

# Comparison of weak and strong moments for vectors with independent coordinates

Marta Strzelecka  
(based on joint work with Rafał Łatała)

University of Warsaw

Będlewo, May 18, 2017

## Definition

We say that a random vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is log-concave if for any compact subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A)^\lambda \mathbb{P}(X \in B)^{1-\lambda} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B).$$

## Definition

We say that a random vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is log-concave if for any compact subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A)^\lambda \mathbb{P}(X \in B)^{1-\lambda} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B).$$

Roughly speaking,  $X$  is log-concave iff it has log-concave density.

## Definition

We say that a random vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is log-concave if for any compact subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A)^\lambda \mathbb{P}(X \in B)^{1-\lambda} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B).$$

Roughly speaking,  $X$  is log-concave iff it has log-concave density.  
Log-concavity = vectors uniformly distributed on convex bodies  
+ affine transformations + weak limits.

## Definition

We say that a random vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is log-concave if for any compact subsets  $A, B$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A)^\lambda \mathbb{P}(X \in B)^{1-\lambda} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B).$$

Roughly speaking,  $X$  is log-concave iff it has log-concave density.  
Log-concavity = vectors uniformly distributed on convex bodies  
+ affine transformations + weak limits.

## Proposition

If  $X$  is a log-concave vector and  $\|\cdot\|$  is a seminorm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for every  $1 \leq p \leq q$  we have

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \geq C \frac{p}{q} (\mathbb{E}\|X\|^q)^{1/q}.$$

# The Paouris inequality

## Theorem [Paouris, 2006]

For a log-concave vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^p)^{1/p} \leq C(\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2 + \sigma_X(p)),$$

where  $\sigma_X(p)$  is the  $p$ -th weak moment of  $X$  defined by

$$\sigma_X(p) := \sup_{\|t\|_2=1} \left( \mathbb{E}|\langle t, X \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

# The Paouris inequality

## Theorem [Paouris, 2006]

For a log-concave vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^p)^{1/p} \leq C(\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2 + \sigma_X(p)),$$

where  $\sigma_X(p)$  is the  $p$ -th weak moment of  $X$  defined by

$$\sigma_X(p) := \sup_{\|t\|_2=1} \left( \mathbb{E}|\langle t, X \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, this result implies the deviation inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X\|_2 \geq 2Ct\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2) \leq \exp\left(-\sigma_X^{-1}(t\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2)\right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 1.$$

# The Paouris inequality

## Theorem [Paouris, 2006]

For a log-concave vector  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^p)^{1/p} \leq C(\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2 + \sigma_X(p)),$$

where  $\sigma_X(p)$  is the  $p$ -th weak moment of  $X$  defined by

$$\sigma_X(p) := \sup_{\|t\|_2=1} \left( \mathbb{E}|\langle t, X \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality, this result implies the deviation inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X\|_2 \geq 2Ct\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2) \leq \exp\left(-\sigma_X^{-1}(t\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2)\right) \quad \text{for } t \geq 1.$$

For a simpler proof see Adamczak, Latała, Litvak, Oleszkiewicz, Pajor, and Tomczak-Jaegermann, 2014.



## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- Consider  $T = B_2^n$  only.

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- Consider  $T = B_2^n$  only.  
Then the comparison holds for the class of log-concave vectors (Paouris 2006),

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- Consider  $T = B_2^n$  only.  
Then the comparison holds for the class of log-concave vectors (Paouris 2006), but it fails for vectors which moments grow  $\alpha$ -regularly ( $\alpha$  is fixed).

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- Consider  $T = B_2^n$  only.  
Then the comparison holds for the class of log-concave vectors (Paouris 2006), but it fails for vectors which moments grow  $\alpha$ -regularly ( $\alpha$  is fixed).
- Consider all  $T$  and assume  $X$  has independent coordinates.

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- Consider  $T = B_2^n$  only.  
Then the comparison holds for the class of log-concave vectors (Paouris 2006), but it fails for vectors which moments grow  $\alpha$ -regularly ( $\alpha$  is fixed).
- Consider all  $T$  and assume  $X$  has independent coordinates. Then the comparison holds under the assumption that the norms of these coordinates grow  $\alpha$ -regularly ( $C_1, C_2$  depend on  $\alpha$ ) – Latała, Tkocz 2015.

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, any set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- Consider  $T = B_2^n$  only.  
Then the comparison holds for the class of log-concave vectors (Paouris 2006), but it fails for vectors which moments grow  $\alpha$ -regularly ( $\alpha$  is fixed).
- Consider all  $T$  and assume  $X$  has independent coordinates. Then the comparison holds under the assumption that the norms of these coordinates grow  $\alpha$ -regularly ( $C_1, C_2$  depend on  $\alpha$ ) – Latała, Tkocz 2015. **Can we relax this assumption?**

# The main results

## Comparison of weak and strong moments (definition)

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$



# The main results

## Comparison of weak and strong moments (definition)

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

## Theorem [Latała, S., 2016]

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent centered random vectors such that for any  $q \geq 2$ :

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad (2)$$

# The main results

## Comparison of weak and strong moments (definition)

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

## Theorem [Latała, S., 2016]

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent centered random vectors such that for any  $q \geq 2$ :

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad (2)$$

then (1) holds for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C_1, C_2$  depending only on  $\alpha$ .

# The main results

## Comparison of weak and strong moments (definition)

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

## Theorem [Latała, S., 2016]

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent centered random vectors such that for any  $q \geq 2$ :

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad (2)$$

then (1) holds for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C_1, C_2$  depending only on  $\alpha$ .

## Theorem [Latała, S., 2016]

Assume  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. and satisfy (1) for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and  $T = \{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$ .

# The main results

## Comparison of weak and strong moments (definition)

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \quad (1)$$

## Theorem [Latała, S., 2016]

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent centered random vectors such that for any  $q \geq 2$ :

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad (2)$$

then (1) holds for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $C_1, C_2$  depending only on  $\alpha$ .

## Theorem [Latała, S., 2016]

Assume  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. and satisfy (1) for any  $n \geq 1, p \geq 1$  and  $T = \{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n\}$ . Then  $X_1$  satisfies (2) with  $\alpha$  depending on  $C_1, C_2$ .

## Main theorem

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad \text{for all } q \geq 2 \quad (3)$$

implies that for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2(\alpha) \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

## Corollary 1 – tail estimate

(3) implies that for all  $u \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| \geq C_3(\alpha) \left[ u + \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| \right] \right) \\ \leq C_4(\alpha) \sup_{t \in T} \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| \geq u \right), \end{aligned}$$

## Main theorem

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad \text{for all } q \geq 2 \quad (4)$$

implies that for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2(\alpha) \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

## Corollary 2 – Khintchine-Kahane-type inequalities

(4) implies that for all  $p \geq q \geq 2$  and any non-empty set  $T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have,

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_5(\alpha) \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^{\max\{1/2, \log_2 \alpha\}} \left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

## Main theorem

$$\|X_i\|_{2q} \leq \alpha \|X_i\|_q, \quad \text{for all } q \geq 2 \quad (4)$$

implies that for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2(\alpha) \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

## Corollary 2 – Khintchine-Kahane-type inequalities

(4) implies that for all  $p \geq q \geq 2$  and any non-empty set  $T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have,

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_5(\alpha) \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^{\max\{1/2, \log_2 \alpha\}} \left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

The exponent  $\max\{1/2, \log_2 \alpha\}$  is optimal.

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$



## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- What happens if the coordinates of  $X$  are not independent?

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- What happens if the coordinates of  $X$  are not independent?
- Does the comparison hold for all log-concave  $X$  for the general  $T$ ?

## Question

For which (reasonable) class of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the following holds: for any  $X$  of this class, set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $p \geq 1$  we have

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right| + C_2 \sup_{t \in T} \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i \right|^p \right)^{1/p} ?$$

- What happens if the coordinates of  $X$  are not independent?
- Does the comparison hold for all log-concave  $X$  for the general  $T$ ?
- Assume again  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent. When does the comparison hold with  $C_1 = 1$ ?

Thank you  
for your attention!