## On some spectral problems in ergodic theory

#### Mariusz Lemańczyk

Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń

Anatole Katok's memorial conference, Bedlewo, 12.08.2019







## Spectral theory. Koopman operator

- $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  probability, standard Borel space (non-atomic!),
- $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is separable,
- $T : (X, B, \mu) \rightarrow (X, B, \mu)$  invertible, measure-preserving; also notation  $T \in Aut(X, B, \mu)$ ,
- Koopman operator:  $U_T : L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu),$  $\overline{U_T f} := f \circ T$  for  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu),$
- Spectral theory: properties of  $U_T$ , that is, properties of T that are stable under spectral isomorphism in  $Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .
- Ergodicity, weak mixing, mixing are spectral properties.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Entropy is not; mixing of all orders unknown...

### Spectral theory. Koopman operator

- $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  probability, standard Borel space (non-atomic!),
- $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is separable,
- $T : (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  invertible, measure-preserving; also notation  $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,
- Koopman operator:  $U_T : L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu),$  $\overline{U_T f} := f \circ T$  for  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu),$
- Spectral theory: properties of  $U_T$ , that is, properties of T that are stable under spectral isomorphism in  $Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .
- Ergodicity, weak mixing, mixing are spectral properties.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Entropy is not; mixing of all orders unknown...

### Spectral theory. Koopman operator

- $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  probability, standard Borel space (non-atomic!),
- $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is separable,
- $T : (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  invertible, measure-preserving; also notation  $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,
- Koopman operator:  $U_T : L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu),$  $\overline{U_T f := f \circ T}$  for  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu),$
- Spectral theory: properties of  $U_T$ , that is, properties of T that are stable under spectral isomorphism in  $Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .
- Ergodicity, weak mixing, mixing are spectral properties.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Entropy is not; mixing of all orders unknown...

## Why not Koopman on $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-(

#### ■ *p* ≠ 2

•  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), S \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  are ergodic,  $V : L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^p(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  an isometry such that  $V \circ U_T = U_S \circ V.$ 

#### Proposition

Under the above assumptions T and S are measure-theoretically isomorphic.

- Use Lamperti's theorem to obtain that  $(Vf)(y) = j(y) \cdot f(Jy)$ , where  $J: Y \to X$  is non-singular.
- Equivariance yields: j(y)f(TJy) = j(Sy)f(JSy); take f = 1, to obtain that j = const by the ergodicity of S.
- Notice that the image J<sub>\*</sub>(ν) is a T-invariant, ergodic measure satisfying J<sub>\*</sub>(ν) ≪ μ, and use ergodicity of T to conclude.

## Why not Koopman on $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-(

#### ■ *p* ≠ 2

•  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), S \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  are ergodic,  $V : L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^p(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  an isometry such that  $V \circ U_T = U_S \circ V$ .

#### Proposition

Under the above assumptions T and S are measure-theoretically isomorphic.

- Use Lamperti's theorem to obtain that  $(Vf)(y) = j(y) \cdot f(Jy)$ , where  $J: Y \to X$  is non-singular.
- Equivariance yields: j(y)f(TJy) = j(Sy)f(JSy); take f = 1, to obtain that j = const by the ergodicity of S.
- Notice that the image J<sub>\*</sub>(ν) is a T-invariant, ergodic measure satisfying J<sub>\*</sub>(ν) ≪ μ, and use ergodicity of T to conclude.

## Why not Koopman on $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-(

#### ■ *p* ≠ 2

•  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), S \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  are ergodic,  $V : L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^p(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  an isometry such that  $V \circ U_T = U_S \circ V$ .

#### Proposition

Under the above assumptions T and S are measure-theoretically isomorphic.

- Use Lamperti's theorem to obtain that  $(Vf)(y) = j(y) \cdot f(Jy)$ , where  $J: Y \to X$  is non-singular.
- Equivariance yields: j(y)f(TJy) = j(Sy)f(JSy); take f = 1, to obtain that j = const by the ergodicity of S.
- Notice that the image J<sub>\*</sub>(ν) is a *T*-invariant, ergodic measure satisfying J<sub>\*</sub>(ν) ≪ μ, and use ergodicity of *T* to conclude.

Why not Koopman on  $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-)

- (Thouvenot's problem, 1986) Is is true that for each ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  there exists  $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) = \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{ f \circ T^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$  for some  $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
- (Iwanik, 1991) For each Bernoulli automorphism T and p > 1, each  $n \ge 1$  and all  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{f_j \circ T^k: \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, \dots, n\} \neq L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$$

(Bernoulli automorphisms have infinite "multiplicity" in all  $L^p$  spaces whenever p > 1).

Why not Koopman on  $L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ? :-)

- (Thouvenot's problem, 1986) Is is true that for each ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  there exists  $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) = \overline{\operatorname{span}} \{ f \circ T^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$  for some  $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ?
- (Iwanik, 1991) For each Bernoulli automorphism T and p > 1, each  $n \ge 1$  and all  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{f_j \circ T^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, \dots, n\} \neq L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$$

(Bernoulli automorphisms have infinite "multiplicity" in all  $L^{p}$  spaces whenever p > 1).

Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. I

#### Theorem (Herglotz)

If  $U: H \to H$  is a unitary operator on a Hilbert space H and  $f \in H$ , then the sequence  $\mathbb{Z} \ni k \mapsto \langle U^k f, f \rangle$  is positive definite and theorefore there exists a unique (positive, Borel) measure  $\sigma_f$  on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  such that

$$\widehat{\sigma}_f(k) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} z^k \, d\sigma_f(z) = \langle U^k f, f 
angle$$
 for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

 $\bullet$   $\sigma_f$  is called the *spectral measure* of f.

Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. I

#### Theorem (Herglotz)

If  $U: H \to H$  is a unitary operator on a Hilbert space H and  $f \in H$ , then the sequence  $\mathbb{Z} \ni k \mapsto \langle U^k f, f \rangle$  is positive definite and theorefore there exists a unique (positive, Borel) measure  $\sigma_f$  on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  such that

$$\widehat{\sigma}_f(k) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} z^k \, d\sigma_f(z) = \langle U^k f, f 
angle$$
 for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

•  $\sigma_f$  is called the *spectral measure* of f.

Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. II

- H<sub>σ</sub> := L<sup>2</sup>(S<sup>1</sup>, σ), where σ is a (positive, Borel) finite measure on the circle,
- $V_{\sigma}: H_{\sigma} 
  ightarrow H_{\sigma}$ ;  $(V_{\sigma}f)(z) = zf(z)$  is unitary,
- $H_{\sigma} = \mathbb{Z}(1) := \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{V_{\sigma}^{k}1 : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  one says that  $H_{\sigma}$  is equal to the cyclic space  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ , where  $\mathbb{1}(z) = 1$ .
- V<sub>σ</sub> is an example of a unitary operator (defined on a separable Hilbert space) with *simple spectrum*.
- If *H* is a (separable) Hilbert space and *U* is a unitary operator on it such that  $H = \mathbb{Z}(f)$  for some  $f \in H$ , then the map

$$U^k f \mapsto V^k_{\sigma_f}(1) = z^k$$

extends to a (linear) isometry intertwining U and V<sub>σf</sub>.
If above holds, we say that U has simple spectrum.

Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. II

- H<sub>σ</sub> := L<sup>2</sup>(S<sup>1</sup>, σ), where σ is a (positive, Borel) finite measure on the circle,
- $V_{\sigma}: H_{\sigma} 
  ightarrow H_{\sigma}$ ;  $(V_{\sigma}f)(z) = zf(z)$  is unitary,
- $H_{\sigma} = \mathbb{Z}(1) := \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{V_{\sigma}^{k}1 : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  one says that  $H_{\sigma}$  is equal to the cyclic space  $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ , where  $\mathbb{1}(z) = 1$ .
- V<sub>σ</sub> is an example of a unitary operator (defined on a separable Hilbert space) with *simple spectrum*.
- If H is a (separable) Hilbert space and U is a unitary operator on it such that H = Z(f) for some f ∈ H, then the map

$$U^k f \mapsto V^k_{\sigma_f}(1) = z^k$$

extends to a (linear) isometry intertwining U and V<sub>σf</sub>.
If above holds, we say that U has simple spectrum.

Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. II

- H<sub>σ</sub> := L<sup>2</sup>(S<sup>1</sup>, σ), where σ is a (positive, Borel) finite measure on the circle,
- $V_{\sigma}: H_{\sigma} 
  ightarrow H_{\sigma}; \ (V_{\sigma}f)(z) = zf(z)$  is unitary,
- $H_{\sigma} = \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{1}) := \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{V_{\sigma}^{k}\mathbb{1} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  one says that  $H_{\sigma}$  is equal to the cyclic space  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{1})$ , where  $\mathbb{1}(z) = 1$ .
- V<sub>σ</sub> is an example of a unitary operator (defined on a separable Hilbert space) with *simple spectrum*.
- If *H* is a (separable) Hilbert space and *U* is a unitary operator on it such that  $H = \mathbb{Z}(f)$  for some  $f \in H$ , then the map

$$U^k f \mapsto V^k_{\sigma_f}(1) = z^k$$

extends to a (linear) isometry intertwining U and V<sub>σf</sub>.
If above holds, we say that U has simple spectrum.

## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. III

 $\blacksquare$   $U: H \rightarrow H$  unitary on a separable Hilbert space H.

- the same spectral sequence (\*) (up to equivalence od spectral measures). 9/25

## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. III

 $U: H \rightarrow H$  unitary on a separable Hilbert space H.

#### Spectral theorem

There exists a decomposition, called a spectral decomposition,  $H = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{Z}(f_n)$  such that  $\sigma_{f_1} \gg \sigma_{f_2} \gg \dots$  (spectral sequence). (\*)For any other spectral decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{Z}(f'_n)$ , we have (\*\*)  $\sigma_{f_n} \equiv \sigma_{f'_n}$  for each  $n \ge 1$ .

- the same spectral sequence (\*) (up to equivalence od spectral measures). 9/25

## Classification of unitary operators on separable Hilbert spaces. III

 $U: H \rightarrow H$  unitary on a separable Hilbert space H.

#### Spectral theorem

There exists a decomposition, called a spectral decomposition,  $H = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{Z}(f_n)$  such that  $\sigma_{f_1} \gg \sigma_{f_2} \gg \dots$  (spectral sequence). (\*)For any other spectral decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{Z}(f'_n)$ , we have (\*\*)  $\sigma_{f_n} \equiv \sigma_{f'_n}$  for each  $n \ge 1$ .

- (the type of)  $\sigma_{f_1}$  is called the maximal spectral type of U and is denoted by  $\sigma_U$ .
- $M_U(z) := \sum_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{1}_{\sup p \frac{d\sigma_{f_n}}{d\sigma_{f_n}}}(z)$  is called the *spectral multiplicity* function of U (it is defined  $\sigma_U$ -a.e.).
- Any sequence  $\sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2 \dots$  can be realized as a spectral sequence of some U.
- Two unitary operators are (spectrally) isomorphic if and only if they have the same spectral sequence (\*) (up to equivalence od spectral measures).  $_{9/25}$

## Basic questions of spectral theory of Koopman operators

- Which sequences σ<sub>1</sub> ≫ σ<sub>2</sub> ≫ ... appear as spectral sequences of Koopman operators U<sub>T</sub>|<sub>L<sup>2</sup><sub>0</sub>(X,B,μ)</sub> for ergodic T ∈ Aut(X, B, μ)?
- (A) What measures appear as maximal spectral type of an ergodic automorphism?
- (B) What subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  appear as the set  $\operatorname{essval}(M_{\mathcal{T}})$  of essential values of an ergodic automorphism T? (Such sets are called *Koopman realizable*).

Examples: (i) T Bernoulli:  $\lambda \equiv \lambda \equiv ...$ , so  $\sigma_{U_T} = \sigma_T = [\lambda]$ , essval $(M_T) = \{\infty\}$  (all Bernoulli automorphisms are spectrally isomorphic).

(ii) T irrational rotation:  $\sigma \gg 0 \equiv 0 \equiv ...$ , where  $\sigma_T = \sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\ell} \delta_{e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha}}$  and  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = \{1\}$  (ergodic automorphisms with discrete spectrum have simple spectrum).

## Basic questions of spectral theory of Koopman operators

- Which sequences σ<sub>1</sub> ≫ σ<sub>2</sub> ≫ ... appear as spectral sequences of Koopman operators U<sub>T</sub>|<sub>L<sup>2</sup><sub>0</sub>(X,B,μ)</sub> for ergodic T ∈ Aut(X, B, μ)?
- (A) What measures appear as maximal spectral type of an ergodic automorphism?
- (B) What subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  appear as the set  $\operatorname{essval}(M_{\mathcal{T}})$  of essential values of an ergodic automorphism  $\mathcal{T}$ ? (Such sets are called Koopman realizable).

Examples: (i) T Bernoulli:  $\lambda \equiv \lambda \equiv \dots$ , so  $\sigma_{U_T} = \sigma_T = [\lambda]$ , essval $(M_T) = \{\infty\}$  (all Bernoulli automorphisms are spectrally isomorphic). (ii) T irrational rotation:  $\sigma \gg 0 = 0 = \dots$  where

 $\sigma_T = \sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\ell} \delta_{e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha}}$  and  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = \{1\}$  (ergodic automorphisms with discrete spectrum have simple spectrum).

### Basic questions of spectral theory of Koopman operators

- Which sequences σ<sub>1</sub> ≫ σ<sub>2</sub> ≫ ... appear as spectral sequences of Koopman operators U<sub>T</sub>|<sub>L<sup>2</sup><sub>0</sub>(X,B,μ)</sub> for ergodic T ∈ Aut(X, B, μ)?
- (A) What measures appear as maximal spectral type of an ergodic automorphism?
- (B) What subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  appear as the set  $\operatorname{essval}(M_{\mathcal{T}})$  of essential values of an ergodic automorphism  $\mathcal{T}$ ? (Such sets are called Koopman realizable).

Examples: (i) T Bernoulli:  $\lambda \equiv \lambda \equiv ...$ , so  $\sigma_{U_T} = \sigma_T = [\lambda]$ ,  $\overline{\text{essval}(M_T)} = \{\infty\}$  (all Bernoulli automorphisms are spectrally isomorphic).

(ii) T irrational rotation:  $\sigma \gg 0 \equiv 0 \equiv ...$ , where  $\sigma_T = \sigma = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^\ell} \delta_{e^{2\pi i \ell \alpha}}$  and  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = \{1\}$  (ergodic automorphisms with discrete spectrum have simple spectrum).

#### Proposition (Known restrictions:)

(i) Topological support  $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_T)$  of  $\sigma_T$  is  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . (ii) The measure  $\widetilde{\sigma_T}(A) := \sigma_T(\overline{A})$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_T$ . (iii) If  $e^{2\pi i \alpha}$  is an eigenvalue of  $U_T$  then the measure  $\sigma_{T,i}(A) := \sigma_T(e^{2\pi i \alpha} \cdot A)$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_T$ .

• Use supp $(\sigma_T) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \cdot Id - U_T \text{ is not a bijection}\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is an approximative eigenvalue}\}; to solve <math>\|U_T(f) - zf\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$  use Rokhlin lemma  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} z^i \mathbb{1}_{T^i F}$ .

#### Proposition (Known restrictions:)

(i) Topological support  $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_T)$  of  $\sigma_T$  is  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . (ii) The measure  $\widetilde{\sigma_T}(A) := \sigma_T(\overline{A})$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_T$ . (iii) If  $e^{2\pi i \alpha}$  is an eigenvalue of  $U_T$  then the measure  $\sigma_{T,i}(A) := \sigma_T(e^{2\pi i \alpha} \cdot A)$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_T$ .

• Use  $\operatorname{supp}(\sigma_T) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \cdot Id - U_T \text{ is not a bijection}\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is an approximative eigenvalue}\}; to solve <math>\|U_T(f) - zf\|_{L^2} < \epsilon$  use Rokhlin lemma  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} z^i \mathbb{1}_{T^i F}$ .

- If  $\sigma$  is a continuous symmetric measure on the circle and  $U = e^{V_{\sigma}} := \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} V_{\sigma}^{\odot \ell}$ , then U is a Koopman operator via the classical Gaussian construction. Indeed, take a centered, real stationary Gaussian process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with spectral measure  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \cdot X_0) = \hat{\sigma}(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with joint distribution  $\mu_{\sigma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and consider the shift T on  $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\sigma})$ .
- $\sigma_U = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma^{*n}$  is a measure of maximal spectral type for an ergodic (in fact, weakly mixing) automorphism.
- (Girsanov's theorem, 1950th) Either  $M_U = 1$  or  $M_U$  has to be unbounded. We can have  $essval(M_U) = \{1, \infty\}$  (take  $\sigma \perp \lambda$  with  $\sigma * \sigma \equiv \lambda$ ), otherwise this set is infinite and probably has interesting arithmetic properties. Danilenko and Ryzhikov in 2010 proved that every multiplicative sub-semigroup of N has a Gaussian "realization".
- Not every continuous, symmetric measure can be realized as a maximal spectral type of a Koopman operator. Indeed, we can find a so called Kronecker measure  $\sigma$  (continuous, with full topological support) satisfying: For each  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \sigma)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $||f z^k||_{L^2(\sigma)} < \epsilon$ . Then the famous Foiaș-Stratila theorem from 1967 tells us that whenever  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is ergodic and a real-valued  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  has  $\sigma_f \equiv \sigma$  then the process  $(f \circ T^n)_{N \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has to be Gaussian; then f will be in the first chaos of the corresponding  $L^2$ -space and also  $\sigma \perp \sum_{j \ge 2} \frac{1}{2^j} \sigma^{*j}$ , so  $\sigma$  cannot be a measure of maximal spectral type.

- If  $\sigma$  is a continuous symmetric measure on the circle and  $U = e^{V_{\sigma}} := \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} V_{\sigma}^{\odot \ell}$ , then U is a Koopman operator via the classical Gaussian construction. Indeed, take a centered, real stationary Gaussian process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with spectral measure  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \cdot X_0) = \hat{\sigma}(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with joint distribution  $\mu_{\sigma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and consider the shift T on  $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\underline{\sigma}})$ .
- $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\sigma}). \\ \sigma_{U} = \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sigma^{*n} \text{ is a measure of maximal spectral type for an ergodic} \\ (\text{in fact, weakly mixing) automorphism.} \end{array}$
- (Girsanov's theorem, 1950th) Either  $M_U = 1$  or  $M_U$  has to be unbounded. We can have  $essval(M_U) = \{1, \infty\}$  (take  $\sigma \perp \lambda$  with  $\sigma * \sigma \equiv \lambda$ ), otherwise this set is infinite and probably has interesting arithmetic properties. Danilenko and Ryzhikov in 2010 proved that every multiplicative sub-semigroup of N has a Gaussian "realization".
- Not every continuous, symmetric measure can be realized as a maximal spectral type of a Koopman operator. Indeed, we can find a so called Kronecker measure  $\sigma$  (continuous, with full topological support) satisfying: For each  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \sigma)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $||f z^k||_{L^2(\sigma)} < \epsilon$ . Then the famous Foiaş-Stratila theorem from 1967 tells us that whenever  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is ergodic and a real-valued  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  has  $\sigma_f \equiv \sigma$  then the process  $(f \circ T^n)_{N \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has to be Gaussian; then f will be in the first chaos of the corresponding  $L^2$ -space and also  $\sigma \perp \sum_{j \ge 2} \frac{1}{2^j} \sigma^{*j}$ , so  $\sigma$  cannot be a measure of maximal spectral type.

- If  $\sigma$  is a continuous symmetric measure on the circle and  $U = e^{V_{\sigma}} := \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} V_{\sigma}^{\odot \ell}$ , then U is a Koopman operator via the classical Gaussian construction. Indeed, take a centered, real stationary Gaussian process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with spectral measure  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \cdot X_0) = \hat{\sigma}(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with joint distribution  $\mu_{\sigma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and consider the shift T on  $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\underline{\sigma}})$ .
- $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\sigma}). \\ \sigma_{U} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sigma^{*n} \text{ is a measure of maximal spectral type for an ergodic} \\ (\text{in fact, weakly mixing) automorphism.} \end{array}$
- (Girsanov's theorem, 1950th) Either  $M_U = 1$  or  $M_U$  has to be unbounded. We can have  $essval(M_U) = \{1, \infty\}$  (take  $\sigma \perp \lambda$  with  $\sigma * \sigma \equiv \lambda$ ), otherwise this set is infinite and probably has interesting arithmetic properties. Danilenko and Ryzhikov in 2010 proved that every multiplicative sub-semigroup of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a Gaussian "realization".
- Not every continuous, symmetric measure can be realized as a maximal spectral type of a Koopman operator. Indeed, we can find a so called Kronecker measure  $\sigma$  (continuous, with full topological support) satisfying: For each  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \sigma)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|f z^k\|_{L^2(\sigma)} < \epsilon$ . Then the famous Foiaş-Stratila theorem from 1967 tells us that whenever  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is ergodic and a real-valued  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  has  $\sigma_f \equiv \sigma$  then the process  $(f \circ T^n)_{N \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has to be Gaussian; then f will be in the first chaos of the corresponding  $L^2$ -space and also  $\sigma \perp \sum_{j \ge 2} \frac{1}{2^j} \sigma^{*j}$ , so  $\sigma$  cannot be a measure of maximal spectral type.

- If  $\sigma$  is a continuous symmetric measure on the circle and  $U = e^{V_{\sigma}} := \bigoplus_{\ell \ge 0} V_{\sigma}^{\odot \ell}$ , then U is a Koopman operator via the classical Gaussian construction. Indeed, take a centered, real stationary Gaussian process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with spectral measure  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(X_n \cdot X_0) = \hat{\sigma}(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with joint distribution  $\mu_{\sigma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and consider the shift T on  $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\sigma})$ .
- $\begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mu_{\sigma}). \\ \sigma_{U} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sigma^{*n} \text{ is a measure of maximal spectral type for an ergodic} \\ (\text{in fact, weakly mixing) automorphism.} \end{array}$
- (Girsanov's theorem, 1950th) Either  $M_U = 1$  or  $M_U$  has to be unbounded. We can have  $essval(M_U) = \{1, \infty\}$  (take  $\sigma \perp \lambda$  with  $\sigma * \sigma \equiv \lambda$ ), otherwise this set is infinite and probably has interesting arithmetic properties. Danilenko and Ryzhikov in 2010 proved that every multiplicative sub-semigroup of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a Gaussian "realization".
- Not every continuous, symmetric measure can be realized as a maximal spectral type of a Koopman operator. Indeed, we can find a so called Kronecker measure  $\sigma$  (continuous, with full topological support) satisfying: For each  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \sigma)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|f z^k\|_{L^2(\sigma)} < \epsilon$ . Then the famous Foiaș-Stratila theorem from 1967 tells us that whenever  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is ergodic and a real-valued  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  has  $\sigma_f \equiv \sigma$  then the process  $(f \circ T^n)_{N \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has to be Gaussian; then f will be in the first chaos of the corresponding  $L^2$ -space and also  $\sigma \perp \sum_{j \ge 2} \frac{1}{2^j} \sigma^{*j}$ , so  $\sigma$  cannot be a measure of maximal spectral type.

## OUR KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE MAXIMAL SPECTRAL TYPE HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE 1960TH!!

- Oseledets (1966): There exists an ergodic T such that  $1 < \mathrm{esssup}(M_T) < \infty$ .<sup>2</sup>
- Robinson (1983): For each  $n \ge 1$ , there exists an ergodic T such that  $\operatorname{esssup}(M_T) = n$ .<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Double group extensions of IETs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Double group extensions, Katok-Stepin theory of periodic approximation to apply a generic type arguments.

•  $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ; essval $(M_T)$  stands for the essential values of the multiplicity function  $M_T$ .

General multiplicity conjecture: Each subset  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is realizable as  $essval(M_T)$ .

<u>Recall</u>: Generally, we are interested which sequences  $\sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2 \gg \ldots$ are realizable as spectral sequences of Koopman operators. In this sequence we have either  $\equiv$  or  $\gg$  (without equivalence).

#### Reformulation of spectral multiplicity conjecture

Is it true that each sequence (finite or infinite)  $(s_n)_{n \geqslant 1} \in \{\equiv,\gg\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Koopman realizable?

- $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ; essval $(M_T)$  stands for the essential values of the multiplicity function  $M_T$ .
- General multiplicity conjecture: Each subset  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is realizable as  $essval(M_T)$ .

<u>Recall</u>: Generally, we are interested which sequences  $\sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2 \gg \ldots$ are realizable as spectral sequences of Koopman operators. In this sequence we have either  $\equiv$  or  $\gg$  (without equivalence).

#### Reformulation of spectral multiplicity conjecture

Is it true that each sequence (finite or infinite)  $(s_n)_{n \geqslant 1} \in \{\equiv,\gg\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Koopman realizable?

- $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ; essval $(M_T)$  stands for the essential values of the multiplicity function  $M_T$ .
- General multiplicity conjecture: Each subset  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is realizable as  $essval(M_T)$ .

<u>Recall</u>: Generally, we are interested which sequences  $\sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2 \gg \ldots$ are realizable as spectral sequences of Koopman operators. In this sequence we have either  $\equiv$  or  $\gg$  (without equivalence).

#### Reformulation of spectral multiplicity conjecture

Is it true that each sequence (finite or infinite)  $(s_n)_{n \geqslant 1} \in \{\equiv,\gg\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Koopman realizable?

- $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ; essval $(M_T)$  stands for the essential values of the multiplicity function  $M_T$ .
- General multiplicity conjecture: Each subset  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is realizable as  $essval(M_T)$ .

<u>Recall</u>: Generally, we are interested which sequences  $\sigma_1 \gg \sigma_2 \gg \ldots$ are realizable as spectral sequences of Koopman operators. In this sequence we have either  $\equiv$  or  $\gg$  (without equivalence).

#### Reformulation of spectral multiplicity conjecture

Is it true that each sequence (finite or infinite)  $(s_n)_{n \ge 1} \in \{\equiv, \gg\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is Koopman realizable?

Theorem (Robinson (1986), Goodson-Kwiatkowski-L.-Liardet (1992), Kwiatkowski (jr.)-L. (1995) with final result)

Each subset  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is Koopman realizable, i.e. there exists an ergodic T such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = E$ .

How to create symmetry?  $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , G a compact, Abelian group,  $\phi : X \to G$  a cocycle, one considers

 $T_{\phi}: X \times G \rightarrow X \times G, \ T_{\phi}(x,g) = (Tx,\phi(x)+g).$ 

- $L^2(X \times G, \mu \otimes m_G) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi.$  Note that  $U_{\mathcal{T}_{\phi}}(L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi) = L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi.$
- Assume that  $S \in C(T)$  and for some  $v \in Aut(G)$  we can solve the equation  $\phi(Sx) v(\phi(x)) = \xi(Tx) \xi(x)$  for a measurable  $\xi : X \to G$ .
- $S_{\xi,v}(x,g) := (Sx,\xi(x) + v(g))$  is an element of the centralizer of  $T_{\phi}$ .
- $U_{S_{\xi,\nu}}(L^2(X,\mu)\otimes\chi)=L^2(X,\mu)\otimes(\chi\circ\nu).$
- The lengths of the orbits of v on  $\widehat{G}$  yields a lower bound on the multiplicity.
- Passing to  $T_{\phi H}$  for a closed subgroup  $H \subset G$  yields LESS subspaces of the form  $L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi$  (algebra!) under consideration.

Theorem (Robinson (1986), Goodson-Kwiatkowski-L.-Liardet (1992), Kwiatkowski (jr.)-L. (1995) with final result)

Each subset  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is Koopman realizable, i.e. there exists an ergodic T such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = E$ .

• How to create symmetry?  $T \in Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , G a compact, Abelian group,  $\phi : X \to G$  a cocycle, one considers

 $T_{\phi}: X \times G \rightarrow X \times G, \ T_{\phi}(x,g) = (Tx,\phi(x)+g).$ 

- $L^2(X \times G, \mu \otimes m_G) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi$ . Note that  $U_{\mathcal{T}_{\phi}}(L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi) = L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi$ .
- Assume that  $S \in C(T)$  and for some  $v \in Aut(G)$  we can solve the equation  $\phi(Sx) v(\phi(x)) = \xi(Tx) \xi(x)$  for a measurable  $\xi : X \to G$ .
- $S_{\xi,v}(x,g) := (Sx,\xi(x) + v(g))$  is an element of the centralizer of  $T_{\phi}$ .

$$U_{\mathcal{S}_{\xi,\nu}}(L^2(X,\mu)\otimes\chi)=L^2(X,\mu)\otimes(\chi\circ\nu).$$

- The lengths of the orbits of v on G yields a lower bound on the multiplicity.
- Passing to  $T_{\phi H}$  for a closed subgroup  $H \subset G$  yields LESS subspaces of the form  $L^2(X, \mu) \otimes \chi$  (algebra!) under consideration.

#### Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval(M<sub>T×T</sub>) ⊂ {2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have  $essval(M_{T \times n}) = \{n, n(n-1), \dots, n!\}$  (note that for n = 2 it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - n = 2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n≥ 2 there is an ergodic
   T ∈ Aut(X, B, µ) with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each  $n \ge 2$ , and  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  there is an ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = n \cdot E$ .

#### Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval(M<sub>T×T</sub>) ⊂ {2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have  $essval(M_{T \times n}) = \{n, n(n-1), \dots, n!\}$  (note that for n = 2 it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - n = 2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n≥ 2 there is an ergodic
   T ∈ Aut(X, B, µ) with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each  $n \ge 2$ , and  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  there is an ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = n \cdot E$ .

#### Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval(M<sub>T×T</sub>) ⊂ {2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have essval(M<sub>T×n</sub>) = {n, n(n − 1), ..., n!} (note that for n = 2 it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - n = 2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n≥ 2 there is an ergodic
   T ∈ Aut(X, B, µ) with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each  $n \ge 2$ , and  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  there is an ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = n \cdot E$ .

#### Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval(M<sub>T×T</sub>) ⊂ {2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have essval(M<sub>T×n</sub>) = {n, n(n − 1), ..., n!} (note that for n = 2 it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - n = 2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n≥ 2 there is an ergodic

   *T* ∈ Aut(X, B, μ) with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n
   (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each  $n \ge 2$ , and  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  there is an ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = n \cdot E$ .

#### Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval(M<sub>T×T</sub>) ⊂ {2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have essval(M<sub>T×n</sub>) = {n, n(n − 1), ..., n!} (note that for n = 2 it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - n = 2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n≥ 2 there is an ergodic
   T ∈ Aut(X, B, µ) with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each n≥ 2, and 1 ∈ E ⊂ N there is an ergodic T ∈ Aut(X, B, µ) such that essval(M<sub>T</sub>) = n · E.

#### Rokhlin's homogeneous spectrum problem

- Katok (mid 1980): For a generic automorphism T, essval(M<sub>T×T</sub>) ⊂ {2,4} (via Katok's linked approximation theory).
- Katok's conjecture: Generically, we have  $essval(M_{T \times n}) = \{n, n(n-1), \dots, n!\}$  (note that for n = 2 it yields positive answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Proof of Katok's conjecture: Ageev (1999) general case, Ryzhikov (1999) - n = 2.
- Ageev (2005): For each n≥ 2 there is an ergodic
   T ∈ Aut(X, B, µ) with homogenous spectrum of multiplicity n (full answer to Rokhlin's question).
- Danilenko (2006): For each  $n \ge 2$ , and  $1 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  there is an ergodic  $T \in \operatorname{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  such that  $\operatorname{essval}(M_T) = n \cdot E$ .

#### Theorem (Katok-L., 2009)

#### Each finite set $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$ is Koopman realizable.

- Obtained through studying isometric extensions of Cartesian squares.
- Exploiting the technique of weak limits to obtain simplicity of the spectrum of tensor products operators of the form  $e^V \otimes W$  with a simultaneous control of homogenous multiplicity for the  $W \otimes W$ .

<u>Remark</u> (i) The above theorem was extended by Danilenko to all  $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  in 2010. (ii) Other sets:  $\{k, \ell, k\ell\}$ ,  $\{k, \ell, m, k\ell, km, \ell m, k\ell m\}$ , etc.: Ryzhikov (2009), Solomko (2012);  $\{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ : <sup>4</sup> Ageev (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Special case of the theorem above.

#### Theorem (Katok-L., 2009)

Each finite set  $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is Koopman realizable.

- Obtained through studying isometric extensions of Cartesian squares.
- Exploiting the technique of weak limits to obtain simplicity of the spectrum of tensor products operators of the form  $e^V \otimes W$  with a simultaneous control of homogenous multiplicity for the  $W \otimes W$ .

<u>Remark</u> (i) The above theorem was extended by Danilenko to all  $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  in 2010. (ii) Other sets:  $\{k, \ell, k\ell\}$ ,  $\{k, \ell, m, k\ell, km, \ell m, k\ell m\}$ , etc.: Ryzhikov (2009), Solomko (2012);  $\{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ : <sup>4</sup> Ageev (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Special case of the theorem above.

#### Theorem (Katok-L., 2009)

Each finite set  $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  is Koopman realizable.

- Obtained through studying isometric extensions of Cartesian squares.
- Exploiting the technique of weak limits to obtain simplicity of the spectrum of tensor products operators of the form  $e^V \otimes W$  with a simultaneous control of homogenous multiplicity for the  $W \otimes W$ .

<u>Remark</u> (i) The above theorem was extended by Danilenko to all  $2 \in E \subset \mathbb{N}$  in 2010. (ii) Other sets:  $\{k, \ell, k\ell\}$ ,  $\{k, \ell, m, k\ell, km, \ell m, k\ell m\}$ , etc.: Ryzhikov (2009), Solomko (2012);  $\{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ : <sup>4</sup> Ageev (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Special case of the theorem above.

In all known constructions, appearance of nonsimple finite multiplicity spectrum is due to some symmetries:

- symmetry of double skew products with a group structure in the second extension, first noticed by Oseledets, originally systematically explored by Robinson and further developed Goodson-Kwiatkowski-L.-Liardet,
- the obvious symmetry of the Cartesian powers, first used in the unpublished version of Katok's notes which has circulated since mid-eighties, and brought to the final form by Ageev and Ryzhikov and
- symmetry involving a certain non-Abelian finite extension of a cyclic group discovered by Ageev.

Problem: The simplest unsolved cases are {3,4}, {3,5}, {3,7}.

In all known constructions, appearance of nonsimple finite multiplicity spectrum is due to some symmetries:

- symmetry of double skew products with a group structure in the second extension, first noticed by Oseledets, originally systematically explored by Robinson and further developed Goodson-Kwiatkowski-L.-Liardet,
- the obvious symmetry of the Cartesian powers, first used in the unpublished version of Katok's notes which has circulated since mid-eighties, and brought to the final form by Ageev and Ryzhikov and
- symmetry involving a certain non-Abelian finite extension of a cyclic group discovered by Ageev.

<u>Problem</u>: The simplest unsolved cases are  $\{3,4\}$ ,  $\{3,5\}$ ,  $\{3,7\}$ .

# Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). Maximal spectral type

## <u>Problem 1:</u> Can the maximal spectral type be absolutely continuous but not Lebesgue?

<u>Problem 2:</u> Can the maximal spectral type  $\sigma$  for  $U_T$  be absolutely continuous with respect to its convolution  $\sigma * \sigma$  but not equivalent to it? <sup>5</sup>

Notice that there are three known possibilities:

- σ is equivalent to σ \* σ, as for Lebesgue spectrum or for Gaussian systems;
- σ and σ \* σ are mutually singular, as for a generic measure preserving transformation T;
- $\sigma$  and  $\sigma * \sigma$  have a common part but neither is absolutely continuous with respect to the other, as for  $T \times T$  for a generic T.

<sup>5</sup>Recall: the first counterexample to Kolmogorov's group property of the spectrum was given by Katok and Stepin in 1967.

# Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). Maximal spectral type

<u>Problem 1:</u> Can the maximal spectral type be absolutely continuous but not Lebesgue?

<u>Problem 2:</u> Can the maximal spectral type  $\sigma$  for  $U_T$  be absolutely continuous with respect to its convolution  $\sigma * \sigma$  but not equivalent to it? <sup>5</sup>

Notice that there are three known possibilities:

- $\sigma$  is equivalent to  $\sigma * \sigma$ , as for Lebesgue spectrum or for Gaussian systems;
- $\sigma$  and  $\sigma * \sigma$  are mutually singular, as for a generic measure preserving transformation T;
- σ and σ \* σ have a common part but neither is absolutely continuous with respect to the other, as for T × T for a generic T.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Recall: the first counterexample to Kolmogorov's group property of the spectrum was given by Katok and Stepin in 1967.

Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). On other problems

#### "Chances for theorems:"

<u>Problem 3:</u> Is it true that all spectral types of a measure preserving transformation with continuous spectrum are dense?

Fraczek proved it for some group extensions of rotations.

<u>Problem 4:</u> Does there exist an ergodic measure preserving transformation whose maximal spectral type is absolutely continuous but the spectrum is not Lebesgue with countable multiplicity? <sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The difference from Problem 1 is that it is conceivable that the maximal spectral type is Lebesgue while not all others are.

Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). On other problems

"Chances for theorems:"

<u>Problem 3:</u> Is it true that all spectral types of a measure preserving transformation with continuous spectrum are dense?

### Fraczek proved it for some group extensions of rotations.

<u>Problem 4:</u> Does there exist an ergodic measure preserving transformation whose maximal spectral type is absolutely continuous but the spectrum is not Lebesgue with countable multiplicity? <sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The difference from Problem 1 is that it is conceivable that the maximal spectral type is Lebesgue while not all others are.

Comments and questions from Katok-L. (2009). On other problems

"Chances for theorems:"

<u>Problem 3:</u> Is it true that all spectral types of a measure preserving transformation with continuous spectrum are dense?

Fraczek proved it for some group extensions of rotations.

<u>Problem 4:</u> Does there exist an ergodic measure preserving transformation whose maximal spectral type is absolutely continuous but the spectrum is not Lebesgue with countable multiplicity? <sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The difference from Problem 1 is that it is conceivable that the maximal spectral type is Lebesgue while not all others are.

- Horocycle flows have Lebesgue spectrum of infinite multiplicity (Parasyuk, 1953).
- Smooth time changes of them are mixing (Kushnirenko, 1974, Marcus, 1977).

<u>Conjecture</u> (Katok, Thouvenot; 2006): All flows obtained by a sufficiently smooth time change of horocycle flows have countable Lebesgue spectrum.

- Maximal spectral type Lebesgue was proved by Forni and Ulcigrai in 2012, and (the absolute continuity of the maximal spectral type) independently by Tiedra de Aldecoa (2012).
- Countable Lebesgue spectrum has been proved by Fayad, Forni and Kanigowski (in 2019).

- Horocycle flows have Lebesgue spectrum of infinite multiplicity (Parasyuk, 1953).
- Smooth time changes of them are mixing (Kushnirenko, 1974, Marcus, 1977).

<u>Conjecture</u> (Katok, Thouvenot; 2006): All flows obtained by a sufficiently smooth time change of horocycle flows have countable Lebesgue spectrum.

- Maximal spectral type Lebesgue was proved by Forni and Ulcigrai in 2012, and (the absolute continuity of the maximal spectral type) independently by Tiedra de Aldecoa (2012).
- Countable Lebesgue spectrum has been proved by Fayad, Forni and Kanigowski (in 2019).

- Horocycle flows have Lebesgue spectrum of infinite multiplicity (Parasyuk, 1953).
- Smooth time changes of them are mixing (Kushnirenko, 1974, Marcus, 1977).

<u>Conjecture</u> (Katok, Thouvenot; 2006): All flows obtained by a sufficiently smooth time change of horocycle flows have countable Lebesgue spectrum.

- Maximal spectral type Lebesgue was proved by Forni and Ulcigrai in 2012, and (the absolute continuity of the maximal spectral type) independently by Tiedra de Aldecoa (2012).
- Countable Lebesgue spectrum has been proved by Fayad, Forni and Kanigowski (in 2019).

- $Tx = x + \alpha$ ,
- $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , piecewise smooth, with the sum of jumps different from zero,
- special flow T<sup>f</sup> weak mixing proved by von Neumann in 1932(!),
- If α has bounded partial quotients they have a Ratner's property ("similarity" with horocycle flows), Fraczek-L. 2006.

<u>Conjecture</u> (Katok, 2004) Von Neumann's special flows have finite multiplicity.<sup>7</sup>

- Still open...
- Kanigowski and Solomko in 2016 proved that these flows have no finite rank.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>When the roof function f is smooth, and  $\alpha$  "Liouville", then  $T^f$  has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

- $Tx = x + \alpha$ ,
- $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , piecewise smooth, with the sum of jumps different from zero,
- special flow T<sup>f</sup> weak mixing proved by von Neumann in 1932(!),
- If α has bounded partial quotients they have a Ratner's property ("similarity" with horocycle flows), Fraczek-L. 2006.

<u>Conjecture</u> (Katok, 2004) Von Neumann's special flows have finite multiplicity.<sup>7</sup>

- Still open...
- Kanigowski and Solomko in 2016 proved that these flows have no finite rank.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>When the roof function f is smooth, and  $\alpha$  "Liouville", then  $\mathcal{T}^f$  has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

- $Tx = x + \alpha$ ,
- $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , piecewise smooth, with the sum of jumps different from zero,
- special flow T<sup>f</sup> weak mixing proved by von Neumann in 1932(!),
- If α has bounded partial quotients they have a Ratner's property ("similarity" with horocycle flows), Fraczek-L. 2006.

Conjecture (Katok, 2004) Von Neumann's special flows have finite multiplicity.<sup>7</sup>

- Still open...
- Kanigowski and Solomko in 2016 proved that these flows have no finite rank.

<sup>7</sup>When the roof function f is smooth, and  $\alpha$  "Liouville", then  $T^f$  has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.

- $Tx = x + \alpha$ ,
- $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , piecewise smooth, with the sum of jumps different from zero,
- special flow T<sup>f</sup> weak mixing proved by von Neumann in 1932(!),
- If α has bounded partial quotients they have a Ratner's property ("similarity" with horocycle flows), Fraczek-L. 2006.

Conjecture (Katok, 2004) Von Neumann's special flows have finite multiplicity.<sup>7</sup>

- Still open...
- Kanigowski and Solomko in 2016 proved that these flows have no finite rank.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>When the roof function f is smooth, and  $\alpha$  "Liouville", then  $T^f$  has simple spectrum - Katok-Stepin 1967.



