Three Hypotheses on the Łojasiewicz Exponent

GKLW Workshop in Singularity Theory

A special session dedicated to the memory of Stanisław Łojasiewicz WARSZAWA, 12-16 XII, 2022

SZYMON BRZOSTOWSKI, TADEUSZ KRASIŃSKI

UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ



```
Stanisław Łojasiewicz (9 X 1926 – 14 XI 2002)
```





GEOMETRIC DESINGULARIZATION OF CURVES IN MANIFOLDS *) **)

STANISŁAW ŁOJASIEWICZ

1. Introduction

The article does not pretend to any originality. In the literature there exists a number of descriptions of desingularizations in the case of curves. Deciding for this description the author think it is worth looking in details into this fascinating topic in an easily accessible case, namely – in the effects of multi blowings-up for curves in manifolds and for coherent sheaves on 2-dimensional manifolds.

All the needed facts from analytic geometry can be find in the author's books [L1], [L2].

2. The canonical blowing-up of \mathbb{C}^n at 0

The blow-up of \mathbb{C}^n at 0 is

 $\Pi = \Pi_n = \{ (z, \lambda) : z \in \lambda \} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{P}, \quad \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{n-1}.$

Taking the inverse atlas for $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{P}$

$$\gamma_k : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \ni (z, w_{(k)}) \mapsto (z, \mathbb{C}(w_1, \dots, \frac{1}{(k)}, \dots, w_n)) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \{\mathbb{P} \setminus \mathbb{P}(\{z_k = 0\})) = G_k, \ k = 1, \dots, n,$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32Sxx, Secondary 14Hxx.

Key words and phrases. Resolution of singularities, curve, blowing-up, coherent analytic sheaf. *) This article was published (in Polish) in the proceedings of Xth Workshop on Theory

of Extremal Problems (1989) and has never appeared in translation elsewhere. To honor this

Desyngularyzacja georetryczna knywej v rozmaitorie. (P=P. $\begin{array}{l} g_{ij} = p_{ij} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{1}{2}$ { X, "(p-'(E)) = (p * X,)-'(E) p- upm (+ =) pox > (z, w(v)) -> (z, w, ..., z, ..., z, w) = C". Vmmy. x: "(S.) = 12. = 03. Zangina pr: Thy - R. , gline R of stu. O & C", war. molimulianism barringin beingin . $\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} & \mbox{scale} & \mbox{scal$ seened in chan browning : 4-1(1) - 4.(1) The product of the p 2) The set of the presidence of the set of (8) $\begin{array}{c} 0 & \label{eq:second} \begin{array}{c} 0 & \label{eq:second} \\ \hline 0 & \label$

The idea of the Łojasiewicz inequality - to compare the value of an analytic function f at a point x to the distance of x to the zero-set V(f)







Remark 1. The inequality does not hold in C^{∞} class.

- **Remark 1**. The inequality does not hold in C^{∞} class.
- Remark 2. There are many variants of the Łojasiewicz inequality: local, global, gradient etc.

Remark 1. The inequality does not hold in C^{∞} class.

Remark 2. There are many variants of the Łojasiewicz inequality: local, global, gradient etc.

Remark 3. The Łojasiewicz inequality in many variants was studied by many mathematicians: B. Lichtin, T. C. Kuo, B. Teissier, M. Lejeune-Jalabert, J. Risler, J. Bochnak, H.H. Vui, J. Kollar, A. Płoski, J. Chądzyński, P. Tworzewski, S. Spodzieja, M. Oka, K. Kurdyka,...

Remark 1. The inequality does not hold in C^{∞} class.

Remark 2. There are many variants of the Łojasiewicz inequality: local, global, gradient etc.

Remark 3. The Łojasiewicz inequality in many variants was studied by many mathematicians: B. Lichtin, T. C. Kuo, B. Teissier, M. Lejeune-Jalabert, J. Risler, J. Bochnak, H.H. Vui, J. Kollar, A. Płoski, J. Chądzyński, P. Tworzewski, S. Spodzieja, M. Oka, K. Kurdyka,...

Remark 4. We consider the following variant of the Łojasiewicz inequality.

- *f*:(ℂⁿ,0)→(ℂ,0) a holomorphic function defined in a
 neighborhood of 0 ∈ ℂⁿ satisfying *f*(0)=0,
 - *f* possesses an isolated critical point at 0, i.e., $\nabla f(0) = 0$, $\nabla f(z) \neq 0$ for *z* ≪ 1.
- In this situation we shall say that *f defines (or is) an isolated singularity*.
- In this case the maping

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$$

- *f*:(ℂⁿ,0)→(ℂ,0) a holomorphic function defined in a
 neighborhood of 0 ∈ ℂⁿ satisfying *f*(0)=0,
- ► *f* possesses an isolated critical point at 0, ie., $\nabla f(0) = 0$, $\nabla f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \ll 1$.
- In this situation we shall say that *f defines (or is) an isolated singularity*.
- In this case the maping

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) : (\mathbb{O}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{O}^n, 0)$$

- *f*:(ℂⁿ,0)→(ℂ,0) a holomorphic function defined in a
 neighborhood of 0 ∈ ℂⁿ satisfying *f*(0)=0,
- ► *f* possesses an isolated critical point at 0, ie., $\nabla f(0) = 0$, $\nabla f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \ll 1$.
- In this situation we shall say that *f defines (or is) an isolated singularity*.
- In this case the maping

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$$

- *f*:(ℂⁿ,0)→(ℂ,0) a holomorphic function defined in a
 neighborhood of 0 ∈ ℂⁿ satisfying *f*(0) = 0,
- ► *f* possesses an isolated critical point at 0, ie., $\nabla f(0) = 0$, $\nabla f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \ll 1$.
- In this situation we shall say that *f defines (or is) an isolated singularity*.
- In this case the maping

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$$

- *f*:(ℂⁿ,0)→(ℂ,0) a holomorphic function defined in a
 neighborhood of 0 ∈ ℂⁿ satisfying *f*(0) = 0,
- ► *f* possesses an isolated critical point at 0, ie., $\nabla f(0) = 0$, $\nabla f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \ll 1$.
- In this situation we shall say that *f defines (or is) an isolated singularity*.
- In this case the maping

$$\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right) : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$$

We will consider also a slight general setting:

Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m): (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0), m \ge n$, be a holomorphic mapping in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ possessing an isolated zero at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

We will consider also a slight general setting:

Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$: $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0), m \ge n$, be a holomorphic mapping in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ possessing an isolated zero at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

In the sequel F denotes a finite mapping and f — an isolated singularity.

We will consider also a slight general setting:

Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$: $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0), m \ge n$, be a holomorphic mapping in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ possessing an isolated zero at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

In the sequel F denotes a finite mapping and f — an isolated singularity.

We will consider also a slight general setting:

Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$: $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0), m \ge n$, be a holomorphic mapping in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ possessing an isolated zero at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

In the sequel F denotes a finite mapping and f — an isolated singularity.

Remark. Any fact concerning a finite mapping F holds also for the gradient mapping $\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}\right)$.

The Łojasiewicz exponent of a finite mapping 11/42

Let $\theta > 0$. Consider the inequality

$C |z|^{\theta} \leq |F(z)|,$

where C > 0 is a certain constant and $|z| \ll 1$.

The optimal θ in the above inequality (that is the smallest one) is called the *Lojasiewicz exponent* and denoted by L(F).

The Łojasiewicz exponent of a finite mapping 11/42

Let $\theta > 0$. Consider the inequality

$C |z|^{\theta} \leq |F(z)|,$

where C > 0 is a certain constant and $|z| \ll 1$.

The optimal θ in the above inequality (that is the smallest one) is called the *Lojasiewicz exponent* and denoted by L(F).

Example. Let
$$F := (z_2^3 + z_1^2, z_1 z_2^2)$$
. Then $\pounds(F) = \frac{7}{2} > \operatorname{ord} F = 2$.

For a singularity f we define its *Lojasiewicz exponent* l(f) as

 $\mathbf{i}(f) := \mathbf{k}(\nabla f).$

How to compute the exponent? General methods 12/41

- There exist effective methods of computation of Ł (eg. using PŁOSKI's characteristic polynomial or Groebner bases) but they are computationally expensive.
 - In the 2-dimensional case there exists another useful way. Namely, for $F = (F_1, F_2)$ we have

 $\mathbb{L}(F) = \max_{\varphi \neq V(F_1 \cdot F_2)} \frac{\operatorname{ord} F \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi},$

so it is enough to find (finitely many!) parametrizations φ :(ℂ, 0) →(ℂ², 0) of the curve $F_1 \cdot F_2 = 0$ (Chądzyński & Krasiński '88).

How to compute the exponent? General methods 12/41

- There exist effective methods of computation of Ł (eg. using PŁOSKI's characteristic polynomial or Groebner bases) but they are computationally expensive.
- In the 2-dimensional case there exists another useful way.

Namely, for $F = (F_1, F_2)$ we have

 $\mathbb{L}(F) = \max_{\varphi \in V(F_1 \cdot F_2)} \frac{\operatorname{ord} F \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi},$

so it is enough to find (finitely many!) parametrizations $\varphi: (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ of the curve $F_1 \cdot F_2 = 0$ (**Chądzyński & Krasiński '88**).

How to compute the exponent? General methods 12/41

- There exist effective methods of computation of Ł (eg. using PŁOSKI's characteristic polynomial or Groebner bases) but they are computationally expensive.
- In the 2-dimensional case there exists another useful way.

Namely, for $F = (F_1, F_2)$ we have

 $\mathbb{L}(F) = \max_{\varphi \in V(F_1 \cdot F_2)} \frac{\operatorname{ord} F \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi},$

so it is enough to find (finitely many!) parametrizations $\varphi: (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ of the curve $F_1 \cdot F_2 = 0$ (**Chądzyński & Krasiński '88**).

Example. Let, again, $F := (z_1^2 + z_2^3, z_1 z_2^2)$. Then $V(F_1 \cdot F_2) = \{(t^3, -t^2), (0, t), (t, 0)\}$ so $\mathbb{E}(F) = \max\left\{\frac{7}{2}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{2}{1}\right\} = \frac{7}{2}$.

How to compute the exponent? General methods 14/41

▶ The above result does not extend to dimension $n \ge 3$:



How to compute the exponent? General methods 14/41

▶ The above result does not extend to dimension $n \ge 3$:



► A certain positive result of this kind: for $F = (F_1, ..., F_m)$: $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ we have (**Chądzyński & Krasiński '98**)

$$\mathbb{E}(F) = \max_{\varphi \in V(F_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot F_m)} \frac{\operatorname{ord} F \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi}.$$

How to compute the exponent? General methods 14/41

▶ The above result does not extend to dimension $n \ge 3$:



► A certain positive result of this kind: for $F = (F_1, ..., F_m)$: $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ we have (**Chądzyński & Krasiński '98**)

$$\mathbb{L}(F) = \max_{\varphi \in V(F_1 \cdot F_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot F_m)} \frac{\operatorname{ord} F \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi}$$

(This result is not very useful in practice because one must test infinitely many parametrizations φ .)

Focusing on isolated singularities, we pose

Let $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an isolated singularity. Then

$$\frac{1}{f}(f) = \max_{\varphi \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}, \dots, \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{i}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}})} \left(\frac{\operatorname{ord} \nabla f \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi}\right).$$

DNJECTURE I

• We are not aware of any counterexample to this.

Below, we will give *many examples* in its favor. A fast one:

Example. For $f(x,y,z):=x^2z+yz^2+y^3z+(2y+3)x^2y^4$ we have l(f)=6 and this exponent is achieved on the parametrization $\varphi(t):=(-t^3\cdot\sqrt{1-6}\ t^4+4\ t^6,-t^2,-3\cdot t^8+2\cdot t^{10})+V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right).$

Focusing on isolated singularities, we pose

Let $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an isolated singularity. Then

$$i(f) = \max_{\varphi \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}, \dots, \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{i}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}})} \left(\frac{\operatorname{ord} \nabla f \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi}\right).$$
CONJECTURE I

▶ We are not aware of any counterexample to this.

Below, we will give *many examples* in its favor. A fast one:

Example. For $f(x,y,z):=x^2z+yz^2+y^3z+(2y+3)x^2y^4$ we have l(f)=6 and this exponent is achieved on the parametrization $\varphi(t):=(-t^3\cdot\sqrt{1-6}\ t^4+4\ t^6,-t^2,-3\cdot t^8+2\cdot t^{10}) + V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right).$

Focusing on isolated singularities, we pose

Let $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an isolated singularity. Then

$$\frac{i(f) = \max_{\varphi \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}, \dots, \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{i}}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}})}{\operatorname{cond} \varphi} \left(\frac{\operatorname{ord} \nabla f \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi} \right).$$

- ▶ We are not aware of any counterexample to this.
- ▶ Below, we will give *many examples* in its favor. A fast one:

Example. For $f(x,y,z):=x^2z+yz^2+y^3z+(2y+3)x^2y^4$ we have l(f)=6 and this exponent is achieved on the parametrization $\varphi(t):=(-t^3\cdot\sqrt{1-6}\ t^4+4\ t^6,-t^2,-3\cdot t^8+2\cdot t^{10}) + V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right).$

Focusing on isolated singularities, we pose

Let $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an isolated singularity. Then

$$i(f) = \max_{\varphi \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{i}}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{n}})} \left(\frac{\operatorname{ord} \nabla f \circ \varphi}{\operatorname{ord} \varphi}\right).$$
CONJECTURE I

- ▶ We are not aware of any counterexample to this.
- ▶ Below, we will give *many examples* in its favor. A fast one:

Example. For $f(x,y,z):=x^2z+yz^2+y^3z+(2y+3)x^2y^4$ we have l(f)=6 and this exponent is achieved on the parametrization $\varphi(t):=(-t^3\cdot\sqrt{1-6\ t^4+4\ t^6},-t^2,-3\cdot t^8+2\cdot t^{10}) \in V\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x},\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\right).$

(Semi-)Quasihomogeneous functions

16/41

Let $f:(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C},0)$ be a holomorphic function. We shall say:

► *f* is *quasihomogeneous of type* $(d; l_1, ..., l_n)$, shortly: *f* = $QH(d; l_1, ..., l_n)$, if $d, l_1, ..., l_n \in Q_{>0}, l_1/d, ..., l_n/d \in (0, 1/2]$ and for all monomials $z^a = z_1^{a_1} \cdot ... \cdot z_n^{a_n}$ appearing in *f* with a non-zero coefficient we have $a_1 l_1 + ... + a_n l_n = d$

The numbers l_1, \ldots, l_n are *weights*. The number d is the *weighted degree* of the polynomial f.

▶ *f* is *semiquasihomogeneous of type* $(d; l_1, ..., l_n)$, shortly: $f \bullet SQH(d; l_1, ..., l_n)$, if $f = f_d + f_{d+1} + ...$, where $f_i \bullet QH(i; l_1, ..., l_n)$ and f_d is an isolated singularity

Let
$$f := (xz + y^5) + x^3$$
. Then $f \in SQH(1; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2})$.



The formula for l(f) for SQH functions 17/41

The following theorem holds (**Krasiński, Oleksik & Płoski '09** for $n \leq 3$; **Brzostowski '14** and **Abderrahmane '15** for general n):



The above theorem holds also for SQH functions.

I(f) is achieved on coordinate polar curves, confirming I CONJECTURE in this case.

The formula for l(f) for SQH functions 17/41

The following theorem holds (**Krasiński, Oleksik & Płoski '09** for $n \leq 3$; **Brzostowski '14** and **Abderrahmane '15** for general n):



▶ The above theorem holds also for SQH functions.

I(f) is achieved on coordinate polar curves, confirming I CONJECTURE in this case.
The formula for l(f) for SQH functions 17/41

The following theorem holds (**Krasiński, Oleksik & Płoski '09** for $n \leq 3$; **Brzostowski '14** and **Abderrahmane '15** for general n):



▶ The above theorem holds also for SQH functions.

is achieved on coordinate polar curves, confirming
 I CONJECTURE in this case.

CONJECTURE II — l(f) as an invariant 18/41

l(f) is a topological invariant of a singularity

Conjecture II

l(f) is a topological invariant of a singularity CONJECTURE II

Precisely:

If *f* and *g* are two singularities (isolated) \mathscr{R} -topologically equivalent i.e. $g = f \circ \Phi$, where $\Phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a homeomorphism, then i(f) = i(g).

An intuitive reason to believe this: I is connected with the topology of the singularity; for, the number $\lfloor l(f) \rfloor + 1$ is the degree of C^0 - \mathcal{R} -determinacy of the germ f in \mathcal{O}_n (Chang & Lu '73, Teissier '77, Bochnak & Kucharz '79).

An intuitive reason to believe this: |is connected with thetopology of the singularity; for, the number $\lfloor l(f) \rfloor + 1$ is the degree of C^0 - \mathcal{R} -determinacy of the germ f in \mathcal{O}_n (**Chang & Lu '73**, **Teissier '77**, **Bochnak & Kucharz '79**). Thus, if $\operatorname{ord}(f - g) > \lfloor l(f) \rfloor + 1$, then g is \mathcal{R} -topologically equivalent to f; moreover, for such f and g we have l(f) = l(g) by

Płoski's lemma so the conjecture holds in this important case.

Results confirming this conjecture:

- dimension 2 (Teissier '77); in this case, one can provide a formula for l(f) in terms of so-called characteristic sequences of the branches of the curve {f = 0} and their intersection multiplicities (Płoski '01)
 - SQH singularities of 3 variables (**Krasiński, Oleksik & Płoski '09**)

If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are two isolated hypersurface singularities that are $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L}$ -bi-Lipschitz equivalent, that is $g = \Psi \circ f \circ \Phi$, where $\Phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \Psi: (\mathbb{O}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{O}, 0)$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, then i(f) = i(g)(**Bivià-Ausina & Fukui '17**). Results confirming this conjecture:

- dimension 2 (Teissier '77); in this case, one can provide a formula for l(f) in terms of so-called characteristic sequences of the branches of the curve {f = 0} and their intersection multiplicities (Płoski '01)
- SQH singularities of 3 variables
 (Krasiński, Oleksik & Płoski '09)

If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are two isolated hypersurface singularities that are $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L}$ -bi-Lipschitz equivalent, that is $g = \mathbb{P} \circ f \circ \Phi$, where $\Phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \Psi: (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, then l(f) = l(g)(**Bivià-Ausina & Fukui '17**). Results confirming this conjecture:

- dimension 2 (Teissier '77); in this case, one can provide a formula for l(f) in terms of so-called characteristic
 sequences of the branches of the curve {f = 0} and their intersection multiplicities (Płoski '01)
- SQH singularities of 3 variables
 (Krasiński, Oleksik & Płoski '09)
- ▶ If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are two isolated hypersurface singularities that are $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{L}$ -bi-Lipschitz equivalent, that is $g = \Psi \circ f \circ \Phi$, where $\Phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \Psi: (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, then i(f) = i(g)(**Bivià-Ausina & Fukui '17**).

A weaker form of **CONJECTURE II** is:

(Teissier '77) If (f_s) is a topologically trivial (holomorphic) deformation of a singularity f_0 , then $l(f_s) = l(f_0)$ for small $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Conjecture II'

▶ $i(f_s)=i(f_0)$ for an SQH function f_0 (**S. Brzostowski. '14**).

A weaker form of **CONJECTURE II** is:

(Teissier '77) If (f_s) is a topologically trivial (holomorphic) deformation of a singularity f_0 , then $l(f_s) = l(f_0)$ for small $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Conjecture II'

In this direction, we have, for a μ -constant deformation (f_s) of the germ f_0 :

► \(\overline{f_s}\) \(\verline{f_0}\) (Teissier '77, P\(\verline{oski}\)'10\); this is so-called ", lower semicontinuity" of the Fojasiewicz exponent,

▶ $i(f_s)=i(f_0)$ for an SQH function f_0 (**S. Brzostowski. '14**).

A weaker form of **CONJECTURE II** is:

(Teissier '77) If (f_s) is a topologically trivial (holomorphic) deformation of a singularity f_0 , then $l(f_s) = l(f_0)$ for small $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Conjecture II'

In this direction, we have, for a μ -constant deformation (f_s) of the germ f_0 :

- ► ł(f_s)≥ł(f₀) (**Teissier '77**, **Płoski '10**); this is so-called "lower semicontinuity" of the Łojasiewicz exponent,
- ▶ $i(f_s)=i(f_0)$ for an SQH function f_0 (**S. Brzostowski. '14**).

If for a holomorphic family {f_s(z)} of isolated hypersurface singularities we assume a somewhat stronger triviality than the topological one, namely that it satisfies Teissier's condition (c), then I(f_s) = I(f₀) (Teissier '77).

If for a holomorphic family {f_s(z)} of isolated hypersurface singularities we assume a somewhat stronger triviality than the topological one, namely that it satisfies Teissier's condition (c), then I(f_s) = I(f₀) (Teissier '77).

Here, <u>condition</u> (c) means that for the family $\{f_s\}$ we have:

$$\frac{\partial f_s(z)}{\partial s} \in \overline{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \cdot (\nabla_z f_s(z))},$$

where, as before, the bar "-" designates integral closure of an ideal (in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{n+1} \cong \mathbb{C}\{s, z_1, \dots, z_n\}$). Relaxing the above requirement on $\{f_s\}$ to $\frac{\partial f_s(z)}{\partial s} \in \nabla_z f_s(z)$ we get a condition equivalent to μ -constancy of the family (cf. **Greuel '86**).

23/41

One can also ask: *and what about families of mappings with constant intersection multiplicity*? There is the following answer (**Płoski '10** for full intersections; **Rodak, Różycki** & **Spodzieja '16** in general):

If (F_s) is a deformation of a map-germ $F: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ having constant intersection multiplicity for small s, then $\pounds(F_s) \ge \pounds(F_0)$.



23/41

One can also ask: *and what about families of mappings with constant intersection multiplicity*? There is the following answer (**Płoski '10** for full intersections; **Rodak, Różycki & Spodzieja '16** in general):

If (F_s) is a deformation of a map-germ $F: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ having constant intersection multiplicity for small s, then $\mathbb{L}(F_s) \ge \mathbb{L}(F_0)$.

Theorem

In general, however, the above inequality may be strict:

Example. (Mc Neal & Némethi '05) Let $F_s(z_1, z_2) := (sz_1 + z_1^2 + z_2^2, z_1^2 - z_2^5) : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. Then $\mathbb{E}(F_s) = 4 > 2 = \mathbb{E}(F_0)$, although $e(F_s) = e(F_0)$.

(Kushnirenko '76) gave an effective formula for $\mu(f)$ in terms of the Newton polyhedron (diagram) of f in the case f is non-degenerate.

(Kushnirenko '76) gave an effective formula for $\mu(f)$ in terms of the Newton polyhedron (diagram) of f in the case f is non-degenerate.

Arnold claimed that any "interesting invariant" of an isolated singularity can be read off its Newton polyhedron in non-degenerate case.

(Kushnirenko '76) gave an effective formula for $\mu(f)$ in terms of the Newton polyhedron (diagram) of f in the case f is non-degenerate.

Arnold claimed that any "interesting invariant" of an isolated singularity can be read off its Newton polyhedron in non-degenerate case.

The Lojasiewicz exponent is "an interesting invariant" of an isolated singularity although we don't know if it is a topological invariant.

The Łojasiewicz exponent can be read off the Newton diagram for singularities non-degenerate in the sense of Kushnirenko.

Conjecture III

- There exist some partial results in this direction for n ≥ 3 (Abderrahmane '06, Fukui '91, Oka '18).
- The solution to CONJECTURE III must in particular involve an accurate definition of an *exceptional* = irrelevant face of a Newton diagram.

The Łojasiewicz exponent can be read off the Newton diagram for singularities non-degenerate in the sense of Kushnirenko.

Conjecture III

► This is true in dimension 2 (Lenarcik '98).

There exist some partial results in this direction for n > 3 (Abderrahmane '06, Fukui '91, Oka '18).

The solution to CONJECTURE III must in particular involve an accurate definition of an *"exceptional"=irrelevant* face of a Newton diagram.

The Łojasiewicz exponent can be read off the Newton diagram for singularities non-degenerate in the sense of Kushnirenko.

Conjecture III

► This is true in dimension 2 (Lenarcik '98).

► There exist some partial results in this direction for n≥3 (Abderrahmane '06, Fukui '91, Oka '18).

The solution to CONJECTURE III must in particular involve an accurate definition of an *exceptional* = *irrelevant* face of a Newton diagram.

The Łojasiewicz exponent can be read off the Newton diagram for singularities non-degenerate in the sense of Kushnirenko.

Conjecture III

- ► This is true in dimension 2 (Lenarcik '98).
- ► There exist some partial results in this direction for n≥3 (Abderrahmane '06, Fukui '91, Oka '18).
- The solution to CONJECTURE III must in particular involve an accurate definition of an *"exceptional"*=*irrelevant* face of a Newton diagram.

26/41

Brzostowski '19 proved "first-half" of the Conjecture III.

If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are Kushnirenko non-degenerate isolated singularities with the same Newton diagrams, then i(f) = i(g).





26/41

Brzostowski '19 proved "first-half" of the Conjecture III.

If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are Kushnirenko non-degenerate isolated singularities with the same Newton diagrams, then i(f) = i(g).

Theorem

The "second-half" is to find the formula for l(f).



26/41

Brzostowski '19 proved "first-half" of the Conjecture III.

If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are Kushnirenko non-degenerate isolated singularities with the same Newton diagrams, then i(f) = i(g).

Theorem

The "second-half" is to find the formula for l(f).

Loosely speaking, this theorem follows from the fact that, after some preparations, we can join *f* and *g* by a piecewise linear curve (in the space of coefficients) along which, locally, we have Teissier's condition (c) satisfied.

Hence, l(f) = l(g).

26/41

Brzostowski '19 proved "first-half" of the Conjecture III.

If $f, g: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ are Kushnirenko non-degenerate isolated singularities with the same Newton diagrams, then i(f) = i(g).

Theorem

The "second-half" is to find the formula for l(f).

- Loosely speaking, this theorem follows from the fact that, after some preparations, we can join *f* and *g* by a piecewise linear curve (in the space of coefficients) along which, locally, we have Teissier's condition (c) satisfied.
- Hence, i(f) = i(g).

CONJECTURE III in dimension 3

We have the following theorem

If $f:(\mathbb{C}^3,0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C},0)$ is a Kushnirenko non-degenerate isolated surface singularity, then

$$l(f) = \max_{S - relevant facets} m(S) - 1,$$

provided the set of relevant facets is non-empty.

Brzostowski, Krasiński, & Oleksik '20

• There is also a *direct formula* for *i* if the set of relevant facets happens to be empty.







- ► In **Arnold's, Gusein-Zade's & Varchenko's** book there is given a full classification of singularities with Milnor numbers $\mu \leq 16$ with respect to stable C^{∞} - \mathcal{R} -equivalence.
 - **Sz. Brzostowski** together with **T. Rodak** have calculated the values of *l* in these classes of singularities (for modality ≤3).

The numerical results are in favor of the conjectures:

- ► In **Arnold's, Gusein-Zade's & Varchenko's** book there is given a full classification of singularities with Milnor numbers $\mu \leq 16$ with respect to stable C^{∞} - \mathcal{R} -equivalence.
- ► Sz. Brzostowski together with T. Rodak have calculated the values of l in these classes of singularities (for modality ≤3).

• The numerical results are in favor of the conjectures:

- ► In **Arnold's, Gusein-Zade's & Varchenko's** book there is given a full classification of singularities with Milnor numbers $\mu \leq 16$ with respect to stable C^{∞} - \mathcal{R} -equivalence.
- ► Sz. Brzostowski together with T. Rodak have calculated the values of l in these classes of singularities (for modality ≤3).

► The numerical results are in favor of the conjectures:

- **Conjecture I**. The exponents are always achieved on some coordinate polar curves (in the coordinate system in which the singularity has so-called **normal form**) \rightarrow

 - **Conjecture III** The value of the exponents can be read off the Newton diagram (for n=3) as predicted above

- Conjecture I. The exponents are always achieved on some coordinate polar curves (in the coordinate system in which the singularity has so-called **normal form**) \rightarrow
- Conjecture II'In each class the value of i is one and the same regardless of the value of the parameters \rightarrow
- **Conjecture III**The value of the exponents can be read off the Newton diagram (for n=3) as predicted above

- Conjecture I. The exponents are always achieved on some coordinate polar curves (in the coordinate system in which the singularity has so-called **normal form**) \rightarrow
- Conjecture II' In each class the value of i is one and the same regardless of the value of the parameters \rightarrow
- **Conjecture III**The value of the exponents can be read off the Newton diagram (for n=3) as predicted above
Arnold's zoo — the value of *i* vs. the hypotheses 32/41

Modality 0

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zeroes of | Parametrization | Why |
|---|-------|-------------------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| 1 | A_k | x^{k+1} | k | k | - | [x=t] | 1 var. |
| 2 | D_k | $x^2 y + y^{k-1}$ | k | <i>k</i> – 2 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 3 | E_6 | $y^4 + x^3$ | 6 | 3 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x = y = t] | 2 var. |
| 4 | E_7 | $xy^3 + x^3$ | 7 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x = 3 t^3, y = -3 t^2]$ | 2 var. |
| 5 | E_8 | $y^5 + x^3$ | 8 | 4 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |

Arnold's zoo — the value of *i* vs. the hypotheses 33/41

Modality 1

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zeroes of | Parametrization | Why |
|----|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--------|
| 6 | P_8 | $axyz+x^3+y^3+z^3$ | 8 | 2 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ | [x=0, y=0, z=t] | qh |
| 7 | X_9 | $ax^2y^2+x^4+y^4$ | 9 | 3 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 8 | ${J}_{10}$ | y^6 + ax^2y^2 + x^3 | 10 | 5 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 9* | $T_{p,q,r}$ | $x^p + y^q + z^r + axyz$ | <i>p</i> + <i>q</i> + <i>r</i> −1 | r-1 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ | [x=0, y=0, z=t] | ndg. |
| 10 | ${E}_{12}$ | $axy^5+y^7+x^3$ | 12 | 6 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=9a^{3}t^{5}, y=-3at^{2}]$ | 2 var. |
| 11 | ${E}_{13}$ | $ay^8 + xy^5 + x^3$ | 13 | $\frac{13}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=-9t^5, y=-3t^2]$ | 2 var. |
| 12 | ${\it E}_{14}$ | $axy^{6}+y^{8}+x^{3}$ | 14 | 7 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x = \sqrt{\frac{-a}{3}}t^3, y = t]$ | 2 var. |
| 13 | Z_{11} | $axy^4+y^5+x^3y$ | 11 | 4 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=3a^{2}t^{3}, y=-3at^{2}]$ | 2 var. |
| 14 | Z_{12} | $ax^2y^3+xy^4+x^3y$ | 12 | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=-3\frac{t^3}{2at+1}, y=-3\frac{t^2}{2at+1}]$ | 2 var. |

9*. For max(p,q,r) = r. Here $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$.

Modality 1 (cont.)

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zeroes of | Parametrization | Why |
|----|---------------------|------------------------------|-------|----------------|--|---|----------------------|
| 15 | Z_{13} | $axy^5+y^6+x^3y$ | 13 | 5 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x = \sqrt{\frac{-a}{3}}t^2, y = t]$ | 2 var. |
| 16 | W_{12} | $ax^2y^3+y^5+x^4$ | 12 | 4 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 17 | W_{13} | $ay^6+xy^4+x^4$ | 13 | $\frac{13}{3}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=-4t^4, y=4t^3]$ | 2 var. |
| 18 | $oldsymbol{Q}_{10}$ | $axy^3+y^4+x^3+yz^2$ | 10 | 3 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $[x=3\sqrt{a}t^3, y=-3t^2, z=0]$ | sqh |
| 19 | $oldsymbol{Q}_{11}$ | $az^{5}+xz^{3}+x^{3}+y^{2}z$ | 11 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ | $[x=3t^3, y=0, z=-3t^2]$ | sqh |
| 20 | $oldsymbol{Q}_{12}$ | $axy^4+y^5+x^3+yz^2$ | 12 | 4 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $[x = -\sqrt{\frac{-a}{3}}t^2, y = t, z = 0]$ | sqh |
| 21 | S_{11} | $ax^3z + x^4 + xz^2 + y^2z$ | 11 | 3 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $[x=2t, y=0, z=-2at^2]$ | sqh |
| 22 | S_{12} | $az^5+xz^3+x^2y+y^2z$ | 12 | $\frac{10}{3}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ | $[x=16t^4, y=16t^5, z=-8t^3]$ | sqh |
| 23 | U_{12} | $axyz^2+z^4+x^3+y^3$ | 12 | 3 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ | [x=0, y=0, z=t] | sqh |

Modality 2

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zeroes of | Parametrization | Why |
|----|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 24 | $oldsymbol{J}_{3,0}$ | $cxy^7 + y^9 + bx^2y^3 + x^3$ | 16 | 8 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=-128\frac{b^{7}t^{3}}{(ct+3)^{7}}, y=4\frac{b^{2}t}{(ct+3)^{2}}] \text{ for } b\neq 0$ $[x=27\sqrt{c}t^{7}, y=-3t^{2}] \text{ for } b=0$ | 2 var. |
| 25 | $oldsymbol{J}_{3,p}$ | $x^3 + x^2 y^3 + a y^{9+p}$ | 16+ <i>p</i> | 8+ <i>p</i> | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 26 | $Z_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,0}$ | $cxy^6 + y^7 + dx^2y^3 + x^3y$ | 15 | 6 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=3t^{2}(9ct-2d)^{3}, y=-3t(9ct-2d)]$ for $c\neq 0\lor d\neq 0$ $[x=0, y=t]$ for $c=0\land d=0$ | 2 var. |
| 27 | $oldsymbol{Z}_{1,p}$ | $x^3y + x^2y^3 + ay^{7+p}$ | 15 + p | <mark>6+</mark> p | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | $2 \mathrm{var}.$ |
| 28 | $W_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,0}$ | x^4 + ax^2y^3 + y^6 | 15 | 5 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 29 | $W_{1,p}$ | $x^4 + x^2 y^3 + a y^{6+p}$ | 15+ <i>p</i> | 5+ <i>p</i> | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2 var. |
| 30 | $W_{1,2q-1}^{\#}$ | $(y^3+x^2)^2+axy^{4+q}$ | 14+2q | $\frac{9}{2}+q$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = t^3 + \frac{1}{8}a_0t^{2q+2} + \dots, y = -t^2\right]$ | 2 var. |
| 31 | $W^{\#}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,2q}$ | $(y^3+x^2)^2+ax^2y^{3+q}$ | 15+2q | <u>5+q</u> | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = t^3 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_0(-1)^q t^{2q+3} + \dots, y = -t^2\right]$ | 2 var. |

Here $a := (a_0 + a_1 y), p, q > 0.$

Arnold's zoo — the value of *i* vs. the hypotheses ^{36/41}

Modality 2 (cont.); here $\boldsymbol{a} := (a_0 + a_1 y), p, q > 0$

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zer. | Parametrization | Why |
|----|---|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--|--|------|
| 32 | $oldsymbol{Q}_{2,0}$ | $x^3 + yz^2 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4$ | 14 | 5 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} x = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{t^2 \left(t + 4a_1 \sqrt{a_0^2 - 3}\right)^2}{(t + 2a_0 a_1 + 2a_1 \sqrt{a_0^2 - 3})^3 a_1^3}, y = \frac{1}{4} \frac{t \left(t + 4a_1 \sqrt{a_0^2 - 3}\right)}{(t + 2a_0 a_1 + 2a_1 \sqrt{a_0^2 - 3}) a_1^2}, \\ z = 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } a_1 \neq 0$ $[x = (-a_0 + \sqrt{a_0^2 - 3})t^2, y = (-a_0 + \sqrt{a_0^2 - 3})t, z = 0] \text{ for } a_1 = 0$ | sqh |
| 33 | $oldsymbol{Q}_{2,p}$ | $x^3 + yz^2 + x^2y^2 + ay^{6+p}$ | 14+ <i>p</i> | 5+p | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | [x=0, y=t, z=0] | ndg. |
| 34 | ${m S}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,0}$ | $x^2z + yz^2 + y^5 + ay^3z$ | 14 | 4 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\left[x=0, y=t, z=-\frac{1}{2}a_{1}t^{3}-\frac{1}{2}a_{0}t^{2}\right]$ | sqh |
| 35 | $old S_{1,p}$ | $x^2z + yz^2 + x^2y^2 + ay^{5+p}$ | 14+ <i>p</i> | <mark>4+</mark> p | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | [x=0, y=t, z=0] | ndg. |
| 36 | $S^{\#}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,2q^{-1}}$ | $x^2z + yz^2 + y^3z + axy^{3+q}$ | 13+2 <i>q</i> | $\frac{7}{2}$ +q | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $ \begin{bmatrix} x = \frac{1}{2}a_0(-1)^q t^{2q+2} + t^3, y = -t^2, z = \frac{1}{2}a_0(-1)^q t^{2q+3} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } 1 < q \\ \begin{bmatrix} x = -\frac{3}{8}a_0^2 t^5 - \frac{1}{2}a_0 t^4 + t^3, y = -t^2, z = -\frac{1}{4}a_0^2 t^6 - \frac{1}{2}a_0 t^5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } q = 1 $ | ndg. |
| 37 | $S^{\texttt{\#}}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,2q}$ | $x^2z + yz^2 + y^3z + ax^2y^{2+q}$ | 14+2q | 4+ <i>q</i> | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $ \begin{split} & [x = -t^3 \sqrt{1 + 2a_0(-1)^{1+q} t^{2q} + 2a_1(-1)^q t^{2q+2}}, y = -t^2, \\ & z = (-1)^q (-a_0 t^{2q+4} + a_1 t^{2q+6})] \end{split} $ | ndg. |
| 38 | $U_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,0}$ | $x^3 + xz^2 + xy^3 + ay^3z$ | 14 | $\frac{7}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $[y = (\frac{-1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}\eta)t^2, x = (\frac{-1}{12}a_0^2 + \frac{1}{18} - \frac{1}{18}\eta)t^3, z = \frac{-1}{12}a_0(-1+\eta)t^3]$ for $\eta = \sqrt{-3a_0^2 + 1}$ and $a_0^2 \neq 1/3$ | sqh |
| 39 | $\overline{U}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,2q^{-1}}$ | $x^3 + xz^2 + xy^3 + ay^{1+q}z^2$ | 13+2 <i>q</i> | 3+q | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $ \begin{aligned} & [x = (-1)^q (a_0 t^{2q+2} - a_1 t^{2q+4}), y = -t^2, \\ & z = -t^3 \sqrt{1 - 3a_0^2 t^{4q-2} + 6a_0 a_1 t^{4q} - 3a_1^2 t^{4q+2}}] \end{aligned} $ | ndg. |
| 40 | $U_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,2q}$ | $x^3 + xz^2 + xy^3 + ay^{3+q}z$ | 14+2q | $\frac{7}{2}+q$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{2}a_0(-1)^q t^{2q+3}, y = -t^2, z = -\frac{3}{8}a_0^2 t^{4q+3} + t^3\right]$ | ndg. |

Arnold's zoo — the value of *i* vs. the hypotheses 37/41

Modality 2 (cont.); here $\boldsymbol{a} := (a_0 + a_1 y)$

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zeroes of | Parametrization | Why |
|----|---------------------|------------------------|-------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|
| 41 | ${E}_{18}$ | $x^3+y^{10}+axy^7$ | 18 | 9 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} x = -\frac{a_0^4 t^7}{(a_1 t^2 + 3)^4}, y = -\frac{a_0 t^2}{a_1 t^2 + 3} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } a_0 \neq 0$ $\begin{bmatrix} x = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{-3a_1}t^4, y = t \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } a_0 = 0$ | 2var. |
| 42 | ${E}_{19}$ | $x^3+xy^7+ay^{11}$ | 19 | $\frac{19}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{81}t^7, y = -\frac{1}{3}t^2\right]$ | 2var. |
| 43 | E_{20} | $x^3 + y^{11} + axy^8$ | 20 | 10 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{-3a_1t - 3a_0}t^4, y = t\right]$ | 2var. |
| 44 | Z_{17} | $x^3y+y^8+axy^6$ | 17 | 7 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{-3a_1t^2 + 3a_0}t^5, y = -t^2\right]$ | 2var. |
| 45 | Z_{18} | $x^3y+xy^6+ay^9$ | 18 | $\frac{15}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=9t^5, y=-3t^2]$ | 2var. |
| 46 | Z_{19} | $x^3y+y^9+axy^7$ | 19 | 8 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = -\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3a_1t^2 - 3a_0}t^6, y = -t^2\right]$ | 2var. |
| 47 | \overline{W}_{17} | $x^4+xy^5+ay^7$ | 17 | $\frac{17}{3}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $[x=-2t^5, y=2t^3]$ | 2var. |
| 48 | W_{18} | $x^4+y^7+ax^2y^4$ | 18 | 6 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [<i>x</i> =0, <i>y</i> = <i>t</i>] | 2var. |

Arnold's zoo — the value of *i* vs. the hypotheses 38/41

Modality 2 (cont.); here $\boldsymbol{a} := (a_0 + a_1 y)$

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zeroes of | Parametrization | Why |
|----|---------------------|------------------------------|-------|----------------|--|---|-----|
| 49 | Q_{16} | $x^3 + yz^2 + y^7 + axy^5$ | 16 | 6 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $[x = -\frac{a_0^3 t^5}{(a_1 t^2 + 3)^3}, y = -\frac{a_0 t^2}{a_1 t^2 + 3}, z = 0] \text{ for } a_0 \neq 0$ [y=t,x= $\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3}a_1}t^3, z = 0$] for $a_0 = 0$ | sqh |
| 50 | $oldsymbol{Q}_{17}$ | $x^3 + yz^2 + xy^5 + ay^8$ | 17 | $\frac{13}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\left[y = -\frac{1}{3}t^2, x = -\frac{1}{27}t^5, z = 0\right]$ | sqh |
| 51 | Q_{18} | $x^3 + yz^2 + y^8 + axy^6$ | 18 | 7 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{-3a_0 - 3a_1t}t^3, y = t, z = 0\right]$ | sqh |
| 52 | S_{16} | $x^2z + yz^2 + xy^4 + ay^6$ | 16 | $\frac{14}{3}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\left[x=t^{5}, y=t^{3}, z=-\frac{1}{2}t^{7}\right]$ | sqh |
| 53 | S_{17} | $x^2z + yz^2 + y^6 + ay^4z$ | 17 | 5 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | $\left[x = 0, y = t, z = -\frac{1}{2}a_0t^3 - \frac{1}{2}a_1t^4\right]$ | sqh |
| 54 | U_{16} | $x^3 + xz^2 + y^5 + ax^2y^2$ | 16 | 4 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ | [x=0, y=t, z=0] | sqh |

Arnold's zoo — the value of *i* vs. the hypotheses 39/41

Modality k - 1

| | Class | Formula | μ | ł | Zer. | Parametrization | Why |
|----|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------|
| 55 | $J_{k,0}$ | $x^{3}+bx^{2}y^{k}+y^{3k}+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-3}c_{j}y^{j}\right)xy^{2k+1}$ | 6 <i>k</i> -2 | 3 <i>k</i> -1 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{3} \left(-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 3\sum_{j=0}^{k-3} c_j t^{j+1}}\right) t^k, y = t\right]$ | 2var. |
| 56 | $J_{k,i}$ | $x^{3}+x^{2}y^{k}+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}a_{j}y^{j}\right)y^{3k+i}$ | 6 <i>k</i> + <i>i</i> -2 | 3 <i>k+i−</i> 1 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | [x=0, y=t] | 2var. |
| 57 | E_{6k} | $x^{3}+y^{3k+1}+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}a_{j}y^{j}\right)xy^{2k+1}$ | 6k | 3k | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sqrt{-\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_j t^{2j}} t^{2k+1}, y = t^2\right]$ | 2var. |
| 58 | E_{6k+1} | $x^{3}+xy^{2k+1}+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}a_{j}y^{j}\right)y^{3k+2}$ | 6 <i>k</i> +1 | $3k + \frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{1}{3}i\sqrt{3}t^{2k+1}, y = t^2\right]$ | 2var. |
| 59 | $\overline{E_{6k+2}}$ | $x^{3}+y^{3k+2}+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-2}a_{j}y^{j}\right)xy^{2k+2}$ | 6 <i>k</i> +2 | 3 <i>k</i> +1 | $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | $\left[x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \sqrt{-\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} a_j t^{2j}} t^{2k+2}, y = t^2\right]$ | 2var. |

Thanks!

Thanks for your attention!



Bibliography

Bibliography

- **[Abd05]** Yacoub Ould Mohamed Abderrahmane. On the Łojasiewicz exponent and Newton polyhedron. *Kodai Math. J.*, 28(1):106–110, 2005.
- [Abd17] Yacoub Ould Mohamed Abderrahmane. The Łojasiewicz exponent for weighted homogeneous polynomial with isolated singularity. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 59(2):493–502, 2017.
- [AFdBLM10] Enrique Artal-Bartolo, Javier Fernández de Bobadilla, Ignacio Luengo, and Alejandro Melle-Hernández. Milnor number of weighted-Lê-Yomdin singularities. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (22):4301–4318, 2010.
- [AGV85] Vladimir Igorevich Arnold, Sabir Medgidovich Gusein-Zade, and Aleksandr Nikolaevich Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. I. The classification of critical points, caustics and wave fronts*, volume 82 of Monographs in Mathematics.

Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. Translated from the Russian by Ian Porteous and Mark Reynolds.

- [Arn04] Vladimir Igorevich Arnold. *Arnold's Problems*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- [**BF17**] Carles Bivià-Ausina and Toshizumi Fukui. Invariants for bi-Lipschitz equivalence of ideals. *Q. J. Math.*, 68(3):791–815, 2017.
- **[Biv02]** Carles Bivià-Ausina. A method to estimate the degree of *C*⁰-sufficiency of analytic functions. *Experiment. Math.*, 11(1):81–85, 2002.
- [BKO12] Szymon Brzostowski, Tadeusz Krasiński, and Grzegorz Oleksik. A conjecture on the Łojasiewicz exponent. J. Singul., 6:124–130, 2012. Singularities in Geometry and Appl., Będlewo (2011).
- [**BKO20**] Szymon Brzostowski, Tadeusz Krasiński, and Grzegorz Oleksik. The Łojasiewicz exponent of non-degenerate surface singularities. *ArXiv e-prints*, https://arxiv.org/abs/ 2010.06071v1:1–16, 2020.
- [BMP17] Janko Böhm, Magdaleen Suzanne Marais, and Gerhard Pfister. A classification algorithm for complex singularities of corank and modality up to two. In *Singularities and computer*

algebra. Festschrift for Gert-Martin Greuel on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Based on the conference, Lambrecht (Pfalz), Germany, June 2015, pages 21–46. Cham: Springer, 2017.

- [Brz15] Szymon Brzostowski. The Łojasiewicz exponent of semiquasihomogeneous singularities. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 47(5):848–852, 2015.
- [Brz19] Szymon Brzostowski. A note on the Łojasiewicz exponent of non-degenerate isolated hypersurface singularities. In Tadeusz Krasiński and Stanisław Spodzieja, editors, *Analytic and Algebraic Geometry 3*, pages 27–40. Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego, Łódź, 2019.
- [CK88] Jacek Chądzyński and Tadeusz Krasiński. The Łojasiewicz exponent of an analytic mapping of two complex variables at an isolated zero. In *Singularities (Warsaw, 1985)*, volume 20 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 139–146. PWN, Warsaw, 1988.
 [CK97] Jacek Chądzyński and Tadeusz Krasiński. A set on which the local Łojasiewicz exponent is attained. *Ann. Polon. Math.*,
 - 67(3):297–301, 1997.
- [CL73] Shih Hung Chang and Y. C. Lu. On C⁰-sufficiency of complex jets. *Canadian J. Math.*, 25:874–880, 1973.

[DG83] James Damon and Terence Gaffney. Topological triviality of deformations of functions and Newton filtrations. *Invent. Math.*, 72(3):335–358, 1983.

[dJP00] Theo de Jong and Gerhard Pfister. *Local analytic geometry*. *Basic theory and applications*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 2000.

[Fuk91] Toshizumi Fukui. Łojasiewicz type inequalities and Newton diagrams. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 112(4):1169–1183, 1991.

[Gre86] Gert-Martin Greuel. Constant Milnor number implies constant multiplicity for quasihomogeneous singularities. *Manuscripta Math.*, 56(2):159–166, 1986.

[Kin78] Henry C. King. Topological type of isolated critical points. Ann. Math. (2), 107:385–397, 1978.

[KOP09] Tadeusz Krasiński, Grzegorz Oleksik, and Arkadiusz Płoski. The Łojasiewicz exponent of an isolated weighted homogeneous surface singularity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(10):3387–3397, 2009.

[Kou76] Anatoly Georgievich Kouchnirenko. Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor. *Invent. Math.*, 32(1):1–31, 1976.

[Len98] Andrzej Lenarcik. On the Łojasiewicz exponent of the gradient of a holomorphic function. In *Singularities Symposium—Łojasiewicz 70 (Kraków, 1996; Warsaw, 1996)*, volume 44 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 149–166. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1998.

- [LM95] Ignacio Luengo and Alejandro Melle. A formula for the Milnor number. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 321(11):1473–1478, 1995.
- [LR76] Dũng Tráng Lê and Chakravarthi Padmanabhan Ramanujam. The invariance of Milnor's number implies the invariance of the topological type. *Amer. J. Math.*, 98(1):67–78, 1976.
- [LT08] Monique Lejeune-Jalabert and Bernard Teissier. Clôture intégrale des idéaux et équisingularité. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 17(4):781–859, 2008. With an appendix by Jean-Jacques Risler. An updated version of: Clôture intégrale des idéaux et équisingularité. Centre de Mathématiques, Université Scientifique et Medicale de Grenoble (1974).

[Mer77] Michel Merle. Invariants polaires des courbes planes. *Invent. Math.*, 41(2):103–111, 1977.

- [Oka18] Mutsuo Oka. Łojasiewicz exponents of non-degenerate holomorphic and mixed functions. *Kodai Math. J.*, 41(3):620–651, 2018.
- **[Ole13]** Grzegorz Oleksik. The Łojasiewicz exponent of nondegenerate surface singularities. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 138(1–2):179–199, 2013.
- [Pło85] Arkadiusz Płoski. Sur l'exposant d'une application analytique. II. *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, 33(3-4):123–127, 1985.
- [Pło88] Arkadiusz Płoski. Multiplicity and the Łojasiewicz exponent. In Singularities (Warsaw, 1985), volume 20 of Banach Center Publ., pages 353–364. PWN, Warsaw, 1988.
- [Pło90] Arkadiusz Płoski. Newton polygons and the Łojasiewicz exponent of a holomorphic mapping of C². Ann. Polon. Math., 51:275–281, 1990.
- [Pło01] Arkadiusz Płoski. On the maximal polar quotient of an analytic plane curve. *Kodai Math. J.*, 24(1):120–133, 2001.
 [Pło10] Arkadiusz Płoski. Semicontinuity of the Łojasiewicz exponent. *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.*, 48:103–110, 2010.
 [RRS16] Tomasz Rodak, Adam Różycki, and Stanisław Spodzieja. Multiplicity and semicontinuity of the łojasiewicz exponent. *Bull.*

Pol. Acad. Sci. Math., 64(1):55-62, 2016.

- [Sae88] Osamu Saeki. Topological invariance of weights for weighted homogeneous isolated singularities in C³. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 103(3):905–909, 1988.
- [**Tei77**] Bernard Teissier. Variétés polaires. I. Invariants polaires des singularités d'hypersurfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 40(3):267–292, 1977.
- [Tim77] James Gregory Timourian. The invariance of Milnor's number implies topological triviality. *Amer. J. Math.*, 99(2):437–446, 1977.
- **[Var82]** Aleksandr Nikolaevich Varchenko. A lower bound for the codimension of the μ = const stratum in terms of the mixed Hodge structure. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I. Mat. Mekh.*, 6:28–31, 1982.
- **[Yau88]** Stephen Shing-Toung Yau. Topological types and multiplicities of isolated quasihomogeneous surface singularities. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.), 19(2):447–454, 1988.