Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

IRIF (CNRS-Université de Paris-Cité)

September 1st, 2022

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties:

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees,

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees, graph theoretic invariants,

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The context

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees, graph theoretic invariants, logical properties,

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees, graph theoretic invariants, logical properties, algorithmics etc.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The context

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees, graph theoretic invariants, logical properties, algorithmics etc.

A project under way: effective properties of structures of size \aleph_1 .

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The context

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees, graph theoretic invariants, logical properties, algorithmics etc.

A project under way: effective properties of structures of size \aleph_1 . Classes of nice structures of size \aleph_1 (with Kubiś).

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The context

Study the *passage* from properties of finite to those of infinite structures in order to get transfer of certain properties: small/big Ramsey degrees, graph theoretic invariants, logical properties, algorithmics etc.

A project under way: effective properties of structures of size \aleph_1 . Classes of nice structures of size \aleph_1 (with Kubiś).

Some results

replanty beams in a variant of the celebrated Sumerielli requincity learns that applies to aggreb that can be defined by a first-ender formal over finite fields. It states that such a repair can be decomposed into definable pieces which are recuplly about the same size and early that the objects between those pieces below almost randomly. This process is referred to as regularization. The result was proved by Too Ξ_0 in order to study expanding polynomials over finite fields, and initially it was formulated for fields of large enough characteristics.

Further developments on Tao's issues have a converbal couplex biscry. In a prictar correspondence to Tan. Humboodi \mathbb{R} owe matcher proof using the model deleneate to table for subject the proof the model deleneate to table for subject the proof the model deleneate to table for subject the property and the proof to the property \mathbb{R}^{1} and \mathbb{R}^{1} and \mathbb{R}^{1} and \mathbb{R}^{1} be advantage of these proofs is the they consecute to requirement of the property form of the proofs of the first Phigan all Subcriscion state that their proof and the proofs of the first Phigan all Subcriscion state that their proofs of the p

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 03C00, 11G25; Secondary 14G10, 14G15.
Key words and phrases: graphone, regularity lemma, finite fields, Frobenius automorphism, ACFA.

Published celline 9 March 2022.

DOI-10.004/vm030.1.2022 [200] @ Instyted Maternativessy.

Figure: M. Dž.-I. Tomašić, Graphons Arising From Graphs Definable over Finite Fields Colloquium Mathematicum 169-2 (2022) pg. 269-306 BIO RAMINEY DEGENES IN CRITARYBORCETS OF FRETTH STREET, CRITERY OF STREET, STREET, CRITERY OF STREET, CRITER

Figure: In preparation D.
Bartošova, M. Dž, R. Patel and
L. Scow Big Ramsey Degrees
in Ultraproducts of Finite
Structures

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite objects are studied in mathematics, but also in computer sciences: Turing machines, automata, infinite words, termination processes, "small" infinite sets.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite objects are studied in mathematics, but also in computer sciences: Turing machines, automata, infinite words, termination processes, "small" infinite sets. Modelling of unbounded processes. Potential infinity. In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Infinite objects are studied in mathematics, but also in computer sciences: Turing machines, automata, infinite words, termination processes, "small" infinite sets. Modelling of unbounded processes. Potential infinity. In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity. Cantor named the infinity.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

computer sciences: Turing machines, automata, infinite words, termination processes, "small" infinite sets. Modelling of unbounded processes. Potential infinity. In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity. Cantor named the infinity. $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, \ldots$

Infinite objects are studied in mathematics, but also in

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Notivation

In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity. Cantor named the infinity. $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, \ldots$

In a more distant past finite and infinite were studied mostly by trying to reflect something that was known from one context, to the other.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity. Cantor named the infinity. $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, \ldots$

In a more distant past finite and infinite were studied mostly by trying to reflect something that was known from one context, to the other. From the actual finite to the actual infinite.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity. Cantor named the infinity. $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, \ldots$

In a more distant past finite and infinite were studied mostly by trying to reflect something that was known from one context, to the other. From the actual finite to the actual infinite. Not that many things carry over.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

In mathematics, especially set theory and related subjects, we study the actual infinity. Cantor named the infinity. $\aleph_0, \aleph_1, \ldots$

In a more distant past finite and infinite were studied mostly by trying to reflect something that was known from one context, to the other. From the actual finite to the actual infinite. Not that many things carry over.

Figure: Finite and Infinite Combinatorics 1991



Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Examples:

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ...

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Notivation

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ... 'small infinite sets' (data register automata)

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ... 'small infinite sets' (data register automata)

These limits may have one of the following three infinite sizes:

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ... 'small infinite sets' (data register automata)

These limits may have one of the following three infinite sizes:

ℵ₀ (the first infinite),

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ... 'small infinite sets' (data register automata)

These limits may have one of the following three infinite sizes:

- ℵ₀ (the first infinite),
- ℵ₁ (the successor),

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ... 'small infinite sets' (data register automata)

These limits may have one of the following three infinite sizes:

- ℵ₀ (the first infinite),
- ℵ₁ (the successor),
- $2^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$ (the exp).

A more recent approach is to look also at the *how* the infinite object was built from the finite ones. So, to look into some sort of limit of finite structures.

Examples: Fraïssé limits, graphons, graphings, 1st order convergence, morasses, ultraproducts ... 'small infinite sets' (data register automata)

These limits may have one of the following three infinite sizes:

- ℵ₀ (the first infinite),
- ℵ₁ (the successor),
- $2^{\aleph_0} = \mathfrak{c}$ (the exp).

CH is like the P=NP problem for set theory.

ℵ₁ is not your usual infinite

• The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Differences between ℵ₀ and ℵ₁

ℵ₁ is not your usual infinite

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

between ℵ₀ and ℵ₁

ℵ₁ is not your usual infinite

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Differences between \aleph_0 and \aleph_1

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails.

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails. Aronszajn tree: an uncountable tree with no uncountable chains or antichains.

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails. Aronszajn tree: an uncountable tree with no uncountable chains or antichains.

No effectiveness notion.

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails. Aronszajn tree: an uncountable tree with no uncountable chains or antichains.

What to do?

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails. Aronszajn tree: an uncountable tree with no uncountable chains or antichains.

What to do? Turn the tables!

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails. Aronszajn tree: an uncountable tree with no uncountable chains or antichains.

What to do? Turn the tables! Concentrate on nicely built sets.

- The analogue of the compactness theorem fails for L_{ω_1,ω_1} .
- The analogue of Ramsey theorem fails.
- The analogue of descriptive set theory fails even in 2^{ω_1} .
- The analogue of König's theorem fails. Aronszajn tree: an uncountable tree with no uncountable chains or antichains.

What to do? Turn the tables! Concentrate on nicely built sets.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree. Jensen (1972) studied two cardinal transfer principles in \mathbf{L} and to prove them showed that morasses exist in \mathbf{L} .

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree. Jensen (1972) studied two cardinal transfer principles in **L** and to prove them showed that morasses exist in **L**. They are combinatorial structures whose purpose is to build object of size, say, κ^{+n} , using approximations of size $< \kappa$

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree. Jensen (1972) studied two cardinal transfer principles in **L** and to prove them showed that morasses exist in **L**. They are combinatorial structures whose purpose is to build object of size, say, κ^{+n} , using approximations of size $< \kappa$ (that would be called a (κ, n) -morass).

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree. Jensen (1972) studied two cardinal transfer principles in **L** and to prove them showed that morasses exist in **L**. They are combinatorial structures whose purpose is to build object of size, say, κ^{+n} , using approximations of size $< \kappa$ (that would be called a (κ, n) -morass). We shall be exclusively interested in $(\omega, 1)$ -morasses.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree. Jensen (1972) studied two cardinal transfer principles in L and to prove them showed that morasses exist in **L**. They are combinatorial structures whose purpose is to build object of size, say, κ^{+n} , using approximations of size $< \kappa$ (that would be called a (κ, n) -morass). We shall be exclusively interested in $(\omega, 1)$ -morasses. Moreover, we shall use a vastly simplified framework developed by Velleman (1984).

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses

Morasses are a way to build objects of size \aleph_1 through finite approximations. Including a Suslin tree. Jensen (1972) studied two cardinal transfer principles in **L** and to prove them showed that morasses exist in **L**. They are combinatorial structures whose purpose is to build object of size, say, κ^{+n} , using approximations of size $<\kappa$ (that would be called a (κ, n) -morass). We shall be exclusively interested in $(\omega, 1)$ -morasses. Moreover, we shall use a vastly simplified framework developed by Velleman (1984). Such an object exists in ZFC.

A (neat) simplified (ω , 1)-morass is a system $\mathcal{M} = \langle \theta_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle, \langle \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega \rangle$ such that

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

he context lotivation

Morasses

ur setting

Connection with Fraïssé limits A *(neat) simplified* $(\omega, 1)$ -morass is a system $\mathcal{M} = \langle \theta_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle, \langle \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha, \beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega \rangle$ such that

• for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Morasses -

- for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,

Mirna Džamonja

norasses

- **1** for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega} \text{ is a set of order preserving functions from } \theta_{\alpha} \text{ to } \\ \omega_{1} \text{ such that } \bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{``}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_{1},$

Mirna Džamonja

- for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega} \text{ is a set of order preserving functions from } \theta_{\alpha} \text{ to } \\ \omega_{1} \text{ such that } \bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{``}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_{1},$

Mirna Džamonja

- **1** for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}$ is a set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to ω_{1} such that $\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{"}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_{1}$,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ always contains the identity function id_α on θ_α and

Mirna Džamonja

- for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}$ is a set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to ω_{1} such that $\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{"}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_{1}$,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ always contains the identity function id_{α} on θ_{α} and either this is all, or $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}=\{\mathrm{id}_{\alpha},h_{\alpha}\}$ for some h_{α} such that there is a *splitting point* β with $h_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$ and $h_{\alpha}(\beta) > \theta_{\alpha}$,

Mirna Džamonja

norasses

- for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- ② for $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}$ is a set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to ω_{1} such that $\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{"}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_{1}$,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ always contains the identity function id_{α} on θ_{α} and either this is all, or $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}=\{\mathrm{id}_{\alpha},h_{\alpha}\}$ for some h_{α} such that there is a *splitting point* β with $h_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$ and $h_{\alpha}(\beta) > \theta_{\alpha}$,
- **6** for every $\beta_0, \beta_1 < \omega$ and $f_l \in \mathfrak{F}_{\beta_l,\omega}$ for l < 2

Mirna Džamonja

norasses

- **1** for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- ③ $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}$ is a set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to ω_1 such that $\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{"}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_1$,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ always contains the identity function id_{α} on θ_{α} and either this is all, or $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}=\{\mathrm{id}_{\alpha},h_{\alpha}\}$ for some h_{α} such that there is a *splitting point* β with $h_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$ and $h_{\alpha}(\beta) > \theta_{\alpha}$,

Mirna Džamonja

- **1** for $\alpha < \omega$, θ_{α} is a finite number > 0, and $\theta_{\omega} = \omega_1$,
- of or $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to θ_{β} ,
- ③ $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}$ is a set of order preserving functions from θ_{α} to ω_1 such that $\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}} f^{"}\theta_{\alpha} = \omega_1$,
- $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}$ always contains the identity function id_{α} on θ_{α} and either this is all, or $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\alpha+1}=\{\mathrm{id}_{\alpha},h_{\alpha}\}$ for some h_{α} such that there is a *splitting point* β with $h_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta = \mathrm{id}_{\alpha} \upharpoonright \beta$ and $h_{\alpha}(\beta) > \theta_{\alpha}$,

Mirna Džamonja

We study objects built along such a morasses.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

We study objects built along such a morasses. We fix a simplified morass \mathcal{M} , in a given arbitrary universe V of set theory.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Our setting

Connection wi

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Our setting

nnection with

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{C}}$ denote classes of structures of first order languages,

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

C consists of finite structures,

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

 € consists of finite structures, K consists of structures of size < ℵ₁, Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

- $\mathfrak C$ consists of finite structures, $\mathcal K$ consists of structures of size $\leq \aleph_1$,
- 2 the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ of \mathfrak{C} is a restriction of the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ of \mathcal{K} ,

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

- C consists of finite structures, K consists of structures of size $\leq \aleph_1$,
- 2 the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ of \mathfrak{C} is a restriction of the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ of \mathcal{K} ,
- **③** If $F_0 \leq_{\mathcal{K}} F_1$, then $F_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq_{\mathfrak{C}} F_1 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

- C consists of finite structures, K consists of structures of size $\leq \aleph_1$,
- 2 the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ of \mathfrak{C} is a restriction of the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ of \mathcal{K} ,
- **③** If $F_0 \leq_{\mathcal{K}} F_1$, then $F_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq_{\mathfrak{C}} F_1 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$
- for every finite $F \in \mathcal{K}$, the restriction of $F \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

- $\mathfrak C$ consists of finite structures, $\mathcal K$ consists of structures of size $\leq \aleph_1$,
- 2 the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ of \mathfrak{C} is a restriction of the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ of \mathcal{K} ,
- **③** If F_0 ≤ $_{\mathcal{K}}$ F_1 , then $F_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \le_{\mathfrak{C}} F_1 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$
- for every finite $F \in \mathcal{K}$, the restriction of $F \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{C}$.

The only symbols from $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ that are interpreted on a finite structure F are those whose arity is $\leq |F|$ for the relation symbols and < |F| for the function symbols.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal K$ and $\mathfrak C$ denote classes of structures of first order languages, closed under isomorphisms and with given notions of embedding $\leq_{\mathcal K}$ and $\leq_{\mathfrak C}$. A *paired class*, $(\mathfrak C,\mathcal K)$ is:

- $\mathfrak C$ consists of finite structures, $\mathcal K$ consists of structures of size $\leq \aleph_1$,
- 2 the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ of \mathfrak{C} is a restriction of the language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ of \mathcal{K} ,
- **③** If F_0 ≤ $_{\mathcal{K}}$ F_1 , then $F_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \le_{\mathfrak{C}} F_1 \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$
- for every finite $F \in \mathcal{K}$, the restriction of $F \upharpoonright \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathfrak{C}$.

The only symbols from $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ that are interpreted on a finite structure F are those whose arity is $\leq |F|$ for the relation symbols and < |F| for the function symbols. In this talk, just relation symbols.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The class $\mathcal{MK}=$ building along the morass (joint with W. Kubiś)

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The context

Motivation

onerences between ℵ₀ and .

Morasses

Our setting

onnection with aïssé limits

The class \mathcal{MK} =building along the morass (joint with W. Kubiś)

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Definition

Let \mathcal{MK} denote all structures $C^* \in \mathcal{K}$ whose domain is ω_1 and which are obtained in the following way:

The class \mathcal{MK} =building along the morass (joint with W. Kubiś)

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Definition

Let \mathcal{MK} denote all structures $C^* \in \mathcal{K}$ whose domain is ω_1 and which are obtained in the following way:

• for each $\alpha < \omega$, we are given a structure $C_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{C}$ whose domain is θ_{α} ,

The class \mathcal{MK} =building along the morass (joint with W. Kubiś)

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Definition

Let \mathcal{MK} denote all structures $C^* \in \mathcal{K}$ whose domain is ω_1 and which are obtained in the following way:

- for each $\alpha < \omega$, we are given a structure $C_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{C}$ whose domain is θ_{α} ,
- ② for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, each function in $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a \mathfrak{C} -embedding,

Definition

Let \mathcal{MK} denote all structures $C^* \in \mathcal{K}$ whose domain is ω_1 and which are obtained in the following way:

- for each $\alpha < \omega$, we are given a structure $C_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{C}$ whose domain is θ_{α} ,
- ② for each $\alpha < \beta < \omega$, each function in $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a \mathfrak{C} -embedding,
- **③** the structure on C^* is defined so that for each $\alpha < \omega$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\omega}$, the function f is a \mathfrak{C} -embedding from dom(f) to ran(f) ↑ $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{C})$.

 $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ does not depend on the morass we choose.

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

The context

Differences between ℵ₀ and

Morasses

Our setting

Connection with

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

 $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ does not depend on the morass we choose.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal M$ be a morass as fixed above and suppose that

 \mathcal{MK} does not depend on the morass we choose.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a morass as fixed above and suppose that

$$\mathcal{M}' = \langle \langle \sigma_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle, \langle \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega \rangle \rangle$$

is another morass.

 \mathcal{MK} does not depend on the morass we choose.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a morass as fixed above and suppose that

$$\mathcal{M}' = \langle \langle \sigma_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle, \langle \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega \rangle \rangle$$

is another morass. Define $\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{K}$ as above, but replacing \mathcal{M} by \mathcal{M}' , θ_{α} by σ_{α} and $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ by $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}$.

 \mathcal{MK} does not depend on the morass we choose.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{M} be a morass as fixed above and suppose that

$$\mathcal{M}' = \langle \langle \sigma_{\alpha} : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle, \langle \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \beta \leq \omega \rangle \rangle$$

is another morass. Define $\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{K}$ as above, but replacing \mathcal{M} by \mathcal{M}' , θ_{α} by σ_{α} and $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ by $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then $\mathcal{M}'\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{K}$ (up to isomorphism).

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of $\mathfrak C$

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of $\mathfrak C$ (considered in the same language as the objects in $\mathfrak C$). Then:

Infinite structures: between mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of & (considered in the same language as the objects in €). Then:

• There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that

Infinite structures: mathematics and computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Connection with

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of & (considered in the same language as the objects in €). Then:

• There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that Age(N_{δ}) is a Fraïssé class and N_{δ} is its Fraïssé limit,

Infinite structures:

Mirna Džamonia

Mirna Džamonia

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of & (considered in the same language as the objects in €). Then:

- There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that Age(N_{δ}) is a Fraïssé class and N_{δ} is its Fraïssé limit,
- ② for such δ , Age(N_{δ}) is the substructure closure of $\{M_{\alpha}: \alpha < \omega\}$, where

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of & (considered in the same language as the objects in €). Then:

- There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that Age(N_{δ}) is a Fraïssé class and N_{δ} is its Fraïssé limit,
- 2 for such δ , Age(N_{δ}) is the substructure closure of $\{M_{\alpha}: \alpha < \omega\}$, where M_{α} is the element of $\mathfrak C$ on the level θ_{α} .

Mirna Džamonia

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of & (considered in the same language as the objects in €). Then:

- There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that Age(N_{δ}) is a Fraïssé class and N_{δ} is its Fraïssé limit,
- 2 for such δ , Age(N_{δ}) is the substructure closure of $\{M_{\alpha}: \alpha < \omega\}$, where M_{α} is the element of $\mathfrak C$ on the level θ_{α} .
- the model C* is homogeneous.

Mirna Džamonia

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a morass limit of $\mathfrak C$ (considered in the same language as the objects in $\mathfrak C$). Then:

- There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that $\mathrm{Age}(N_{\delta})$ is a Fraïssé class and N_{δ} is its Fraïssé limit,
- ② for such δ , $Age(N_{\delta})$ is the substructure closure of $\{M_{\alpha}: \alpha < \omega\}$, where M_{α} is the element of $\mathfrak C$ on the level θ_{α} .
- the model C* is homogeneous.

An application : constructions of homogeneous graphs of size \aleph_1 .

the objects in €). Then:

Mirna Džamonia

• There is a closed unbounded set of $\delta < \omega_1$ such that, letting $N_{\delta} = C^* \cap \delta$, we have that $Age(N_{\delta})$ is a Fraïssé class and N_{δ} is its Fraïssé limit,

Suppose that $\mathfrak C$ is a class of finite objects and that C^* a

morass limit of & (considered in the same language as

2 for such δ , Age(N_{δ}) is the substructure closure of $\{M_{\alpha}: \alpha < \omega\}$, where M_{α} is the element of $\mathfrak C$ on the level θ_{α} .

the model C* is homogeneous.

An application: constructions of homogeneous graphs of size \aleph_1 . A homogeneous anti-metric space of size \aleph_1 (solved an open problem). A Ramsey conclusion...

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Corollary The structure C^* is of the increasing union $\bigcup_{\delta<\omega_1} N_\delta$ where each N_δ is isomorphic to the Fraïssé limit of the same class.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Corollary The structure C^* is of the increasing union $\bigcup_{\delta<\omega_1} N_\delta$ where each N_δ is isomorphic to the Fraïssé limit of the same class.

Hence, by mixing the method of morasses and using classes with Ramsey properties on the finite levels, we can obtain structures that have a Ramsey property and plus.

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Corollary The structure C^* is of the increasing union $\bigcup_{\delta<\omega_1} N_\delta$ where each N_δ is isomorphic to the Fraïssé limit of the same class.

Hence, by mixing the method of morasses and using classes with Ramsey properties on the finite levels, we can obtain structures that have a Ramsey property and plus.

Examples of structures constructed by a morass often live in one Cohen real extension

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Corollary The structure C^* is of the increasing union $\bigcup_{\delta<\omega_1} N_\delta$ where each N_δ is isomorphic to the Fraïssé limit of the same class.

Hence, by mixing the method of morasses and using classes with Ramsey properties on the finite levels, we can obtain structures that have a Ramsey property and plus.

Examples of structures constructed by a morass often live in one Cohen real extension example a Souslin tree (Velleman).

Infinite structures:
between
mathematics and
computer sciences

Mirna Džamonja

Corollary The structure C^* is of the increasing union $\bigcup_{\delta<\omega_1} N_\delta$ where each N_δ is isomorphic to the Fraïssé limit of the same class.

Hence, by mixing the method of morasses and using classes with Ramsey properties on the finite levels, we can obtain structures that have a Ramsey property and plus.

Examples of structures constructed by a morass often live in one Cohen real extension example a Souslin tree (Velleman). Other reals ?