The minimal displacement problem in subspaces of the space of continuous functions of finite codimension

by

KRZYSZTOF BOLIBOK (Lublin)

Abstract. We show that every subspace of finite codimension of the space C[0, 1] is extremal with respect to the minimal displacement problem.

1. Introduction. In 1930 Schauder [14] proved that convex and compact subsets of Banach spaces have the fixed point property (fpp for short) for continuous mappings. Kakutani [10] was probably the first to give examples of bounded, closed and convex subsets in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces without fpp for continuous (even lipschitzian) mappings. Much stronger results concerning the failure of Schauder's theorem have been obtained by Klee [11], Nowak [13], Benyamini and Sternfeld [3] and Sternfeld and Lin [12].

In 1973 Goebel [8] introduced the notion of minimal displacement. Let C be a bounded, closed and convex subset of an infinite-dimensional Banach space X, and let $T: C \to C$. The *minimal displacement* of T is the number

$$d_T = \inf\{\|x - Tx\| : x \in C\}.$$

Goebel showed that d_T can be positive for lipschitzian mappings and he proved a basic property of the minimal displacement for lipschitzian mappings:

$$d_T \le (1 - 1/k)r(C) \qquad \text{for } k \ge 1,$$

where

$$r(C) = \inf \left\{ \sup \{ \|x - y\| : y \in C \} : x \in C \right\}$$

is the Chebyshev radius of C and k is a Lipschitz constant of T. There are some spaces and sets for which $d_T = (1 - 1/k)r(C)$. Goebel also introduced the so called *minimal displacement characteristic* of X. This is a function

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H09, 47H10.

Key words and phrases: minimal displacement, extremal space, subspaces of C[0, 1].

defined for $k \ge 1$ as

$$\psi_X(k) = \sup\{d_T : T : B \to B, T \in L(k)\},\$$

where B is the closed unit ball in X and L(k) denotes the class of lipschitzian mappings with constant k. It is known that

$$\psi_X(k) \le 1 - 1/k$$

for any space X. There exist some "extremal" spaces for which $\psi_X(k) = 1 - 1/k$. Examples of such spaces are $C[0, 1], c_0$ and some others [9]. We show that the class of extremal spaces contains all subspaces of C[0, 1] of finite codimension.

Such spaces are also important because of the retraction problem. It is known that in every infinite-dimensional Banach space there exists a lipschitzian retraction $R: B \to S$, where S denotes the unit sphere (see [3], [13]). But it is still an open problem to find a minimal Lipschitz constant of such a retraction for a given infinite-dimensional Banach space X. Some authors constructed lipschitzian retraction of the unit ball onto the unit sphere with relatively small Lipschitz constant using lipschitzian mappings with positive displacement (see [7], [5], [6] and [1]). Using such methods, the best known upper bound of the retraction constant is in "extremal spaces".

2. Results. We start with two technical lemmas [4].

LEMMA 1. For every k > 1 there exists a mapping $T : B \to S$ of class L(k) with $d_T = 1 - 1/k$ and such that

$$(Tx)(0) = -1$$
 and $(Tx)(1) = 1$

for every $x \in B$.

Proof. Define $T_1: B \to C[0, 1]$ by $(T_1x)(t) = x(t) + 4t - 2$ and $T: B \to S$ by $(Tx)(t) = f((T_1x)(t))$, where the function $f: \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ is given for positive k as

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } t \in (-\infty, -1/k), \\ kt & \text{if } t \in [-1/k, 1/k], \\ 1 & \text{if } t \in (1/k, \infty). \end{cases}$$

The mapping T_1 is nonexpansive and the function f is lipschitzian with constant k, which implies that $T \in L(k)$. Observe that (Tx)(0) = -1 and (Tx)(1)= 1 for every $x \in B$. Moreover, if $(T_1x)(1/2) \ge 0$, then from the condition $(T_1x)(0) \le -1$ for every $x \in B$ we infer that there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $(T_1x)(t_0) = -1/k$. From this equality we obtain

$$x(t_0) > (T_1 x)(t_0) = -1/k > -1 = (Tx)(t_0),$$

which implies ||x - Tx|| > 1 - 1/k. Analogously if $(T_1x)(1/2) < 0$, the condition $(T_1x)(1) \ge 1$ implies that there exists $t_1 \in (1/2, 1)$ for which

194

 $(T_1x)(t_1) = 1/k$. This yields

 $x(t_1) < (T_1 x)(t_1) = 1/k < 1 = (T x)(t_1),$

and further ||x - Tx|| > 1 - 1/k. This combined with the basic property of the minimal displacement implies that $d_T = 1 - 1/k$, which ends the proof.

REMARK 2. From the above proof we conclude that the infimum in the definition of the minimal displacement is not attained for any $x \in B$.

REMARK 3. For k = 1 the map T will be given by

$$(Tx)(t) = \max\{-1, \min\{1, (T_1x)(t)\}\}.$$

This map is fixed point free because (Tx)(t) > x(t) for some t > 1/2 or (Tx)(t) < x(t) for some t < 1/2.

From Lemma 1 and the two remarks above, and from the fact that the spaces $C[\alpha, \beta]$ and C[0, 1] are isometric, we deduce the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. Let $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$. Then for every $k \geq 1$ there exists a mapping $T_{[\alpha,\beta]}: B \to S$ of class L(k) such that for every $x \in B$,

$$(T_{[\alpha,\beta]}x)(t) = 0$$
 for every $t \in [0,\alpha] \cup [\beta,1]$

and

$$\max_{t \in [\alpha,\beta]} |x(t) - (T_{[\alpha,\beta]}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k.$$

Proof. If $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ we choose $\alpha < \gamma < \delta < \beta$ and apply Lemma 1 to the space $C[\gamma, \delta]$. Then there exists a map $\overline{T} : B_{C[\gamma, \delta]} \to S_{C[\gamma, \delta]}$ of class L(k) such that

$$\max_{t \in [\gamma,\delta]} |x(t) - (\overline{T}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k, \quad (\overline{T}x)(\gamma) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\overline{T}x)(\delta) = 1$$

for every $x \in B_{C[\gamma,\delta]}$. Now we can define $T_{[\alpha,\beta]} : B \to S$ by $(T_{[\alpha,\beta]}x)(t) = (\overline{T}x)(t)$ if $t \in [\gamma, \delta]$, $(T_{[\alpha,\beta]}x)(t) = 0$ for any $t \in [0,\alpha] \cup [\beta, 1]$, and on the intervals (α, γ) and (δ, β) we define $T_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ as an affine map so as to obtain a continuous map. It is easy to check that this map satisfies the desired conditions. If $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 1$ then the proof is very similar. For instance if $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta < 1$ we define $(T_{[\alpha,\beta]}x)(\alpha) = 0$ and on the intervals (α, γ) , $[\gamma, \delta]$, (δ, β) and $[\beta, 1]$ we define $T_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ as in the case $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$.

After these technical lemmas we consider the minimal displacement problem in subspaces of codimension one of C[0, 1]. From the Riesz Theorem it is known that each such subspace can be written as

$$C_{\mu}[0,1] = \Big\{ x \in C[0,1] : \int_{[0,1]} x \, d\mu = 0 \Big\},\$$

where μ is bounded, real Borel signed measure on [0, 1]. Let $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ be the Jordan decomposition of μ .

K. Bolibok

THEOREM 5. Let $C_{\mu}[0,1]$ be a subspace of C[0,1] of codimension one. Then for every $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$ and $k \ge 1$ there exists a mapping $T: B \to S$ of class L(k) such that for every $x \in B$,

$$(Tx)(t) = 0 \quad for \ t \in [0, \alpha] \cup [\beta, 1]$$

and

$$\max_{t \in [\alpha,\beta]} |x(t) - (Tx)(t)| > 1 - 1/k.$$

Proof. Let $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$ and let $|\mu|$ denote the absolute value of the measure μ , i.e. $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$. We consider two cases.

(i) If there exists an interval $[c, d] \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ such that $|\mu|([c, d]) = 0$, then we define $T = T_{[c,d]}$ (see Lemma 4).

(ii) If (i) does not hold then $|\mu|([a,b]) \neq 0$ for any subinterval [a,b] of $[\alpha,\beta]$. This implies that there exists $[a,b] \subset (\alpha,\beta)$ such that $\mu([a,b]) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mu([a,b]) > 0$. Observe that it is possible to find an interval $[c,d] \subset (\alpha,\beta)$ disjoint from [a,b] and such that $|\mu|([c,d]) < \mu([a,b])$. Let $T_{[c,d]}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4. Proceeding to the second part of the construction observe that there exists $\delta > 0$ for which $[a - \delta, b + \delta]$ is contained in (α, β) and disjoint from [c,d] and the following condition holds:

$$\begin{split} |\mu|([a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]\cup[c,d]) \\ &= |\mu|([a-\delta,a)) + |\mu|((b,b+\delta]) + |\mu|([c,d]) < \mu([a,b]). \end{split}$$

Now for $h \in [-1,1]$ consider a mapping $d_h : [0,1] \to [-1,1]$ defined as: $d_h(t) = h$ if $t \in [a,b]$, and $d_h(t) = 0$ if $t \notin [a - \delta, b + \delta]$. On $[a - \delta, a)$ and $(b, b + \delta]$ we define d_h as an affine map so that the function d_h is continuous. For the function x and $h \in [-1,1]$ let us consider the expression

$$\begin{split} I(h) &= \int_{0}^{1} \left((T_{[c,d]}x)(t) + d_{h}(t) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{[a-\delta,a)} d_{h}(t) d\mu(t) + h\mu([a,b]) + \int_{(b,b+\delta]} d_{h}(t) d\mu(t) \\ &+ \int_{[c,d]} (T_{[c,d]}x)(t) d\mu(t). \end{split}$$

Observe that for h = -1 we have

 $I(-1) \le |\mu|([a-\delta,a)) - \mu([a,b]) + |\mu|((b,b+\delta]) + |\mu|([c,d]) < 0.$

Analogously for h = 1 we get

$$I(1) \ge -|\mu|([a-\delta,a)) + \mu([a,b]) - |\mu|((b,b+\delta]) - |\mu|([c,d]) > 0.$$

Thus there exists a constant $h = h_x$ for which $I(h_x) = 0$, which means that $d_{h_x} + T_{[c,d]}x \in C_{\mu}[0,1]$. We prove that the notation $h = h_x$ is justified, i.e.

the constant h for which I(h) = 0 is unique. Suppose that $I(h_1) = I(h_2) = 0$ for $h_1 \neq h_2$. Then

$$\int_{[0,1]} d_{h_1}(t) \, d\mu(t) = \int_{[0,1]} d_{h_2}(t) \, d\mu(t),$$

which means that

$$\int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} d_{h_1}(t) \, d\mu(t) + h_1\mu([a,b]) = \int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} d_{h_2}(t) \, d\mu(t) + h_2\mu([a,b]).$$

This leads to a contradiction:

$$|h_1 - h_2|\mu([a, b]) = \left| \int_{[a-\delta, a)\cup(b, b+\delta]} (d_{h_1}(t) - d_{h_2}(t)) d\mu(t) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{[a-\delta, a)\cup(b, b+\delta]} |d_{h_1}(t) - d_{h_2}(t)| |d\mu(t)|$$

$$\leq |h_1 - h_2| |\mu|([a-\delta, a)) \cup (b, b+\delta])$$

$$< |h_1 - h_2| \mu([a, b]).$$

Now we can define a map $T: B \to S$ by

$$Tx = d_{h_x} + T_{[c,d]}x.$$

Observe that indeed ||Tx|| = 1 for any $x \in B$ because $||T_{[c,d]}x|| = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp} d_h \cap \operatorname{supp} T_{[c,d]} = \emptyset$.

We show that $T \in L(k)$. Observe that it is sufficient to show that $Dx := d_{h_x} \in L(k)$ (because $\operatorname{supp} d_h \cap \operatorname{supp} T_{[c,d]} = \emptyset$). It is easy to see that $\|Dx - Dy\| = |h_x - h_y|$. Suppose that $D \notin L(k)$. Then there exist $x, y \in B$ and a constant $k_1 > k$ such that $\|Dx - Dy\| = k_1 \|x - y\|$. Recall that for all $x, y \in B$ we have

$$\int_{[0,1]} \left((Dx)(t) + (T_{[c,d]}x)(t) \right) d\mu(t) = \int_{[0,1]} \left((Dy)(t) + (T_{[c,d]}y)(t) \right) d\mu(t) = 0.$$

This can be written equivalently as

$$\int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} Dx \, d\mu + \int_{[c,d]} T_{[c,d]} x \, d\mu + h_x \mu([a,b]) \\ = \int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} Dy \, d\mu + \int_{[c,d]} T_{[c,d]} y \, d\mu + h_y \mu([a,b]),$$

which implies

$$|h_x \mu([a,b]) - h_y \mu([a,b])| = \Big| \int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} (Dx - Dy) \, d\mu + \int_{[c,d]} (T_{[c,d]}x - T_{[c,d]}y) \, d\mu \Big|.$$

We obtain

$$|h_x \mu([a,b]) - h_y \mu([a,b])| = |h_x - h_y| \mu([a,b])$$

= $\mu([a,b]) ||Dx - Dy||$
= $k_1 \mu([a,b]) ||x - y||,$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{split} \Big| \int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} (Dx - Dy) \, d\mu + \int_{[c,d]} (T_{[c,d]}x - T_{[c,d]}y) \, d\mu \Big| \\ &\leq \int_{[a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]} \|Dx - Dy\| \, d|\mu| + \int_{[c,d]} \|T_{[c,d]}x - T_{[c,d]}y\| \, d|\mu| \\ &\leq k_1 |\mu| ([a-\delta,a)\cup(b,b+\delta]) \|x - y\| + k |\mu| ([c,d]) \|x - y\| \\ &< k_1 \mu([a,b]) \|x - y\|. \end{split}$$

This contradiction shows that $D \in L(k)$.

Finally, observe that

$$\max_{t \in [\alpha,\beta]} |x(t) - (Tx)(t)| \ge \max_{t \in [c,d]} |x(t) - (T_{[c,d]}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k. \blacksquare$$

Now we generalize this theorem to all subspaces of C[0, 1] of finite codimension. Recall that each such subspace can be written as

$$C_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n}[0,1] = \Big\{ x \in C[0,1] : \int_{[0,1]} x \, d\mu_i = 0, \, i = 1,\dots,n \Big\},\$$

where the μ_i are independent, bounded real Borel measures on [0, 1].

THEOREM 6. Let $C_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}[0,1]$ be a subspace of C[0,1] of finite codimension. Then for $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$ and for any $k \ge 1$ there exists a mapping $T: B \to S$ of class L(k) such that for every $x \in B$,

$$(Tx)(t) = 0$$
 for every $t \in [0, \alpha] \cup [\beta, 1]$

and

$$\max_{t \in [\alpha,\beta]} |x(t) - (Tx)(t)| > 1 - 1/k.$$

Proof. We argue by induction on n. If n = 1, then the conclusion is Theorem 5. Now let μ_1, \ldots, μ_{n+1} be independent measures on [0, 1]. For brevity set, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$B_l = B_{C_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_l}[0,1]}, \quad S_l = S_{C_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_l}[0,1]}$$

Let $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ and assume that for all γ, δ such that $\alpha < \gamma < \delta < \beta$ there exists a lipschitzian mapping $\overline{T} : B_n \to S_n$ with constant $k \geq 1$ such that for any $x \in B_n$,

$$(\overline{T}x)(t) = 0$$
 for any $t \in [0, \gamma] \cup [\delta, 1]$

198

and

$$\max_{t \in [\gamma, \delta]} |x(t) - (\overline{T}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k.$$

Let us consider two cases.

(i) There exists an interval $[a,b] \subset (\alpha,\beta)$ such that $\int_{[a,b]} \overline{T}x \, d\mu_{n+1} = 0$ for every $x \in B_{n+1}$. Then we can define $T : B_{n+1} \to S_{n+1}$ to be \overline{T} , where $\gamma = a, \delta = b$. Observe that $T \in L(k)$ and moreover

$$\max_{t \in [\alpha,\beta]} |x(t) - (Tx)(t)| \ge \max_{t \in [a,b]} |x(t) - (\overline{T}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k.$$

(ii) If (i) does not hold then there exists an interval $[a, b] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ and a function $x_0 \in B_{n+1}$ with $\operatorname{supp} \overline{T} x_0 \subset [a, b]$ (it is enough to take $\gamma = a, \delta = b$) such that $\int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 d\mu_{n+1} \neq 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 d\mu_{n+1} > 0$. Now we can choose an interval $[c, d] \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ disjoint from [a, b] and such that

$$|\mu_{n+1}|([c,d]) < \int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1}.$$

From the induction assumption we know that there exists a mapping \widetilde{T} : $B_n \to S_n$ of class $L(k), k \ge 1$, such that for every $x \in B_n$,

 $(\widetilde{T}x)(t) = 0$ for every $t \in [0, c] \cup [d, 1]$

and

$$\max_{t \in [c,d]} |x(t) - (\widetilde{T}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k.$$

For any $x \in B_{n+1}$ and every $h \in [-1, 1]$ define $T_h x = h\overline{T}x_0 + \widetilde{T}x$. Observe that for h = -1 we have

$$\int_{[0,1]} T_{-1}x \, d\mu_{n+1} = -\int_{[a,b]} \overline{T}x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T}x \, d\mu_{n+1}$$
$$\leq -\int_{[a,b]} \overline{T}x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + |\mu_{n+1}|([c,d]) < 0$$

On the other hand for h = 1 we get

$$\int_{[0,1]} T_1 x \, d\mu_{n+1} = \int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T} x \, d\mu_{n+1}$$
$$\geq \int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} - |\mu_{n+1}|([c,d]) > 0.$$

Those two facts imply that there exists $h \in (-1, 1)$ such that

$$\int_{[0,1]} T_h x \, d\mu_{n+1} = 0,$$

so that $T_h x \in C_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_{n+1}}[0,1]$. We show that for every $x \in B_{n+1}$ there exists a unique constant $h = h_x$ for which the above condition is satisfied. Suppose there are constants $h_1 \neq h_2$ such that

$$\int_{[0,1]} T_{h_1} x \, d\mu_{n+1} = \int_{[0,1]} T_{h_2} x \, d\mu_{n+1} = 0.$$

This can be written as

$$\int_{[a,b]} h_1 \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T} x \, d\mu_{n+1} = \int_{[a,b]} h_2 \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T} x \, d\mu_{n+1},$$

which means

$$0 = \int_{[a,b]} (h_1 - h_2) \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} = (h_1 - h_2) \int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1},$$

a contradiction.

Now define $T: B_{n+1} \to S_{n+1}$ by

$$Tx = h_x \overline{T} x_0 + \widetilde{T} x.$$

Because $\|\widetilde{T}x\| = 1$ for any $x \in B_{n+1}$ and $\operatorname{supp} \overline{T}x_0 \cap \operatorname{supp} \widetilde{T}x = \emptyset$, it follows that $\|Tx\| = 1$.

To show that $T \in L(k)$, we should prove that

$$\begin{aligned} |h_x - h_y| &\leq k \|x - y\| \\ \text{for all } x, y \in B_{n+1}. \text{ Let } M = \int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1}. \text{ We have} \\ 0 &= \int_{[0,1]} Tx \, d\mu_{n+1} = \int_{[a,b]} h_x \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T} x \, d\mu_{n+1} \\ &= h_x \int_{[a,b]} \overline{T} x_0 \, d\mu_{n+1} + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T} x \, d\mu_{n+1} \\ &= h_x M + \int_{[c,d]} \widetilde{T} x \, d\mu_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} |h_x - h_y| &= M^{-1} \Big| \int_{[c,d]} (\widetilde{T}x - \widetilde{T}y) \, d\mu_{n+1} \Big| \\ &\leq M^{-1} \int_{[c,d]} k ||x - y|| \, d|\mu_{n+1}| \\ &= k M^{-1} |\mu_{n+1}| ([c,d]) ||x - y|| \\ &\leq k ||x - y||. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that

$$\max_{t \in [\alpha,\beta]} |x(t) - (Tx)(t)| \ge \max_{t \in [c,d]} |x(t) - (\widetilde{T}x)(t)| > 1 - 1/k. \blacksquare$$

Acknowledgments. This paper is partially supported by MNiSW grant N N201 393737.

References

- M. Baronti, E. Casini, and C. Franchetti, *The retraction constant in some Banach spaces*, J. Approx. Theory 120 (2003), 296–308.
- Y. Benyamini, The uniform classification of Banach spaces, in: Texas Functional Analysis Seminar 1984–1985, Longhorn Notes, Univ. Texas Press, Austin, 1985, 1–29.
- [3] Y. Benyamini and Y. Sternfeld, Spheres in infinite-dimensional normed spaces are Lipschitz contractible, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 439–445.
- [4] K. Bolibok, A remark on the minimal displacement problem in spaces uniformly rotund in every direction, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 44 (2003), 85–90.
- K. Bolibok and K. Goebel, A note on minimal displacement and retraction problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 206 (1997), 308–314.
- [6] K. Bolibok and K. Goebel, A minimal displacement problem and related topics, in: Proc. 1st Polish Symposium on Nonlinear Analysis (1997), Łódź, 61–76.
- C. Franchetti, Lipschitz maps and the geometry of the unit ball in normed spaces, Arch. Math. (Basel) 46 (1986), 76–84.
- [8] K. Goebel, On the minimal displacement of points under lipschitzian mappings, Pacific J. Math. 48 (1973), 151–163.
- [9] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- S. Kakutani, Topological properties of the unit sphere of a Hilbert space, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 14 (1943), 242–245.
- [11] V. Klee, Some topological properties of convex sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1953), 30–45.
- [12] P. K. Lin and Y. Sternfeld, Convex sets with the Lipschitz fixed point property are compact, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 633–639.
- [13] B. Nowak, On the Lipschitzian retraction of the unit ball in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces onto its boundary, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 861–864.
- [14] J. Schauder, Der Fixpunktsatz in Funkionalräumen, Studia Math. 2 (1930), 171–180.

Krzysztof Bolibok Institute of Mathematics Maria Curie-Skłodowska University 20-031 Lublin, Poland E-mail: bolibok@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

> Received September 30, 2012 Revised version December 17, 2014 (70

(7635)