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#### Abstract

We prove that every biorthogonality preserving linear surjection from a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-triple containing no infinite-dimensional rank-one summands onto another $J B^{*}$-triple is automatically continuous. We also show that every biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples containing no infinite-dimensional rank-one summands is automatically continuous. Consequently, two atomic $J B W^{*}$ triples containing no rank-one summands are isomorphic if and only if there exists a (not necessarily continuous) biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between them.


1. Introduction and preliminaries. Studies on the automatic continuity of linear surjections between $C^{*}$-algebras and von Neumann algebras preserving orthogonality relations in both directions constitute the latest variant of a problem initiated by W. Arendt in the early eighties.

We recall that two complex-valued continuous functions $f$ and $g$ are said to be orthogonal whenever they have disjoint supports. A mapping $T$ between $C(K)$-spaces is called orthogonality preserving if it maps orthogonal functions to orthogonal functions. The main result established by Arendt states that every orthogonality preserving bounded linear mapping $T: C(K) \rightarrow C(K)$ is of the form

$$
T(f)(t)=h(t) f(\varphi(t)) \quad(f \in C(K), t \in K)
$$

where $h \in C(K)$ and $\varphi: K \rightarrow K$ is a mapping which is continuous on $\{t \in K: h(t) \neq 0\}$.

The hypothesis of $T$ being continuous was relaxed by K. Jarosz in 24]. In fact, Jarosz obtained a complete description of all orthogonality preserving (not necessarily continuous) linear mappings between $C(K)$-spaces.

[^0]A consequence of his description is that an orthogonality preserving linear surjection between $C(K)$-spaces is automatically continuous.

Two elements $a, b$ in a general $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ are said to be orthogonal (denoted by $a \perp b$ ) if $a b^{*}=b^{*} a=0$. When $a=a^{*}$ and $b=b^{*}$, we have $a \perp b$ if and only if $a b=0$. A mapping $T$ between two $C^{*}$-algebras $A, B$ is called orthogonality preserving if $T(a) \perp T(b)$ for every $a \perp b$ in $A$. When $T(a) \perp T(b)$ in $B$ if and only if $a \perp b$ in $A$, we say that $T$ is biorthogonality preserving. Under continuity assumptions, orthogonality preserving bounded linear operators between $C^{*}$-algebras are completely described in [10, §4]. This last paper is a culmination of the studies developed by W. Arendt [2], K. Jarosz [24], M. Wolff [34], and N.-C. Wong [35], among others, on bounded orthogonality preserving linear maps between $C^{*}$-algebras.
$C^{*}$-algebras belong to a wider class of complex Banach spaces in which orthogonality also makes sense. We refer to the class of (complex) $J B^{*}$ triples (see $\S 2$ for definitions). Two elements $a, b$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$ are said to be orthogonal (denoted by $a \perp b$ ) if $L(a, b)=0$, where $L(a, b)$ is the linear operator in $E$ given by $L(a, b) x=\{a, b, x\}$. A linear mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ between two $J B^{*}$-triples is called orthogonality preserving if $T(x) \perp T(y)$ whenever $x \perp y$. The mapping $T$ is biorthogonality preserving whenever the equivalence $x \perp y \Leftrightarrow T(x) \perp T(y)$ holds for all $x, y$ in $E$.

Most of the novelties introduced in [10] consist in studying orthogonality preserving bounded linear operators from a $C^{*}$-algebra or a $J B^{*}$-algebra to a $J B^{*}$-triple to take advantage of the techniques developed in $J B^{*}$-triple theory. These techniques were successfully applied in the subsequent paper [11] to obtain a description of such operators (see $\S 2$ for a detailed explanation).

Despite the vast literature on orthogonality preserving bounded linear operators between $C^{*}$-algebras and $J B^{*}$-triples, just a few papers have considered the problem of automatic continuity of biorthogonality preserving linear surjections between $C^{*}$-algebras. Besides Jarosz [24], mentioned above, M. A. Chebotar, W.-F. Ke, P.-H. Lee, and N.-C. Wong proved in [13, Theorem 4.2] that every zero products preserving linear bijection from a properly infinite von Neumann algebra into a unital ring is a ring homomorphism followed by left multiplication by the image of the identity. J. Araujo and K. Jarosz showed that every linear bijection between algebras $L(X)$, of continuous linear maps on a Banach space $X$, which preserves zero products in both directions is automatically continuous and a multiple of an algebra isomorphism [1]. These authors also conjectured that every linear bijection between two $C^{*}$-algebras preserving zero products in both directions is automatically continuous (see [1, Conjecture 1]).

The authors of this note proved in [12] that every biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two compact $C^{*}$-algebras or between two von Neumann algebras is automatically continuous. One of the consequences
of this result is a partial answer to [1, Conjecture 1]. Concretely, every surjective and symmetric linear mapping between von Neumann algebras (or compact $C^{*}$-algebras) which preserves zero products in both directions is continuous.

In this paper we study the problem of automatic continuity of biorthogonality preserving linear surjections between $J B^{*}$-triples, extending some of the results obtained in [12]. Section 2 contains the basic definitions and results used in the paper. Section 3 is devoted to the structure and properties of the (orthogonal) annihilator of a subset $M$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple, focusing on the annihilators of single elements. In Section 4 we prove that every biorthogonality preserving linear surjection from a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-triple containing no infinite-dimensional rank-one summands to a $J B^{*}$ triple is automatically continuous. In Section 5 we show that two atomic $J B^{*}$-triples containing no rank-one summands are isomorphic if and only if there exists a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between them, a result which follows from the automatic continuity of every biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between atomic $J B^{*}$-triples containing no infinite-dimensional rank-one summands.
2. Notation and preliminaries. Given Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$, $L(X, Y)$ will denote the space of all bounded linear mappings from $X$ to $Y$. The symbol $L(X)$ will stand for the space $L(X, X)$. Throughout the paper the word "operator" will always mean bounded linear mapping. The dual space of a Banach space $X$ is denoted by $X^{*}$.
$J B^{*}$-triples were introduced by W. Kaup in [26]. A $J B^{*}$-triple is a complex Banach space $E$ together with a continuous triple product $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$ : $E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$, which is conjugate linear in the middle variable and symmetric and bilinear in the outer variables, and satisfies:
(a) $L(a, b) L(x, y)=L(x, y) L(a, b)+L(L(a, b) x, y)-L(x, L(b, a) y)$, where $L(a, b)$ is the operator on $E$ given by $L(a, b) x=\{a, b, x\}$;
(b) $L(a, a)$ is an hermitian operator with nonnegative spectrum;
(c) $\|L(a, a)\|=\|a\|^{2}$.

For each $x$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E, Q(x)$ will stand for the conjugate linear operator on $E$ defined by the assignment $y \mapsto Q(x) y=\{x, y, x\}$.

Every $C^{*}$-algebra is a $J B^{*}$-triple via the triple product given by

$$
2\{x, y, z\}=x y^{*} z+z y^{*} x
$$

and every $J B^{*}$-algebra is a $J B^{*}$-triple under the triple product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y, z\}=\left(x \circ y^{*}\right) \circ z+\left(z \circ y^{*}\right) \circ x-(x \circ z) \circ y^{*} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The so-called Kaup-Banach-Stone theorem for $J B^{*}$-triples states that a bounded linear surjection between $J B^{*}$-triples is an isometry if and only
if it is a triple isomorphism (cf. [26, Proposition 5.5], [5, Corollary 3.4] or [18, Theorem 2.2]). It follows, among many other consequences, that when a $J B^{*}$-algebra is a $J B^{*}$-triple for a suitable triple product, then the latter coincides with the one defined in (2.1).

A $J B W^{*}$-triple is a $J B^{*}$-triple which is also a dual Banach space (with a unique isometric predual [3]). It is known that the triple product of a $J B W^{*}$ triple is separately weak* continuous [3]. The second dual of a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$ is a $J B W^{*}$-triple with a product extending the product of $E$ [15].

An element $e$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$ is said to be a tripotent if $\{e, e, e\}=e$. Each tripotent $e$ in $E$ gives rise to the decomposition

$$
E=E_{2}(e) \oplus E_{1}(e) \oplus E_{0}(e)
$$

where for $i=0,1,2, E_{i}(e)$ is the $i / 2$-eigenspace of $L(e, e)$ (cf. 28, Theorem 25]). The natural projection of $E$ onto $E_{i}(e)$ will be denoted by $P_{i}(e)$. This decomposition is termed the Peirce decomposition of $E$ with respect to the tripotent $e$. The Peirce decomposition satisfies certain rules known as Peirce arithmetic:

$$
\left\{E_{i}(e), E_{j}(e), E_{k}(e)\right\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(e)
$$

if $i-j+k \in\{0,1,2\}$ and is zero otherwise. In addition,

$$
\left\{E_{2}(e), E_{0}(e), E\right\}=\left\{E_{0}(e), E_{2}(e), E\right\}=0
$$

The Peirce space $E_{2}(e)$ is a $J B^{*}$-algebra with product $x \circ_{e} y:=\{x, e, y\}$ and involution $x^{\sharp e}:=\{e, x, e\}$.

A tripotent $e$ in $E$ is called complete (resp., unitary) if $E_{0}(e)=0$ (resp., $\left.E_{2}(e)=E\right)$. When $E_{2}(e)=\mathbb{C} e \neq\{0\}$, we say that $e$ is minimal.

For each element $x$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$, we shall denote $x^{[1]}:=x, x^{[3]}:=$ $\{x, x, x\}$, and $x^{[2 n+1]}:=\left\{x, x, x^{[2 n-1]}\right\}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. The symbol $E_{x}$ will stand for the $J B^{*}$-subtriple generated by $x$. It is known that $E_{x}$ is $J B^{*}$-triple isomorphic (and hence isometric) to $C_{0}(\Omega)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space $\Omega$ contained in $(0,\|x\|]$ such that $\Omega \cup\{0\}$ is compact, where $C_{0}(\Omega)$ denotes the Banach space of all complex-valued continuous functions vanishing at 0 . It is also known that there exists a triple isomorphism $\Psi$ from $E_{x}$ onto $C_{0}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\Psi(x)(t)=t(t \in \Omega)$ (cf. [25, Corollary 4.8], [26, Corollary 1.15] and [20]). The set $\bar{\Omega}=\mathrm{Sp}(x)$ is called the triple spectrum of $x$. Note that $C_{0}(\operatorname{Sp}(x))=C(\operatorname{Sp}(x))$ whenever $0 \notin \mathrm{Sp}(x)$.

Therefore, for each $x \in E$, there exists a unique element $y \in E_{x}$ such that $\{y, y, y\}=x$. The element $y$, denoted by $x^{[1 / 3]}$, is termed the cubic root of $x$. We can inductively define $x^{\left[1 / 3^{n}\right]}=\left(x^{\left[1 / 3^{n-1}\right]}\right)^{[1 / 3]}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $\left(x^{\left[1 / 3^{n}\right]}\right)$ converges in the weak* topology of $E^{* *}$ to a tripotent denoted by $r(x)$ and called the range tripotent of $x$. The tripotent $r(x)$ is the smallest tripotent $e \in E^{* *}$ such that $x$ is positive in the $J B W^{*}$-algebra $E_{2}^{* *}(e)$ (cf. [16, Lemma 3.3]).

A subspace $I$ of a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$ is a triple ideal if $\{E, E, I\}+\{E, I, E\} \subseteq I$. By Proposition 1.3 in [7], $I$ is a triple ideal if and only if $\{E, E, I\} \subseteq I$. We shall say that $I$ is an inner ideal of $E$ if $\{I, E, I\} \subseteq I$. Given an $x$ in $E$, let $E(x)$ denote the norm closed inner ideal of $E$ generated by $x$. It is known that $E(x)$ coincides with the norm closure of the set $Q(x)(E)$. Moreover $E(x)$ is a $J B^{*}$-subalgebra of $E_{2}^{* *}(r(x))$ and contains $x$ as a positive element (cf. [8]). Every triple ideal is, in particular, an inner ideal.

We recall that two elements $a, b$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$ are said to be orthogonal (written $a \perp b$ ) if $L(a, b)=0$. Lemma 1 in [10] shows that $a \perp b$ if and only if one of the following nine statements holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{a, a, b\}=0 ; \quad a \perp r(b) ; \quad r(a) \perp r(b) ; \\
& E_{2}^{* *}(r(a)) \perp E_{2}^{* *}(r(b)) ; \quad r(a) \in E_{0}^{* *}(r(b)) ; \quad a \in E_{0}^{* *}(r(b))  \tag{2.2}\\
& b \in E_{0}^{* *}(r(a)) ; \quad E_{a} \perp E_{b} ; \quad\{b, b, a\}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The Jordan identity and the above reformulations ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \perp\{x, y, z\} \quad \text { whenever } \quad a \perp x, y, z \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important class of $J B^{*}$-triples is given by the Cartan factors. A $J B W^{*}$-triple $E$ is called a factor if it contains no proper weak* closed ideals. The Cartan factors are precisely the $J B W^{*}$-triple factors containing a minimal tripotent [27]. These can be classified in six different types (see [21] or [27]).

A Cartan factor of type 1, denoted by $I_{n, m}$, is a $J B^{*}$-triple of the form $L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$, where $L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the space of bounded linear operators between two complex Hilbert spaces $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ of dimensions $n, m$ respectively, with the triple product defined by $\{x, y, z\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x y^{*} z+z y^{*} x\right)$.

We recall that given a conjugation $j$ on a complex Hilbert space $H$, we can define the linear involution $x \mapsto x^{t}:=j x^{*} j$ on $L(H)$. A Cartan factor of type 2 (respectively, type 3), denoted by $I I_{n}$ (respectively, $I I I_{n}$ ), is the subtriple of $L(H)$ formed by the $t$-skew-symmetric (respectively, $t$-symmetric) operators, where $H$ is an $n$-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Moreover, $I I_{n}$ and $I I I_{n}$ are, up to isomorphism, independent of the conjugation $j$ on $H$.

A Cartan factor of type $4, I V_{n}$ (also called a complex spin factor), is an $n$-dimensional complex Hilbert space provided with a conjugation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$, where the triple product and norm are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y, z\}=(x \mid y) z+(z \mid y) x-(x \mid \bar{z}) \bar{y} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\|x\|^{2}=(x \mid x)+\sqrt{(x \mid x)^{2}-|(x \mid \bar{x})|^{2}}$, respectively.
The Cartan factor of type 6 is the 27-dimensional exceptional $J B^{*}$ algebra $V I=H_{3}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ of all symmetric $3 \times 3$ matrices with entries in the complex octonions $\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$, while the Cartan factor of type $5, V=M_{1,2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$, is the subtriple of $H_{3}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ consisting of all $1 \times 2$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

REmark 2.1. Let $E$ be a spin factor with inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ and conjugation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. It is not hard to check (and part of the folklore of $J B^{*}$-triple theory) that an element $w$ in $E$ is a minimal tripotent if and only if $(w \mid \bar{w})=0$ and $(w \mid w)=1 / 2$. For every minimal tripotent $w$ in $E$ we have $E_{2}(w)=\mathbb{C} w$, $E_{0}(w)=\mathbb{C} \bar{w}$ and $E_{1}(w)=\{x \in E:(x \mid w)=(x \mid \bar{w})=0\}$. Therefore, every minimal tripotent $w_{2} \in E$ satisfying $w \perp w_{2}$ can be written in the form $w_{2}=\lambda \bar{w}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|=1$.
3. Biorthogonality preservers. Let $M$ be a subset of a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$. We write $M_{E}^{\perp}$ for the (orthogonal) annihilator of $M$ defined by

$$
M_{E}^{\perp}:=\{y \in E: y \perp x, \forall x \in M\}
$$

When no confusion can arise, we shall write $M^{\perp}$ instead of $M_{E}^{\perp}$.
The next result summarises some basic properties of the annihilator. The reader is referred to [17, Lemma 3.2] for a detailed proof.

Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ a nonempty subset of a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$.
(a) $M^{\perp}$ is a norm closed inner ideal of $E$.
(b) $M \cap M^{\perp}=\{0\}$.
(c) $M \subseteq M^{\perp \perp}$.
(d) If $B \subseteq C$ then $C^{\perp} \subseteq B^{\perp}$.
(e) $M^{\perp}$ is weak* closed whenever $E$ is a $J B W^{*}$-triple.

As illustration of the main identity (axiom (a) in the definition of a $J B^{*}$ triple) we shall prove statement (a). For $a, a^{\prime}$ in $M^{\perp}, b$ in $M$, and $c, d$ in $E$ we have $\left\{c, a,\left\{d, a^{\prime}, b\right\}\right\}=\left\{\{c, a, d\}, a^{\prime}, b\right\}-\left\{d,\left\{a, c, a^{\prime}\right\}, b\right\}+\left\{d, a^{\prime},\{c, a, b\}\right\}$, which shows that $\left\{a, c, a^{\prime}\right\} \perp b$.

Let $e$ be a tripotent in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$. Clearly, $\{e\} \subseteq E_{2}(e)$. Therefore, by Peirce arithmetic and Lemma 3.1,

$$
E_{2}(e)^{\perp} \subseteq\{e\}^{\perp}=E_{0}(e) \subseteq E_{2}(e)^{\perp}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(e)^{\perp}=\{e\}^{\perp}=E_{0}(e) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma describes the annihilator of an element in an arbitrary $J B^{*}$ triple. Its proof follows directly from the reformulations of orthogonality in (2.2) (see also [10, Lemma 1]).

Lemma 3.2. Let $x$ be an element in a JB*-triple E. Then

$$
\{x\}_{E}^{\perp}=E_{0}^{* *}(r(x)) \cap E
$$

Moreover, when $E$ is a $J B W^{*}$-triple we have

$$
\{x\}_{E}^{\perp}=E_{0}(r(x))
$$

Proposition 3.3. Let e be a tripotent in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$. Then

$$
E_{2}(e) \oplus E_{1}(e) \supseteq\{e\}_{E}^{\perp \perp}=E_{0}(e)^{\perp} \supseteq E_{2}(e)
$$

Proof. It follows from (3.1) that $\{e\}^{\perp \perp}=\{e\}_{E}^{\perp \perp}=\left(E_{0}(e)\right)^{\perp} \supseteq E_{2}(e)$. Now select $x \in\left(E_{0}(e)\right)^{\perp}$. For each $i \in\{0,1,2\}$ we write $x_{i}=P_{i}(e)(x)$, where $P_{i}(e)$ denotes the Peirce $i$-projection with respect to $e$. Since $x \in\left(E_{0}(e)\right)^{\perp}$, $x$ must be orthogonal to $x_{0}$ and so $\left\{x_{0}, x_{0}, x\right\}=0$. This equality, together with Peirce arithmetic, shows that $\left\{x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{0}\right\}+\left\{x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}=0$, which implies that $\left\|x_{0}\right\|^{3}=\left\|\left\{x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{0}\right\}\right\|=0$.

REMARK 3.4. For a tripotent $e$ in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$, the equality $\{e\}_{E}^{\perp \perp}=$ $E_{0}(e)^{\perp}=E_{2}(e)$ does not hold in general. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two infinitedimensional complex Hilbert spaces and let $p$ be a minimal projection in $L\left(H_{1}\right)$. We define $E$ as the orthogonal sum $p L\left(H_{1}\right) \oplus^{\infty} L\left(H_{2}\right)$. In this example $\{p\}_{E}^{\perp}=L\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\{p\}_{E}^{\perp}{ }^{\perp}=p L\left(H_{1}\right) \neq \mathbb{C} p=E_{2}(p)$.

However, if $E$ is a Cartan factor and $e$ is a noncomplete tripotent in $E$, then the equality $\{e\}^{\perp \perp}=E_{0}(e)^{\perp}=E_{2}(e)$ always holds (cf. Lemma 5.6 in [27]).

Corollary 3.5. Let $x$ be an element in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$. Then

$$
E(x) \subseteq E_{2}^{* *}(r(x)) \cap E \subseteq\{x\}_{E}^{\perp \perp}
$$

Proof. Clearly, $E(x)=\overline{Q(x)(E)} \subseteq E_{2}^{* *}(r(x)) \cap E$. Pick $y$ in $E_{2}^{* *}(r(x))$ $\cap E$. Then $y \in E_{2}^{* *}(r(x)) \subseteq\{x\}_{E^{* *}}^{\perp}$. Since $\{x\}_{E}^{\perp} \subset\{x\}_{E^{* *}}^{\perp}$, we conclude that $y \in\{x\}_{E^{* *}}^{\perp} \cap E \subseteq\left(\{x\}_{E}^{\perp}\right)_{E^{* *}}^{\perp} \cap E=\{x\}_{E}^{\perp} \perp$.

In the setting of $C^{*}$-algebras the following conditions describing the first and second annihilator of a projection were established in [12, Lemma 3].

Lemma 3.6. Let $p$ be a projection in a (not necessarily unital) $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. The following assertions hold:
(a) $\{p\}_{A}^{\perp}=(1-p) A(1-p)$, where 1 denotes the unit of $A^{* *}$;
(b) $\{p\}_{A}^{\perp \perp}=p A p$.

Let $x$ be an element in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$. We say that $x$ is weakly compact (respectively, compact) if the operator $Q(x): E \rightarrow E$ is weakly compact (respectively, compact). A $J B^{*}$-triple is weakly compact (respectively, compact) if every element in $E$ is weakly compact (respectively, compact).

Let $E$ be a $J B^{*}$-triple. If we denote by $K(E)$ the Banach subspace of $E$ generated by its minimal tripotents, then $K(E)$ is a (norm closed) triple ideal of $E$ and it coincides with the set of weakly compact elements of $E$ (see Proposition 4.7 in [7]). For a Cartan factor $C$ we define the elementary $J B^{*}$ triple of the corresponding type to be $K(C)$. Consequently, the elementary $J B^{*}$-triples $K_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 6)$ are defined as follows: $K_{1}=K\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ (the
compact operators between complex Hilbert spaces $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ ); $K_{i}=C_{i} \cap$ $K(H)$ for $i=2,3$, and $K_{i}=C_{i}$ for $i=4,5,6$.

It follows from [7, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4] that a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$ is weakly compact if and only if one of the following statement holds:
(a) $K\left(E^{* *}\right)=K(E)$.
(b) $K(E)=E$.
(c) $E$ is a $c_{0}$-sum of elementary $J B^{*}$-triples.

Let $E$ be a $J B^{*}$-triple. A subset $S \subseteq E$ is said to be orthogonal if $0 \notin S$ and $x \perp y$ for every $x \neq y$ in $S$. The minimal cardinal number $r$ satisfying $\operatorname{card}(S) \leq r$ for every orthogonal subset $S \subseteq E$ is called the rank of $E$ (and will be denoted by $r(E)$ ).

For every orthogonal family $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of minimal tripotents in a $J B W^{*}$ triple $E$ the weak* convergent sum $e:=\sum_{i} e_{i}$ is a tripotent, and we call $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ a frame in $E$ if $e$ is a maximal tripotent in $E$ (i.e., $e$ is a complete tripotent and $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{1}(e)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(E_{1}(\widetilde{e})\right)$ for every complete tripotent $\widetilde{e}$ in $\left.E\right)$. Every frame is a maximal orthogonal family of minimal tripotents; the converse is not true in general (see [4, §3] for more details).

Proposition 3.7. Let e be a minimal tripotent in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$. Then $\{e\}_{E}^{\perp}{ }^{\perp}$ is a rank-one norm closed inner ideal of $E$.

Proof. Let $F$ denote $\{e\}_{E}^{\perp}{ }_{E}^{\perp}$. Since $e$ is a minimal tripotent (i.e. $E_{2}(e)=$ $\mathbb{C} e)$, the set of states on $E_{2}(e),\left\{\varphi \in E^{*}: \varphi(e)=1=\|\varphi\|\right\}$, reduces to one point $\varphi_{0}$ in $E^{*}$. Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 in [9] imply that the norm of $E$ restricted to $E_{1}(e)$ is equivalent to a Hilbertian norm. More precisely, in the terminology of $\left[9\right.$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{e}$ coincides with the Hilbertian norm $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi_{0}}$ and is equivalent to the norm of $E_{1}(e)$.

Proposition 3.3 guarantees that $F$ is a norm closed subspace of $E_{2}(e) \oplus$ $E_{1}(e)=\mathbb{C} e \oplus E_{1}(e)$, and hence $F$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

We deduce, by Proposition 4.5 (iii) in [7] (and its proof), that $F$ is a finite orthogonal sum of Cartan factors $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{m}$ which are finite-dimensional, or infinite-dimensional spin factors, or of the form $L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ for suitable complex Hilbert spaces $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{\prime}\right)<\infty$. Since $F$ is an inner ideal of $E$ (and hence a $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $E$ ) and $e$ is a minimal tripotent in $E$, we can easily check that $e$ is a minimal tripotent in $F=\bigoplus_{j=1, \ldots, m}^{\ell \infty} C_{j}$. If we write $e=e_{1}+\cdots+e_{m}$, where each $e_{j}$ is a tripotent in $C_{j}$ and $e_{j} \perp e_{k}$ whenever $j \neq k$, then since $\mathbb{C} e_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C} e_{1} \subseteq F_{2}(e)=\mathbb{C} e$, we deduce that there exists a unique $j_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ satisfying $e_{j}=0$ for all $j \neq j_{0}$ and $e=e_{j_{0}} \in C_{j_{0}}$.

For each $j \neq j_{0}$, we have $C_{j} \subseteq\{e\}_{E}^{\perp}$, and hence

$$
\bigoplus_{j=1, \ldots, m}^{\ell_{\infty}} C_{j}=F=\{e\}^{\perp \perp} \subseteq C_{j}^{\perp}
$$

This implies that $C_{j} \perp C_{j}$ (or equivalently $C_{j}=0$ ) for every $j \neq j_{0}$. We consequently have $F=\{e\}_{E}^{\frac{\perp}{\perp}}=C_{j_{0}}$.

Finally, if $r(F) \geq 2$, then we deduce, via Proposition 5.8 in [27], that there exist minimal tripotents $e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}$ in $F$ such that $e, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}$ is a frame in $F$. For each $i \in\{2, \ldots, r\}, e_{i}$ is orthogonal to $e$ and lies in $F=\{e\}_{E}^{\perp^{\perp}}$, which is impossible.

Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a linear map between two $J B^{*}$-triples. We shall say that $T$ is orthogonality preserving if $T(x) \perp T(y)$ whenever $x \perp y$. The mapping $T$ is said to be biorthogonality preserving whenever the equivalence

$$
x \perp y \Leftrightarrow T(x) \perp T(y)
$$

holds for all $x, y$ in $E$.
It can be easily seen that every biorthogonality preserving linear mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ between $J B^{*}$-triples is injective. Indeed, for each $x \in E$, the condition $T(x)=0$ implies that $T(x) \perp T(x)$, and hence $x \perp x$, which gives $x=0$.

Orthogonality preserving bounded linear maps from a $J B^{*}$-algebra to a $J B^{*}$-triple were completely described in [11.

Before stating the result, let us recall some basic definitions. Two elements $a$ and $b$ in a $J B^{*}$-algebra $J$ are said to operator commute in $J$ if the multiplication operators $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$ commute, where $M_{a}$ is defined by $M_{a}(x):=a \circ x$. That is, $a$ and $b$ operator commute if and only if $(a \circ x) \circ b=a \circ(x \circ b)$ for all $x$ in $J$. Self-adjoint elements $a$ and $b$ in $J$ generate a $J B^{*}$-subalgebra that can be realised as a $J C^{*}$-subalgebra of some $B(H)$ [36], and, in this realisation, $a$ and $b$ commute in the usual sense whenever they operator commute in $J$ [33, Proposition 1]. Similarly, two self-adjoint elements $a$ and $b$ in $J$ operator commute if and only if $a^{2} \circ b=\{a, a, b\}=\{a, b, a\}$ (i.e., $\left.a^{2} \circ b=2(a \circ b) \circ a-a^{2} \circ b\right)$. If $b \in J$ we use $\{b\}^{\prime}$ to denote the set of elements in $J$ that operator commute with $b$. We shall write $Z(J):=J^{\prime}$ for the center of $J$ (this agrees with the usual notation in von Neumann algebras).

Theorem 3.8 ([11, Theorem 4.1]). Let $T: J \rightarrow E$ be a bounded linear mapping from a $J B^{*}$-algebra to a $J B^{*}$-triple. For $h=T^{* *}(1)$ and $r=r(h)$ the following assertions are equivalent:
(a) $T$ is orthogonality preserving.
(b) There exists a unique Jordan ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism $S: J \rightarrow E_{2}^{* *}(r)$ such that $S^{* *}(1)=r, S(J)$ and $h$ operator commute, and $T(z)=h \circ_{r} S(z)$ for all $z \in J$.
(c) $T$ preserves zero triple products, that is, $\{T(x), T(y), T(z)\}=0$ whenever $\{x, y, z\}=0$.

The above characterisation proves that the bitranspose of an orthogonality preserving bounded linear mapping from a $J B^{*}$-algebra onto a $J B^{*}$-triple is also orthogonality preserving.

The following theorem was essentially proved in [11]. We include here a sketch of proof for completeness.

TheOrem 3.9. Let $T: J \rightarrow E$ be a surjective linear operator from a JBW*-algebra onto a JBW*-triple and let $h$ denote $T(1)$. Then $T$ is biorthogonality preserving if and only if $r(h)$ is a unitary tripotent in $E, h$ is an invertible element in the $J B^{*}$-algebra $E=E_{2}(r(h))$, and there exists a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism $S: J \rightarrow E=E_{2}(r(h))$ such that $S(J) \subseteq\{h\}^{\prime}$ and $T=h \circ_{r(h)} S$. Further, if $J$ is a factor $($ i.e. $Z(J)=\mathbb{C} 1)$ then $T$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We shall prove the necessity. To this end let $T: J \rightarrow E$ be a surjective linear operator from a $J B W^{*}$-algebra onto a $J B W^{*}$-triple and let $h=T(1) \in E$. We have already seen that every biorthogonality preserving linear mapping between $J B^{*}$-triples is injective. Therefore $T$ is a linear bijection.

From Corollary 4.1(b) in [11] and its proof, we deduce that

$$
T\left(J_{\mathrm{sa}}\right) \subseteq E_{2}(r(h))_{\mathrm{sa}}, \quad \text { and hence } \quad E=T(J) \subseteq E_{2}(r(h)) \subseteq E
$$

This implies that $E=E_{2}(r(h))$, which ensures that $r(h)$ is a unitary tripotent in $E$. Since the range tripotent of $h, r(h)$, is the unit of $E_{2}(r(h))$, and $h$ is a positive element in the $J B W^{*}$-algebra $E_{2}(r(h)$ ), we can easily check that $h$ is invertible in $E_{2}(r(h))$. Furthermore, $h^{1 / 2}$ is invertible in $E_{2}(r(h))$ with inverse $h^{-1 / 2}$.

The proof of [11, Theorem 4.1] can be literally applied here to show the existence of a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism $S: J \rightarrow E=E_{2}(r(h))$ such that $S(J) \subseteq\{h\}^{\prime}$ and $T=h \circ_{r(h)} S$. Since, for each $x \in J, h$ and $S(x)$ operator commute and $h^{1 / 2}$ lies in the $J B^{*}$-subalgebra of $E_{2}(r(h))$ generated by $h$, we can easily check that $S(x)$ and $h^{1 / 2}$ operator commute. Thus,

$$
T=h \circ_{r(h)} S=U_{h^{1 / 2}} S
$$

where $U_{h^{1 / 2}}: E_{2}(r(h)) \rightarrow E_{2}(r(h))$ is the linear mapping defined by

$$
U_{h^{1 / 2}}(x)=2\left(h^{1 / 2} \circ_{r(h)} x\right) \circ_{r(h)} h^{1 / 2}-\left(h^{1 / 2} \circ_{r(h)} h^{1 / 2}\right) \circ_{r(h)} x
$$

It is well known that $h^{1 / 2}$ is invertible if and only if $U_{h^{1 / 2}}$ is an invertible operator and, in this case, $U_{h^{1 / 2}}^{-1}=U_{h^{-1 / 2}}$ (cf. [22, Lemma 3.2.10]). Therefore, $S=U_{h^{-1 / 2}} T$. It follows from the bijectivity of $T$ that $S$ is a Jordan *-isomorphism.

Finally, when $Z(J)=\mathbb{C} 1$, the center of $E_{2}(r(h))$ also reduces to $\mathbb{C} r(h)$, and since $h$ is an invertible element in the center of $E_{2}(r(h))$, we deduce that $T$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism.

Proposition 3.10. Let $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $F$ be three $J B^{*}$-triples (respectively, $J B W^{*}$-triples). Let $T: E_{1} \oplus^{\infty} E_{2} \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection. Then $T\left(E_{1}\right)$ and $T\left(E_{2}\right)$ are norm closed (respectively, weak ${ }^{*}$ closed) inner ideals of $F, B=T\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus^{\infty} T\left(A_{2}\right)$, and for $j=1,2$, $\left.T\right|_{A_{j}}: A_{j} \rightarrow T\left(A_{j}\right)$ is a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection.

Proof. Fix $j \in\{1,2\}$. Since $E_{j}=E_{j}^{\perp \perp}$ and $T$ is a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection, we deduce that $T\left(E_{j}\right)=T\left(E_{j}^{\perp \perp}\right)=T\left(E_{j}\right)^{\perp \perp}$. Lemma 3.1 guarantees that $T\left(E_{j}\right)$ is a norm closed inner ideal of $F$ (respectively, a weak* closed inner ideal of $F$ whenever $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $F$ are $J B W^{*}$-triples). The rest of the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1 and the fact that $F$ coincides with the orthogonal sum of $T\left(E_{1}\right)$ and $T\left(E_{2}\right)$.
4. Biorthogonality preservers between weakly compact $J B^{*}$ triples. The following theorem generalises [12, Theorem 5] by proving that biorthogonality preserving linear surjections between $J B^{*}$-triples send minimal tripotents to scalar multiples of minimal tripotents.

Theorem 4.1. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two $J B^{*}$-triples and let e be a minimal tripotent in $E$. Then $\|T(e)\|^{-1} T(e)=f_{e}$ is a minimal tripotent in F. Further, $T\left(E_{2}(e)\right)=$ $F_{2}\left(f_{e}\right)$ and $T\left(E_{0}(e)\right)=F_{0}\left(f_{e}\right)$.

Proof. Since $T$ is a biorthogonality preserving surjection, the equality

$$
T\left(S_{E}^{\perp}\right)=T(S)_{F}^{\perp}
$$

holds for every subset $S$ of $E$. Lemma 3.1 ensures that for each minimal tripotent $e$ in $E,\{T(e)\}_{F}^{\perp}{ }^{\perp}=T\left(\{e\}_{E}^{\perp}{ }_{E}^{\perp}\right)$ is a norm closed inner ideal in $F$. By Proposition 3.7, $\{e\}_{E}^{\perp} \perp$ is a rank-one $J B^{*}$-triple, and hence $\{T(e)\}_{F}^{\perp}{ }^{\perp}$ cannot contain two nonzero orthogonal elements. Thus, $\{T(e)\}_{F}^{\perp}$ is a rankone $J B^{*}$-triple.

The arguments given in the proof of Proposition 3.7 above (see also Proposition 4.5.(iii) in [7] and its proof or [4, §3]) show that the inner ideal $\{T(e)\} \stackrel{\perp}{F}$ is a rank-one Cartan factor, and hence a type 1 Cartan factor of the form $L(H, \mathbb{C})$, where $H$ is a complex Hilbert space, or a type 2 Cartan factor $I I_{3}$ (it is known that $I I_{3}$ is a $J B^{*}$-triple isomorphic to a 3-dimensional complex Hilbert space). This implies that $\|T(e)\|^{-1} T(e)=f_{e}$ is a minimal tripotent in $F$ and $T(e)=\lambda_{e} f_{e}$ for a suitable $\lambda_{e} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$.

The equality $T\left(E_{2}(e)\right)=F_{2}\left(f_{e}\right)$ has been proved. Concerning the Peirce zero subspace we have

$$
T\left(E_{0}(e)\right)=T\left(E_{2}(e) \frac{\perp}{E}\right)=T\left(E_{2}(e)\right) \frac{\perp}{F}=F_{2}\left(f_{e}\right) \frac{\perp}{F}=F_{0}\left(f_{e}\right)
$$

Let $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ be complex Hilbert spaces. Given $k \in H^{\prime}$ and $h \in H$, we define $k \otimes h$ in $L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ by $k \otimes h(\xi):=(\xi \mid h) k$. Then every minimal tripotent
in $L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ can be written in the form $k \otimes h$, where $h$ and $k$ are norm-one elements in $H$ and $H^{\prime}$, respectively. It can be easily seen that two minimal tripotents $k_{1} \otimes h_{1}$ and $k_{2} \otimes h_{2}$ are orthogonal if and only if $h_{1} \perp h_{2}$ and $k_{1} \perp k_{2}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two JB*-triples, where $E$ is a type $I_{n, m}$ Cartan factor with $n, m \geq 2$. Then there exists a positive real number $\lambda$ such that $\|T(e)\|$ $=\lambda$ for every minimal tripotent e in $E$.

Proof. Let $H, H^{\prime}$ be complex Hilbert spaces such that $E=L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$. Let $e_{1}:=k_{1} \otimes h_{1}$ and $e_{2}:=k_{2} \otimes h_{2}$ be two minimal tripotents in $E$. We write $H_{1}=\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\}\right)$ and $H_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{span}\left(\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}\right)$. The tripotents $k_{1} \otimes h_{1}$ and $k_{2} \otimes h_{2}$ can be identified with elements in $L\left(H_{1}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 4.1, $T\left(e_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1} f_{1}$ and $T\left(e_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2} f_{2}$, where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are two minimal tripotents in $F$.

If $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)=2$, then the norm closed inner ideal $E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}$ of $E$ generated by $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ identifies with $L\left(H_{1}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, which is $J B^{*}$-isomorphic to $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and coincides with the inner ideal generated by the orthogonal minimal tripotents $g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, where $g_{1}+g_{2}$ is the unit element in $E_{e_{1}, e_{2}} \cong M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

By Theorem 4.1, $w_{1}:=\frac{1}{\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\|} T\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $w_{2}:=\frac{1}{\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\|} T\left(g_{2}\right)$ are orthogonal minimal tripotents in $F$. The element $w=w_{1}+w_{2}$ is a rank- 2 tripotent in $F$ and coincides with the range tripotent of the element $h=T\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=$ $\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\| w_{1}+\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\| w_{2}$. By Theorem 3.8 (see also [11, Corollary 4.1(b)]), $T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right) \subseteq F_{2}(w)$. It is not hard to see that $h$ is invertible in $F_{2}(w)$ with inverse $h^{-1}=\frac{1}{\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\|} w_{1}+\frac{1}{\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\|} w_{2}$.

The inner ideal $E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}$ is finite-dimensional, $T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)$ is norm closed and $\left.T\right|_{E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}}: E_{e_{1}, e_{2}} \rightarrow F$ is a continuous biorthogonality preserving linear operator. Theorem 3.8 guarantees the existence of a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-homomorphism $S: E_{e_{1}, e_{2}} \cong M_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow F_{2}(w)$ such that $S\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=w, S\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)$ and $h$ operator commute and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=h \circ_{w} S(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in E_{e_{1}, e_{2}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the operator commutativity of $h^{-1}$ and $S\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)$ that $S(z)=$ $h^{-1} \circ_{w} T(z)$ for all $z \in E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}$. The injectivity of $T$ implies that $S$ is a Jordan *-monomorphism.

Lemma 2.7 in 19 shows that $F_{2}(w)=F_{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)$ coincides with $\mathbb{C} \oplus^{\ell \infty} \mathbb{C}$ or with a spin factor. Since $4=\operatorname{dim}\left(T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(F_{2}(w)\right)$, we deduce that $F_{2}(w)$ is a spin factor with inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ and conjugation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. From Remark 2.1, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\left(w_{1} \mid w_{1}\right)=$ $1 / 2,\left(w_{1} \mid \bar{w}_{1}\right)=0$, and $w_{2}=\bar{w}_{1}$.

Now, we take $g_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $g_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ in $E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}$. The elements $w_{3}:=$ $S\left(g_{3}\right)$ and $w_{4}:=S\left(g_{4}\right)$ are orthogonal minimal tripotents in $F_{2}(w)$ with $\left\{w_{i}, w_{i}, w_{j}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} w_{j}$ for every $(i, j),(j, i) \in\{1,2\} \times\{3,4\}$. Applying again Remark 2.1, we may assume that $\left(w_{3} \mid w_{3}\right)=1 / 2,\left(w_{3} \mid \bar{w}_{3}\right)=0, w_{4}=\bar{w}_{3}$, and $\left(w_{3} \mid w_{1}\right)=\left(w_{3} \mid w_{2}\right)=0$. Applying the definition of the triple product in a spin factor given in (2.4) we can check that ( $w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{2}=\bar{w}_{1}, w_{4}=\bar{w}_{3}$ ) are four minimal tripotents in $F_{2}(w)$ with $w_{1} \perp w_{2}, w_{3} \perp w_{4},\left\{w_{i}, w_{i}, w_{j}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} w_{j}$ for every $(i, j),(j, i) \in\{1,2\} \times\{3,4\},\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{2}\right\}=-\frac{1}{2} w_{4},\left\{w_{3}, w_{2},-w_{4}\right\}=$ $\frac{1}{2} w_{1},\left\{w_{2},-w_{4}, w_{1}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} w_{3}$, and $\left\{-w_{4}, w_{1}, w_{3}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} w_{2}$. Thus, denoting by $M$ the $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $F_{2}(w)$ generated by $w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{2}$, and $w_{4}$, we have shown that $M$ is a $J B^{*}$-triple isomorphic to $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Combining (4.1) and (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(g_{3}\right)=h \circ_{w} S\left(g_{3}\right)=\left\{h, w, w_{3}\right\}=\frac{\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\|}{2} w_{3} \\
& T\left(g_{4}\right)=h \circ_{w} S\left(g_{4}\right)=\left\{h, w, w_{4}\right\}=\frac{\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\|}{2} w_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T\left(g_{1}\right)=\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\| w_{1}, T\left(g_{2}\right)=\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\| w_{2}$, and $E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}$ is linearly generated by $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}$ and $g_{4}$, we deduce that $T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right) \subseteq M$ with $4=\operatorname{dim}\left(T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)\right)$ $\leq \operatorname{dim}(M)=4$. Thus, $T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)=M$ is a $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $F$.

The mapping $\left.T\right|_{E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}}: E_{e_{1}, e_{2}} \cong M_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T\left(E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}\right)$ is a continuous biorthogonality preserving linear bijection. Theorem 3.9 implies that $\left.T\right|_{E_{e_{1}, e_{2}}}$ is a (nonzero) scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism, and hence $\left\|T\left(e_{1}\right)\right\|=\left\|T\left(e_{2}\right)\right\|$.

If $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{1}^{\prime}\right)=1$, then $L\left(H_{1}, H_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a rank-one $J B^{*}$-triple. Since $n, m \geq 2$, we can find a minimal tripotent $e$ in $E$ such that the norm closed inner ideals of $E$ generated by $\left\{e, e_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{e, e_{2}\right\}$ both coincide with $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. The arguments in the above paragraph show that $\left\|T\left(e_{1}\right)\right\|=\|T(e)\|=\left\|T\left(e_{2}\right)\right\|$.

Finally, the case $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{1}\right)=1$ follows from the same arguments.
REmark 4.3. Given a sequence $\left(\mu_{n}\right) \subset c_{0}$ and a bounded sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in a Banach space $X$, the series $\sum_{k} \mu_{k} x_{k}$ need not be, in general, convergent in $X$. However, when $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence of mutually orthogonal elements in a $J B^{*}$-triple $E$, the equality

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} x_{k}-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{k} x_{k}\right\|=\max \left\{\left|\mu_{n+1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\mu_{m}\right|\right\} \sup \left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right\}
$$

holds for every $n<m$ in $\mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} x_{k}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence converges in $E$.

The following three results generalise [12, Lemmas 8, 9 and Proposition 10 ] to the setting of $J B^{*}$-triples.

Lemma 4.4. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two JB*-triples and let $\left(e_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in $E$. Then there exist positive constants $m \leq M$ satisfying $m \leq\left\|T\left(e_{n}\right)\right\| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We deduce from Theorem 4.1 that, for each natural $n$, there exist a minimal tripotent $f_{n}$ and a scalar $\lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $T\left(e_{n}\right)=\lambda_{n} f_{n}$, where $\left\|T\left(e_{n}\right)\right\|=\lambda_{n}$. Note that $T$ being biorthogonality preserving implies $\left(f_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in $F$.

Let $\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ be any sequence in $c_{0}$. Since the $e_{n}$ 's are mutually orthogonal the series $\sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_{k} e_{k}$ converges to an element in $E$ (cf. Remark 4.3). For each natural $n, \sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_{k} e_{k}$ decomposes as the orthogonal sum of $\mu_{n} e_{n}$ and $\sum_{k \neq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}$, therefore

$$
T\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)=\mu_{n} \lambda_{n} f_{n}+T\left(\sum_{k \neq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)
$$

with $\mu_{n} \lambda_{n} f_{n} \perp T\left(\sum_{k \neq n}^{\infty} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)$, which in particular implies

$$
\left\|T\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)\right\|=\max \left\{\left|\mu_{n}\right|\left|\lambda_{n}\right|,\left\|T\left(\sum_{k \neq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)\right\|\right\} \geq\left|\mu_{n}\right|\left|\lambda_{n}\right|
$$

This establishes that, for each $\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ in $c_{0},\left(\mu_{n} \lambda_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence, which in particular implies that $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is bounded.

Finally, since $T$ is a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection and $T^{-1}\left(f_{n}\right)=\lambda_{n}^{-1} e_{n}$, we can similarly show that $\left(\lambda_{n}^{-1}\right)$ is also bounded.

Lemma 4.5. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two $J B^{*}$-triples, $\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ a sequence in $c_{0}$, and $\left(e_{n}\right)$ a sequence of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in $E$. Then the sequence $\left(T\left(\sum_{k \geq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)\right)_{n}$ is well defined and converges in norm to zero.

Proof. From Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.4 it follows that $\left(T\left(e_{n}\right)\right)$ is a bounded sequence of mutually orthogonal elements in $F$. Let $M$ denote a bound of the above sequence. For each natural $n$, Remark 4.3 ensures that the series $\sum_{k \geq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}$ converges.

Define $y_{n}:=T\left(\sum_{k \geq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)$. We claim that $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $F$. Indeed, given $n<m$ in $\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\| & =\left\|T\left(\sum_{k \geq n}^{m-1} \mu_{k} e_{k}\right)\right\|=\left\|\sum_{k \geq n}^{m-1} \mu_{k} T\left(e_{k}\right)\right\|  \tag{4.2}\\
& \leq M \max \left\{\left|\mu_{n}\right|, \ldots,\left|\mu_{m-1}\right|\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that $\left(T\left(e_{n}\right)\right)$ is a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements. Consequently, $\left(y_{n}\right)$ converges in norm to some element $y_{0}$ in $F$. Let $z_{0}$ denote $T^{-1}\left(y_{0}\right)$.

Fix a natural $m$. By hypothesis, for each $n>m, e_{m}$ is orthogonal to $\sum_{k \geq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}$. This implies that $T\left(e_{m}\right) \perp y_{n}$ for every $n>m$, which in particular implies $\left\{T\left(e_{m}\right), T\left(e_{m}\right), y_{n}\right\}=0$ for every $n>m$. Letting $n$ tend to $\infty$ we have $\left\{T\left(e_{m}\right), T\left(e_{m}\right), y_{0}\right\}=0$. This shows that $y_{0}=T\left(z_{0}\right)$ is orthogonal to $T\left(e_{m}\right)$, and hence $e_{m} \perp z_{0}$. Since $m$ was arbitrary, we deduce that $z_{0}$ is orthogonal to $\sum_{k \geq n} \mu_{k} e_{k}$ for every $n$. Therefore, $\left(y_{n}\right) \subset\left\{y_{0}\right\}^{\perp}$, and hence $y_{0}$ belongs to the norm closure of $\left\{y_{0}\right\}^{\perp}$, which implies $y_{0}=0$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two $J B^{*}$-triples, where $E$ is weakly compact. Then $T$ is continuous if and only if the set $\mathcal{T}:=\{\|T(e)\|:$ e a minimal tripotent in $E\}$ is bounded. Moreover, in that case $\|T\|=\sup (\mathcal{T})$.

Proof. The necessity being obvious, suppose that

$$
M=\sup \{\|T(e)\|: e \text { a minimal tripotent in } E\}<\infty
$$

Since $E$ is weakly compact, each nonzero element $x$ of $E$ can be written as a norm convergent (possibly finite) sum $x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} u_{n}$, where $u_{n}$ are mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents of $E$, and $\|x\|=\sup \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|: n \geq 1\right\}$ (cf. Remark 4.6 in [7]). If the series $x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} u_{n}$ is finite then

$$
\|T(x)\|=\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m} \lambda_{n} T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \stackrel{(*)}{=} \max \left\{\left\|\lambda_{n} T\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|: n=1, \ldots, m\right\} \leq M\|x\|
$$

where at $(*)$ we apply the fact that $\left(T\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$ is a finite set of mutually orthogonal tripotents in $F$. When the series $x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} u_{n}$ is infinite we may assume that $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in c_{0}$.

It follows from Lemma 4.5 that the sequence $\left(T\left(\sum_{k \geq n} \lambda_{k} u_{k}\right)\right)_{n}$ is well defined and converges in norm to zero. We can find a natural $m$ such that $\left\|T\left(\sum_{k \geq m} \lambda_{k} u_{k}\right)\right\|<M\|x\|$. Since the elements $\lambda_{1} u_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1} u_{m-1}$, $\sum_{k \geq m} \lambda_{k} u_{k}$ are mutually orthogonal, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(x)\| & =\max \left\{\left\|T\left(\lambda_{1} u_{1}\right)\right\|, \ldots,\left\|T\left(\lambda_{m-1} u_{m-1}\right)\right\|,\left\|T\left(\sum_{k \geq m} \lambda_{k} u_{k}\right)\right\|\right\} \\
& \leq M\|x\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $E$ be an elementary $J B^{*}$-triple of type 1 (that is, an elementary $J B^{*}$-triple such that $E^{* *}$ is a type 1 Cartan factor), and let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection from $E$ onto another $J B^{*}$-triple. Then by Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.6, $T$ is continuous. Further, we claim that $T$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism. Indeed, let us see that $S=(1 / \lambda) T$ is a triple isomorphism, where $\lambda=\|T(e)\|=\|T\|$ for some (and hence any) minimal tripotent $e$ in $E$ (cf. Theorem 4.2). Let $x \in E$. Then $x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} e_{n}$ for a suitable $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in c_{0}$ and a family of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents $\left(e_{n}\right)$ in $E$ [7, Remark 4.6]. Then by observing that $T$ is
continuous we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|S(x)\| & =\frac{1}{\lambda}\|T(x)\|=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\|T\left(\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} e_{n}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left\|\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} T\left(e_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda} \sup _{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\left\|T\left(e_{n}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{\lambda} \sup _{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \lambda=\sup _{n}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|=\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $S$ is a surjective linear isometry between $J B^{*}$-triples, and hence a triple isomorphism (see [26, Proposition 5.5], [5, Corollary 3.4], [18, Theorem 2.2]). We have thus proved the following result:

Corollary 4.7. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection from a type 1 elementary $J B^{*}$-triple of rank greater than one onto another $J B^{*}$-triple. Then $T$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism.

Let $p$ and $q$ be two minimal projections in a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ with $q \neq p$. It is known that the $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $A$ generated by $p$ and $q$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \oplus^{\infty} \mathbb{C}$ when $p$ and $q$ are orthogonal, and isomorphic to $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ otherwise. More concretely, by [31, Theorem 1.3] (see also [29, §3]), denoting by $C_{p, q}$ the $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $A$ generated by $p$ and $q$, we have the following statements:
(a) If $p \perp q$ then there exists an isometric $C^{*}$-isomorphism $\Phi: C_{p, q} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C} \oplus^{\infty} \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi(p)=(1,0)$ and $\Phi(q)=(0,1)$.
(b) If $p$ and $q$ are not orthogonal then there exist $0<t<1$ and an isometric $C^{*}$-isomorphism $\Phi: C_{p, q} \rightarrow M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\Phi(p)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(q)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\
\sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the setting of $J B^{*}$-algebras we have:
Lemma 4.8. Let $p$ and $q$ be two minimal projections in a $J B^{*}$-algebra $J$ with $q \neq p$ and let $J_{p, q}$ denote the $J B^{*}$-subalgebra of $J$ generated by $p$ and $q$.
(a) If $p \perp q$ then there exists an isometric $J B^{*}$-isomorphism $\Phi: J_{p, q} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C} \oplus^{\infty} \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi(p)=(1,0)$ and $\Phi(q)=(0,1)$.
(b) If $p$ and $q$ are not orthogonal then there exist $0<t<1$ and an isometric $J B^{*}$-isomorphism $\Phi: C \rightarrow S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\Phi(p)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi(q)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\
\sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the type 3 Cartan factor of all symmetric operators on a two-dimensional complex Hilbert space.
Moreover, the $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $J$ generated by $p$ and $q$ coincides with $J_{p, q}$.

Proof. Statement (a) is clear. Now assume that $p$ and $q$ are not orthogonal. The Shirshov-Cohn theorem (see [22, Theorem 7.2.5]) ensures that $J_{p, q}$ is a $J C^{*}$-algebra, that is, a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra of some $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. The symbol $C_{p, q}$ will stand for the (associative) $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $A$ generated by $p$ and $q$. Set

$$
P:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad Q:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\
\sqrt{t(1-t)} & 1-t
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have already mentioned that there exist $0<t<1$ and an isometric $C^{*}$-isomorphism $\Phi: C_{p, q} \rightarrow M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Phi(p)=P$ and $\Phi(q)=Q$.

Since $J_{p, q}$ is a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-subalgebra of $C_{p, q}, J_{p, q}$ can be identified with the Jordan *-subalgebra of $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the matrices $P$ and $Q$. It can be easily checked that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \circ Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t(1-t)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& 2 P \circ Q-2 t P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sqrt{t(1-t)} \\
\sqrt{t(1-t)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& Q-(2 P \circ Q-2 t P)-t P=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1-t
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These identities show that $J_{p, q}$ contains the generators of the $J B^{*}$-algebra $S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, and hence identifies with $S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

In order to prove the last assertion, let $E_{p, q}$ denote the $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $J$ generated by $p$ and $q$. As $J_{p, q}$ is itself a subtriple containing $p$ and $q$, we have $E_{p, q} \subseteq J_{p, q}$. If $p \perp q$ then it can easily be seen that $E_{p, q} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \cong J_{p, q}$. Now assume that $p$ and $q$ are not orthogonal.

From Proposition 5 in [20], $E_{p, q}$ is a $J B^{*}$-triple isometrically isomorphic to $M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ or $S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. If $E_{p, q}$ is a rank-one $J B^{*}$-triple, that is, $E \cong M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, then $P_{0}(p)(q)$ must be zero. Thus, according to the above representation, we have $1-t=0$, which is impossible.

A $J B^{*}$-algebra which is a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-triple will be called weakly compact or dual (see [6]). Every positive element $x$ in a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-algebra $J$ can be written in the form $x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} p_{n}$ for a suitable $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in c_{0}$ and a family $\left(p_{n}\right)$ of mutually orthogonal minimal projections in $J$ (see Theorem 3.3 in [6).

Our next theorem extends [12, Theorem 11].
Theorem 4.9. Let $T: J \rightarrow E$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection from a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-algebra onto a $J B^{*}$-triple. Then $T$ is continuous and $\|T\| \leq 2 \sup \{\|T(p)\|: p$ a minimal projection in $J\}$.

Proof. Since $J$ is a $J B^{*}$-algebra, it is enough to show that $T$ is bounded on positive norm-one elements. In this case, it suffices to prove that the set

$$
\mathcal{P}=\{\|T(p)\|: p \text { a minimal projection in } J\}
$$

is bounded (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.6).
Suppose, on the contrary, that $\mathcal{P}$ is unbounded. We shall show by induction that there exists a sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ of mutually orthogonal minimal projections in $J$ such that $\left\|T\left(p_{n}\right)\right\|>n$.

The case $n=1$ is clear. The induction hypothesis guarantees the existence of mutually orthogonal minimal projections $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ in $J$ with $\left\|T\left(p_{k}\right)\right\|>k$ for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

By assumption, there exists a minimal projection $q \in J$ satisfying

$$
\|T(q)\|>\max \left\{\left\|T\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|, \ldots,\left\|T\left(p_{n}\right)\right\|, n+1\right\}
$$

We claim that $q$ must be orthogonal to each $p_{j}$. If that is not the case, there exists $j$ such that $p_{j}$ and $q$ are not orthogonal. Let $C$ denote the $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $J$ generated by $q$ and $p_{j}$. We conclude from Lemma 4.8 that $C$ is isomorphic to the $J B^{*}$-algebra $S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $g_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $g_{1}+g_{2}$ is the unit element in $C \cong S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. By Theorem 4.1, $w_{1}:=\frac{1}{\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\|} T\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $w_{2}:=\frac{1}{\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\|} T\left(g_{2}\right)$ are two orthogonal minimal tripotents in $E$. The element $w=w_{1}+w_{2}$ is a rank-2 tripotent in $E$ and coincides with the range tripotent of the element $h=T\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\| w_{1}+\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\| w_{2}$. Furthermore, $h$ is invertible in $E_{2}(w)$, and by Theorem 3.8 (see also [11, Corollary 4.1(b)]), $T(C) \subseteq E_{2}(w)$.

The rest of the argument is parallel to the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.2,

The finite-dimensionality of the $J B^{*}$-subtriple $C$ ensures that $T(C)$ is norm closed and $\left.T\right|_{C}: C \cong S_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow E$ is a continuous biorthogonality preserving linear operator. Theorem 3.8 guarantees the existence of a Jordan *-homomorphism $S: C \rightarrow E_{2}(w)$ such that $S\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right)=w, S(C)$ and $h$ operator commute and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=h \circ_{w} S(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in C . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the operator commutativity of $h^{-1}$ and $S(C)$ that $S(z)=$ $h^{-1} \circ_{w} T(z)$ for all $z \in C$. The injectivity of $T$ implies that $S$ is a Jordan *-monomorphism.

Lemma 2.7 in [19] shows that $E_{2}(w)=E_{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)$ coincides with $\mathbb{C} \oplus^{\ell \infty} \mathbb{C}$ or with a spin factor. Since $3=\operatorname{dim}(T(C)) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(E_{2}(w)\right)$, we deduce that $E_{2}(w)$ is a spin factor with inner product $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ and conjugation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. We may assume, by Remark 2.1, that $\left(w_{1} \mid w_{1}\right)=1 / 2,\left(w_{1} \mid \bar{w}_{1}\right)=0$, and $w_{2}=\bar{w}_{1}$.

Now, taking $g_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \in C \cong S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, the element $w_{3}:=S\left(g_{3}\right)$ is a tripotent in $E_{2}(w)$ with $\left\{w_{i}, w_{i}, w_{3}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} w_{3}$ for every $i \in\{1,2\}$. Remark 2.1 implies that $\left(w_{3} \mid w_{1}\right)=\left(w_{3} \mid w_{2}\right)=0$. Let $M$ denote the $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $E_{2}(w)$ generated by $w_{1}, w_{2}$, and $w_{3}$. The mapping $S: C \cong S_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M$ is a Jordan ${ }^{*}$-isomorphism.

Combining (4.3) and (2.4) we get

$$
T\left(g_{3}\right)=h \circ_{w} S\left(g_{3}\right)=\left\{h, w, w_{3}\right\}=\frac{\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\|}{2} w_{3}
$$

Since $T\left(g_{1}\right)=\left\|T\left(g_{1}\right)\right\| w_{1}, T\left(g_{2}\right)=\left\|T\left(g_{2}\right)\right\| w_{2}$, and $C$ is linearly generated by $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $g_{3}$, we deduce that $T(C) \subseteq M$ with $3=\operatorname{dim}(T(C)) \leq$ $\operatorname{dim}(M)=3$. Thus, $T(C)=M$ is a $J B^{*}$-subtriple of $E$.

The mapping $\left.T\right|_{C}: C \cong S_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T(C)$ is a continuous biorthogonality preserving linear bijection. Theorem 3.9 guarantees the existence of a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and a triple isomorphism $\Psi: C \rightarrow T(C)$ such that $T(x)=\lambda \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in C$. Since $p_{j}$ and $q$ are projections, $\|\Psi(q)\|=\left\|\Psi\left(p_{j}\right)\right\|=1$. Hence $\left\|T\left(p_{j}\right)\right\|=|\lambda|$ and $\|T(q)\|=|\lambda|$, contradicting the induction hypothesis. Therefore $q \perp p_{j}$ for every $j=1, \ldots, n$.

It follows by induction that there exists a sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ of mutually orthogonal minimal projections in $J$ such that $\left\|T\left(p_{n}\right)\right\|>n$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 / \sqrt{n}) p_{n}$ defines an element $a$ in $J$ (cf. Remark 4.3). For each natural $m, a$ decomposes as the orthogonal sum of $(1 / \sqrt{m}) p_{m}$ and $\sum_{n \neq m}(1 / \sqrt{n}) p_{n}$, therefore

$$
T(a)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} T\left(p_{m}\right)+T\left(\sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} p_{n}\right)
$$

with orthogonal summands. This argument implies that

$$
\|T(a)\|=\max \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\left\|T\left(p_{m}\right)\right\|,\left\|T\left(\sum_{n \neq m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} p_{n}\right)\right\|\right\}>\sqrt{m}
$$

Since $m$ was arbitrary, we have arrived at the desired contradiction.
By Proposition 2 in [23], every Cartan factor of type 1 with $\operatorname{dim}(H)=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, every Cartan factor of type 2 with $\operatorname{dim}(H)$ even or infinite, and every Cartan factor of type 3 is a $J B W^{*}$-algebra factor for a suitable Jordan product and involution. In the case of $C$ being a Cartan factor which is also a $J B W^{*}$-algebra, the corresponding elementary $J B^{*}$-triple $K(C)$ is a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-algebra.

Corollary 4.10. Let $K$ be an elementary $J B^{*}$-triple of type 1 with $\operatorname{dim}(H)=\operatorname{dim}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, or of type 2 with $\operatorname{dim}(H)$ even or infinite, or of type 3. Suppose that $T: K \rightarrow E$ is a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection from $K$ onto a JB*-triple. Then $T$ is continuous. Further, since $K^{* *}$ is a
$J B W^{*}$-algebra factor, Theorem 3.9 ensures that $T$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism.

Theorem 4.11. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between $J B^{*}$-triples, where $E$ is weakly compact containing no infinite-dimensional rank-one summands. Then $T$ is continuous.

Proof. Since $E$ is a weakly compact $J B^{*}$-triple, the statement follows from Proposition 4.6 as soon as we prove that the set

$$
\mathcal{T}:=\{\|T(e)\|: e \text { a minimal tripotent in } E\}
$$

is bounded.
We know that $E=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma}^{c_{0}} K_{\alpha}$, where $\left\{K_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Gamma\right\}$ is a family of elementary $J B^{*}$-triples (see Lemma 3.3 in [7]). Now, Lemma 3.1 guarantees that $T\left(K_{\alpha}\right)=T\left(K_{\alpha}^{\perp \perp}\right)=T\left(K_{\alpha}\right)^{\perp \perp}$ is a norm closed inner ideal for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

For each $\alpha \in \Gamma, K_{\alpha}$ is finite-dimensional, or a type 1 elementary $J B^{*}$ triple of rank greater than one, or a $J B^{*}$-algebra. It follows, by Corollary 4.7 and Theorem 4.9, that $\left.T\right|_{K_{\alpha}}: K_{\alpha} \rightarrow T\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$ is continuous.

Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is unbounded. Having in mind that every minimal tripotent in $E$ belongs to a unique factor $K_{\alpha}$, by Proposition 4.6, there exists a sequence $\left(e_{n}\right)$ of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in $E$ such that $\left\|T\left(e_{n}\right)\right\|$ diverges to $+\infty$. The element $z:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|T\left(e_{n}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{n}$ lies in $E$ and hence $\|T(z)\|<\infty$. We fix an arbitrary natural $m$. Since $z-$ $\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}$ and $\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}$ are orthogonal, we have

$$
T\left(z-\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}\right) \perp T\left(\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\|T(z)\|=\| T\left(z-\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}\right)\right)+T\left(\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}\right) \| \\
& \quad=\max \left\{\left\|T\left(z-\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2} e_{m}\right)\right\|,\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{-1 / 2}\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|\right\} \geq \sqrt{\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts that $\left\|T\left(e_{m}\right)\right\|^{1 / 2} \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded.
Corollary 4.12. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two $J B^{*}$-triples, where $K(E)$ contains no infinitedimensional rank-one summands. Then $\left.T\right|_{K(E)}: K(E) \rightarrow K(F)$ is continuous.

Proof. Pick $x \in K(E)$. It can be written in the form $x=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} u_{n}$, where $u_{n}$ are mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents of $E$, and $\|x\|=$ $\sup \left\{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|: n \geq 1\right\}\left(c f\right.$. Remark 4.6 in [7]). For each natural $m$ we define $y_{m}:=$ $T\left(\sum_{n \geq m+1} \lambda_{n} u_{n}\right)$. Theorem 4.1 guarantees that $T\left(x_{m}\right)=T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{m} \lambda_{n} u_{n}\right)$ defines a sequence in $K(F)$.

Since, by Lemma 4.5, $y_{m} \rightarrow 0$ in norm, we deduce that $T\left(x_{m}\right)=$ $T(x)-y_{m}$ tends to $T(x)$ in norm. Therefore $T(K(E))=K(F)$ and $\left.T\right|_{K(E)}$ : $K(E) \rightarrow K(F)$ is a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between weakly compact $J B^{*}$-triples. The result now follows from Theorem 4.11. -

Remark 4.13. In Remark 15 of [10] it was already pointed out that the conclusion of Theorem 4.11 is no longer true if we allow $E$ to have infinite-dimensional rank-one summands. Indeed, let $E=L(H) \oplus^{\infty} L(H, \mathbb{C})$, where $H$ is an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. We can always find an unbounded bijection $S: L(H, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L(H, \mathbb{C})$. Since $L(H, \mathbb{C})$ is a rank-one $J B^{*}$-triple, $S$ is a biorthogonality preserving linear bijection and the mapping $T: E \rightarrow E$ given by $x+y \mapsto x+S(y)$ has the same properties.

Corollary 4.14. Two weakly compact JB*-triples containing no rankone summands are isomorphic if and only if there exists a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between them.
5. Biorthogonality preservers between atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples. A $J B W^{*}$-triple $E$ is said to be atomic if it coincides with the weak ${ }^{*}$ closed ideal generated by its minimal tripotents. Every atomic $J B W^{*}$-triple can be written as an $\ell_{\infty}$-sum of Cartan factors [21].

The aim of this section is to study when the existence of a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between two atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples implies that they are isomorphic (note that continuity is not assumed). We shall establish an automatic continuity result for biorthogonality preserving linear surjections between atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples containing no rank-one factors.

Before dealing with the main result, we survey some results describing the elements in the predual of a Cartan factor. We make use of the description of the predual of $L(H)$ in terms of the trace class operators (cf. [32, §II.1]). The results, included here for completeness, are direct consequences of this description but we do not know an explicit reference.

Let $C=L\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)$ be a type 1 Cartan factor. Lemma 2.6 in [30] ensures that each $\varphi$ in $C_{*}$ can be written in the form $\varphi:=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} \varphi_{n}$, where $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\ell_{1}^{+}$and each $\varphi_{n}$ is an extreme point of the closed unit ball of $C_{*}$. More concretely, for each natural $n$ there exist norm-one elements $h_{n} \in H$ and $k_{n} \in H^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi_{n}(x)=\left(x\left(h_{n}\right) \mid k_{n}\right)$ for every $x \in C$, that is, for each natural $n$ there exists a minimal tripotent $e_{n}$ in $C$ such that $P_{2}\left(e_{n}\right)(x)=\varphi_{n}(x) e_{n}$ for every $x \in C$ (cf. [20, Proposition 4]).

We now consider (infinite-dimensional) type 2 and type 3 Cartan factors. Let $j$ be a conjugation on a complex Hilbert space $H$, and consider the linear involution on $L(H)$ defined by $x \mapsto x^{t}:=j x^{*} j$. Let $C_{2}=\{x \in L(H)$ :
$\left.x^{t}=-x\right\}$ and $C_{3}=\left\{x \in L(H): x^{t}=x\right\}$ be Cartan factors of type 2 and 3, respectively.

Noticing that $L(H)=C_{2} \oplus C_{3}$, it is easy to see that every element $\varphi$ in $\left(C_{2}\right)_{*}$ (respectively, $\left.\left(C_{3}\right)_{*}\right)$ admits an extension of the form $\widetilde{\varphi}=\varphi \pi$, where $\pi$ denotes the canonical projection of $L(H)$ onto $C_{2}$ (respectively, $C_{3}$ ). Making use of [32, Lemma 1.5], we can find an element $x_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \in K(H)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{\widetilde{\varphi}}(h) \mid k\right)=\widetilde{\varphi}(h \otimes k) \quad(h, k \in H) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for each $x \in L(H), \widetilde{\varphi}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(x-x^{t}\right)$, we can easily check, via (5.1), that $x_{\widetilde{\varphi}}^{t}=-x_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$. Therefore $x_{\widetilde{\varphi}} \in K_{2}=K\left(C_{2}\right)$. From [7, Remark 4.6] it may be deduced that $x_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ can be (uniquely) written as a norm convergent (possibly finite) sum $x_{\tilde{\varphi}}=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} u_{n}$, where $u_{n}$ are mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in $K_{2}$ and $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in c_{0}$ (notice that $u_{n}$ is a minimal tripotent in $C_{2}$ but it need not be minimal in $L(H)$; in any case, either $u_{n}$ is minimal in $L(H)$ or it can be written as a convex combination of two minimal tripotents in $L(H)$ ). For each $\left(\beta_{n}\right) \in c_{0}, z:=\sum_{n} \beta_{n} u_{n} \in K_{2}$ and, by (5.1), $\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \beta_{n}=\widetilde{\varphi}(z)=\varphi(z)<\infty$. Thus, $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in \ell_{1}$, and another application of (5.1) shows that $\varphi(x)=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)$ for all $x \in C_{2}$, where $\varphi_{n}$ lies in $\left(C_{2}\right)_{*}$ and satisfies $P_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)(x)=\varphi_{n}(x) u_{n}$. A similar reasoning remains true for $C_{3}$.

We have thus proved:
Proposition 5.1. Let $C$ be an infinite-dimensional Cartan factor of type 1, 2 or 3. For each $\varphi$ in $C_{*}$, there exist a sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in \ell_{1}$ and a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents in $C$ such that

$$
\|\varphi\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(x)=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} \varphi_{n}(x) \quad(x \in C)
$$

where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_{n}(x) u_{n}=P_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)(x)(x \in C)$.
Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples, where $E$ contains no rank-one Cartan factors. In this case $K(E)$ and $K(F)$ are weakly compact $J B^{*}$-triples with $K(E)^{* *}=E$ and $K(F)^{* *}=F$. Corollary 4.12 ensures that $\left.T\right|_{K(E)}: K(E) \rightarrow K(F)$ is continuous. This is not, a priori, enough to guarantee that $T$ is continuous. In fact, for each nonreflexive Banach space $X$ there exists an unbounded linear operator $S: X^{* *} \rightarrow X^{* *}$ such that $\left.S\right|_{X}: X \rightarrow X$ is continuous. The main result of this section establishes that a mapping $T$ as above is automatically continuous.

TheOrem 5.2. Let $T: E \rightarrow F$ be a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples, where $E$ contains no rank-one Cartan factors. Then $T$ is continuous.

Proof. Corollary 4.12 ensures that $\left.T\right|_{K(E)}: K(E) \rightarrow K(F)$ is continuous. By Lemma 3.3 in [7], $K(E)$ decomposes as a $c_{0}$-sum of all elementary triple ideals of $E$, that is, if $E=\bigoplus^{\ell \infty} C_{\alpha}$, where each $C_{\alpha}$ is a Cartan factor, then $K(E)=\bigoplus^{c_{0}} K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)$. By Proposition 3.10, for each $\alpha, T\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$ (respectively, $T\left(C_{\alpha}\right)$ is a norm closed (respectively, weak* closed) inner ideal of $K(F)$ (respectively, $F$ ) and $K(F)=\bigoplus^{c_{0}} T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right.$ ) (respectively, $\left.F=\bigoplus^{c_{0}} T\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)$.

For each $\alpha, C_{\alpha}$ is either finite-dimensional, or an infinite-dimensional Cartan factor of type 1,2 or 3 . Corollaries 4.7 and 4.10 prove that the operator $\left.T\right|_{K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)}: K\left(C_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism. We claim that, for each $\alpha$ and each $\varphi_{\alpha}$ in the predual of $T\left(C_{\alpha}\right), \varphi_{\alpha} T$ is weak* continuous. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $C_{\alpha}$ is infinite-dimensional.

Each minimal tripotent $f$ in $F$ lies in a unique elementary $J B^{*}$-triple $T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)$. Since $\left.T\right|_{K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)}: K\left(C_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)$ is a scalar multiple of a triple isomorphism, there exist a nonzero scalar $\lambda_{\alpha}$ and a minimal tripotent $e$ satisfying $T^{-1}(f)=\lambda_{\alpha} e,\left|\lambda_{\alpha}\right| \leq\left\|\left(\left.T\right|_{K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq\left\|\left(\left.T\right|_{K(E)}\right)^{-1}\right\|$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{i}(e)\right)=T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)_{i}(f) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $i=0,1,2$. Theorem 4.1 shows that $T\left(\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{i}(e)\right)=T\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{i}(f)$ for every $i=0,2$. Since $K(E)$ is an ideal of $E$ and $e$ is a minimal tripotent, $\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{1}(e)=E_{1}(e)=K(E)_{1}(e)=K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{1}(e)$. It follows from (5.2) that

$$
T\left(\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{i}(e)\right)=T\left(\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)_{i}(f)
$$

for every $i=0,1,2$. Consequently, $P_{2}(f) T=\lambda_{\alpha}^{-1} P_{2}(e) \in\left(C_{\alpha}\right)_{*}$, and $\left|\lambda_{\alpha}^{-1}\right| \leq$ $\left\|\left.T\right|_{K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)}\right\| \leq\left\|\left.T\right|_{K(E)}\right\|$.

Since $f$ was an arbitrary minimal tripotent in $F$ (equivalently, in $\left.T\left(K\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right)$, Proposition 5.1 ensures that $\varphi_{\alpha} T \in E_{*}$ with $\left\|\varphi_{\alpha} T\right\| \leq\left\|\left.T\right|_{K(E)}\right\|$ for every $\varphi_{\alpha} \in\left(T\left(C_{\alpha}\right)\right)_{*}$. Therefore, $T$ is bounded with

$$
\|T\| \leq\left\|\left.T\right|_{K(E)}\right\| \leq\|T\|
$$

Corollary 5.3. Two atomic JBW ${ }^{*}$-triples containing no rank-one summands are isomorphic if and only if there is a biorthogonality preserving linear surjection between them.

The conclusion of Theorem 5.2 does not hold for atomic $J B W^{*}$-triples containing rank-one summands.
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