -spaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR. Ser. Mat. 15 (1980), 365–378 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet J. Contemp. Math. Anal. 15 (1980), 30–43.
- [4] S. A. Antonyan, *Retraction properties of an orbit space*, Mat. Sb. 137 (1988), 300–318 (in Russian); English transl.: Math. USSR-Sb. 65 (1990), 305–321.
- [5] S. A. Antonyan, *The Banach–Mazur compacta are absolute retracts*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 46 (1998), 113–119.
- [6] S. A. Antonyan, *The topology of the Banach–Mazur compactum*, Fund. Math. 166 (2000), 209–232.
- [7] S. A. Antonyan, *West's problem on equivariant hyperspaces and Banach–Mazur compacta*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 3379–3404.
- [8] S. A. Antonyan, *Extending equivariant maps into spaces with convex structures*, Topology Appl. 153 (2005), 261–275.
- [9] S. A. Antonyan, *A characterization of equivariant absolute extensors and the equivariant Dugundji theorem*, Houston J. Math. 31 (2005), 451–462.
- [10] S. A. Antonyan, *New topological models for Banach–Mazur compacta*, J. Math. Sci. 146 (2007), 5465–5473.
- [11] S. Banach, *Théorie des Opérations Linéaires*, Monografie Mat., Warszawa, 1932.
- [12] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

- [13] O. Bretscher, *Linear Algebra with Applications*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1997.
- [14] T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.
- [15] L. Danzer, D. Laugwitz und H. Lenz, *Über das Löwnersche Ellipsoid und sein Analogon unter den einem Eikörper einbeschriebenen Ellipsoiden*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 8 (1957), 214–219.
- [16] G. Hochschild, *The Structure of Lie Groups*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965.
- [17] F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, in: F. John, *Collected Papers*, Vol. 2, J. Moser (ed.), Birkhäuser, 1985, 543–560.
- [18] I. Kaplansky, *Linear Algebra and Geometry. A second course*, Dover Publ., New York, 1974.
- [19] J. Lindenstrauss and V. D. Millman, *The local theory of normed spaces and its applications to convexity*, in: *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, P. M. Gruber and J. M. Wills (eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993, 1149–1220.
- [20] A. M. Macbeath, *A compactness theorem for affine equivalence-classes of convex regions*, Canad. J. Math. 3 (1951), 54–61.
- [21] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland Math. Library 43, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [22] S. B. Nadler, Jr., J. E. Quinn and N. M. Stavrakas, *Hyperspaces of compact convex sets*, Pacific J. Math. 83 (1979), 441–462.
- [23] R. Palais, *The classification of G -spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1960).
- [24] R. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. 73 (1961), 295–323.
- [25] K. Sakai and Z. Yang, *The space of closed convex sets in Euclidean spaces with the Fell topology*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. 55 (2007), 139–143.
- [26] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [27] H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q -manifolds*, Fund. Math. 106 (1980), 31–40.
- [28] J. de Vries, *Topics in the theory of topological groups*, in: *Topological Structures II*, Math. Centre Tracts 116, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979, 291–304.
- [29] R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [30] J. E. West, *Open problems in infinite-dimensional topology*, in: *Open Problems in Topology*, J. van Mill and G. Reed (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, 524–586.

Sergey A. Antonyan, Natalia Jonard-Pérez
 Departamento de Matemáticas
 Facultad de Ciencias
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
 04510 México Distrito Federal, México
 E-mail: antonyan@unam.mx
 nat@ciencias.unam.mx

*Received 7 April 2012;
 in revised form 17 October 2012, 22 May 2013 and 25 September 2013*