Gyula O. H. Katona Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest

Random geometric codes in cryptology

The practical problem is the following. Objects should be labelled with some geometric pictures. To avoid easy falsification, the pictures are chosen randomly. That is, a space S with a distance d and a measure μ is given. The label of one object (picture) is a randomly chosen element of S. More precisely we will mark out some subsets A_i of S and if the random point falls in A_i then it can be used as a label of an object numbered i. The sets A_i must satisfy certain properties as described below.

If $A \subset S, 0 < \varepsilon$ then define $n(A, \varepsilon) = \{x \in S : d(A, x) \le \varepsilon\}$. The family of subsets A_1, \ldots, A_m is called a *geometric code* with parameters ε, ρ and α if (1) $\mu(A_i) \le \rho$ holds for every $i \ (1 \le i \le m)$,

(2) the sets $n(A_i, \varepsilon)(1 \le i \le m)$ are pairwise disjoint,

(3) $\alpha \leq \mu(\cup A_i)/\mu(S)$.

A geometric code can be applied in the following way. Choose random elements of S according to μ . If $x \in A_i$ then the let its code c(x) be the binary form of i. On the other hand, if $x \in A_i$ holds for no i then x is a waste. (1) ensures that a random choice of c(x) (not knowing x) reproduce it with a small probability. (2) implies that reading x with an error at most $\varepsilon c(x)$ still can be recovered. Finally assumption (3) is needed to lowerbound the probability of the waste. The problem is to find the maximum of m, given S, ε, ρ , and α . The exact solution up to a constant factor is found in several important cases.

In our implementation a label is a rectangle containing many small circles (they have a three-dimensional nature, this is why it is hard to copy them), what can be represented by their centers. Because of the computational approximation, it can be supposed that these centers are elements of a grid in the rectangle. Therefore an element of the space S is a subset of the set of the points of the grid where the sizes of the subsets are between a lower and an upper bound. From practical experiences we know that some of the points can be "lost" during the control, therefore the distance d should be defined accordingly. One A_i is therefore a family of subsets of the grid points. Roughly speaking the largest number of such families should be found in such a way that deleting a small number of points from one member of a family is different from a subset obtained by deleting the points from the member of another family. This leads to the usage of the theory of extremal problems of finite sets, especially the "shadow theory".

Let us illustrate the problem in a very-very special case. Choose two families, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of 3-element subsets of an *n*-element set in such a way, that deleting one element from an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and one element from $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the so obtained two-element sets are different. Determine $\max \min\{|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|\}$. The complete solution of this "easy-looking" problem seems to be difficult.