

CONSEQUENCES OF THE TORSION NONCOMMUTATIVE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM

WROCLAW, 14 FEB 2017

Borsuk-Ulam theorem (1933):

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \nexists \text{ cont. equiv. } (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{*n+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{*n}$$

Progress:

(non-trivial)

① Generalized from $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ to any finite group F (see Matoušek).

Locally trivial setting, finite trivializing (Schwartz?) index.

Remark A: $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} S^1 \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}P^1$ 

is a $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (Cantor set) compact

principal bundle which is not locally trivial.

Remark B: Piecewise triviality (finite

closed trivializing covering) is more general than local triviality (open trivializing covering). Let C be the Cantor set with the group structure

$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Glue $[0, 1] \times C$ with

$[0, 1] \times C$ over $C \times C$ via

$$C \times C \ni (g, h) \mapsto (g, gh) \in C \times C.$$

Then $[0, 1] \times C \parallel [0, 1] \times C$
 $C \times C$



$[0, 1] \parallel [0, 1]$ (bubble space)
 C

is a piecewise trivial principal G -bundle which is not locally trivial.

(Bourgin, H.,
 Math. 82, 1913-1914)
 12

Remark C: Replacing $[0, 1]$ by D and C by $U(1)$ we obtain the Hopf fibration

$$S^3 = D \times U(1) \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ \parallel \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} D \times U(1) \\ U(1) \times U(1) \end{array}$$

$$U(1) = S^1$$

$$S^2 = \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ D \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ \parallel \\ \hline \end{array} D \\ U(1) \end{array}$$

Remark D: The principal bundle from Remark A is not even piecewise trivial. However, every locally trivial compact principal bundle is piecewise trivial by the partition of unity argument (existence of a closed refinement).



② Generalized from $(F) \times F \rightarrow F$ to an arbitrary compact Hausdorff topological space X with a cont. free action of a finite group to any compact Hausdorff topological group G with torsion ($\exists g \neq e : g^n = e$): $X \rtimes G \rightarrow X$

◦ (Vodavik and, later on, Passer).

③ Generalized by Passer from X to any unital C^* -algebra A .

④ The Torsion Noncommutative Borel-Ulam Thm.
(Dobrowolski, H., Neshveyev).

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with a free action $\delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes_{\text{min}} H$ of a compact quantum group (H, Δ) . Then, if H admits a character different from the counit whose finite convolution power is the counit, \exists an H -equiv. $*$ -hom $A \rightarrow A \otimes H$. 14

Classical Borsuk-Ulam-type conjecture

(Baum, Dąbrowski, H.): Let X be a compact Hausdorff space equipped with a continuous free action of a non-trivial compact group G . Then \nexists G -equiv. cont. $X \times G \rightarrow X$.

Corollary (Weak Hilbert-Smith conjecture):

If G is a locally compact group acting continuously, freely and properly (want effectively) on a topological manifold M , so that the orbit space M/G is f. dim. (want no assumption), then G is a Lie group. (Dąbrowski, Chirvasitar, Totdski)

Here it is key to prove BU for $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

The Brouwer fixed-point theorem for balls:

Every continuous map $B^n \rightarrow B^n$ has a fixed point.

This is equivalent to the non-contractibility of spheres:

$$\nexists \text{ cont. } B^{n+1} \xrightarrow{A} S^n: \quad S^n \xrightarrow{\cong} B^{n+1} \xrightarrow{A} S^n \\ = S^n \xrightarrow{\text{id}} S^n$$

The classical version Borsuk-Ulam theorem implies:

Corollary: If X is a compact Hausdorff space with a cont. free action of a non-trivial finite group, then X is not contractible.

Corollary: Contractible compact Hausdorff spaces do not admit a cont. free action of any non-trivial finite group.

Theorem (Dąbrowski, H., Neshveyev):

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra equipped with a free action $\delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ of a compact quantum group (H, Δ) . Then it follows from

\exists an H -equiv. $*$ -homo $A \rightarrow A \otimes H$

that

\exists $*$ -homo $A \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{C} A$ s.t. $ev_1 \circ \delta$ is H -equiv.

Furthermore, the opposite implication is true if (H, Δ) admits a counit.

Corollary: If A is a unital C^* -algebra with a free action of a non-trivial finite group, then A is not contractible.

\exists $*$ -homo $A \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{C} A$ s.t. $ev_1 \circ \delta = id$.

Theorem (Dybrowski, H., Neshveyev):

Let (H, Δ) be any compact quantum group. Then H is not contractible \Leftrightarrow

\nexists H -equiv. $*$ -homo $H \rightarrow H \otimes^{\Delta} H$.

Proof: It suffices to show that,
if $f: H \rightarrow H$ is an H -equiv $*$ -homo,

then H is an isomorphism. For any

topological group G , if $F: G \rightarrow G$ is a continuous map s.t. $F(gh) = F(g)h$,

then F is a homeomorphism. Indeed,

$$F^{-1}(g) := F(e)^{-1}g, \quad F(F^{-1}(g)) = F(F(e)^{-1}g) =$$

$$= F(e)F^{-1}(g) = F(e)F(e)^{-1}g = g, \quad F^{-1}(F(g)) =$$

$$= F(e)^{-1}F(g) = F(e)^{-1}F(e)g = g.$$

For $\dim H < \infty$, we define $f^{-1}(h) := (\varepsilon \circ \sigma \circ S)(h_{(1)})h_{(2)}$

$$\text{Then } f(f^{-1}(h)) = (\varepsilon \circ \sigma \circ S)(h_{(1)})f(h_{(2)}) =$$

$$= (\varepsilon \circ \sigma \circ S)(h_{(1)})\varepsilon(f(h_{(2)}))_{(1)}f(h_{(2)})_{(2)} =$$

$$= (\varepsilon \circ \sigma \circ S)(h_{(1)})\varepsilon(f)(S(h_{(2)}))_{(1)}h_{(2)} = (\varepsilon \circ \sigma)(S(h_{(1)})h_{(2)}) \stackrel{h_{(1)}h_{(2)} = h}{=} \frac{h}{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and } f^{-1}(f(h)) &= (\varepsilon \circ f \circ S)(f(h)_{(1)}) f(h)_{(2)} \\
&= (\varepsilon \circ f \circ S)(\varepsilon(f(h)_{(1)}) \cdot f(h)_{(2)}) f(h)_{(3)} = \\
&= (\varepsilon \circ f \circ S)(\varepsilon(f(h)_{(1)}) h_{(2)}) h_{(3)} = \\
&= (\varepsilon \circ f)(h_{(1)}) (\varepsilon \circ f)(S(h_{(2)})) h_{(3)} = \\
&= (\varepsilon \circ f)(h_{(1)}) S(h_{(2)}) h_{(3)} = h,
\end{aligned}$$

For $\dim H = \infty$, we use Woronowicz's

Peter-Weyl theory. \square

Conjecture: The above calculation can be generalized to locally compact quantum groups.

$$(f \circ f \circ f)(f(h_{(1)})) \varepsilon(f(h_{(1)})) h_{(2)}$$

$$(f \circ f \circ f)(f(h_{(1)})) \varepsilon(h_{(2)}) h_{(3)}$$

$$(f \circ f \circ f)(f(h_{(1)})) h_{(2)}$$

$$(f \circ f \circ f)(\varepsilon(f(h_{(1)}))) h_{(2)}$$

$$(f \circ f \circ f)(\varepsilon(f(h_{(1)})) h_{(2)}) h_{(3)}$$