

Invariant, super and quasi-martingale functions of a Markov process

Lucian Beznea

Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy
and University of Bucharest

Based on joint works with **Iulian Cîmpean** and **Michael Röckner**

Probability and Analysis

May 15, 2017, Bedlewo, Poland

- E : a Lusin topological space endowed with the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}
- $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \mathbb{P}^x, \zeta)$ be a right Markov process with state space E , transition function :
- $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$: the transition function of X ,

$$P_t u(x) = \mathbb{E}^x(u(X_t); t < \zeta), t \geq 0, x \in E.$$

Proposition

The following assertions are equivalent for a non-negative real-valued \mathcal{B} -measurable function u and $\beta \geq 0$.

- $(e^{-\beta t} u(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a right continuous \mathcal{F}_t -supermartingale w.r.t. \mathbb{P}^x for all $x \in E$.*
- The function u is β -excessive.*

First aim: To show that this connection can be extended between the space of **differences of excessive functions** on the one hand, and **quasimartingales** on the other hand, with concrete applications to semi-Dirichlet forms.

Supermedian and excessive functions

- For $\beta \geq 0$, a \mathcal{B} -measurable function $f : E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called **β -supermedian** if $P_t^\beta f \leq f$, $t \geq 0$;
 $(P_t^\beta)_{t \geq 0}$ denotes the β -level of the semigroup of kernels $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$,
 $P_t^\beta := e^{-\beta t} P_t$.
- If f is β -supermedian and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t f = f$ pointwise on E , then it is called **β -excessive**.
- A \mathcal{B} -measurable function f is β -excessive if and only if $\alpha U_{\alpha+\beta} f \leq f$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha U_\alpha f = f$ pointwise on E ,

where $\mathcal{U} = (U_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$ is the resolvent family of the process X ,
 $U_\alpha := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} P_t dt$.

- $\mathcal{U}_\beta :=$ the β -level of the resolvent \mathcal{U} , $\mathcal{U}_\beta := (U_{\beta+\alpha})_{\alpha > 0}$;
- $E(\mathcal{U}_\beta) :=$ the convex cone of all β -excessive functions.
If $\beta = 0$ we drop the index β from notations.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). If $(e^{-\beta t} u(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a right-continuous supermartingale then by taking expectations we get that $e^{-\beta t} \mathbb{E}^x u(X_t) \leq \mathbb{E}^x u(X_0)$, hence u is β -supermedian.

- If u is β -supermedian then to prove that it is β -excessive reduces to prove that u is finely continuous, which in turns follows by the well known characterization for the fine continuity:

u is finely continuous if and only if $u(X)$ has right continuous trajectories \mathbb{P}^x -a.s. for all $x \in E$.

(ii) \implies (i). Since u is β -supermedian and by the Markov property we have for all $0 \leq s \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^x [e^{-\beta(t+s)} u(X_{t+s}) | \mathcal{F}_s] &= e^{-\beta(t+s)} \mathbb{E}^{X_s} u(X_t) = \\ &e^{-\beta(t+s)} P_t u(X_s) \leq e^{-\beta s} u(X_s), \end{aligned}$$

hence $(e^{-\beta t} u(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -supermartingale.

The right-continuity of the trajectories follows by the fine continuity of u via the previously mentioned characterization.

I. Differences of excessive functions and quasimartingales of Markov processes

Theorem. *The following assertions are equivalent for a non-negative real-valued \mathcal{B} -measurable function u .*

- (i) $u(X)$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -semimartingale w.r.t. all \mathbb{P}^x , $x \in E$.*
- (ii) u is locally the difference of two finite 1-excessive functions.*

[E. Çinlar, J. Jacod, P. Protter, M.J. Sharpe, *Z. W. verw. Gebiete* 1980]

Quasimartingales

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual hypotheses.

An \mathcal{F}_t -adapted, right-continuous integrable process $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called **\mathbb{P} -quasimartingale** if

$$\text{Var}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z) := \sup_{\tau} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbb{E}[Z_{t_i} - Z_{t_{i-1}} | \mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}]| + |Z_{t_n}| \right\} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions

$$\tau : 0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n < \infty.$$

M. Rao's characterization of the quasimartingales

A real-valued process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual hypotheses is a quasimartingale if and only if it is the difference of two positive right-continuous \mathcal{F}_t -supermartingales.

*[P.E. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Diff. Equations*. Springer 2005]*

Remark. If $u(X)$ is a quasimartingale, then the following two conditions for u are necessary:

(i) $\sup_{t>0} P_t|u| < \infty$

and

(ii) u is finely continuous.

The first assertion is clear since for each $x \in E$

$$\sup_t P_t|u|(x) = \sup_t \mathbb{E}^x |u(X_t)| \leq \text{Var}^{\mathbb{P}^x}(u(X)) < \infty.$$

The second one follows from the Blumenthal-Gettoor's characterization of the fine continuity.

For a real-valued function u ,
 a finite partition τ of \mathbb{R}^+ , $\tau : 0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n < \infty$,
 and $\alpha > 0$ we set

$$V_\tau^\alpha(u) := \sum_{i=1}^n P_{t_{i-1}}^\alpha |u - P_{t_i - t_{i-1}}^\alpha u| + P_{t_n}^\alpha |u|,$$

$$V^\alpha(u) := \sup_{\tau} V_\tau^\alpha(u).$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions of \mathbb{R}_+ .

Admissible sequence of partitions: an increasing sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$
 of finite partitions of \mathbb{R}_+ such that $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} \tau_k$ is dense in \mathbb{R}_+ and if $r \in \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \tau_k$
 then $r + \tau_n \subset \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \tau_k$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem

Let u be a real-valued \mathcal{B} -measurable function and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $P_t|u| < \infty$ for all t . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) $(e^{-\beta t}u(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{P}^x -quasimartingale for all $x \in E$.

(ii) u is finely continuous and $\sup_n V_{\tau_n}^\beta(u) < \infty$ on E for one (hence all) admissible sequence of partitions $(\tau_n)_n$.

(iii) u is a difference of two real-valued β -excessive functions.

[L. Beznea, I. Cîmpean, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2017]

Comments about the proof

- Key idea: By the Markov property one can show that

$$\text{Var}^{\mathbb{P}^x}((e^{-\alpha t} u(X_t))_{t \geq 0}) = V^\alpha(u)(x) \text{ for all } x \in E,$$

meaning that assertion (i) holds if and only if $V^\alpha(u) < \infty$.

- $V^\alpha(u)$ is a supremum of measurable functions taken over an uncountable set of partitions, hence it may no longer be measurable. However, the set $[V^\alpha(u) < \infty]$ is of interest to us, not necessarily $V^\alpha(u)$.
- It turns out that $[V^\alpha(u) < \infty]$ is measurable and, moreover, it is completely determined by $\sup_n V_{\tau_n}^\alpha(u)$ for any admissible sequence of partitions $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$. This aspect is crucial in order to give criteria to check the quasimartingale nature of $u(X)$.

Criteria for quasimartingale functions on L^p -spaces

Assume that μ is a σ -finite **sub-invariant measure** for $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$; i.e., $\mu \circ P_t \leq \mu$ for all $t > 0$.

Proposition

The following assertions are equivalent for a \mathcal{B} -measurable function $u \in \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} L^p(\mu)$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

(i) There exists a μ -version \tilde{u} of u such that $(e^{-\beta t} \tilde{u}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{P}^x -quasimartingale for $x \in E$ μ -a.e.

(ii) For an admissible sequence of partitions of $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of \mathbb{R}_+ , $\sup_n V_{\tau_n}^\beta(u) < \infty$ μ -a.e.

(iii) There exist $u_1, u_2 \in E(\mathcal{U}_\beta)$ finite μ -a.e. such that $u = u_1 - u_2$ μ -a.e.

Remark. If u is finely continuous and one of the above equivalent assertions is satisfied then all of the statements hold quasi everywhere, not only μ -a.e., since an μ -negligible finely open set is μ -polar. If in addition μ is a reference measure then the assertions hold everywhere on E .

The generator on L^p -spaces

Since μ is sub-invariant, $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and \mathcal{U} extend to strongly continuous semigroup resp. resolvent family of contractions on $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

- The corresponding **generator** $(L_p, D(L_p) \subset L^p(\mu))$ is defined as

$$D(L_p) = \{U_\alpha f : f \in L^p(m)\},$$

$$L_p(U_\alpha f) := \alpha U_\alpha f - f \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mu), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

with the remark that this definition is independent of $\alpha > 0$.

- The analogous notations for the **dual** structure are \widehat{P}_t and $(\widehat{L}_p, D(\widehat{L}_p))$, and note that the adjoint of L_p is \widehat{L}_{p^*} ; $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$.

We focus our attention on a class of β -quasimartingale functions which arises as a natural extension of $D(L_p)$.

- Any function $u \in D(L_p)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, has a representation $u = U_\beta f = U_\beta(f^+) - U_\beta(f^-)$ with $U_\beta(f^\pm) \in E(\mathcal{U}_\beta) \cap L^p(\mu)$, hence u has a β -quasimartingale version for all $\beta > 0$; moreover,

$$\|P_t u - u\|_p = \left\| \int_0^t P_s L_p u ds \right\|_p \leq t \|L_p u\|_p.$$

- The converse is also true, namely if $1 < p < \infty$, $u \in L^p(\mu)$, and $\|P_t u - u\|_p \leq \text{const} \cdot t$, $t \geq 0$, then $u \in D(L_p)$. But this is no longer the case if $p = 1$ (because of the lack of reflexivity of L^1), i.e.

$\|P_t u - u\|_1 \leq \text{const} \cdot t$ does not imply $u \in D(L_1)$.

However, it turns out that this last condition on $L^1(m)$ is yet enough to ensure that u is a β -quasimartingale function.

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset \{u \in L_+^{p^*}(m) : \|u\|_{p^*} \leq 1\}$, $\widehat{P}_s \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ for all $s \geq 0$, and $E = \bigcup \text{supp}(f)$ m -a.e. If $u \in L^p(m)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \int_E |P_t u - u| f dm \leq \text{const} \cdot t \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

then there exists an m -version \tilde{u} of u such that $(e^{-\beta t} \tilde{u}(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{P}^x -quasimartingale for all $x \in E$ m -a.e. and every $\beta > 0$.

II. Applications to semi-Dirichlet forms

- Assume that the semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is associated to a semi-Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ on $L^2(E, m)$, where m is a σ -finite measure on the Lusin measurable space (E, \mathcal{B}) .
- By [L. Beznea, N. Boboc, M. Röckner, *Pot. Anal.* 2006] there exists a (larger) Lusin topological space E_1 such that $E \subset E_1$, E belongs to \mathcal{B}_1 (the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of E_1), $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1|_E$, and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ regarded as a semi-Dirichlet form on $L^2(E_1, \bar{m})$ is quasi-regular, where \bar{m} is the measure on (E_1, \mathcal{B}_1) extending m by zero on $E_1 \setminus E$. Consequently, we may consider a right Markov process X with state space E_1 which is associated with the semi-Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$.
- If $u \in \mathcal{F}$ then \tilde{u} denotes a quasi continuous version of u as a function on E_1 which always exists and it is uniquely determined quasi everywhere.

For a closed set F define

$$\mathcal{F}_{b,F} := \{v \in \mathcal{F} : v \text{ is bounded and } v = 0 \text{ m-a.e. on } E \setminus F\}.$$

Theorem

Let $u \in \mathcal{F}$ and assume there exist a nest $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and constants $(c_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) \leq c_n \|v\|_\infty \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{F}_{b,F_n}.$$

Then $\tilde{u}(X)$ is a \mathbb{P}^x -semimartingale for $x \in E_1$ quasi everywhere.

- If E is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d (or more generally in an abstract Wiener space) and the condition from the theorem holds for u replaced by the canonical projections, then the conclusion is that the underlying Markov process is a semimartingale.

- In particular, the semimartingale nature of reflected diffusions on general bounded domains can be studied.

This problem dates back to the work of

[R.F Bass, P. Hsu, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1990]

where the authors showed that the reflected Brownian motion on a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^d is a semimartingale.

- Later on, this result has been extended to more general domains and diffusions:

[R.J. Williams, W.A. Zheng, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* 1990],

[Z. Q. Chen, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 1993],

[Z.Q. Chen, P.J. Fitzsimmons, R.J. Williams, *Pot. Anal.* 1993], and

[E. Pardoux, R. J. Williams, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* 1994]

- A clarifying result has been obtained in

[Z.Q. Chen, P.J. Fitzsimmons, R.J. Williams, *Pot. Anal.* 1993],

showing that the stationary reflecting Brownian motion on a bounded Euclidian domain is a quasimartingale on each compact time interval if and only if the domain is a strong Caccioppoli set.

- A complete study of these problems, but only in the symmetric case, have been done in a series of papers by M. Fukushima and co-authors, with deep applications to BV functions in both finite and infinite dimensions:

[M. Fukushima, *Electronic J. of Probability* 1999, *J. Funct. Anal.* 2000]

and

[M. Fukushima, M. Hino, *J. Funct. Anal.* 2001].

- All these previous results have been obtained using the same common tools: symmetric Dirichlet forms and Fukushima decomposition.
- Further applications to the reflection problem in infinite dimensions have been studied in [M. Röckner, R. Zhu, X. Zhu, *Anna. Probab.* 2012] and [M. Röckner, R. Zhu, X. Zhu, *Forum Math.* 2015] where non-symmetric situations were also considered.
- In the case of semi-Dirichlet forms, a Fukushima decomposition is not yet known to hold, unless some additional hypotheses are assumed; see e.g. [Y. Oshima, Walter de Gruyter 2013]. Here is where our study played its role, allowing us to completely avoid Fukushima decomposition or the existence of the dual process.

The case of the local semi-Dirichlet forms

Assume that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is quasi-regular and that it is **local**, i.e., $\mathcal{E}(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ with disjoint compact supports. The local property is equivalent with the fact that the associated process is a diffusion.

As in [M. Fukushima, *J. Funct. Anal.* 2000] the local property of \mathcal{E} allows us to extend the results to the case when u is only locally in the domain of the form, or to even more general situation, as stated in the next result.

Corollary

Assume that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is local. Let u be a real-valued \mathcal{B} -measurable finely continuous function and let $(v_k)_k \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $v_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} u$ pointwise except an m -polar set and boundedly on each element of a nest $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Further, suppose that there exist constants c_n such that

$$|\mathcal{E}(v_k, v)| \leq c_n \|v\|_\infty \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{F}_{b, F_n}.$$

Then $u(X)$ is a \mathbb{P}^x -semimartingale for $x \in E$ quasi everywhere.

III. Martingale functions with respect to the dual Markov process

Assume that $\mathcal{U} = (U_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ is the resolvent of a right process X with state space E and let \mathcal{T}_0 be the Lusin topology of E , having \mathcal{B} as Borel σ -algebra, and let m be a fixed \mathcal{U} -sub-invariant measure, i.e.

$$m \circ \alpha U_\alpha \leq m, \alpha > 0.$$

Aim: To identify martingale functions and co-martingale ones, i.e., martingales w.r.t. some dual process.

- There exists a second sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on E denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{U}} = (\widehat{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ which is in **weak duality** with \mathcal{U} w.r.t. m in the sense that $\int_E f U_\alpha g dm = \int_E g \widehat{U}_\alpha f dm$ for all $f, g \geq 0$, and $\alpha > 0$.
- Both resolvents \mathcal{U} and $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ can be contractively extended to any $L^p(E, m)$ space for all $1 \leq p < \infty$, and they are strongly continuous.

- There exist a larger Lusin measurable space $(\bar{E}, \bar{\mathcal{B}})$, with $E \subset \bar{E}$, $E \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B} = \bar{\mathcal{B}}|_E$, and two processes \bar{X} and \hat{X} with common state space \bar{E} , such that \bar{X} is a right process with \bar{E} endowed with a convenient Lusin topology having $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ as Borel σ -algebra (resp. \hat{X} is a right process w.r.t. to a second Lusin topology on \bar{E} , also generating $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$), the restriction of \bar{X} to E is precisely X , and the resolvents of \bar{X} and \hat{X} are in duality with respect to \bar{m} , where \bar{m} is the extension of m from E to \bar{E} with zero on $\bar{E} \setminus E$.

- The α -excessive functions, $\alpha > 0$, with respect to \hat{X} on \bar{E} are precisely the unique extensions by continuity in the fine topology generated by \hat{X} of the $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_\alpha$ -excessive functions.

In particular, the set E is dense in \bar{E} in the fine topology of \hat{X} .

- The strongly continuous resolvent of sub-Markovian contractions induced on $L^p(m)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, by the process \bar{X} (resp. \hat{X}) coincides with \mathcal{U} (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$).

[L. Beznea, M. Röckner, *Pot. Anal.* 2015]

[L. Beznea, N. Boboc, M. Röckner, *Pot. Anal.* 2006]

Theorem

Let u be function from $L^p(E, m)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) The process $(u(X_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale w.r.t. \mathbb{P}^x for all $x \in E$ m -a.e.
- (ii) The process $(u(\hat{X}_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale w.r.t. $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^x$ for all $x \in E$ m -a.e.
- (iii) The function u is L_p -harmonic, i.e. $u \in D(L_p)$ and $L_p u = 0$.
- (iv) The function u is \hat{L}_p -harmonic, i.e. $u \in D(\hat{L}_p)$ and $\hat{L}_p u = 0$.

IV. Excessive and invariant functions on L^p -spaces

Assume that $\mathcal{U} = (U_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ is a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on E and m is a σ -finite sub-invariant measure. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{U}} = (\widehat{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ be a second sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on E which is in weak duality with \mathcal{U} w.r.t. m .

We focus on a special class of differences of excessive functions (which are in fact harmonic when the resolvent is Markovian).

- A real-valued \mathcal{B} -measurable function $v \in \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq \infty} L^p(E, m)$ is called **\mathcal{U} -invariant** provided that $U_\alpha(vf) = vU_\alpha f$ m -a.e. for all bounded and \mathcal{B} -measurable functions f and $\alpha > 0$.
- A set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is called **\mathcal{U} -invariant** if 1_A is \mathcal{U} -invariant; the collection of all \mathcal{U} -invariant sets is a σ -algebra.

- If $v \geq 0$ is \mathcal{U} -invariant then there exists $u \in E(\mathcal{U})$ such that $u = v$ m -a.e.
- If $\alpha U_\alpha 1 = 1$ m -a.e. then for every invariant function v we have $\alpha U_\alpha v = v$ m -a.e, which is equivalent (if \mathcal{U} is strongly continuous) with v being L_p -harmonic, i.e. $v \in D(L_p)$ and $L_p v = 0$.

The next result is a straightforward consequence of the duality between \mathcal{U} and $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proposition

The following assertions hold.

- A function u is \mathcal{U} -invariant if and only if it is $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ -invariant.*
- The set of all \mathcal{U} -invariant functions from $L^p(E, m)$ is a vector lattice with respect to the pointwise order relation.*

Theorem

Let $u \in L^p(E, m)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and consider the following conditions.

(i) $\alpha U_\alpha u = u$ m -a.e. for one (and thus for all) $\alpha > 0$.

(ii) $\alpha \widehat{U}_\alpha u = u$ m -a.e., $\alpha > 0$.

(iii) The function u is \mathcal{U} -invariant.

(iv) $U_\alpha u = u U_\alpha 1$ and $\widehat{U}_\alpha u = u \widehat{U}_\alpha 1$ m -a.e. for one (and thus for all) $\alpha > 0$.

(v) The function u is measurable w.r.t. the σ -algebra of \mathcal{U} -invariant sets.

Then $\mathcal{I}_p := \{u \in L^p(E, m) : \alpha U_\alpha u = u \text{ } m\text{-a.e.}, \alpha > 0\}$ is a vector lattice w.r.t. the pointwise order relation and (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v).

If $\alpha U_\alpha 1 = 1$ or $\alpha \widehat{U}_\alpha 1 = 1$ m -a.e. then assertions (i) - (v) are equivalent.

If $m(E) < \infty$ and $p = \infty$ then all of the statements above are still true.

If $p = \infty$ and \mathcal{U} is m -recurrent (i.e. there exists $0 \leq f \in L^1(E, m)$ s.t. $Uf = \infty$ m -a.e.) then the equivalences of (i)-(v) remain valid.

- Similar characterizations for invariance as in the above theorem, but in the recurrent case and for functions which are bounded or integrable with bounded negative parts were investigated in [R. L. Schilling, *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 2004].
- Of special interest is the situation when the only invariant functions are the constant ones (*irreducibility*) because it entails ergodic properties for the semigroup resp. resolvent; see e.g. [K.T. Sturm, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 1994], [S. Albeverio, Y. G. Kondratiev, and M. Röckner, *J. Funct. Anal.* 1997], and [L. Beznea, I. Cîmpean, M. Röckner, *Irreducible recurrence, ergodicity, and extremality of invariant measures for resolvents*, arXiv:1409.6492v2].