

The Fredholm Alternative for the p -Laplacian in exterior domains. Part I

KY HO

NTIS, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA

(a joint work with Prof. Pavel Drábek and Abhishek Sarkar)

KNOWN RESULTS

- Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let (λ_1, φ_1) be the first eigenpair of $(-\Delta, W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$ and let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$. Consider

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u + h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

KNOWN RESULTS

- Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let (λ_1, φ_1) be the first eigenpair of $(-\Delta, W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$ and let $h \in L^2(\Omega)$. Consider

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u + h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Problem (1) is solvable if and only if $\int_{\Omega} h\varphi_1 = 0$.

- del Pino-Drábek-Manásevich (1999), Drábek-Girg-Manásevich (2001), Drábek-Holubová (2001), Drábek (2002), Takáč (2002, 2006) etc. :

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 |u|^{p-2} u + h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian with $p > 1$, Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N .

- del Pino-Drábek-Manásevich (1999), Drábek-Girg-Manásevich (2001), Drábek-Holubová (2001), Drábek (2002), Takáč (2002, 2006) etc. :

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 |u|^{p-2} u + h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian with $p > 1$, Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N .

Let $1 < p \neq 2$. For a given $h^\perp \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega h^\perp \varphi_1 = 0$, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that problem (2) is solvable if $h = h^\perp + \zeta \varphi_1$, $\zeta \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.

- Alziary-Fleckinger-Takáč (2004):

$$(3) \quad -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 m(x)|u|^{p-2}u + h \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $1 < p < N$, the weight $m(x) = m(|x|)$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad 0 < m(r) \leq \frac{C}{(1+r)^{p+\mu}} \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, \infty).$$

- Alziary-Fleckinger-Takáč (2004):

$$(3) \quad -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 m(x)|u|^{p-2}u + h \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $1 < p < N$, the weight $m(x) = m(|x|)$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad 0 < m(r) \leq \frac{C}{(1+r)^{p+\mu}} \quad \text{a.e. in } [0, \infty).$$

For a given $h^ \in [\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)]^*$ satisfying $\langle h^*, \varphi_1 \rangle = 0$, problem (3) is solvable*

- (i) for $2 \leq p < N$ with $h = h^*$;*
- (ii) for $1 < p < 2 \leq N$ with h in a neighbourhood of h^* .*

Consider

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 K(x) |u|^{p-2} u + h & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases}$$

where B_1^c is the complement of the closed unit ball B_1 in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$), λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ in B_1^c relative to the weight K .

Consider

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 K(x) |u|^{p-2} u + h & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases}$$

where B_1^c is the complement of the closed unit ball B_1 in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$), λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ in B_1^c relative to the weight K .

We study (P) with an *admissible* weight K :

- (i) $K \in L^1_{loc}(B_1^c)$, $\text{meas}\{x \in B_1^c : K(x) > 0\} > 0$;
- (ii) $|K(x)| \leq w(|x|)$ for a.e. $x \in B_1^c$;
- (iii) $0 < w \in \begin{cases} L^1((1, \infty); r^{p-1}), & p \neq N, \\ L^1((1, \infty); [r \log r]^{N-1}), & p = N. \end{cases}$

THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

Anoop-Drábek-Sasi (2015) and Anoop-Drábek-Sankar-Sasi (2016):

$$(E) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda K(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1. \end{cases}$$

$X :=$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(B_1^c)$ w.r.t. $\|u\| = \left(\int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$. Then

$$(5) \quad \lambda_1 := \inf \left\{ \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx : u \in X, \int_{B_1^c} K(x)|u|^p dx = 1 \right\}$$

is a simple eigenvalue of problem (E) with corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_1 > 0$ a.e. in B_1^c . If in addition,

- $K \in L^\infty(A_1^R)$ for all $R > 1$, where $A_1^R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 1 < |x| < R\}$, then λ_1 is isolated and $\varphi_1 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{A_1^R})$ for all $R > 1$.

THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

Anoop-Drábek-Sasi (2015) and Anoop-Drábek-Sankar-Sasi (2016):

$$(E) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda K(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1. \end{cases}$$

$X :=$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(B_1^c)$ w.r.t. $\|u\| = \left(\int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$. Then

$$(5) \quad \lambda_1 := \inf \left\{ \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx : u \in X, \int_{B_1^c} K(x)|u|^p dx = 1 \right\}$$

is a simple eigenvalue of problem (E) with corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_1 > 0$ a.e. in B_1^c . If in addition,

- $K \in L^\infty(A_1^R)$ for all $R > 1$, where $A_1^R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 1 < |x| < R\}$, then λ_1 is isolated and $\varphi_1 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{A_1^R})$ for all $R > 1$.

From now on, we denote by X^* the dual space of X and define

$$X^\perp := \left\{ u \in X : \int_{B_1^c} K(x)\varphi_1^{p-1} u dx = 0 \right\}.$$

THE GEOMETRY OF THE ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

We will obtain the existence of solutions by using variational arguments but treated the two cases $1 < p < 2 \leq N$ and $2 \leq p < N$ in a different way due to the geometry of the energy functional

$$J_h(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \int_{B_1^c} K(x) |u|^p dx - \langle h, u \rangle.$$

- $1 < p < 2 \leq N$: J_h has “a saddle point geometry”;
- $2 \leq p < N$: J_h has a “global minimizer geometry” due to an improved Poincaré inequality.

THE GEOMETRY OF THE ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

We will obtain the existence of solutions by using variational arguments but treated the two cases $1 < p < 2 \leq N$ and $2 \leq p < N$ in a different way due to the geometry of the energy functional

$$J_h(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \int_{B_1^c} K(x)|u|^p dx - \langle h, u \rangle.$$

- $1 < p < 2 \leq N$: J_h has “a saddle point geometry”;
- $2 \leq p < N$: J_h has a “global minimizer geometry” due to an improved Poincaré inequality.

We apply the second order Taylor formula for J_h at φ_1 :

$$\rightarrow \int |\nabla \varphi_1|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx \rightarrow \mathcal{A} := \{\nabla \varphi_1(x) = 0\}.$$

- The entire space \mathbb{R}^N case (with radial symmetric weight): $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$.
- Our case (with radial symmetric weight): $\mathcal{A} = \{|x| = r_0\}$ ($r_0 > 1$).

A SADDLE POINT GEOMETRY WHEN $1 < p < 2$

Let $1 < p < 2$ and let $h \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ with $\langle h, \varphi_1 \rangle = 0$. To deal with

$$\int_{B_1^c} |\nabla \varphi_1|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx$$

we look for ϕ such that $\langle h, \phi \rangle \neq 0$ and ϕ belongs to

$$Y := \{\phi \in C_c^1(B_1^c) : \phi \text{ is constant on some neighbourhood of } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

However, unlike a ball or the entire space case, we have

A SADDLE POINT GEOMETRY WHEN $1 < p < 2$

Let $1 < p < 2$ and let $h \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ with $\langle h, \varphi_1 \rangle = 0$. To deal with

$$\int_{B_1^c} |\nabla \varphi_1|^{p-2} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx$$

we look for ϕ such that $\langle h, \phi \rangle \neq 0$ and ϕ belongs to

$$Y := \{\phi \in C_c^1(B_1^c) : \phi \text{ is constant on some neighbourhood of } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

However, unlike a ball or the entire space case, we have

Lemma 1.

Let $1 < p < N$ and assume that $K(x) = K(|x|) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in B_1^c$, $K \in L^\infty(B_1^c)$ and $K \in L^1((1, \infty); r^\delta)$ for some $\delta \in (p-1, N-1)$. Then there is an $h \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle h, \varphi_1 \rangle = 0$ and $h \equiv 0$ on Y .

A SADDLE POINT GEOMETRY WHEN $1 < p < 2$

We introduce the condition for the source term h :

$$h \in X_Y^*, \text{ where } X_Y^* := \{h \in X^* : h \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } Y\}.$$

Lemma 2.

Assume that $K > 0$ a.e. in B_1^c and $K \in L^\infty(A_1^R)$ for all $R > 1$. Then X_Y^* is open and dense in X^* and contains the set

$$Z := \{h \in X^* \setminus \{0\} : \exists g \in C_c(B_1^c) \text{ s.t. } \langle h, u \rangle = \int_{B_1^c} g u dx, \forall u \in X\}.$$

A SADDLE POINT GEOMETRY WHEN $1 < p < 2$

We introduce the condition for the source term h :

$$h \in X_Y^*, \text{ where } X_Y^* := \{h \in X^* : h \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } Y\}.$$

Lemma 2.

Assume that $K > 0$ a.e. in B_1^c and $K \in L^\infty(A_1^R)$ for all $R > 1$. Then X_Y^* is open and dense in X^* and contains the set

$$Z := \{h \in X^* \setminus \{0\} : \exists g \in C_c(B_1^c) \text{ s.t. } \langle h, u \rangle = \int_{B_1^c} g u dx, \forall u \in X\}.$$

Theorem 1 (a saddle point geometry).

Assume that $1 < p < 2$ and that $K \in L^\infty(A_1^R)$ for all $R > 1$. Let $h \in X_Y^*$ with $\langle h, \varphi_1 \rangle = 0$. Then for any $M > 0$, there exist $\tau_0 > 0$, such that for each $\tau > \tau_0$ we can find $v_\pm^\tau \in X^\perp$ such that

$$\max\{J_h(\tau\varphi_1 + v_+^\tau), J_h(-\tau\varphi_1 + v_-^\tau)\} < -M \quad (< 0 \leq \inf_{v \in X^\perp} J_h(v)).$$

AN IMPROVED POINCARÉ INEQUALITY WHEN

$$2 < p < N$$

Assume that

- (H) $K(x) = K(|x|) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in B_1^c$, $K \in L^\infty(B_1^c)$ and $K \in L^1((1, \infty); r^\delta)$ for some $\delta \in (p - 1, N - 1)$.
- (W) $K^{-1} \in L^1_{loc}(1, \infty)$ and for each $t > 1$,

$$f(r) := \left| \int_t^r K(s) ds \right|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \in L^1_{loc}(1, \infty).$$

AN IMPROVED POINCARÉ INEQUALITY WHEN

$$2 < p < N$$

Assume that

(H) $K(x) = K(|x|) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in B_1^c$, $K \in L^\infty(B_1^c)$ and $K \in L^1((1, \infty); r^\delta)$ for some $\delta \in (p-1, N-1)$.

(W) $K^{-1} \in L_{loc}^1(1, \infty)$ and for each $t > 1$,

$$f(r) := \left| \int_t^r K(s) ds \right|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \in L_{loc}^1(1, \infty).$$

Theorem 2 (an improved Poincaré inequality for nonlinear case).

Let $2 < p < N$, (H), (W) and $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{r \geq \rho} r^p K(r) = 0$ hold. Then

$\exists C = C(p, K) > 0$ s.t. for all $u = \tau \varphi_1 + u^\perp$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u^\perp \in X^\perp$,

$$(6) \quad \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{B_1^c} K(x) |u|^p dx \geq C \left(|\tau|^{p-2} \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla \varphi_1|^{p-2} |\nabla u^\perp|^2 dx + \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u^\perp|^p dx \right).$$

A SKETCH PF PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Define $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi_1} :=$ the completion of X with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\varphi_1}} := \left(\int_{B_1^c} |\nabla \varphi_1|^{p-2} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

For $\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \int_{B_1^c} K(x) |u|^p dx$, we have

$$\Phi(\varphi_1 + \phi) = \Phi(\varphi_1) + \langle D\Phi(\varphi_1), \phi \rangle + \int_0^1 (1-s) \langle D^2\Phi(\varphi_1 + s\phi)\phi, \phi \rangle ds = \mathcal{Q}_\phi(\phi, \phi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\phi(v, w) &:= \int_{B_1^c} \left\langle \left[\int_0^1 \mathbb{A}(\nabla \varphi_1 + s\nabla \phi)(1-s) ds \right] \nabla v, \nabla w \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx \\ (7) \quad &- \lambda_1(p-1) \int_{B_1^c} K(x) \left[\int_0^1 |\varphi_1 + s\phi|^{p-2} (1-s) ds \right] v w dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}) := |\mathbf{a}|^{p-2} \left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2) \frac{\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}}{|\mathbf{a}|^2} \right)$ for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$,

$\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b} := (a_i b_j)_{N \times N}$ with $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!