

# Time asymptotics of structured populations with diffusion and Feller boundary conditions

Quentin Richard

Laboratory of Mathematics of Besançon,  
University Bourgogne Franche-Comté, France

01/10/2018

Conference “Semigroups of Operators: Theory and Applications”

# Table of contents

1 Introduction of the model

2 Bounded size

3 Unbounded size

# Size-structured population model

We consider the model: Farkas and Hinow (2011)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t u(t, s) + \overbrace{\partial_s(\gamma(s)u(t, s))}^{\text{transport}} &= \overbrace{\partial_s(d(s)\partial_s(u(t, s)))}^{\text{diffusion}} - \overbrace{\mu(s)u(t, s)}^{\text{mortality}} \\
 &+ \underbrace{\int_0^m \beta(s, y)u(t, y)dy}_{\text{reproduction}}, \quad \forall s \in [0, m],
 \end{aligned}$$

# Size-structured population model

We consider the model: Farkas and Hinow (2011)

$$\partial_t u(t, s) + \overbrace{\partial_s(\gamma(s)u(t, s))}^{\text{transport}} = \overbrace{\partial_s(d(s)\partial_s(u(t, s)))}^{\text{diffusion}} - \overbrace{\mu(s)u(t, s)}^{\text{mortality}} + \underbrace{\int_0^m \beta(s, y)u(t, y)dy}_{\text{reproduction}}, \quad \forall s \in [0, m],$$

with Feller boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_s(d(s)\partial_s u(t, s))]_{s=0} - b_0 \partial_s u(t, 0) + c_0 u(t, 0) &= 0, \\ [\partial_s(d(s)\partial_s u(t, s))]_{s=m} + b_m \partial_s u(t, m) + c_m u(t, m) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

→ Asymptotic behavior of the solutions ?

# Table of contents

- 1 Introduction of the model
- 2 Bounded size**
- 3 Unbounded size

# Hypotheses

- 1 The functions  $\mu, \gamma'$  and  $s \mapsto \beta(s, y)$  are continuous at  $s = 0$  and at  $s = m$  for every  $y \in [0, m]$ ;
- 2 Let  $\beta_0 = \beta(0, \cdot)$  and  $\beta_m = \beta(m, \cdot)$ ;

# Hypotheses

- 1 The functions  $\mu, \gamma'$  and  $s \mapsto \beta(s, y)$  are continuous at  $s = 0$  and at  $s = m$  for every  $y \in [0, m]$ ;
- 2 Let  $\beta_0 = \beta(0, \cdot)$  and  $\beta_m = \beta(m, \cdot)$ ;
- 3  $\gamma, d \in W^{1, \infty}(0, m)$  and  $\mu, \beta_0, \beta_m \in L^\infty(0, m)$ ;
- 4  $b_0, b_m > 0, c_0, c_m \geq 0, \beta, \mu \geq 0$  and  $d(s) \geq d_0 > 0$  for every  $s \in [0, m]$ ;

# Hypotheses

- ① The functions  $\mu, \gamma'$  and  $s \mapsto \beta(s, y)$  are continuous at  $s = 0$  and at  $s = m$  for every  $y \in [0, m]$ ;
- ② Let  $\beta_0 = \beta(0, \cdot)$  and  $\beta_m = \beta(m, \cdot)$ ;
- ③  $\gamma, d \in W^{1, \infty}(0, m)$  and  $\mu, \beta_0, \beta_m \in L^\infty(0, m)$ ;
- ④  $b_0, b_m > 0, c_0, c_m \geq 0, \beta, \mu \geq 0$  and  $d(s) \geq d_0 > 0$  for every  $s \in [0, m]$ ;
- ⑤ the operator

$$L^1(0, m) \ni u \rightarrow \int_0^m \beta(\cdot, y) u(y) dy \in L^1(0, m)$$

is weakly compact.



## Dynamic boundary conditions

We rewrite the boundary conditions under the dynamics form

$$\partial_t u(t, 0) = -u(t, 0)\rho_0 + \partial_s u(t, 0)(b_0 - \gamma(0)) + \int_0^m \beta_0(y)u(t, y)dy,$$

$$\partial_t u(t, m) = -u(t, m)\rho_m - \partial_s u(t, m)(b_m + \gamma(m)) + \int_0^m \beta_m(y)u(t, y)dy,$$

where

$$\rho_0 = \gamma'(0) + \mu(0) + c_0, \quad \rho_m = \gamma'(m) + \mu(m) + c_m.$$

## Dynamic boundary conditions

We rewrite the boundary conditions under the dynamics form

$$\partial_t u(t, 0) = -u(t, 0)\rho_0 + \partial_s u(t, 0)(b_0 - \gamma(0)) + \int_0^m \beta_0(y)u(t, y)dy,$$

$$\partial_t u(t, m) = -u(t, m)\rho_m - \partial_s u(t, m)(b_m + \gamma(m)) + \int_0^m \beta_m(y)u(t, y)dy,$$

where

$$\rho_0 = \gamma'(0) + \mu(0) + c_0, \quad \rho_m = \gamma'(m) + \mu(m) + c_m.$$

We work in the Banach space

$$\mathcal{X} = (L^1(0, m) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}),$$

$$\|(u, u_0, u_m)\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|u\|_{L^1(0, m)} + c_1|u_0| + c_2|u_m|,$$

$$\text{where } c_1 = \frac{d(0)}{b_0 - \gamma(0)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{d(m)}{b_m + \gamma(m)}.$$

# Well-posedness

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_K \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} &= A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} + K \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \\ u_m \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (du')' - (\gamma u)' - \mu u \\ (b_0 - \gamma(0))u'(0) - \rho_0 u_0 \\ -(b_m + \gamma(m))u'(m) - \rho_m u_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^m \beta(\cdot, y)u(y)dy \\ \int_0^m \beta_0(y)u(y)dy \\ \int_0^m \beta_m(y)u(y)dy \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

in a suitable domain  $D(A)$ . We get

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = A_K U(t), \\ U(0) = (u^0, u_0^0, u_m^0) \in \mathcal{X}, \end{cases}$$

for  $U(t) = (u(t), u_0(t), u_m(t))^T$ .

# Well-posedness

Let

$$A_s : D(A_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

$$D(A_s) = \{(u, u_0, u_m) \in C^2[0, m] \times \mathbb{R}^2 : u(0) = u_0, u(m) = u_m\} \subset D(A_K).$$

Farkas and Hinow (2011):

- $A_s$  is dissipative;
- the closure of  $A_s$  is a generator.

# Well-posedness

Let

$$A_s : D(A_s) \rightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

$$D(A_s) = \{(u, u_0, u_m) \in C^2[0, m] \times \mathbb{R}^2 : u(0) = u_0, u(m) = u_m\} \subset D(A_K).$$

Farkas and Hinow (2011):

- $A_s$  is dissipative;
- the closure of  $A_s$  is a generator.

## Theorem

*The domain of the generator is*

$$D(A_K) = \{(u, u_0, u_m) \in W^{2,1}(0, m) \times \mathbb{R}^2 : u(0) = u_0, u(m) = u_m\}.$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Definition (Webb (1987))

Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  a  $C_0$ -semigroup of bounded linear operators in  $\mathcal{X}$ . The semigroup has the property of **asynchronous exponential growth** with intrinsic growth constant  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  if there exists a nonzero finite rank operator  $P_0$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda_0 t} T(t) = P_0.$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Definition (Webb (1987))

Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  a  $C_0$ -semigroup of bounded linear operators in  $\mathcal{X}$ . The semigroup has the property of **asynchronous exponential growth** with intrinsic growth constant  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  if there exists a nonzero finite rank operator  $P_0$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda_0 t} T(t) = P_0.$$

In practice, this behavior relies on two conditions:

- the **irreducibility** of the semigroup;
- the existence of a **spectral gap**.

# Irreducibility

- A positive  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is **irreducible** if, for every  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f > 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}'$ ,  $x > 0$ , there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\langle T(t)f, x \rangle > 0$ ;
- A positive operator  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is **positivity improving** if, for every  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f > 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}'$ ,  $x > 0$ , we have  $\langle \mathcal{A}f, x \rangle > 0$ .



# Irreducibility

- A positive  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is **irreducible** if, for every  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f > 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}'$ ,  $x > 0$ , there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\langle T(t)f, x \rangle > 0$ ;
- A positive operator  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is **positivity improving** if, for every  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f > 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}'$ ,  $x > 0$ , we have  $\langle \mathcal{A}f, x \rangle > 0$ .

Let  $\{T_{\mathcal{A}}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $\mathcal{X}$ , with generator  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the semigroup is **irreducible** if and only if, for  $\lambda$  large enough, the resolvent  $(\lambda - \mathcal{A})^{-1}$  is **positivity improving**.

# Spectral gap

A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has a **spectral gap** if

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) < \omega_0(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}),$$

where

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\|T(t)\|_{\text{ess}})}{t}.$$

# Spectral gap

A  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has a **spectral gap** if

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) < \omega_0(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}),$$

where

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln(\|T(t)\|_{\text{ess}})}{t}.$$

Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $\mathcal{X}$ . If

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) < \omega_0(\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}),$$

and if  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is **irreducible**, then  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has the property of asynchronous exponential growth, with rank one projection operator  $P_0$ .

# Irreducibility of the semigroup

Under the assumption  $\mathcal{C}([0, m]^2) \ni \beta(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$  a.e., the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $A_K$  is irreducible: Farkas and Hinow (2011).

## Theorem

*The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is irreducible.*

## Irreducibility of the semigroup

Under the assumption  $\mathcal{C}([0, m]^2) \ni \beta(\cdot, \cdot) > 0$  a.e., the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $A_K$  is irreducible: Farkas and Hinow (2011).

### Theorem

*The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is irreducible.*

### Proof.

For  $\lambda$  large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda I - A_K)^{-1} &= (\lambda I - A)^{-1} + (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (K(\lambda I - A)^{-1})^n \\ &\geq (\lambda I - A)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

and  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  is positivity improving (Hopf's maximum principle).  $\square$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Theorem

*If  $K \neq 0$ , then  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has the property of asynchronous exponential growth.*

# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Theorem

If  $K \neq 0$ , then  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has the property of asynchronous exponential growth.

Proof: the semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is **irreducible** and we have

$$T_{A_K}(t) = T_A(t) + \int_0^t T_A(t-s) K T_{A_K}(s) ds.$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Theorem

If  $K \neq 0$ , then  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has the property of asynchronous exponential growth.

Proof: the semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is **irreducible** and we have

$$T_{A_K}(t) = T_A(t) + \int_0^t T_A(t-s)KT_{A_K}(s)ds.$$

Since  $K$  is weakly compact, then the strong integral

$$\int_0^t T_A(t-s)KT_{A_K}(s)ds$$

is a weakly compact operator (Schlüchtermann (1992)).



# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Theorem

If  $K \neq 0$ , then  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  has the property of asynchronous exponential growth.

Proof: the semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is **irreducible** and we have

$$T_{A_K}(t) = T_A(t) + \int_0^t T_A(t-s)KT_{A_K}(s)ds.$$

Since  $K$  is weakly compact, then the strong integral

$$\int_0^t T_A(t-s)KT_{A_K}(s)ds$$

is a weakly compact operator (Schlüchtermann (1992)). Moreover,  $\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  have the same essential spectrum, and

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq \omega_0(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = s(A).$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

The resolvent  $(\lambda - A_K)^{-1}$  is compact, irreducible and

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} \leq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq (\lambda - A)^{-1} \neq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}.$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

The resolvent  $(\lambda - A_K)^{-1}$  is compact, irreducible and

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} \leq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq (\lambda - A)^{-1} \neq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}.$$

Marek's comparison theorem (1970) implies

$$r_\sigma((\lambda - A)^{-1}) < r_\sigma((\lambda - A_K)^{-1}),$$

## Asynchronous exponential growth

The resolvent  $(\lambda - A_K)^{-1}$  is compact, irreducible and

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} \leq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq (\lambda - A)^{-1} \neq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}.$$

Marek's comparison theorem (1970) implies

$$r_\sigma((\lambda - A)^{-1}) < r_\sigma((\lambda - A_K)^{-1}),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{\lambda - s(A)} = r_\sigma((\lambda - A)^{-1}) < r_\sigma((\lambda - A_K)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda - s(A_K)}$$

for  $\lambda$  large enough.

# Asynchronous exponential growth

The resolvent  $(\lambda - A_K)^{-1}$  is compact, irreducible and

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} \leq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq (\lambda - A)^{-1} \neq (\lambda - A_K)^{-1}.$$

Marek's comparison theorem (1970) implies

$$r_\sigma((\lambda - A)^{-1}) < r_\sigma((\lambda - A_K)^{-1}),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{\lambda - s(A)} = r_\sigma((\lambda - A)^{-1}) < r_\sigma((\lambda - A_K)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda - s(A_K)}$$

for  $\lambda$  large enough. Consequently

$$\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A) < s(A_K) = \omega_0(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0})$$

and therefore there is a **spectral gap**. □

# Table of contents

- 1 Introduction of the model
- 2 Bounded size
- 3 Unbounded size**

# Model

In the case  $m = \infty$ , we study the model

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t, s) + \partial_s(\gamma(s)u(t, s)) &= \partial_s(d(s)\partial_s u(t, s)) - \mu(s)u(t, s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \beta(s, y)u(y, t)dy, \\ [\partial_s(d(s)\partial_s u(t, s))]_{s=0} - b_0\partial_s u(t, 0) + c_0u(t, 0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Same hypotheses as in the finite case, then we rewrite the boundary condition as

$$\partial_t u(t, 0) = -u(t, 0)\rho_0 + \partial_s u(t, 0)(b_0 - \gamma(0)) + \int_0^\infty \beta_0(y)u(t, y)dy,$$

and we work in  $\mathcal{X} = (L^1(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}})$  with norm

$$\|(x, x_0)\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|x\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} + c_1|x_0|.$$

# Well-posedness

$$\begin{aligned} A_K \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} &= A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} + K \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (du')' - (\gamma u)' - \mu u \\ (b_0 - \gamma(0))u'(0) - \rho_0 u_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^\infty \beta(\cdot, y)u(y)dy \\ \int_0^\infty \beta_0(y)u(y)dy \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



# Well-posedness

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_K \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} &= A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} + K \begin{pmatrix} u \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (du')' - (\gamma u)' - \mu u \\ (b_0 - \gamma(0))u'(0) - \rho_0 u_0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^\infty \beta(\cdot, y)u(y)dy \\ \int_0^\infty \beta_0(y)u(y)dy \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

with domain  $D(A_K)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{ &(u, u_0) \in \mathcal{X}; u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+), u(0) = u_0, (du')' - (\gamma u)' \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \\
 &\text{and } \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} d(s)u'(s) - \gamma(s)u(s) = 0 \},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+) := \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+); u \in W^{2,1}(0, c), \forall c > 0 \right\}.$$

# Well-posedness

## Theorem

*The operator  $A_K$  generates an irreducible  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

# Well-posedness

## Theorem

*The operator  $A_K$  generates an irreducible  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

However, in the infinite case:

- the resolvent  $(\lambda I - A_K)^{-1}$  is not compact;
- we cannot use Marek's arguments, and the **spectral gap** is **not** insured.

# Asynchronous exponential growth

## Theorem

*Suppose that there exists a measurable subset  $I \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  with positive measure, such that*

$$u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+), u(y) > 0 \text{ a.e.} \implies \int_0^\infty \beta(s, y)u(y)dy > 0 \text{ a.e. } s \in I.$$

*If*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow s(A)} r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1}) > 1,$$

*then the semigroup  $\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  generated by  $A_K$  has the property of asynchronous exponential growth.*

# Asynchronous exponential growth

Sketch of proof:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_k}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A);$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

Sketch of proof:

- 1  $\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A);$
- 2  $r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1}) > 0 \quad (\lambda > s(A));$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

Sketch of proof:

①  $\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A);$

②  $r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1}) > 0 \quad (\lambda > s(A));$

③ the function

$$(s(A), \infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1})$$

is strictly decreasing;

# Asynchronous exponential growth

Sketch of proof:

- 1  $\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A);$

- 2  $r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1}) > 0 \quad (\lambda > s(A));$

- 3 the function

$$(s(A), \infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1})$$

is strictly decreasing;

- 4 there exists a unique  $\bar{\lambda} > s(A)$  such that

$$r_\sigma(K(\bar{\lambda} - A)^{-1}) = 1$$



# Asynchronous exponential growth

Sketch of proof:

①  $\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A);$

②  $r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1}) > 0 \quad (\lambda > s(A));$

③ the function

$$(s(A), \infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1})$$

is strictly decreasing;

④ there exists a unique  $\bar{\lambda} > s(A)$  such that

$$r_\sigma(K(\bar{\lambda} - A)^{-1}) = 1 \in \sigma_p(K(\bar{\lambda} - A)^{-1});$$

# Asynchronous exponential growth

Sketch of proof:

①  $\omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) \leq s(A);$

②  $r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1}) > 0 \quad (\lambda > s(A));$

③ the function

$$(s(A), \infty) \ni \lambda \mapsto r_\sigma(K(\lambda - A)^{-1})$$

is strictly decreasing;

④ there exists a unique  $\bar{\lambda} > s(A)$  such that

$$r_\sigma(K(\bar{\lambda} - A)^{-1}) = 1 \in \sigma_p(K(\bar{\lambda} - A)^{-1});$$

⑤ finally,  $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(A_K)$  and

$$\omega_0(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}) = s(A_K) \geq \bar{\lambda} > s(A) \geq \omega_{\text{ess}}(\{T_{A_K}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}). \quad \square$$

# A practical criterion

## Lemma

If  $\beta$  is bounded below by a separable kernel

$$\beta(x, y) \geq \beta_1(x)\beta_2(y),$$

where  $\beta_1 \in L^1(0, \infty)$ ,  $\beta_2 \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  and  $\beta_1$  continuous in 0, then

$$r_\sigma \left( K(\lambda - A)^{-1} \right) \geq \left\| \beta_2 \left( (\lambda - A)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_1(0) \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \Big|_1,$$

where  $(\cdot)_1$  denotes the first component.

# Constant case

## Theorem

*Suppose that*

$$d \equiv 1, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

*Let  $I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  with positive measures. Assume that*

$$\beta(x, y) \geq \beta_1(x)\beta_2(y)$$

*where  $\beta_1 \in L^1(0, \infty)$ ,  $\beta_2 \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  are such that*

$$\beta_1(s) > 0 \text{ a.e. } s \in I_1, \quad \beta_2(s) > 0 \text{ a.e. } s \in I_2$$

*with  $\beta_1$  continuous in 0.*

# Constant case

## Theorem

Suppose that

$$d \equiv 1, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Let  $I_1, I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  with positive measures. Assume that

$$\beta(x, y) \geq \beta_1(x)\beta_2(y)$$



where  $\beta_1 \in L^1(0, \infty)$ ,  $\beta_2 \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$  are such that

$$\beta_1(s) > 0 \text{ a.e. } s \in I_1, \quad \beta_2(s) > 0 \text{ a.e. } s \in I_2$$

with  $\beta_1$  continuous in 0. Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow s(A)} \left\| \beta_2 \left( (\lambda - A)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_1(0) \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\|_1 = \infty.$$

# References

-  J.Z. Farkas and P. Hinow, Physiologically structured populations with diffusion and dynamic boundary conditions, *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, **8**, (2011).
-  M. Mokhtar-Kharroubi and Q. Richard, Time asymptotics of structured populations with diffusion and dynamic boundary conditions, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, **22**, (2018).

Thank you for your attention !