

## A spectral mapping theorem for Banach modules

by

H. SEFEROĞLU (Turkey)

**Abstract.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group,  $M(G)$  the convolution measure algebra, and  $X$  a Banach  $M(G)$ -module under the module multiplication  $\mu \circ x$ ,  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,  $x \in X$ . We show that if  $X$  is an essential  $L^1(G)$ -module, then  $\sigma(T_\mu) = \widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(X))$  for each measure  $\mu$  in  $\text{reg}(M(G))$ , where  $T_\mu$  denotes the operator in  $B(X)$  defined by  $T_\mu x = \mu \circ x$ ,  $\sigma(\cdot)$  the usual spectrum in  $B(X)$ ,  $\text{sp}(X)$  the hull in  $L^1(G)$  of the ideal  $I_X = \{f \in L^1(G) \mid T_f = 0\}$ ,  $\widehat{\mu}$  the Fourier–Stieltjes transform of  $\mu$ , and  $\text{reg}(M(G))$  the largest closed regular subalgebra of  $M(G)$ ;  $\text{reg}(M(G))$  contains all the absolutely continuous measures and discrete measures.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group,  $\widehat{G}$  its dual group,  $L^1(G)$  the group algebra of  $G$ , and  $M(G)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded regular complex Borel measures on  $G$ . It is well known that  $M(G)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with the identity  $\delta_0$ , where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac measure concentrated in zero. It follows from Albrecht's theorem [1] that there exists a largest closed regular subalgebra of  $M(G)$ . As in [11] we denote this algebra by  $\text{reg}(M(G))$ . Since the group algebra  $L^1(G)$  and the discrete measure algebra  $M_d(G)$  are regular Banach subalgebras of  $M(G)$ , we have  $L^1(G) + M_d(G) \subset \text{reg}(M(G))$ . But in general,  $L^1(G) + M_d(G) \neq \text{reg}(M(G))$  (see [11]). Furthermore,  $\widehat{G}$  can be considered as a subset of the structure space of  $\text{reg}(M(G))$ , and the restriction of the Gelfand transform of  $\mu \in \text{reg}(M(G))$  to  $\widehat{G}$  coincides with the Fourier–Stieltjes transform  $\widehat{\mu}$  of  $\mu$ . Note also that  $\text{reg}(M(G))$  is a semisimple algebra with the identity  $\delta_0$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $B(X)$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$ , and  $1_X$  the unit element of  $B(X)$ . For any  $T \in B(X)$  we denote by  $\sigma(T)$  the spectrum of  $T$ . For any (continuous) representation  $U$  of  $G$  by isometries on  $X$  and for any  $\mu \in M(G)$  the generalized convolution operator  $\pi(\mu) \in B(X)$  is defined by

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46H15; Secondary 47A10.

*Key words and phrases*: Banach modules, Banach algebras, spectrum, Fourier–Stieltjes transform.

$$\pi(\mu) = \int_G U(g) d\mu(g).$$

The *Arveson spectrum*  $\text{sp}(U)$  of  $U$  (see [2]) is defined as the hull in  $L^1(G)$  of the closed ideal  $I_U = \{f \in L^1(G) \mid \pi(f) = 0\}$ . In this setting, A. Connes [4] proved that for every Dirac measure  $\mu$  the spectral mapping theorem

$$\sigma(\pi(\mu)) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(U))}$$

holds. C.D'Antoni, R. Longo and L. Zsidó [5] proved the spectral mapping theorem for every  $\mu \in L^1(G) + M_d(G)$ . Also, S.-E. Takahasi and J. Inoue [11] proved the spectral mapping theorem for any  $\mu \in \text{reg}(M(G))$  in the case that  $G$  is compact.

Since  $\text{reg}(M(G)) \supsetneq L^1(G) + M_d(G)$ , the Takahasi–Inoue theorem contains the D'Antoni–Longo–Zsidó spectral mapping theorem for the compact case. However, the spectral mapping theorem is not true for every  $\mu \in M(G)$  ([5, Remark 1]).

Now, let  $X$  be a Banach  $M(G)$ -module under the module multiplication  $\mu \circ x$ ,  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,  $x \in X$ . Throughout this note we will assume that  $X$  is an *essential*  $L^1(G)$ -module, that is, the linear manifold spanned by  $\{f \circ x \mid f \in L^1(G), x \in X\}$  is dense in  $X$ . This is equivalent to the following ([8, Proposition 3.4]): If  $(e_\alpha)$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$ , then  $e_\alpha \circ x \rightarrow x$  for every  $x \in X$ .

For any  $\mu \in M(G)$ , define  $T_\mu \in B(X)$  by  $T_\mu x = \mu \circ x$  ( $x \in X$ ). We define the *spectrum*  $\text{sp}(X)$  of  $X$  as the hull in  $L^1(G)$  of the ideal  $I_X = \{f \in L^1(G) \mid T_f = 0\}$ . More precisely,

$$\text{sp}(X) = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} \mid T_f = 0 \Rightarrow \widehat{f}(\chi) = 0, f \in L^1(G)\},$$

where  $\widehat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f \in L^1(G)$ . It is easily seen that  $\text{sp}(X)$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $\widehat{G}$  whenever  $X \neq \{0\}$ .

**2. Main result.** With the above notations, our main theorem can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $X$  is a Banach  $M(G)$ -module and an essential  $L^1(G)$ -module, then*

$$\sigma(T_\mu) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(X))} \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \text{reg}(M(G)).$$

Note that the generalized convolution operators  $\pi(\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in M(G)$ , define the  $M(G)$ -module multiplication on  $X$  given by  $\mu \circ x = \pi(\mu)x$ . It is also evident that if  $(e_\alpha)$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$ , then  $\pi(e_\alpha)x \rightarrow x$  ( $x \in X$ ). Hence  $X$  is an essential  $L^1(G)$ -module under the module multiplication defined above. Thus, the above theorem contains the preceding spectral mapping theorems ([4], [5], [11]).

For the proof of the theorem we need some preliminary results.

Let  $A$  be a (complex) commutative, regular and semisimple Banach algebra,  $\Delta(A)$  the structure space of  $A$ , and  $\widehat{a}$  the Gelfand transform of  $a \in A$ . It is well known that for a closed subset  $S$  of  $\Delta(A)$ ,  $I(S) = \{a \in A \mid \widehat{a}(\varphi) = 0, \varphi \in S\}$  is the largest and  $J(S) = \text{cl}\{a \in A \mid \text{supp } \widehat{a} \text{ is compact and } \text{supp } \widehat{a} \cap S = \emptyset\}$  the smallest closed ideal of  $A$  whose hull is  $S$ . For brevity, the structure space of  $\text{reg}(M(G))$  will be denoted by  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$ . The hull in  $\text{reg}(M(G))$  of the ideal  $K = \{\mu \in \text{reg}(M(G)) \mid T_\mu = 0\}$  will be denoted by  $h(K)$ . Also the symbol  $\mu^v$  will be used to denote the Gelfand transform of any  $\mu \in \text{reg}(M(G))$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then, under the above notations,*

$$\sigma(T_\mu) = \mu^v(h(K)) \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \text{reg}(M(G)).$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $A$  the (operator-norm) closure of  $\{T_\mu \mid \mu \in \text{reg}(M(G))\}$ . Since  $X$  is an essential  $L^1(G)$ -module, from the equality  $T_{\delta_0}T_f = T_f$  we get  $T_{\delta_0} = 1_X$ . Thus,  $A$  is a commutative unital subalgebra of  $B(X)$ . Consider the mapping  $\theta : \Delta(A) \rightarrow h(K)$  defined by  $\theta(\varphi)(\mu) = \widehat{T}_\mu(\varphi)$ . First we show that  $\theta$  is onto (since  $\theta$  is one-to-one, this means that  $\theta$  is a homeomorphism). Suppose on the contrary that there exists  $\varphi_0 \in h(K)$  but  $\varphi_0 \notin \theta(\Delta(A))$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint neighborhoods of  $\varphi_0$  and  $\theta(\Delta(A))$  respectively. By regularity of  $\text{reg}(M(G))$ , there exist elements  $\mu, \lambda \in \text{reg}(M(G))$  such that  $\mu^v(\varphi_0) = 1$ ,  $\mu^v(\Delta_{\text{reg}} \setminus U) = 0$ ,  $\lambda^v(\theta(\Delta(A))) = 1$  and  $\lambda^v(\Delta_{\text{reg}} \setminus V) = 0$ . It can be seen that  $\mu^v \cdot \lambda^v = 0$  on  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$ . This clearly implies that  $\mu_*\lambda = 0$  and so  $T_\mu T_\lambda = 0$ . Since  $\widehat{T}_\lambda(\Delta(A)) = \lambda^v(\theta(\Delta(A))) = 1$ ,  $T_\lambda$  is invertible in  $A$  and hence  $T_\mu = 0$ . Also, since  $\varphi_0 \in h(K)$  we have  $\mu^v(\varphi_0) = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\mu^v(\varphi_0) = 1$ . Thus  $\theta(\Delta(A)) = h(K)$ , from which it follows that

$$\sigma_A(T_\mu) = \mu^v(h(K)) \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \text{reg}(M(G)).$$

It remains to show that  $A$  is a full subalgebra of  $B(X)$ . Let  $a \in A$  be such that  $a \in B(X)^{-1}$  and let  $\widetilde{A}$  be the smallest closed subalgebra of  $B(X)$  that contains  $a^{-1}$  and  $A$ . It is easily seen that  $\widetilde{A}$  is commutative and  $A$  is a regular subalgebra of  $\widetilde{A}$ . By the Shilov theorem ([7, p. 249]) any  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  can be extended to some  $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \Delta(\widetilde{A})$ . Hence since  $a \in \widetilde{A}^{-1}$  we have  $\varphi(a) = \widetilde{\varphi}(a) \neq 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  and so  $a \in A^{-1}$ . ■

Let  $\overline{\text{sp}(X)}$  denote the closure of  $\text{sp}(X)$  in the usual topology of  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$ . Recall that  $I(\overline{\text{sp}(X)})$  is the largest and  $J(\overline{\text{sp}(X)})$  the smallest closed ideal of  $\text{reg}(M(G))$  whose hull is  $\overline{\text{sp}(X)}$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1,*

$$h(K) = \overline{\text{sp}(X)}.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that

$$J(\overline{\text{sp}(X)}) \subset K \subset I(\overline{\text{sp}(X)}).$$

Let  $\mu \in K$ . Then  $T_\mu = 0$ , which implies that  $T_{\mu_* f} = T_\mu T_f = 0$  for all  $f \in L^1(G)$ . However since  $\mu_* f \in L^1(G)$ , we have  $\widehat{\mu_* f} = \widehat{\mu} \cdot \widehat{f} = 0$  on  $\text{sp}(X)$  for all  $f \in L^1(G)$ , which can clearly be valid only if  $\widehat{\mu} = 0$  on  $\text{sp}(X)$ . It follows that  $\mu^v = 0$  on  $\text{sp}(X)$  and consequently  $\mu \in I(\overline{\text{sp}(X)})$ . Thus we have  $K \subset I(\overline{\text{sp}(X)})$ .

To prove  $J(\overline{\text{sp}(X)}) \subset K$ , let  $W$  be an open set in  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$  that contains  $\overline{\text{sp}(X)}$ . Assume that  $\mu^v$  vanishes on  $W$  for some  $\mu \in \text{reg}(M(G))$ . We have to show that  $T_\mu = 0$ . First we observe that the usual topology of  $\widehat{G}$  is a base for the relative topology induced in  $\widehat{G}$  by  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$ . For this fix  $\chi_0 \in \widehat{G}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\} \subset \text{reg}(M(G))$ . Since  $\text{reg}(M(G)) \supset L^1(G)$  it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \{\chi \in \widehat{G} \mid \sup_{g \in K} |\chi(g) - \chi_0(g)| < \delta\} \\ &\subset \{\chi \in \widehat{G} \mid |\widehat{\mu}_i(\chi) - \widehat{\mu}_i(\chi_0)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, \dots, n\} \end{aligned}$$

for some compact  $K \subset G$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Choose a compact set  $K$  in  $G$  so that  $|\mu_i|(G \setminus K) < \varepsilon/4$  and  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/(2 \max_i \|\mu_i\|)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $\chi \in U$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\mu}_i(\chi) - \widehat{\mu}_i(\chi_0)| &\leq \int_K |\chi(g) - \chi_0(g)| d|\mu_i| + \int_{G-K} |\chi(g) - \chi_0(g)| d|\mu_i| \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in K} |\chi(g) - \chi_0(g)| (\max_i \|\mu_i\|) + 2|\mu_i|(G \setminus K) < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $W \cap \widehat{G}$  is an open set in  $\widehat{G}$  (in the usual topology of  $\widehat{G}$ ) that contains  $\text{sp}(X)$  ( $= \overline{\text{sp}(X)} \cap \widehat{G}$ ). On the other hand since  $\mu^v = 0$  on  $W$ , we see that  $\widehat{\mu}$  vanishes on  $W \cap \widehat{G}$ . Now, let  $(e_\alpha)$  be an approximate identity for  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\text{supp } \widehat{e}_\alpha$  is compact. Notice that  $\mu_* e_\alpha$  belongs to the smallest ideal of  $L^1(G)$  whose hull is  $\text{sp}(X)$ . From this we deduce that  $0 = T_{\mu_* e_\alpha} = T_\mu T_{e_\alpha}$ . Since  $T_{e_\alpha} x \rightarrow x$  for all  $x \in X$ , we conclude that  $T_\mu = 0$ . ■

Now, we can prove the main result of this note.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $\mu \in \text{reg}(M(G))$ . Then by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\sigma(T_\mu) = \mu^v(h(K))$ . On the other hand by Lemma 2.3, since  $h(K) = \text{sp}(X)$  we get  $\sigma(T_\mu) = \mu^v(\overline{\text{sp}(X)})$ . Further, from the continuity of  $\mu^v$  on  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$  we deduce that

$$\mu^v(\overline{\text{sp}(X)}) \subset \overline{\mu^v(\text{sp}(X))} = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(X))}.$$

Also since  $\overline{\text{sp}(X)}$  is a compact subset of  $\Delta_{\text{reg}}$ , it follows that  $\mu^v(\overline{\text{sp}(X)})$  is closed and consequently

$$\mu^v(\overline{\text{sp}(X)}) \supset \overline{\mu^v(\text{sp}(X))} = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(X))}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\sigma(T_\mu) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(X))}.$$

The proof is complete. ■

Let  $Y$  be a Banach  $M(G)$ -submodule of  $X$ . Define  $\text{sp}(Y)$  as the hull in  $L^1(G)$  of the ideal  $I_Y = \{f \in L^1(G) \mid T_f y = 0, y \in Y\}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. If  $Y$  is a Banach  $M(G)$ -submodule of  $X$ , then*

$$\sigma(T_\mu|Y) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(\text{sp}(Y))} \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \text{reg}(M(G)).$$

### References

- [1] E. Albrecht, *Decomposable Systems of Operators in Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1982, 19–35.
- [2] W. Arveson, *On groups of automorphisms of operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 15 (1974), 217–243.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Théories spectrales*, Hermann, 1967.
- [4] A. Connes, *Une classification des facteurs de type III*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 6 (1973), 133–152.
- [5] C. D’Antoni, R. Longo and L. Zsidó, *A spectral mapping theorem for locally compact groups of operators*, Pacific J. Math. 103 (1982), 17–24.
- [6] J. Eschmeier, *Operator decomposability and weakly continuous representations of locally compact abelian groups*, J. Operator Theory 7 (1982), 201–208.
- [7] R. Larsen, *Banach Algebras*, Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [8] M. A. Rieffel, *Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 1 (1967), 443–491.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [10] H. Seferoğlu, *Spectral mapping theorem for representations of measure algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 40 (1997), 261–266.
- [11] S.-E. Takahasi and J. Inoue, *A spectral mapping theorem for some representations of compact abelian groups*, *ibid.* 35 (1992), 47–52.
- [12] W. Żelazko, *Banach Algebras*, PWN and Elsevier, Warszawa, 1973.

Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Art and Science  
 Yüzüncü Yil University  
 65080 Van, Turkey  
 E-mail: seferoglu2003@yahoo.com

*Received June 29, 1998*  
*Revised version December 5, 2002*

(4134)