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## Spectral theory. Koopman operator

$\square(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ probability, standard Borel space (non-atomic!),

- $L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is separable,
- $T:(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ invertible, measure-preserving; also notation $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$,
- Koopman operator: $U_{T}$

$\overline{U_{T} f}:=f \circ T$ for $f \in L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$,
- Spectral theory: properties of $U_{T}$, that is, properties of $T$ that are stable under spectral isomorphism in $\operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$.
- Ergodicity, weak mixing, mixing are spectral properties. ${ }^{1}$
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$\square(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ probability, standard Borel space (non-atomic!),
- $L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is separable,

■ $T:(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ invertible, measure-preserving; also notation $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$,

- Koopman operator: $U_{T}: L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, $U_{T} f:=f \circ T$ for $f \in L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$,
- Spectral theory: properties of $U_{T}$, that is, properties of $T$ that are stable under spectral isomorphism in $\operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$.
- Ergodicity, weak mixing, mixing are spectral properties. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Entropy is not; mixing of all orders unknown...

Why not Koopman on $L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-(

- $p \neq 2$
- $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), S \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ are ergodic, $V: L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow L^{p}(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ an isometry such that $V \circ U_{T}=U_{S} \circ V$.

Proposition
Under the above assumptions $T$ and $S$ are
isomorphic.

- Use Lamperti's theorem to obtain that $(V f)(y)=j(y) \cdot f(J y)$, where $J: Y \rightarrow X$ is
- Equivariance yields: $j(y) f(T J y)=j(S y) f(J S y)$; take $f=1$, to obtain that $j=$ const by the ergodicity of $S$.
- Notice that the image $J_{*}(\nu)$ is a $T$-invariant, ergodic measure satisfying $J_{*}(\nu) \ll \mu$, and use ergodicity of $T$ to conclude.
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## Proposition

Under the above assumptions $T$ and $S$ are measure-theoretically isomorphic.

■ Use Lamperti's theorem to obtain that $(V f)(y)=j(y) \cdot f(J y)$, where $J: Y \rightarrow X$ is non-singular.

- Equivariance yields: $j(y) f(T J y)=j(S y) f(J S y)$; take $f=1$, to obtain that $j=$ const by the ergodicity of $S$.
- Notice that the image $J_{*}(\nu)$ is a $T$-invariant, ergodic measure satisfying $J_{*}(\nu) \ll \mu$, and use ergodicity of $T$ to conclude.


## Why not Koopman on $L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-)

■ (Thouvenot's problem, 1986) Is is true that for each ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ there exists $f \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ such that $L^{1}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{f \circ T^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ for some $f \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
each $n \geqslant 1$ and all $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{j} \circ T^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} \neq L^{P}(X, B, \mu)
$$

(Bernoulli automorphisms have infinite "multiplicity" in all $L^{p}$ spaces whenever $p>1$ ).

## Why not Koopman on $L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-)

- (Thouvenot's problem, 1986) Is is true that for each ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ there exists $f \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ such that $L^{1}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{f \circ T^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ for some $f \in L^{1}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
■ (Iwanik, 1991) For each Bernoulli automorphism $T$ and $p>1$, each $n \geqslant 1$ and all $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, we have

$$
\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{f_{j} \circ T^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} \neq L^{p}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)
$$

(Bernoulli automorphisms have infinite "multiplicity" in all $L^{p}$ spaces whenever $p>1$ ).

## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. I

## Theorem (Herglotz)

If $U: H \rightarrow H$ is a unitary operator on a Hilbert space $H$ and $f \in H$, then the sequence $\mathbb{Z} \ni k \mapsto\left\langle U^{k} f, f\right\rangle$ is positive definite and theorefore there exists a unique (positive, Borel) measure $\sigma_{f}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ such that

$$
\widehat{\sigma}_{f}(k):=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} z^{k} d \sigma_{f}(z)=\left\langle U^{k} f, f\right\rangle \text { for each } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

- $\sigma_{f}$ is called the spectral measure of $f$.
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## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. II

- $H_{\sigma}:=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \sigma\right)$, where $\sigma$ is a (positive, Borel) finite measure on the circle,
$\square V_{\sigma}: H_{\sigma} \rightarrow H_{\sigma} ;\left(V_{\sigma} f\right)(z)=z f(z)$ is unitary,
- $H_{\sigma}=\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{1}):=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{V_{\sigma}^{k} \mathbb{1}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ - one says that $H_{\sigma}$ is equal to the cyclic space $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{1})$, where $\mathbb{1}(z)=1$.
> - $V_{\sigma}$ is an example of a unitary operator (defined on a separable Hilbert space) with simple spectrum.
> - If $H$ is a (senarable) Hilbert snace and $U$ is a unitary operator on it such that $H=\mathbb{Z}(f)$ for some $f \in H$, then the map

extends to a (linear) isometry intertwining $U$ and $V_{C}$ - If above holds, we say that $U$ has simple spectrum.
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- $V_{\sigma}$ is an example of a unitary operator (defined on a separable Hilbert space) with simple spectrum.
- If $H$ is a (separable) Hilbert space and $U$ is a unitary operator on it such that $H=\mathbb{Z}(f)$ for some $f \in H$, then the map

$$
U^{k} f \mapsto V_{\sigma_{f}}^{k}(\mathbb{1})=z^{k}
$$

extends to a (linear) isometry intertwining $U$ and $V_{\sigma_{f}}$.

- If above holds, we say that $U$ has simple spectrum.


## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. III

■ U:H $\rightarrow H$ unitary on a separable Hilbert space

```
Spectral theorem
There exists a decomposition, called a
H=\mp@subsup{\bigoplus}{n\geqslant1}{}\mathbb{Z}(\mp@subsup{f}{n}{})\mathrm{ such that}
For any other spectral decomposition H=\mp@subsup{\bigoplus}{n\geqslant1}{}\mathbb{Z}(\mp@subsup{f}{n}{\prime})\mathrm{ , we have}
\sigma}\mp@subsup{f}{n}{}\equiv\mp@subsup{\sigma}{\mp@subsup{f}{n}{\prime}}{}\mathrm{ for each }n\geqslant1
- (the type of) \(\sigma_{f_{1}}\) is called the maximal spectral type of \(U\) and is denoted by \(\sigma_{U}\).
- \(M U(z):=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{1}_{\text {supp }} \frac{d \sigma_{f_{n}}}{d \sigma_{1}}(z)\) is called the spectral multiplicity function of \(U\) (it is defined \(\sigma_{U}\)-a.e.)
- Any sequence \(\sigma_{1} \gg \sigma_{2} \ldots\) can be realized as a spectral sequence of some \(U\).
- Two unitary operators are (spectrally) isomorphic if and only if they have the same spectral sequence \((*)\) (up to equivalence od spectral measures).
```


## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. III

■ U:H $\rightarrow H$ unitary on a separable Hilbert space $H$.

## Spectral theorem

There exists a decomposition, called a spectral decomposition, $H=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\left(f_{n}\right)$ such that
(*) $\quad \sigma_{f_{1}} \gg \sigma_{f_{2}} \gg \ldots$ (spectral sequence).
For any other spectral decomposition $H=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\left(f_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, we have (**)

$$
\sigma_{f_{n}} \equiv \sigma_{f_{n}^{\prime}} \text { for each } n \geqslant 1
$$



## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. |||

■ U:H $\rightarrow H$ unitary on a separable Hilbert space $H$.

## Spectral theorem

There exists a decomposition, called a spectral decomposition, $H=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\left(f_{n}\right)$ such that
(*) $\quad \sigma_{f_{1}} \gg \sigma_{f_{2}} \gg \ldots$ (spectral sequence).
For any other spectral decomposition $H=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{Z}\left(f_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, we have $(* *) \quad \sigma_{f_{n}} \equiv \sigma_{f_{n}^{\prime}}$ for each $n \geqslant 1$.

- (the type of) $\sigma_{f_{1}}$ is called the maximal spectral type of $U$ and is denoted by $\sigma_{U}$.
- $M_{U}(z):=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \mathbb{1}_{\text {supp }} \frac{d \sigma_{f_{n}}}{d \sigma_{1}}(z)$ is called the spectral multiplicity function of $U$ (it is defined $\sigma_{U}$-a.e.).
- Any sequence $\sigma_{1} \gg \sigma_{2} \ldots$ can be realized as a spectral sequence of some $U$.
- Two unitary operators are (spectrally) isomorphic if and only if they have the same spectral sequence $(*)$ (up to equivalence od spectral measures).


## Basic questions of spectral theory of Koopman operators

■ Which sequences $\sigma_{1} \gg \sigma_{2} \gg \ldots$ appear as spectral sequences of Koopman operators $\left.U_{T}\right|_{L_{0}^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)}$ for ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
called Koopman realizable).
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- Which sequences $\sigma_{1} \gg \sigma_{2} \gg \ldots$ appear as spectral sequences of Koopman operators $\left.U_{T}\right|_{L_{0}^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)}$ for ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
(A) What measures appear as maximal spectral type of an ergodic automorphism?
(B) What subsets of $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ appear as the set essval $\left(M_{T}\right)$ of essential values of an ergodic automorphism T? (Such sets are called Koopman realizable).

- Which sequences $\sigma_{1} \gg \sigma_{2} \gg \ldots$ appear as spectral sequences of Koopman operators $\left.U_{T}\right|_{L_{0}^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)}$ for ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
(A) What measures appear as maximal spectral type of an ergodic automorphism?
(B) What subsets of $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ appear as the set essval $\left(M_{T}\right)$ of essential values of an ergodic automorphism T? (Such sets are called Koopman realizable).

Examples: (i) $T$ Bernoulli: $\lambda \equiv \lambda \equiv \ldots$, so $\sigma_{U_{T}}=\sigma_{T}=[\lambda]$, $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=\{\infty\}$ (all Bernoulli automorphisms are spectrally isomorphic).
(ii) $T$ irrational rotation: $\sigma \gg 0 \equiv 0 \equiv \ldots$, where $\sigma_{T}=\sigma=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} \delta_{e^{2 \pi i \ell \alpha}}$ and $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=\{1\}$ (ergodic automorphisms with discrete spectrum have simple spectrum).

## Maximal spectral type. I

## Proposition (Known restrictions:)

(i) Topological support $\operatorname{supp}\left(\sigma_{T}\right)$ of $\sigma_{T}$ is $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.
(ii) The measure $\widetilde{\sigma_{T}}(A):=\sigma_{T}(\bar{A})$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{T}$.
(iii) If $e^{2 \pi i \alpha}$ is an eigenvalue of $U_{T}$ then the measure $\sigma_{T, i}(A):=\sigma_{T}\left(e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \cdot A\right)$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{T}$.


## Maximal spectral type. I

## Proposition (Known restrictions:)

(i) Topological support $\operatorname{supp}\left(\sigma_{T}\right)$ of $\sigma_{T}$ is $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.
(ii) The measure $\widetilde{\sigma_{T}}(A):=\sigma_{T}(\bar{A})$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{T}$.
(iii) If $e^{2 \pi i \alpha}$ is an eigenvalue of $U_{T}$ then the measure $\sigma_{T, i}(A):=\sigma_{T}\left(e^{2 \pi i \alpha} \cdot A\right)$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{T}$.
$\square$ Use $\operatorname{supp}\left(\sigma_{T}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z \cdot I d-U_{T}\right.$ is not a bijection $\}=$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z$ is an approximative eigenvalue $\}$; to solve $\left\|U_{T}(f)-z f\right\|_{L^{2}}<\epsilon$ use Rokhlin lemma $f=\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} z^{i} \mathbb{1}_{T^{i} F}$.

## Maximal spectral type. II

- If $\sigma$ is a continuous symmetric measure on the circle and $U=e^{V_{\sigma}}:=\bigoplus_{\ell \geqslant 0} V_{\sigma}^{\odot \ell}$, then $U$ is a Koopman operator via the classical Gaussian construction. Indeed, take a centered, real stationary Gaussian process $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with spectral measure $\sigma$, i.e. $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{n} \cdot X_{0}\right)=\widehat{\sigma}(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with joint distribution $\mu_{\sigma}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and consider the shift $T$ on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\sigma}\right)$.
(in fact, weakly mixing) automorphism
(Girsanov's theorem, 1950th) Either $M_{U}=1$ or $M_{U}$ has to be unbounded We can have $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{U}\right)=\{1, \infty\}$ (take $\sigma \perp \lambda$ with $\sigma * \sigma \equiv \lambda$ ),
otherwise this set is infinite and probably has interesting arithmetic properties. Danilenko and Ryzhikov in 2010 proved that
has a a Gaussian "realization'
Not every continuous, symmetric measure can be realized as a maximal spectral type of a Koopman operator. Indeed, we can find a so called Kronecker measure $\sigma$ (continuous, with full topological support) satisfying: For each $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \sigma\right)$ and $\epsilon>0$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\left\|f-z^{k}\right\|_{L^{2}(\sigma)}<\epsilon$. Then the famous Foiaș-Stratila theorem from 1967 tells us that whenever $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is ergodic and a real-valued $f \in L^{2}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ has $\sigma_{f} \equiv \sigma$ then the process $\left(f \circ T^{n}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has to be Gaussian; then $f$ will be in the first chaos of the corresponding $L^{2}$-space and also $\sigma \perp \sum_{j \geqslant 2} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \sigma^{* j}$, so $\sigma$ cannot be a measure of maximal spectral
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otherwise this set is infinite and probably has interesting arithmetic
properties. Danilenko and Ryzhikov in 2010 proved that
has a a Gaussian "realization"
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## Maximal spectral type. III

OUR KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE MAXIMAL SPECTRAL TYPE HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE 1960TH!!

## Maximal spectral multiplicity

■ Oseledets (1966): There exists an ergodic $T$ such that $1<\operatorname{esssup}\left(M_{T}\right)<\infty .^{2}$

- Robinson (1983): For each $n \geqslant 1$, there exists an ergodic $T$ such that $\operatorname{esssup}\left(M_{T}\right)=n .{ }^{3}$
${ }^{2}$ Double group extensions of IETs.
${ }^{3}$ Double group extensions, Katok-Stepin theory of periodic approximation to apply a generic type arguments.


## Essential values of the multiplicity function

■ $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) ; \operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)$ stands for the essential values of the multiplicity function $M_{T}$.

- General multiplicity conjecture: Each subset $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ is realizable as essval $\left(M_{T}\right)$.

```
Recall: Generally, we are interested which sequences }\mp@subsup{\sigma}{1}{}>>\mp@subsup{\sigma}{2}{
are realizable as spectral sequences of Koopman operators. In this
sequence we have either }\equiv\mathrm{ or >> (without equivalence).
```

Peformulation-of spectral multiplicity-conjecture
Is it true that each sequence (finite or infinite) $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1} \in\{\equiv, \gg\}$
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## Essential values of the multiplicity function

■ $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) ; \operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)$ stands for the essential values of the multiplicity function $M_{T}$.

- General multiplicity conjecture: Each subset $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ is realizable as essval $\left(M_{T}\right)$.

Recall: Generally, we are interested which sequences $\sigma_{1} \gg \sigma_{2} \gg \ldots$ are realizable as spectral sequences of Koopman operators. In this sequence we have either $\equiv$ or $\gg$ (without equivalence).

## Reformulation of spectral multiplicity conjecture

Is it true that each sequence (finite or infinite) $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1} \in\{\equiv, \gg\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Koopman realizable?

## Essential values of the multiplicity function. $1 \in E$

## Theorem (Robinson (1986), Goodson-Kwiatkowski-L.-Liardet (1992), <br> Kwiatkowski (jr.)-L. (1995) with final result)

Each subset $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ is Koopman realizable, i.e. there exists an ergodic $T$ such that $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=E$.

- How to create symmetry? $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), G$ a compact, Abelian group, $\phi: X \rightarrow G$ a cocycle, one considers

- $L^{2}\left(X \times G, \mu \otimes m_{G}\right)=\sum_{x \in \widehat{G}} L^{2}(X, \mu) \otimes \chi$. Note that
- Assume that $S \in C(T)$ and for some $v \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ we can solve the equation $\phi(S x)-v(\phi(x))=\xi(T x)-\xi(x)$ for a measurable $\xi: X \rightarrow G$
- $S_{\xi, v}(x, g):=\left(S_{x}, \xi(x)+v(g)\right)$ is an element of the centralizer of $T_{\phi}$
- $U_{S_{\xi, v}}\left(L^{2}(X, \mu) \otimes \chi\right)=L^{2}(X, \mu) \otimes(\chi \circ v)$.
- The lengths of the orbits of $v$ on $\widehat{G}$ yields a lower bound on the multiplicity.
- Passing to $T_{\phi H}$ for a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ yields LESS subspaces of the form $L^{2}(X, \mu) \otimes \chi$ (algebra!) under consideration.
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## Essential values of the multiplicity function. Rokhlin problem

## Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

Is it true that for each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic automorphism $T$ such that essval $\left(M_{T}\right)=\{n\}$ ?

```
- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T,
    essval(}\mp@subsup{M}{T\timesT}{})\subset{2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation
    theory)
        Generically, we have
    essval(}\mp@subsup{M}{T\timesn}{})={n,n(n-1),\ldots,n!}\mathrm{ (note that for n = 2 it
    yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
■ Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) - general case,
    Ryzhikov (1999) - n=2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n\geqslant2 there is an ergodic
    T}\in\operatorname{Aut}(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)\mathrm{ with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n
    (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each n\geqslant2, and }1\inE\subset\mathbb{N}\mathrm{ there is an
ergodic T\in Aut (X,\mathcal{B},\mu) such that essval ( }\mp@subsup{M}{T}{})=n\cdotE
```


## Essential values of the multiplicity function. Rokhlin problem

## Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

Is it true that for each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic automorphism $T$ such that $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=\{n\}$ ?

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism $T$, essval $\left(M_{T \times T}\right) \subset\{2,4\}$ (via Katok's linked approximation theory).



## Essential values of the multiplicity function. Rokhlin problem

## Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

Is it true that for each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic automorphism $T$ such that essval $\left(M_{T}\right)=\{n\}$ ?

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval $\left(M_{T \times T}\right) \subset\{2,4\}$ (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T \times n}\right)=\{n, n(n-1), \ldots, n!\}$ (note that for $n=2$ it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).



## Essential values of the multiplicity function. Rokhlin problem

## Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

Is it true that for each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic automorphism $T$ such that $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=\{n\}$ ?

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism $T$, essval $\left(M_{T \times T}\right) \subset\{2,4\}$ (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T \times n}\right)=\{n, n(n-1), \ldots, n!\}$ (note that for $n=2$ it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) - general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - $n=2$.



## Essential values of the multiplicity function. Rokhlin problem

## Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

Is it true that for each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic automorphism $T$ such that $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=\{n\}$ ?

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism $T$, essval $\left(M_{T \times T}\right) \subset\{2,4\}$ (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T \times n}\right)=\{n, n(n-1), \ldots, n!\}$ (note that for $n=2$ it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) - general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - $n=2$.
- Ageev (2005): For each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity $n$ (full answer to Rokhlin's question).



## Essential values of the multiplicity function. Rokhlin problem
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Is it true that for each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic automorphism $T$ such that $\operatorname{essval}\left(M_{T}\right)=\{n\}$ ?

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism $T$, essval $\left(M_{T \times T}\right) \subset\{2,4\}$ (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
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- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) - general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - $n=2$.
- Ageev (2005): For each $n \geqslant 2$ there is an ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity $n$ (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each $n \geqslant 2$, and $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ there is an ergodic $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ such that essval $\left(M_{T}\right)=n \cdot E$.


## Essential values of the multiplicity function. $2 \in E$

## Theorem (Katok-L., 2009)

Each finite set $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ is Koopman realizable.

- Obtained through studying isometric extensions of Cartesian squares.
- Exploiting the technique of weak limits to obtain simplicity of the spectrum of tensor products operators of the form $e^{V} \otimes W$ with a simultaneous control of homogenous multiplicity for the $W \otimes W$.

Remark (i) The above theorem was extended by Danilenko to $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ in 2010.
(ii) Other sets: $\{k, \ell, k \ell\},\{k, \ell, m, k \ell, k m, \ell m, k l m\}$, etc.: Ryzhikov (2009), Solomko (2012); $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}:{ }^{4}$ Ageev (2008).
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## Theorem (Katok-L., 2009)

Each finite set $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ is Koopman realizable.

■ Obtained through studying isometric extensions of Cartesian squares.

- Exploiting the technique of weak limits to obtain simplicity of the spectrum of tensor products operators of the form $e^{V} \otimes W$ with a simultaneous control of homogenous multiplicity for the $W \otimes W$.

Remark (i) The above theorem was extended by Danilenko to all $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ in 2010.
(ii) Other sets: $\{k, \ell, k \ell\},\{k, \ell, m, k \ell, k m, \ell m, k \ell m\}$, etc.: Ryzhikov
(2009), Solomko (2012); $\{2,3, \ldots, n\}:{ }^{4}$ Ageev (2008).

[^0]
## Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). Multiplicity

In all known constructions, appearance of nonsimple finite multiplicity spectrum is due to some symmetries:

- symmetry of double skew products with a group structure in the second extension, first noticed by Oseledets, originally systematically explored by Robinson and further developed Goodson-Kwiatkowski-L.-Liardet,
- the obvious symmetry of the Cartesian powers, first used in the unpublished version of Katok's notes which has circulated since mid-eighties, and brought to the final form by Ageev and Ryzhikov and
- symmetry involving a certain non-Abelian finite extension of a cyclic group discovered by Ageev.
Problem: The simplest unsolved cases are $\{3,4\},\{3,5\},\{3,7\}$
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## Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). Maximal spectral type

Problem 1: Can the maximal spectral type be absolutely continuous but not Lebesgue?
Problem 2: Can the maximal spectral type $\sigma$ for $U_{T}$ be absolutely continuous with respect to its convolution $\sigma * \sigma$ but not equivalent to it? ${ }^{5}$

Notice that there are three known possibilities:

- $\sigma$ is equivalent to $\sigma * \sigma$, as for Lebesgue spectrum or for Gaussian systems;
- $\sigma$ and $\sigma * \sigma$ are mutually singular, as for a generic measure preserving transformation $T$;
- $\sigma$ and $\sigma * \sigma$ have a common part but neither is absolutely continuous with respect to the other, as for $T \times T$ for a generic $T$.
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## Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). On other problems

"Chances for theorems:"
Problem 3: Is it true that all spectral types of a measure preserving transformation with continuous spectrum are dense?

- Fraczek proved it for some group extensions of rotations.

Problem 4: Does there exist an ergodic measure preserving
transformation whose maximal spectral type is absolutely
continuous but the spectrum is not Lebesgue with countable multiplicity? ${ }^{6}$
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## Other spectral problems raised by Anatole Katok. Time

 changes of flows. I- Horocycle flows have Lebesgue spectrum of infinite multiplicity (Parasyuk, 1953).
- Smooth time changes of them are mixing (Kushnirenko, 1974, Marcus, 1977).
Conjecture (Katok, Thouvenot; 2006): All flows obtained by a
sufficiently smooth time change of horocycle flows have countable
Lebesgue spectrum.
- Maximal spectral type Lebesgue was proved by Forni and Ulcigrai in 2012, and (the absolute continuity of the maximal spectral type) independently by Tiedra de Aldecoa (2012).
- Countable Lebesgue spectrum has been proved by Fayad, Forni and Kanigowski (in 2019).
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## Other spectral problems raised by Anatole Katok. Time

 changes of flows. II- $T x=x+\alpha$,
$\square f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, piecewise smooth, with the sum of jumps different from zero,
- special flow $T^{f}$ weak mixing proved by von Neumann in 1932(!),
- If $\alpha$ has bounded partial quotients - they have a Ratner's property ("similarity" with horocycle flows), Frạczek-L. 2006.

Conjecture (Katok, 2004) Von Neumann's special flows have finite multiplicity. ${ }^{?}$

- Still open...
- Kanigowski and Solomko in 2016 proved that these flows have no finite rank.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{4}$ Special case of the theorem above.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Recall: the first counterexample to Kolmogorov's group property of the spectrum was given by Katok and Stepin in 1967.
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[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ When the roof function $f$ is smooth, and $\alpha$ "Liouville", then $T^{f}$ has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ When the roof function $f$ is smooth, and $\alpha$ "Liouville", then $T^{f}$ has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ When the roof function $f$ is smooth, and $\alpha$ "Liouville", then $T^{f}$ has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

[^9]:    ${ }^{7}$ When the roof function $f$ is smooth, and $\alpha$ "Liouville", then $T^{f}$ has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

