A remark on separate holomorphy

by

MAREK JARNICKI (Kraków) and PETER PFLUG (Oldenburg)

Abstract. Let X be a Riemann domain over $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$. If X is a domain of holomorphy with respect to a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$, then there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ such that every slice X_a of X with $a \notin P$ is a region of holomorphy with respect to the family $\{f|_{X_a} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

1. Introduction: Riemann regions of holomorphy. Let (X, p) be a Riemann region over \mathbb{C}^n , i.e. X is an n-dimensional complex manifold and $p: X \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping (see [Jar-Pfl 2000] for details). If X is connected, then (X, p) is said to be a Riemann domain. We say that two Riemann regions (X, p) and (Y, q) over \mathbb{C}^n are isomorphic (written $(X, p) \simeq (Y, q)$) if there exists a biholomorphic mapping $\varphi: X \to Y$ such that $q \circ \varphi = p$. Throughout, isomorphic Riemann regions will be identified.

We say that an open set $U \subset X$ is univalent (schlicht) if $p|_U$ is injective. Note that X is univalent iff $(X, p) \simeq (\Omega, \mathrm{id}_\Omega)$, where Ω is an open set in \mathbb{C}^n .

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ (\mathbb{Z}_+ stands for the set of non-negative integers) and $x_0 \in X$, let $D^{\alpha}f(x_0)$ denote the α -partial derivative of f at x_0 ,

$$D^{\alpha}f(x_0) := D^{\alpha}(f \circ (p|_U)^{-1})(p(x_0)),$$

where U is an open univalent neighborhood of x_0 and D^{α} on the right hand side means the standard α -partial derivative operator in \mathbb{C}^n . Let $T_{x_0}f$ denote the Taylor series of f at x_0 , i.e. the formal power series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha} f(x_0) (z - p(x_0))^{\alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

For $x_0 \in X$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$ let $\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)$ denote an open univalent neighborhood of x_0 such that $p(\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)) = \mathbb{P}(p(x_0), r)$ = the polydisc

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 32D05, 32D25.

Key words and phrases: region of holomorphy, pluripolar set.

The research was supported by DFG grant no. 227/8-1,2 and Ministry of Scientific Research and Information Technology grant no. 1 PO3A 005 28.

with center at $p(x_0)$ and radius r. Let $d_X(x_0)$ denote the maximal r such that $\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)$ exists. Put $\mathbb{P}_X(x_0) := \mathbb{P}_X(x_0, d_X(x_0))$.

For $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $x_0 \in X$, let $d(T_{x_0}f)$ denote the radius of convergence of $T_{x_0}f$, i.e.

 $d(T_{x_0}f) := \sup\{r > 0 : \text{the series } T_{x_0}f \text{ is convergent in } \mathbb{P}(p(x_0), r)\}.$

Obviously, $d(T_{x_0}f) \ge d_X(x_0)$ and $f(x) = T_{x_0}f(p(x)), x \in \mathbb{P}_X(x_0)$. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{d(T_{x_0}f)} = \limsup_{\nu \to \infty} \left(\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ : |\alpha| = \nu} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left| D^{\alpha} f(x_0) \right| \right)^{1/\nu}$$

From now on we assume that all Riemann regions considered are countable at infinity.

Let
$$\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$$
. We say that (X, p) is an \mathcal{F} -region of existence if

$$d_X(x) = \inf\{d(T_x f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad x \in X.$$

We say that an \mathcal{F} -region of existence (X, p) is an \mathcal{F} -region of holomorphy if \mathcal{F} weakly separates points in X, i.e. for any $x', x'' \in X$ with $x' \neq x''$ and p(x') = p(x''), there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f \neq T_{x''}f$ (as formal power series).

REMARK 1.1 (Properties of regions of holomorphy). (a) Let (X, p) be an \mathcal{F} -region of holomorphy and let $U \subset X$ be a univalent domain for which there exists a domain $V \supset p(U)$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a function $F_f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ such that $F_f = f \circ (p|_U)^{-1}$ on p(U). Then there exists a univalent domain $W \supset U$ with p(W) = V.

Indeed, we only need to observe that we may always assume that (X, p) is realized as an open subset of the sheaf of \mathcal{F} -germs of holomorphic functions (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000, proof of Theorem 1.8.4]) and, consequently, we may put

$$W := \{ [(D, (F_f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}})]_{\gtrsim} : z \in V \}$$

(cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000, Example 1.6.6]).

(b) ([Jar-Pfl 2000, Proposition 1.8.10]) Let $A \subset X$ be a dense subset such that $A = p^{-1}(p(A))$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (X, p) is an \mathcal{F} -region of holomorphy;
- (ii) $d_X(x) = \inf\{d(T_x f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}, x \in A, \text{ and for any } x', x'' \in A$ with $x' \neq x''$ and p(x') = p(x''), there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f \neq T_{x''}f$.

(c) If (X, p) is an \mathcal{F} -region of holomorphy, then there exists a finite or countable subfamily $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that (X, p) is an \mathcal{F}_0 -region of holomorphy.

Indeed, we may assume that X is connected. The case where $(X, p) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ is trivial. Thus assume that $d_X(x) < \infty, x \in X$. Let $A \subset X$ be

310

a countable dense subset such that $A = p^{-1}(p(A))$. By (b), for any $x \in A$ and $r > d_X(x)$ there exists an $f_{x,r} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $d(T_x f_{x,r}) < r$, and for $x', x'' \in A$ with $x' \neq x''$ and p(x') = p(x''), there exists an $f_{x',x''} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f_{x',x''} \neq T_{x''}f_{x',x''}$. Now, we may take

$$\mathcal{F}_0 := \{ f_{x,r} : x \in A, \ \mathbb{Q} \ni r > d_X(x) \} \\ \cup \{ f_{x',x''} : x', x'' \in A, \ x' \neq x'', \ p(x') = p(x'') \}.$$

2. Main results: separate holomorphy. Let (X, p) be a Riemann domain over $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$,

$$p = (u, v) : X \to \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l.$$

Put $D := p(X), D_k := u(X), D^l := v(X)$. For $a \in D_k$ define $X_a := u^{-1}(a), p_a := v|_{X_a}$. Similarly, for $b \in D^l$, put $X^b := v^{-1}(b), p^b := u|_{X^b}$.

REMARK 2.1. For every $a \in D_k$, (X_a, p_a) is a Riemann region over \mathbb{C}^l , countable at infinity.

Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$. For $a \in D_k$ define $f_a := f|_{X_a}, \mathcal{F}_a := \{f_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset$ $\mathcal{O}(X_a)$, and analogously, $f^b := f|_{X^b}, \mathcal{F}^b := \{f^b : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \mathcal{O}(X^b), b \in D^l$.

The main result of the paper is the following

THEOREM 2.2.

- (a) Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ and assume that (X, p) is an \mathcal{F} -domain of holomorphy. Then there exists a pluripolar set $S_k \subset D_k$ such that for every $a \in D_k \setminus S_k$, (X_a, p_a) is an \mathcal{F}_a -region of holomorphy.
- (b) Assume that $(X, p) \simeq (D, \mathrm{id}_D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$ is a fat domain (i.e. $D = \operatorname{int} \overline{D}$) and there exist sets $S_k \subset D_k$, $S^l \subset D^l$ such that:
 - int $S_k = \emptyset$, int $S^l = \emptyset$,
 - for any $a \in D_k \setminus S_k$, D_a is an \mathcal{F}_a -region of holomorphy,
 - for any $b \in D^l \setminus S^l$, D^b is an \mathcal{F}^b -region of holomorphy.

Then D is an \mathcal{F} -domain of holomorphy.

Proof. (a) By Remark 1.1(c), we may assume that \mathcal{F} is finite or countable.

STEP 1. There exists a pluripolar set $P \subset D_k$ such that for any $a \in$ $D_k \setminus P$, (X_a, p_a) is an \mathcal{F}_a -region of existence.

Define $R_{f,b}(x) := d(T_x f_{u(x)}), f \in \mathcal{F}, b \in D^l, x \in X^b$. Recall that

$$\frac{1}{R_{f,b}(x)} = \limsup_{\nu \to \infty} \left(\max_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^l : |\beta| = \nu} \frac{1}{\beta!} \left| D^{(0,\beta)} f(x) \right| \right)^{1/\nu}, \quad x \in X^b.$$

Obviously, $R_{f,b}(x) \ge d_X(x), x \in X^b$. By the Cauchy inequalities, we get

$$\frac{1}{\beta!} |D^{(0,\beta)} f(x)| \le \frac{\sup_{\mathbb{P}_X(x_0,r)} |f|}{r^{|\beta|}}, \\ 0 < r < d_X(x_0), \ x \in \mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r/2), \ \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^l.$$

Consequently, the function $-\log (R_{f,b})_*$ (where $_*$ denotes the lower semicontinuous regularization on X^b) is plurisubharmonic on X^b . Put

$$P_{f,b} := u(\{x \in X^b : (R_{f,b})_*(x) < R_{f,b}(x)\}) \subset D_k.$$

It is known that $P_{f,b}$ is pluripolar (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000, Theorem 2.1.41(b)]). Put

$$R_b := \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{f,b}, \quad \widehat{R}_b := \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R_{f,b})_*.$$

Observe that $-\log(\widehat{R}_b)_*$ is plurisubharmonic on X^b . Put

$$P_b := u(\{x \in X^b : (\widehat{R}_b)_*(x) < \widehat{R}_b(x)\}) \subset D_k$$

The set P_b is also pluripolar (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000, Theorem 2.1.41(a)]). Now let $B \subset D^l$ be a dense countable set. Define

$$P := \left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}, b \in B} P_{f,b}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{b \in B} P_b\right) \subset D_k.$$

Then P is pluripolar.

Take an $a \in D_k \setminus P$ and suppose that X_a is not an \mathcal{F}_a -region of existence. Then there exist a point $x_0 \in X_a$ and a number $r > d_{X_a}(x_0)$ such that $b := v(x_0) \in B$ and $R_b(x_0) > r$. Since $a \notin P$, we have

$$(\hat{R}_b)_*(x_0) = \hat{R}_b(x_0) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R_{f,b})_* = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{f,b} = R_b(x_0) > r.$$

In particular, there exists $0 < \varepsilon < d_X(x_0)$ such that $(\widehat{R}_b)_*(x) > r$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}_{X^b}(x_0)$. Since

$$R_b(x) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{f,b}(x) \ge \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R_{f,b})_*(x) = \widehat{R}_b(x) \ge (\widehat{R}_b)_*(x),$$

we conclude that $R_b(x) > r$ for $x \in \mathbb{P}_{X^b}(x_0)$. Put $U := \mathbb{P}_X(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Hence, by the classical Hartogs lemma, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the function $f \circ (p|_U)^{-1}$ extends holomorphically to $V := \mathbb{P}(a, \varepsilon) \times \mathbb{P}(b, r)$. Since (X, p) is an \mathcal{F} -domain of holomorphy, by Remark 1.1(a), there exists a univalent domain $W \subset X$, $U \subset W$, such that p(W) = V. In particular, $d_{X_a}(x_0) \ge r$, a contradiction.

STEP 2. There exists a pluripolar set $P \subset D_k$ such that for any $a \in D_k \setminus P$ the family \mathcal{F}_a weakly separates points in X_a .

Take $a \in D_k$, $x', x'' \in X_a$ with $x' \neq x''$ and $p_a(x') = p_a(x'') =: b$. Since \mathcal{F} weakly separates points in X, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f \neq T_{x''}f$.

Put $r := \min\{d(T_{x'}f), d(T_{x''}f)\}$ and let

$$P_{a,x',x''} := \bigcap_{w \in \mathbb{P}(b,r)} \{ z \in \mathbb{P}(a,r) : T_{x'}f(z,w) = T_{x''}f(z,w) \}$$

Then $P_{a,x',x''} \subsetneq \mathbb{P}(a,r)$ is an analytic subset. For any $z \in \mathbb{P}(a,r) \setminus P_{a,x',x''}$ we have $T_{x'}f(z,\cdot) \not\equiv T_{x''}f(z,\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{P}(b,r)$.

Take a countable dense set $A \subset D_k$. For any $a \in A$ let $B_a \subset X_a$ be a countable dense subset such that $p_a^{-1}(p_a(B_a)) = B_a$. Then

$$P := \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A, x', x'' \in B_a \\ x' \neq x'', p_a(x') = p_a(x'')}} P_{a,x',x''}$$

is a pluripolar set.

Fix $a_0 \in D_k \setminus P$, $x'_0, x''_0 \in X_{a_0}$ with $x'_0 \neq x''_0$ and $p_{a_0}(x'_0) = p_{a_0}(x''_0) =: b_0$. Put $r := \min\{d_X(x'_0), d_X(x''_0)\}$. Let $a \in A \cap \mathbb{P}(a_0, r/2)$ and $x', x'' \in B_a$ be such that $x' \in \mathbb{P}_X(x'_0, r/2)$, $x'' \in \mathbb{P}_X(x''_0, r/2)$ and $p_a(x') = p_a(x'')$. Since $a_0 \notin P$, we conclude that $T_{x'}f(a_0, \cdot) \not\equiv T_{x''}f(a_0, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{P}(b_0, r/2)$. Consequently, $T_{x'_0}f(a_0, \cdot) \not\equiv T_{x''_0}f(a_0, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{P}(b_0, r/2)$, which implies that $T_{x'_0}f_{a_0}$ $\neq T_{x''_0}f_{a_0}$.

(b) Suppose there exist $x_0 = (a_0, b_0) \in G$ and $r > d_D(x_0) =: r_0$ such that $d(T_{x_0}f) \ge r$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $d(T_{b_0}f_a) \ge r$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $a \in \mathbb{P}(a_0, r_0)$. Consequently, $(\mathbb{P}(a_0, r_0) \setminus S_k) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \subset D$. Since int $S_k = \emptyset$ and D is fat, we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(a_0, r_0) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \subset D$. Now, we see that $d(T_{a_0}f^b) \ge r$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $b \in \mathbb{P}(b_0, r)$. Consequently, $\mathbb{P}(a_0, r) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \setminus S^l \subset D$. Hence $\mathbb{P}(a_0, r) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \subset D$, a contradiction.

REMARK 2.3. The following natural question arises from the discussion above: is it possible to sharpen Theorem 2.2(a) so that the exceptional set there is even a countable union of locally analytic sets? The following example will show that the answer is, in general, negative.

Let $C_1 \subset \mathbb{D}$ (the unit disc) be a compact polar set which is uncountable (take, for example, an appropriate Cantor set). Define $C := C_1 \cup C_2$, where $C_2 := \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$. Then C is polar and a countable union of compact sets.

Using Example 2 from [Ter 1972], we find a function $f : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties:

- $f(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$,
- $f(z, \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $z \in C$,
- f is unbounded near some point $(z_0, 0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$.

Using the corollary to Lemma 8 of [Ter 1972], we conclude that there is a non-empty domain $V \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $f|_{\mathbb{D}\times V} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}\times V)$. Set $\mathcal{F} := \{f|_{\mathbb{D}\times V}, g|_{\mathbb{D}\times V}\}$, where $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D})$ is chosen in such a way that $\mathbb{D}\times\mathbb{D}$ is the existence domain of g. Denote by (D', p) the \mathcal{F} -envelope of holomorphy of $\mathbb{D} \times V$. Then $p(D') \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. Moreover, using the fact that C is dense in \mathbb{D} one sees that D' is univalent. Indeed, let us take a sequence $G_j = G'_j \times G''_j \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, of bidiscs, $G_j \cap G_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$, and functions $f_j \in \mathcal{O}(G_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, such that $G_1 \subset \mathbb{D} \times V$, $f_1 = f|_{G_1}$, and $f_j|_{G_j \cap G_{j+1}} = f_{j+1}|_{G_j \cap G_{j+1}}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$. We claim that then $f_N = f|_{G_N}$, which implies that D' is univalent. By induction we may assume that $f_j = f|_{G_j}$ for a j < N. Then for any point $a \in C \cap G'_j \cap G'_{j+1}$ we have two holomorphic functions $f(a, \cdot)$ and $f_{j+1}(a, \cdot)$ on G''_{j+1} . They coincide on $G''_j \cap G''_{j+1}$, and so on G''_{j+1} . Now fix a $b \in G''_{j+1}$. Then $f(\cdot, b)$ and $f_{j+1}(\cdot, b)$ are holomorphic in G'_{j+1} and they coincide on $C \cap G'_j \cap G'_{j+1}$; hence they are equal on G''_{j+1} , i.e. $f|_{G_{j+1}} = f_{j+1}$.

Set D := p(D'). Then D is an $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ -domain of holomorphy, where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} := \{ \widehat{g} := g|_D, \widehat{f} := f|_D \}.$$

Observe that for any $a \in C$, the functions $\widehat{f}(a, \cdot), \widehat{g}(a, \cdot)$ extend to the whole of \mathbb{D} .

Fix $R' < R \in (0,1)$ such that $V \subset \mathbb{P}(0,R')$. Suppose that there is an $a_0 \in C$ with $\{a_0\} \times \mathbb{P}(0,R) \subset D$. Then there is a small open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{D}$ of a_0 such that $U \times \mathbb{P}(0,R') \subset D$. In view of the Hartogs lemma we conclude that f is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{P}(0,R')$, in particular a holomorphic extension of $f|_{\mathbb{D}\times V}$, and therefore bounded near $(z_0,0)$, a contradiction. Thus, the singular set S_1 for D must contain C.

REMARK 2.4. Observe that Theorem 2.2(b) need not be true if D is not fat. For example, let $D := \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}(D)$. By the Hartogs extension theorem, any function from \mathcal{F} extends holomorphically to \mathbb{D}^2 . Thus D is not an \mathcal{F} -domain of holomorphy. Observe that for any $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, D_a = \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{F}_a = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$. Similarly, for any $b \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, D^b = \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{F}^b = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$.

3. Applications: separately holomorphic functions. Directly from Theorem 2.2 we get the following useful corollary.

COROLLARY 3.1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^l$ be a domain, let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(D)$ and let $A \subset \operatorname{pr}_{\mathbb{C}^k}(D)$. Assume that for any $a \in A$ we are given a domain $G(a) \supset D_a$ in \mathbb{C}^l such that:

- for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the function $f(a, \cdot)$ extends to an $\widehat{f}_a \in \mathcal{O}(G(a))$,
- the domain G(a) is an $\{\widehat{f}_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.

Let (X, p) be the \mathcal{F} -envelope of holomorphy of D. Then there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset A$ such that for every $a \in A \setminus P$ we have $(X_a, p_a) \simeq (G(a), \mathrm{id}_{G(a)})$.

Recall a version of the cross theorem for separately holomorphic functions with pluripolar singularities (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2003, Main Theorem]). THEOREM 3.2. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $G \subset \mathbb{C}^l$ be domains of holomorphy and let $A \subset D$, $B \subset G$ be locally plurinegular sets (1). Consider the cross

$$X = \mathbb{X}(A, B; D, G) := (A \times G) \cap (D \times B)$$

and let

$$\widehat{X} = \widehat{\mathbb{X}}(A, B; D, G) := \{(z, w) \in D \times G : \omega_{A, D}(z) + \omega_{B, G}(w) < 1\},\$$

where $\omega_{A,D}$ and $\omega_{B,G}$ are generalized relative extremal functions. Let $M \subset X$ be a relatively closed set $(^2)$ such that:

- for every $a \in A$ the fiber $M_a := \{w \in G : (a, w) \in M\}$ is pluripolar,
- for every $b \in B$ the fiber $M^b := \{z \in D : (z, b) \in M\}$ is pluripolar.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{s}(X \setminus M)$ denote the set of all functions separately holomorphic on $X \setminus M$, *i.e.* of those functions $f : X \setminus M \to \mathbb{C}$ for which:

- for every $a \in A$, $f(a, \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}(G \setminus M_a)$,
- for every $b \in A$, $f(\cdot, b) \in \mathcal{O}(D \setminus M^b)$.

Then there exists a relatively closed pluripolar set $S \subset \widehat{X}$ such that:

- $S \cap X \subset M$,
- for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{X} \setminus S)$ with $\widehat{f} = f$ on $X \setminus M$,
- $\widehat{X} \setminus S$ is an $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.

In the proof presented in [Jar-Pfl 2003] the assumption that M is relatively closed in X played an important role. Observe that from the point of view of the formulation of the above theorem, we only need to assume that all the fibers M_a and M^b are relatively closed. Corollary 3.1 permits us to clarify this problem in certain cases.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $G_0 \subset G \subset \mathbb{C}^l$ be domains of holomorphy and let $A \subset D$. Assume that for every $a \in A$ we are given a relatively closed pluripolar set $M(a) \subset G$. Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(D \times G_0)$ such that for every $a \in A$, the function $f(a, \cdot)$ extends to an $\widehat{f}_a \in \mathcal{O}(G \setminus M(a))$. Assume that for every $a \in A$ the set M(a) is singular with respect to the family $\{\widehat{f}_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ (³). Then there exists a pluripolar

 $^(^1)$ A non-empty set A is said to be *locally pluriregular* if for any $a \in A$, the set A is *locally pluriregular at a*, i.e. for any open neighborhood U of a we have $h^*_{A \cap U, U}(a) = 0$, where $h_{A \cap U, U}$ denotes the relative extremal function of $A \cap U$ in U. For an arbitrary set A define $A^* := \{a \in \overline{A} : A \text{ is locally pluriregular at } a\}$. It is known that the set $Z := A \setminus A^*$ is pluripolar. In particular, if A is non-pluripolar, then $A \setminus Z$ is locally pluriregular.

 $^(^2)$ That is, M is closed in X.

^{(&}lt;sup>3</sup>) Recall that a relatively closed pluripolar set $M \subset G$ is said to be singular with respect to a family $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{O}(G \setminus M)$ if there is no point $a \in M$ which has an open neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that every function from \mathcal{G} extends holomorphically to U(cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000, §3.4]).

set $P \subset A$ such that if we put $A_0 := A \setminus P$, then the set

$$M(A_0) := \bigcup_{a \in A_0} \{a\} \times M(a)$$

is relatively closed in $A_0 \times G$.

Proof. First observe that every function from $\mathcal{O}(G)$ may be regarded as an element of \mathcal{F} , which implies that for every $a \in A$ the domain $G(a) := G \setminus M(a)$ is a $\{\widehat{f}_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.

Let (X, p) be the \mathcal{F} -envelope of holomorphy of $D \times G_0$. Since D and G are domains of holomorphy, we may assume that $p(X) \subset D \times G$.

By Corollary 3.1, there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset A$ such that for every $a \in A_0 := A \setminus P$ we have $(X_a, p_a) \simeq (G(a), \operatorname{id}_{G(a)})$. Thus p is injective on the set $B := p^{-1}(A_0 \times G)$ and $p(B) = \bigcup_{a \in A_0} \{a\} \times G(a) = (A_0 \times G) \setminus M(A_0)$. Hence $p(B) = p(X) \cap (A_0 \times G)$ and, consequently, p(B) is relatively open in $A_0 \times G$.

Consequently, we get the following generalization of Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $D_0 \subset D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $G_0 \subset G \subset \mathbb{C}^l$ be domains of holomorphy and let $A \subset D_0$, $B \subset G_0$ be non-pluripolar sets. Let $M \subset X := \mathbb{X}(A, B; D, G)$ be such that:

- for every $a \in A$ the fiber M_a is a closed pluripolar subset of G,
- for every $b \in B$ the fiber M^b is a closed pluripolar subset of D.

Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_0 \times G_0)$ such that:

- for every $a \in A$ the function $f(a, \cdot)$ extends holomorphically to $G \setminus M_a$,
- for every $b \in A$ the function $f(\cdot, b)$ extends holomorphically to $D \setminus M^b$.

Then there exist:

- pluripolar sets P ⊂ A, Q ⊂ B such that the sets A₀ := A \ P and B₀ := B \ Q are locally pluriregular,
- a relatively closed pluripolar set $S \subset \widehat{X}_0 := \widehat{\mathbb{X}}(A_0, B_0; D, G)$

such that:

- $S \cap \mathbb{X}(A_0, B_0; D, G) \subset M$,
- for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{X}_0 \setminus S)$ with $\widehat{f} = f$ on $D_0 \times G_0$,
- $\widehat{X}_0 \setminus S$ is an $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.

REMARK 3.5. More general versions of the cross theorem (also for N-fold crosses) will be discussed in our forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Professor W. Zwonek for helpful remarks.

References

[Jar-Pfl 2000]	M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug, Extension of Holomorphic Functions, de Gruyter
	Exp. Math. 34, de Gruyter, 2000.
[Jar-Pfl 2003]	-, -, An extension theorem for separately holomorphic functions with
	pluripolar singularities, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 1251–1267.
[Ter 1972]	T. Terada, Analyticités relatives à chaque variable, J. Math. Kyoto Univ.
	12 (1972), 263-296.

Institut für Mathematik
Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg
Postfach 2503
D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
E-mail: pflug@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de

Received July 18, 2005 Revised version March 1, 2006

(5701)