

On purely discontinuous additive functionals of subordinate Brownian motions

Vanja Wagner

University of Zagreb

Bedlewo, 15.05.2017.



Introduction

Let $X = (X_t, \mathbb{P}_x)$ be an isotropic Lévy processes in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, with Lévy triplet $(0, 0, \nu)$.

For a bounded and symmetric function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ vanishing on the diagonal, define the purely discontinuous additive functional

$$A_t := \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F(X_{s-}, X_s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Introduction

Let $X = (X_t, \mathbb{P}_x)$ be an isotropic Lévy processes in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, with Lévy triplet $(0, 0, \nu)$.

For a bounded and symmetric function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ vanishing on the diagonal, define the purely discontinuous additive functional

$$A_t := \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F(X_{s-}, X_s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Q: Find sufficient conditions on F such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(A_\infty < \infty) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x A_\infty < \infty, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Introduction

Let $X = (X_t, \mathbb{P}_x)$ be an isotropic Lévy processes in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, with Lévy triplet $(0, 0, \nu)$.

For a bounded and symmetric function $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ vanishing on the diagonal, define the purely discontinuous additive functional

$$A_t := \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F(X_{s-}, X_s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Q: Find sufficient conditions on F such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(A_\infty < \infty) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x A_\infty < \infty, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

A1: X isotropic α -stable process, $\alpha \in (0, 2 \wedge d)$
(Schilling, Vondraček, *Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.*, 2017)

A2: X subordinate Brownian motion with a global scaling property
(Vondraček, Wagner, *Preprint*, 2017)

Main assumptions (1) and (2)

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SBM, with the Laplace exponent of the subordinator ϕ

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i(\xi, X_t)}] = e^{-t\phi(|\xi|^2)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ without drift, $\phi(\lambda) = \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \mu(t) dt$, $\lambda > 0$. The Lévy measure ν of X has a radial density j , $\nu(dx) = j(|x|) dx$, given by

$$j(r) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \mu(t) dt.$$

Main assumptions (1) and (2)

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SBM, with the Laplace exponent of the subordinator ϕ

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i(\xi, X_t)}] = e^{-t\phi(|\xi|^2)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ without drift, $\phi(\lambda) = \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \mu(t) dt$, $\lambda > 0$. The Lévy measure ν of X has a radial density j , $\nu(dx) = j(|x|)dx$, given by

$$j(r) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \mu(t) dt.$$

Let there exist constants $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 < 1 \wedge \frac{d}{2}$ and $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that

$$a_1 \lambda^{\delta_1} \leq \frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\phi(x)} \leq a_2 \lambda^{\delta_2}, \quad \lambda \geq 1, x > 0. \quad (1)$$

Main assumptions (1) and (2)

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SBM, with the Laplace exponent of the subordinator ϕ

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i(\xi, X_t)}] = e^{-t\phi(|\xi|^2)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ without drift, $\phi(\lambda) = \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \mu(t) dt$, $\lambda > 0$. The Lévy measure ν of X has a radial density j , $\nu(dx) = j(|x|)dx$, given by

$$j(r) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \mu(t) dt.$$

Let there exist constants $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 < 1 \wedge \frac{d}{2}$ and $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that

$$a_1 \lambda^{\delta_1} \leq \frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\phi(x)} \leq a_2 \lambda^{\delta_2}, \quad \lambda \geq 1, x > 0. \quad (1)$$

Assume that there are constants $C > 0$ and $\beta > 1$ such that

$$F(x, y) \leq C \frac{\Phi(|x - y|)^\beta}{1 + \Phi(|x|)^\beta + \Phi(|y|)^\beta}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2)$$

where $\Phi(\lambda) := \phi(\lambda^{-2})^{-1}$, $\lambda > 0$.

Main assumptions (1) and (2)

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a SBM, with the Laplace exponent of the subordinator ϕ

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i(\xi, X_t)}] = e^{-t\phi(|\xi|^2)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ without drift, $\phi(\lambda) = \int_{(0, \infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \mu(t) dt$, $\lambda > 0$. The Lévy measure ν of X has a radial density j , $\nu(dx) = j(|x|)dx$, given by

$$j(r) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4t}} \mu(t) dt.$$

Let there exist constants $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 < 1 \wedge \frac{d}{2}$ and $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that

$$a_1 \lambda^{\delta_1} \leq \frac{\phi(\lambda x)}{\phi(x)} \leq a_2 \lambda^{\delta_2}, \quad \lambda \geq 1, x > 0. \quad (1)$$

Assume that there are constants $C > 0$ and $\beta > \alpha$ such that

$$F(x, y) \leq C \frac{|x - y|^\beta}{1 + |x|^\beta + |y|^\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (2)$$

Main theorem

Theorem 1

Suppose that X is the SBM via the subordinator whose Laplace exponent $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfies (1). Let $\beta > 1$ and assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is bounded, symmetric and satisfies the condition (2). Let

$$A_t^F = \sum_{0 < s \leq t} F(X_{s-}, X_s).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_x(A_\infty^F < \infty) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x[A_\infty^F] < \infty.$$

Key elements of the proof of Theorem 1

- 1 Harnack inequality for F -harmonic functions of a class of SBMs satisfying the scaling condition (1);
- 2 Properties of the scaled process $X^R \stackrel{D}{=} (R^{-1}X_{t/\phi(R^{-2})})_{t \geq 0}$;
- 3 Properties of the function $u(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[e^{-A_\infty^F}]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the proof of Theorem 1.

Harnack inequality for F -harmonic functions

A non-negative function $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is F -harmonic in a bounded open set D with respect to X if for every open set $V \subset \bar{V} \subset D$ the following mean-value property holds:

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-A_{\tau_V}^F} u(X_{\tau_V}) \right], \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

Harnack inequality for F -harmonic functions

A non-negative function $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is F -harmonic in a bounded open set D with respect to X if for every open set $V \subset \bar{V} \subset D$ the following mean-value property holds:

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-A_{\tau_V}^F} u(X_{\tau_V}) \right], \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

Theorem 2

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open set and $K \subset D$ a compact subset of D . Fix $\beta > 1$ and $C > 0$. There exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(d, a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \beta, C, D, K) > 1$ such that for every non-negative, symmetric function F on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$F(x, y) \leq C(\Phi(s)^\beta \wedge 1),$$

and every $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is F -harmonic with respect to X in D , it holds that

$$C_1^{-1}u(x) \leq u(y) \leq C_1u(x), \quad x, y \in K.$$

Proof of the Harnack inequality

Let $B_r = B(0, r)$, $r > 0$, $\delta_{B_r}(x)$ the distance of x to the boundary ∂B_r , and let G_{B_r} denote the Green function of the process X killed upon exiting B_r .

We use the following two-sided Green function estimate (Kim, Mimica, 2013) for all $r \in (0, 1]$ and $x, y \in B_r$

$$G_{B_r}(x, y) \asymp \frac{\Phi(|x - y|)}{|x - y|^d} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\Phi(\delta_{B_r}(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(\delta_{B_r}(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Phi(|x - y|)} \right),$$

where the constants depend only on $d, a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$ from (1).

Key Lemma in the proof of HI

Lemma 3

(i) There exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, d)$ such that for every $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{G_{B_r}(x, y)G_{B_r}(z, w)}{G_{B_r}(x, w)} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C_2 \Phi(|x - y|)\Phi(|z - w|)}{\Phi(|x - w|)} \frac{|x - w|^d}{|x - y|^d |z - w|^d}, & (x, w) \in E_r, \\ C_2 \Phi(|x - y|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(|z - w|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x - w|^d}{|x - y|^d |z - w|^d}, & (x, w) \notin E_r, \end{cases}$$

where $E_r = \{(x, w) \in B_r \times B_r : |x - w| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\delta_{B_r}(x), \delta_{B_r}(w)\}\}$.

Key Lemma in the proof of HI

Lemma 3

(i) There exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, d)$ such that for every $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{G_{B_r}(x, y)G_{B_r}(z, w)}{G_{B_r}(x, w)} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C_2 \Phi(|x - y|)\Phi(|z - w|)}{\Phi(|x - w|)} \frac{|x - w|^d}{|x - y|^d |z - w|^d}, & (x, w) \in E_r, \\ C_2 \Phi(|x - y|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(|z - w|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x - w|^d}{|x - y|^d |z - w|^d}, & (x, w) \notin E_r, \end{cases}$$

where $E_r = \{(x, w) \in B_r \times B_r : |x - w| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{\delta_{B_r}(x), \delta_{B_r}(w)\}\}$.

(ii) Let $\beta > 1$, $C > 0$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $r_0 = r_0(d, a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \beta, C, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1]$ such that for every $r \in (0, r_0]$ and every $x, w \in B_r$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x^w \left[A_{\tau_{B_r}}^F \right] = \int_{B_r} \int_{B_r} \frac{G_{B_r}(x, y)G_{B_r}(z, w)}{G_{B_r}(x, w)} |F(y, z)| j(|y - z|) dz dy < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of the Harnack inequality

Lemma 3 implies that for every $r \leq r_0$ and for $x, w \in B_r$

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}_x^w \left[A_{\tau_{B_r}}^F \right] < \log(2) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \leq \mathbb{E}_x^w \left[e^{-A_{\tau_{B_r}}^F} \right] \leq 1.$$

Proof of the Harnack inequality

Lemma 3 implies that for every $r \leq r_0$ and for $x, w \in B_r$

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}_x^w \left[A_{\tau_{B_r}}^F \right] < \log(2) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \leq \mathbb{E}_x^w \left[e^{-A_{\tau_{B_r}}^F} \right] \leq 1.$$

This inequality implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_y[u(X_{\tau_{B_r}})] \leq u(y) \leq \mathbb{E}_y[u(X_{\tau_{B_r}})], \quad y \in B_r.$$

The proof of the HI now follows by using the estimates for the Poisson kernel P_{B_r} (Kim, Song, Vondraček, 2015.)

Scaled process X^R

For each $R > 0$ define

$$\phi^R(s) = \frac{\phi(R^{-2}s)}{\phi(R^{-2})}, \quad s > 0$$

and note that $\phi^R \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfies the scaling condition (1) with same constants. Denote by X^R the subordinate Brownian motion with the characteristic exponent $\psi^R(\xi) = \phi^R(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and note that

$$(X_t^R)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{D}{=} (R^{-1}X_{t/\phi(R^{-2})})_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3)$$

Scaled process X^R

For each $R > 0$ define

$$\phi^R(s) = \frac{\phi(R^{-2}s)}{\phi(R^{-2})}, \quad s > 0$$

and note that $\phi^R \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfies the scaling condition (1) with same constants. Denote by X^R the subordinate Brownian motion with the characteristic exponent $\psi^R(\xi) = \phi^R(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and note that

$$(X_t)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{D}{=} (R^{-1}X_{R^2 t})_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3)$$

Scaled process X^R

For each $R > 0$ define

$$\phi^R(s) = \frac{\phi(R^{-2}s)}{\phi(R^{-2})}, \quad s > 0$$

and note that $\phi^R \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfies the scaling condition (1) with same constants. Denote by X^R the subordinate Brownian motion with the characteristic exponent $\psi^R(\xi) = \phi^R(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and note that

$$(X_t^R)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{D}{=} (R^{-1}X_{t/\phi(R^{-2})})_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3)$$

Scaled process X^R

For each $R > 0$ define

$$\phi^R(s) = \frac{\phi(R^{-2}s)}{\phi(R^{-2})}, \quad s > 0$$

and note that $\phi^R \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfies the scaling condition (1) with same constants. Denote by X^R the subordinate Brownian motion with the characteristic exponent $\psi^R(\xi) = \phi^R(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and note that

$$(X_t^R)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{D}{=} (R^{-1}X_{t/\phi(R^{-2})})_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3)$$

For $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and any $R > 0$, set

$$u_R(x) := u(Rx), \quad F_R(x, y) := F(Rx, Ry), \quad D_R := \{Rx : x \in D\}.$$

Scaled process X^R

For each $R > 0$ define

$$\phi^R(s) = \frac{\phi(R^{-2}s)}{\phi(R^{-2})}, \quad s > 0$$

and note that $\phi^R \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfies the scaling condition (1) with same constants. Denote by X^R the subordinate Brownian motion with the characteristic exponent $\psi^R(\xi) = \phi^R(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and note that

$$(X_t^R)_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{D}{=} (R^{-1}X_{t/\phi(R^{-2})})_{t \geq 0}. \quad (3)$$

For $u : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and any $R > 0$, set

$$u_R(x) := u(Rx), \quad F_R(x, y) := F(Rx, Ry), \quad D_R := \{Rx : x \in D\}.$$

Lemma 4

Let D be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $R > 0$. If u is regular F -harmonic in D_R for X , then u_R is regular F_R -harmonic in D for X^R .

Properties of F_R and \hat{F}_R

Assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric, bounded and satisfies (2).

- (a) Then F_R is symmetric, bounded and satisfies $F_R(x, y) \leq C\Phi^R(|x - y|)^\beta$ for $|x| \geq 1$ or $|y| \geq 1$.

Properties of F_R and \hat{F}_R

Assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric, bounded and satisfies (2).

- (a) Then F_R is symmetric, bounded and satisfies $F_R(x, y) \leq C\Phi^R(|x - y|)^\beta$ for $|x| \geq 1$ or $|y| \geq 1$.
- (b) For a bounded open set $D \subset B(0, 1)^c$ and $R \geq 1$ let

$$\hat{F}_R(x, y) = \begin{cases} F_R(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (D \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cup (\mathbb{R}^d \times D) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Properties of F_R and \widehat{F}_R

Assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is symmetric, bounded and satisfies (2).

- (a) Then F_R is symmetric, bounded and satisfies $F_R(x, y) \leq C\Phi^R(|x - y|)^\beta$ for $|x| \geq 1$ or $|y| \geq 1$.
- (b) For a bounded open set $D \subset B(0, 1)^c$ and $R \geq 1$ let

$$\widehat{F}_R(x, y) = \begin{cases} F_R(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in (D \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cup (\mathbb{R}^d \times D) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \widehat{F}_R is symmetric, bounded and satisfies for $|x| \geq 1$ or $|y| \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} F_R(x, y) &= F(Rx, Ry) \leq C \frac{\Phi(|Rx - Ry|)^\beta}{1 + \Phi(|Rx|)^\beta + \Phi(|Ry|)^\beta} \\ &= C \frac{\Phi^R(|x - y|)^\beta}{\Phi(R)^{-\beta} + \Phi^R(|x|)^\beta + \Phi^R(|y|)^\beta} \leq C\Phi^R(|x - y|)^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\widehat{F}_R(x, y) \leq C\Phi^R(|x - y|)^\beta$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof of Theorem 1:

Define $u(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[e^{-A_\infty^F}]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and note that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x[A_\infty^F] < \infty \Leftrightarrow \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(x) = c, \text{ for some } c > 0 \stackrel{u \text{ is cont.}}{\Leftrightarrow} \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) > 0.$$

Let $M > 0$ and $D = V(0, 1, 2M + 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 1 < |x| < 2M + 1\}$ and $R \geq 1$. Since u is regular F -harmonic in D_R for X , it follows that u_R is regular \hat{F}_R -harmonic in D for X^R , where

$$\hat{F}_R = F_R \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(D \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cup (\mathbb{R}^d \times D)}.$$

By the HI it follows that there exists $c = c(d, a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \beta, C, M) > 1$ such that for all $R \geq 1$

$$c^{-1}u_R(y) \leq u_R(x) \leq cu_R(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \bar{V}(0, 2, 2M).$$

Proof of Theorem 1:

Define $u(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[e^{-A_\infty^F}]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and note that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x[A_\infty^F] < \infty \Leftrightarrow \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} u(x) = c, \text{ for some } c > 0 \stackrel{u \text{ is cont.}}{\Leftrightarrow} \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) > 0.$$

Let $M > 0$ and $D = V(0, 1, 2M + 1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 1 < |x| < 2M + 1\}$ and $R \geq 1$. Since u is regular F -harmonic in D_R for X , it follows that u_R is regular \hat{F}_R -harmonic in D for X^R , where

$$\hat{F}_R = F_R \cdot 1_{(D \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cup (\mathbb{R}^d \times D)}.$$

By the HI it follows that there exists $c = c(d, a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2, \beta, C, M) > 1$ such that for all $R \geq 1$

$$c^{-1}u(y) \leq u(x) \leq cu(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \bar{V}(0, 2R, 2RM).$$

Proof of Theorem 1:

Suppose that there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^d such that $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n) = 0$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $x_n \in V_n := \bar{V}(0, 2^{k_n}, 2^{k_n} M)$ for every $n \geq 1$. One can choose $M \geq 2$ such that X hits infinitely many sets V_n \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. Hence, for \mathbb{P}_x -a.e. ω there exists a subsequence $(n_l = n_l(\omega))$ and a sequence of times $(t_l = t_l(\omega))$ such that $X_{t_l}(\omega) \in V_{n_l}$. Therefore it follows from the HI,

$$c^{-1}u(X_{t_l}(\omega)) \leq u(x_{n_l}) \leq cu(X_{t_l}(\omega)),$$

which implies that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} u(X_{t_l}(\omega)) = 0$. But this is a contradiction with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(X_t) = 1$ \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. Therefore, $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x[A_\infty^F] < \infty$ holds. \square

Thank you for your attention.