

DIMENSION-FREE ESTIMATES IN ANALYSIS: HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS

Błażej Wróbel

University of Wrocław

Baby Steps Beyond the Horizon, Będlewo 6.09.2021

L^p SPACES AND (SUB)-LINEAR OPERATORS

- $L^p = \{f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty\}, \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

Here $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For us usually $1 < p < \infty$ COMMENT →

- $d\chi$ above denotes Lebesgue measure. By $|A|$ we mean Lebesgue measure of A

- An operator \bar{T} on L^p is a mapping $\bar{T}: L^p \rightarrow L^p$ COMMENT →

- \bar{T} is linear if $\bar{T}(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \bar{T}(f) + \beta \bar{T}(g)$

- \bar{T} is sublinear if

$$\bar{T}(\alpha f) = \alpha \bar{T}(f) \text{ and } |\bar{T}(f+g)| \leq |\bar{T}(f)| + |\bar{T}(g)|$$

GENERAL SETTING:

- d -dimension
- We have a family of (sub)-linear operators T_d such that T_d acts on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (later also $L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$)
- the family $\{T_d\}$ has dimension-free estimates on L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if

$$\sup_d \|T_d f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

- the constant $C(p)$ depends only on p

(4)

EXAMPLES: (LINEAR OPERATORS)

A. Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_d(f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$$

Then $\|\mathcal{F}_d(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, i.e. $C(2)=1$

2. Gaussian kernel

Let $h_d(x) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $T_d(f) = f * h_d$

Then

$$\|T_d f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \text{ i.e. } C(p)=1, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$$

EXAMPLES (SUB-LINEAR OPERATORS - MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS)

1. L^∞ estimates (supremum norm $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|$)

Let g be such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)| dx = 1$ and let $g_t(x) = t^{-d} g(\frac{x}{t})$

Then $\left\| \sup_{t>0} |g_t * f| \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, i.e. $C(\infty) = 1$

2. Gaussian maximal function

Let $h_t(x) = t^{-d} h(\frac{x}{t}) = (\pi t^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t^2}}$

Then

$$\left\| \sup_{t>0} |h_t * f| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

NOT SO EASY

↳ SEMIGROUP THEORY

Here $C(p)$ is a constant independent of d , $1 < p < \infty$

I. HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS - CONTINUOUS SETTING

HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTION OVER THE EUCLIDEAN BALLS

Let

$$|x| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq 1\}$$

Denote $tB = \{t x : x \in B\}$ and

$$M_t^B f(x) = \frac{1}{|tB|} \int_{tB} f(x-y) dy$$

Write

$$M_*^B f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |M_t^B f(x)|.$$

THEOREM, [E.M. STEIN 82]

For each $p \in (1, \infty)$ there is $C(p)$ such that

$$\|M_*^B f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{uniformly in } d$$

Before it was only known that $\|M_*^B f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 3^d \tilde{C}(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Replacing the ball B^2 by some other sets (8)

Question: What happens for the cube $Q = [-1, 1]^d$?

→ If $x \in Q$, then $\left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{d} \Rightarrow x \in \sqrt{d}B$

→ If $x \in B$, then $\max_j |x_j| \leq 1 \Rightarrow x \in Q$

→ Similarly $tB \subseteq tQ \subseteq t\sqrt{d}B$

→ From this, $|tA| = t^d |A|$, and Stein's result we may deduce

$$\left\| \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|tQ|} \left| \int_Q f(x-y) dy \right| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq d^{\frac{d}{2}} C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Note that $d^{\frac{d}{2}}$ grows very fast → no transfer of dimension-free estimates

HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL OPERATORS OVER SYMMETRIC CONVEX BODIES

(g)

DEFINITION: We say that $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a **symmetric convex body** if

G is a compact set with non-empty interior such that $x \in G \Leftrightarrow -x \in G$

For a symmetric convex body G we define $tG = \{tx : x \in G\}$,

$$M_t^G f(x) = \frac{1}{|tG|} \int_{tG} f(x-y) dy$$

HL averages
over G

and

$$M_*^G f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |M_t^G f(x)|$$

HL maximal operator
over G

Since, for some constants $a=a(G)$, $b=b(G)$, $aB \subseteq G \subseteq bB$ (10)

$$\| M_*^G f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq (C(a,b))^d C(p) \| f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

by comparison with Stein's result. For the cube $C(a,b) = \sqrt{d}$

→ Simple comparison gives boundedness but not dimension-free

→ The argument used by Stein works only for balls

It relies on rotation invariance

→ Here enters Bourgain...

THEOREM, [J. BOURGAIN, 85]

There is a constant C such that

$$\| M_G^* f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \| f \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

uniformly, for all symmetric convex bodies G in all dimensions d

→ The proof relies on estimates for the Fourier transform of $\mathbf{1}_{\tilde{G}}$,

where $\tilde{G} = A(G)$ for some invertible linear transformation A

→ Replacing G by $A(G)$ does not change the task

e.g. the estimate for B is the same as for the ellipsoid

$$E = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d (1 + \frac{x_i}{r_i})^2 x_i^2 \leq 1 \}$$

What is the appropriate choice of A and \tilde{G} ? (12)

DEFINITION: We say that a symmetric convex body G is in the isotropic position if $|G|=1$ and

$$G \left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i \xi_i \right)^2 dx = L(G) |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Then $L(G) = \frac{1}{d} \int_G |x|^2 dx$ is called the isotropic constant of G

REMARK: A major conjecture in ASYMPTOTIC CONVEX GEOMETRY asks whether $L(G) \approx 1$ uniformly in all symmetric convex bodies G .

This is known as the ISOTROPIC CONSTANT CONJECTURE

and last year Y. CHEN made a significant progress on it

(13)

For a function $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we write $\mathcal{F}(f)$ for its Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Note that in this case **Plancherel's theorem** states that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

In particular for $f = 1_G$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$m^G(\xi) := \mathcal{F}(1_G)(\xi) = \int_G e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$$

The crucial ingredient for proving Bourgain's maximal theorem is (14)

PROPOSITION: (CONTINUOUS FT ESTIMATES), [BOURGAIN 86]

Let G be a symmetric convex body which is in the isotropic position. Then, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ estimates

$$1) |m^G(\xi) - 1| \leq 150 \cdot L(G) |\xi| \quad (\text{at } 0)$$

$$2) |m^G(\xi)| \leq \frac{150}{L(G) |\xi|} \quad (\text{at } \infty)$$

$$3) |\langle \xi, \nabla m^G(\xi) \rangle| \leq 150 \quad (\text{differentiel})$$

The Proposition above allows us to prove the (dimension-free) L^2 maximal theorem of Bourgain even though we do not know if $L(G) \approx 1$.

A flavour of the reason why, here $a = L(G)|\beta|$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \min(2^j a, (2^j a)^{-1}) = \sum_{j: 2^j a \leq 1} -1/- + \sum_{j: 2^j a > 1} -1/-$$

$$= \sum_{j \leq \log_2 \frac{1}{a}} 2^j a + \sum_{j > \log_2 \frac{1}{a}} 2^{-j} a^{-1} \approx \begin{array}{l} \text{"sum of a geometric series"} \\ \text{"is approximately its largest term"} \end{array}$$

$$\approx 2^{\log_2 \frac{1}{a} \cdot a} + 2^{-\log_2 \frac{1}{a} \cdot a^{-1}} = 2 \quad \underline{\text{regardless of } a}$$

(15)
5

Interlude: Why symmetric convex sets are important?

DEFINITION: Let G be a symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^d . The function

$$s_G(x) := \inf\{t > 0 : t \cdot x \in G\}$$

is called the Minkowski norm of G .

It turns out that:

LEMMA: If G is a symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^d the s_G is a norm.

Conversely if s is a norm on \mathbb{R}^d then there is a symmetric convex body G such that $s = s_G$

Shortly after Bourgain's L^2 result

THEOREM [J. BOURGAIN, A. CARBERY 86]

For each $p > \frac{3}{2}$ there is a constant $C(p)$ such that

$$\| M_*^G f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p) \| f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Uniformly in all dimensions d and all symmetric convex bodies G .

→ Since 86' there was progress for specific convex bodies by
 [D. Müller, 90] and [J. Bourgain, 94]

→ No progress (lowering p) for general convex bodies

CONJECTURE: BOURGAIN-MAXIMAL FUNCTION CONJECTURE ≈ 86

Is it true that for each $1 < p < \infty$ there exists a $C(p)$ s.t.

$$\|M_*^G f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

uniformly in dimensions d and symmetric convex bodies G ?

Three years ago we were able to reduce the question to a difference estimate

THEOREM [J. BOURGAIN, M. MIREK, F.M. STEIN, BURGESS, 18]

Assume that for all $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ we have

$$\|M_{1/h}^G f - M_1^G f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(\alpha, p) h^\alpha \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 0 < h < 1, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $C(\alpha, p)$ depends only on α and p . Then Bourgain's conjecture holds

(19)

5

$$\rightarrow \text{Recall that } M_t^G f(x) = \frac{1}{|tG|} \int_{tG} f(x-y) dy = \int_G f(x-ty) dy$$

\rightarrow From PROPOSITION (CONTINUOUS FT ESTIMATES) we can deduce

$$C(1,2) \leq 150$$

\rightarrow Since M_t^G are averaging operators we also see that

$$C(0,1) \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad C(0,\infty) \leq 2$$

COMMENT →

\rightarrow No better estimates are known for general G

II. HARMONIC LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS - DISCRETE SETTING

DISCRETE SETTING

For a symmetric convex body G and $t > 0$ we denote

- $tG \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \{x \in tG : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}, \quad |tG \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| = \#\{tG \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}$

- $M_t^G f(x) = \frac{1}{|tG \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{y \in tG \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x-y)$ DISCRETE H-L
AVERAGE

- $M_*^G f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |M_t^G f(x)|$ DISCRETE H-L
MAXIMAL FUNCTION

Difficulties compared with the continuous case

→ No scaling, cannot replace G by $A(G)$

→ Need to count lattice points $|tG \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$ in a dimension-free way

$$\text{e.g. in } \{tB^2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 = t^2\}$$

These are real problems. For $f: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denote

$$\|f\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \{f: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \|f\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty\}$$

THEOREM [J. BOURGAIN, M. MRKVÍČKA, F.M. STEIN, B. URIMIĆ 18]

For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an ellipsoid E_d such that

for all $1 < p < \infty$ there is a function $f \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ for which

$$\left\| \mathcal{M}_*^{E_d} f \right\|_{(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d))} \geq C(p) (\log d)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$$

→ This is in sharp contrast with the continuous case

where $E_d = A_d(\beta)$ for some invertible A_d

→ No hope for a discrete result for all G

→ The problem appears for small values of $1 \leq t \leq 2$
in the supremum

For $1 < p < \infty$ we let $C(p, G)$ be the optimal constant in (24)

the continuous inequality

$$\|M_*^G f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p, G) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

and denote by $\mathcal{C}(p, G)$ the optimal constant in

discrete inequality

$$\|M_*^G f\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \mathcal{C}(p, G) \|f\|_{l^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$$

COMPARISON PRINCIPLE 1 [D. KOSZ, M. MIREK, P. PLEWA, B. WROBEL 20]

For all $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$C(p, G) \leq \mathcal{C}(p, G)$$

In short: The discrete question is harder

Are there any positive results?

For a symmetric convex body $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ we let $Q_{\frac{1}{2}} = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$ and

$$c(G) = \inf \left\{ t > 0 : Q_{\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq tG \right\}$$

COMPARISON PRINCIPLE 2 [BOURGAIN, MIREK, STEIN, URIBE 19]

Let $C(p, G)$ be the optimal constant in the continuous inequality

Then, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$

$$\left\| \sup_{t > c(G)d} \left| M_t^G f \right| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq 10^4 C(p, G) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

Thus, the discrete dimension-free question is harder only

(26)

for $t \leq c(G)d$.

What is $c(G)$ for various G ?

→ For $G = Q = [-1, 1]^d$, $c(Q) = \frac{1}{2}$. By [BOURGAIN 14] (continuous cube)

$C(p, Q) \leq C_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. Hence, the discrete dimension-free estimate holds for $t > \frac{d}{2}$

→ For $G = B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \leq 1\}$, $c(B) = \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}$. By [STEIN 82]

$C(p, B) \leq C_p$, $1 < p < \infty$. Hence the discrete dimension-free estimate holds for $t > \frac{1}{2} d^{\frac{3}{2}}$

We shall now compare the difficulties for $G = \mathbb{Q}$ vs $G = \mathbb{B}$. (27)

We focus on discrete Fourier transforms

$$m_t^{\mathbb{Q}}(\vec{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{|t\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{x \in t\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \vec{\gamma}}$$

$$m_t^{\mathbb{B}}(\vec{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{|t\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{x \in t\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \vec{\gamma}}$$

Here $\vec{\gamma} \in \mathbb{T}^d = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$, $t > 0$.

1. THE DISCRETE CUBE CASE $G = \mathbb{Q}$

This case is simpler because of explicit formulas

- If $\lfloor t \rfloor = \lfloor s \rfloor$ then $t\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = s\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ COMMENT →

- $|t\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| = (2\lfloor t \rfloor + 1)^d$

- $t \rightarrow M_t^\mathbb{Q} f(x)$ changes only at $t \in \mathbb{N}$. WLOG we may restrict to such t .

- $m_t^\mathbb{Q}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2t+1)^d} \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\sin((2t+1)\pi\xi_k)}{(2t+1)\sin\pi\xi_k}$

We can prove estimates analogous to the continuous case

(2g)

PROPOSITION (DISCRETE FT ESTIMATES FOR Q), [BOURGAIN, MIREK, STEIN, MÖSEL 19]

There is a universal constant $C > 0$ such that
estimates

$$1) |m_t^\alpha(\xi) - 1| \leq C t |\xi|, \quad (\text{at } 0)$$

$$2) |m_t^\alpha(\xi)| \leq (t |\xi|)^{-1} \quad (\text{at } \infty)$$

$$3) |m_{t_1}^\alpha(\xi) - m_{t_2}^\alpha(\xi)| \leq C |t_1 - t_2| \max(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}),$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $t_1, t_2, t \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \log$ (difference)

Remark: The above are much similar to general estimates

in the continuous case when specified to $G = Q_{\frac{1}{2}} = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$

For $t \geq 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $v_t(\xi) = \frac{1}{|(2t+1)Q_{\frac{1}{2}}|} \int_{(2t+1)Q_{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$.

Then $v_t(\xi) = v_0((2t+1)\xi)$ and $v_0(\xi) = \mathcal{F}(1_{Q_{\frac{1}{2}}})(\xi)$

$Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is in the isotropic position with $L(Q_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{1}{12}$ COMMENT →

Thus from Bourgain FT estimates

$$1) |v_t(\xi) - 1| \leq 150 \left((2t+1)|\xi| \right) \quad (\text{at } 0)$$

$$2) |v_t(\xi)| \leq 150 \left((2t+1)|\xi| \right)^{-1} \quad (\text{at } \infty)$$

Moreover by the mean value theorem

(31)

$$|v_{t_1}(\xi) - v_{t_2}(\xi)| = |v_0((2t_1+1)\xi) - v_0((2t_2+1)\xi)| \leq 2|t_1 - t_2| \sup_{S \in [t_1, t_2]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_0((2s+1)\xi) \right|$$

Using the chain rule $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_0(s\xi) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2s+1} \langle (2s+1)\xi, \nabla v_0((2s+1)\xi) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} 3) \quad & |v_{t_1}(\xi) - v_{t_2}(\xi)| \leq |t_1 - t_2| \max(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}) \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\langle \xi, \nabla v_0(\xi) \rangle| \leq \\ & \leq 150 |t_1 - t_2| \max(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}) \quad (\text{difference}) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality above comes from Bourgain's continuous estimate for $S = Q_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

PROOF (SKETCH) OF THE ESTIMATE FOR $m_{t_1}^Q - m_{t_2}^Q$

It suffices to take $t_1 \neq t_2$. In the sketch we take $|m_t^\alpha(\zeta)| \leq C(t|\zeta|)^{-1}$ for granted.

Idea: Compute m_t^Q with v_t .

$$m_{t_1}^Q(\zeta) - m_{t_2}^Q(\zeta) = m_{t_1}^Q(\zeta) - v_{t_1}(\zeta) + v_{t_2}(\zeta) - m_{t_2}^Q(\zeta) + v_{t_1}(\zeta) - v_{t_2}(\zeta)$$

Recall that the goal is $|m_{t_1}^Q(\zeta) - m_{t_2}^Q(\zeta)| \leq C |t_1 - t_2| \max(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1})$

The term $v_{t_1}(\zeta) - v_{t_2}(\zeta)$ is controlled by 3) (difference)

Using the explicit formula $v_t(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(2t+1)^d} \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\sin \pi (2t+1) \bar{\zeta}_k}{\pi (2t+1) \bar{\zeta}_k}$ we write

$$m_t^Q(\zeta) - v_t(\zeta) = \left(1 - \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{(2t+1) \sin \pi \bar{\zeta}_k}{\pi (2t+1) \bar{\zeta}_k} \right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^d \frac{\sin \pi (2t+1) \bar{\zeta}_k}{(2t+1) \sin \pi \bar{\zeta}_k} = (1 - v_0(\zeta)) m_t^Q(\zeta)$$

(33)

Now, using the estimate 1) for v_t and 2) for m_t^Q we reach
 $\downarrow(\text{at } 0)$ $\downarrow(\text{at } \infty)$

$$|m_t^Q(\xi) - v_t(\xi)| \leq C |\xi| \cdot \frac{1}{t|\xi|} \leq C t^{-1} \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$|m_{t_1}^Q(\xi) - m_{t_2}^Q(\xi)| = |m_{t_1}^Q(\xi) - v_{t_1}(\xi) + v_{t_1}(\xi) - m_{t_2}^Q(\xi) + m_{t_2}^Q(\xi) - v_{t_2}(\xi)| \leq$$

$$\leq C t_1^{-1} + t_2^{-1} + |t_1 - t_2| \max(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}) \leq C |t_1 - t_2| \max(t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}),$$

since we assumed that $t_1 \neq t_2$, $t_1, t_2 \in N \setminus \{0\}$.

This completes the sketch. \square

REMARK: This works because v_t is a very good approximation of m_t^Q .

(34)

Using the PROPOSITION on discrete FT estimates for m_ℓ^Q
 we were able to justify:

THEOREM, [BMSW, 19] (DIM-FREE BOUNDS FOR M_*^Q)

For each $\frac{3}{2} < p < \infty$ there exists a universal constant $C(p)$ such that

$$\| M_*^Q f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

REMARK: We did not cover $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$. This seems to require new ideas not used in the continuous setting.

2. THE DISCRETE BALL CASE $G = B$

(35)

This case is much more difficult — no explicit formulae

- $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \leq t^2 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \leq \lfloor t^2 \rfloor \Rightarrow$ only integer values of t^2 matter $\Rightarrow t \in \sqrt{\mathbb{N}}$

- It is not hard to see that

$$|tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| \approx |tB|, \quad \text{for } t > \frac{1}{2} d^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

- However by COMPARISON PRINCIPLE 2 we are interested in $t < \frac{1}{2} d^{\frac{3}{2}}$

- for small $t < \frac{1}{2} d^{\frac{3}{2}}$ the number of lattice points in $tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ is of a different nature e.g. if $t < 1$, then $|tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| = 1$, but $|tB| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0$

Comment →

REMARK: It is easy to see that $|t\mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| = t^d |\mathcal{B}| + R_d(t)$,

where the term $R_d(t)$ is of smaller order than t^d . The question on the optimal bound for $d=2$, i.e. for $R_2(t)$ is a major open question of ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY labelled GAUSS CIRCLE PROBLEM. It is easy to see that $R_2(t) \leq Ct$ and it is conjectured that the optimal bound is

$$R_2(t) \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

Even more problematic is the estimation of

(37)

$$m_t^B(\zeta) = \frac{1}{|t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{x \in t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^d$$

Something however is possible COMMENT →

LEMMA: For all $t > 0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we have

$$1) \quad |m_t^B(\zeta) - 1| \leq C \min\left(1, \frac{t^2}{d} |\zeta|^2\right) \leq C \frac{t}{\sqrt{d}} |\zeta|,$$

where C is a universal constant (at 0)

→ Recall 1) for the discrete cube

$$|m_t^Q(\zeta) - 1| \leq C t |\zeta|$$

→ The change from t to $\frac{t}{\sqrt{d}}$ is due to the

fact that $\frac{1}{2}Q$ is in the isotropic position,

whereas to scale the ball B to the isotropic

position we need to consider $\sqrt{d} B$

→ Compare $|\Omega|=2^d$ vs. $|B| \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{d\pi}} (2\pi e)^{\frac{d}{2}} d^{-\frac{d}{2}}$

The LEMMA suggest to define

(39)

$$K = \frac{t}{\sqrt{d}}$$

In place of 2) for the cube $|m_t^\alpha(\xi)| \leq C(t|\xi|)^{-1}$ we would like e.g.

$$|m_t^\beta(\xi)| \leq \frac{C}{K|\xi|} = \frac{C\sqrt{d}}{t|\xi|}$$

However this is much harder and not known

What is known in place of 2) for m_t^β ? (40)

PROPOSITION [BMSW, 19] (FT ESTIMATES FOR m_t^β AT ∞)

There is a universal constant such that

2) for $\sqrt{d} \leq t \Leftrightarrow K \geq 1$

$$|m_t^\beta(\xi)| \leq C(K|\xi|)^{-1} + CK^{-\frac{1}{7}},$$

2') for $t < \sqrt{d} \Leftrightarrow K < 1$

$$|m_t^\beta(\xi)| \leq C \exp(-\frac{K}{400} |\xi|^2) + C \exp(-\frac{K}{400} |\xi + \frac{1}{2}|^2)$$

where $\xi + \frac{1}{2} = (\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \xi_d + \frac{1}{2})$

REMARKS:

- The proofs of 2) and 2') are involved. We shall give a rough sketch of 2') later, if time permits
- Still we have no reasonable estimate for the difference

$$\left| m_{t_1}^B(\xi) - m_{t_2}^B(\xi) \right|$$

This seems hard

- This is why we could only prove

(92)

THEOREM [BMSW19] (DIM-FREE BOUNDS ON ℓ^2 FOR DYADIC M_*^β)

There is a universal constant such that,

$$\left\| \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| M_{2^n}^\beta f(x) \right| \right\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha)}, \quad f \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha)$$

for all dimensions $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in [2, \infty]$.

REMARK:

→ It is easy to see that $\|M_*^\beta f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$. The

difficult part of the above theorem is $p=2$.

The rest follows by "interpolation".

A conjecture that is open for ≈ 25 years is

(43)

CONJECTURE: STEIN DISCRETE MAXIMAL FUNCTION CONJECTURE ≈ 95

Is there a universal constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left\| M_*^B f \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \quad f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d),$$

uniformly in dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$?

REMARK:

→ Our dyadic maximal theorem may be regarded a
first step towards a solution of Stein's conjecture

(44)
⑤

VERY ROUGH SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF 2)

The goal is, for $\xi \in \mathbb{H}^d$, $|k| < 1$ (i.e. $t < \sqrt{d}$)

$$|m_t^B(\xi)| \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{K}{400}|\xi|^2\right) + C \exp\left(-\frac{K}{400}|\xi + \frac{1}{2}|^2\right)$$

IDEA 1):

If $x \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ then $\tau \circ x = (x_{\tau(1)}, \dots, x_{\tau(d)}) \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$

for any permutation $\tau: \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$.

In fact $x \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \Leftrightarrow (\tau \circ x) \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$

Permutations form the permutation group $\text{Sym}(d)$, $|\text{Sym}(d)| = d!$

We have

(45)

$$m_t^B(\zeta) = \frac{1}{|t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{x \in t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \zeta} = \frac{1}{|t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{(Tx) \in t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i (Tx) \cdot \zeta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{x \in t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2\pi i (Tx) \cdot \zeta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d|} \sum_{x \in t\beta_n \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{|\text{Sym}(d)|} \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}(d)} e^{-2\pi i (Tx) \cdot \zeta}$$

Thus in a way matters reduce to estimating

(46)

$$\frac{1}{|\text{Sym}(d)|} \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}(d)} e^{-2\pi i (Tx) \cdot \xi}$$

for $x \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^d$

IDEA 2: For $x \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ let $I_x = \{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} : |x_i| = 1\}$. Then

$$\frac{|\{t \in tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d : |I_x| < \frac{d}{10}\}|}{|tB \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|} \leq C 2^{-t^2} = C 2^{-k^2 d} \leq C 2^{-k^2 |\xi|}$$

(57)

Thus in a way we may only focus on

$x \in t\mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $|Ix| \geq \frac{d}{10}$. Oversimplifying

Say $|Ix|=d$. We need to control

$$\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Sym}(d)|} \sum_{T \in \mathrm{Sym}(d)} e^{-2\pi i (Tx) \cdot \zeta} / \zeta^{\ell \parallel d}$$

but this time for

$$x \in [-1, 1]^d \Leftrightarrow Tx \in [-1, 1]^d$$

Idea 3: For $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ denote

(48)

$$\alpha_S(\vec{\xi}) = \prod_{j \in S} \sin^2 \pi \xi_j \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus S} \cos^2 \pi \xi_i, \quad \vec{\xi} \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^d$$

and, for $n \leq d$,

$$K_n^{(d)}(S) = \frac{1}{\binom{d}{n}} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{|S|}{j} \binom{d-|S|}{n-j}.$$

$K_n^{(d)}$ is called Krawtchouk polynomial

(4g)

It can be justified that for $x \in [-1, 1]^d$

$$\frac{1}{|\text{Sym}(d)|} \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sym}(d)} e^{-2\pi i (\tau \cdot x) \cdot \zeta} = \sum_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}} a_S(\zeta) K_n^{(d)}(S),$$

where $n = t^2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Appropriate (dimension-free) estimates for $K_n^{(d)}(S)$

were proved [HARROW, KALA, SCHULMAN, 12]. This allows for a completion of the estimate for $m_f^\beta(\zeta)$.

Thank you for your attention!