

CURRENT TRENDS IN NON-COMMUTATIVE METRIC GEOMETRY

Operator Algebras That One Can See – Kick-off meeting

Januar 17, 2023

David Kyed

THE NON-COMMUTATIVE LANDSCAPE



THE NON-COMMUTATIVE LANDSCAPE



QUESTION (RIEFFEL, 1990'S)

What is the non-commutative analogue of a compact metric space?

→ definition

THE NON-COMMUTATIVE LANDSCAPE



QUESTION (RIEFFEL, 1990'S)

What is the non-commutative analogue of a compact metric space?

→ definition

DEFINITION (RIEFFEL)

Let A be a unital C*-algebra equipped with a seminorm $L: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying that $L(x^*) = L(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then (A, L) is called a compact quantum metric space if

DEFINITION (RIEFFEL)

Let A be a unital C*-algebra equipped with a seminorm L: $A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying that $L(x^*) = L(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then (A, L) is called a compact quantum metric space if (i) L(1) = 0.

DEFINITION (RIEFFEL)

Let A be a unital C*-algebra equipped with a seminorm $L: A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying that $L(x^*) = L(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then (A, L) is called a compact quantum metric space if (i) L(1) = 0.

(ii) The set $Dom(L) := \{a \in A \mid L(a) < \infty\}$ is dense in A.

DEFINITION (RIEFFEL)

Let A be a unital C*-algebra equipped with a seminorm L: $A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying that $L(x^*) = L(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then (A, L) is called a compact quantum metric space if

- (i) L(1) = 0.
- (ii) The set $Dom(L) := \{a \in A \mid L(a) < \infty\}$ is dense in A.
- (iii) $d_L(\mu, \nu) := \sup\{|\mu(a) \nu(a)| : L(a) \le 1\}$ metrises the weak*-topology on S(A).

In this case L is called a Lip-norm.

DEFINITION (RIEFFEL)

Let A be a unital C*-algebra equipped with a seminorm L: $A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying that $L(x^*) = L(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Then (A, L) is called a compact quantum metric space if

(i) L(1) = 0.

- (ii) The set $Dom(L) := \{a \in A \mid L(a) < \infty\}$ is dense in A.
- (iii) d_L(μ, ν) := sup{|μ(a) − ν(a)| : L(a) ≤ 1} metrises the weak*-topology on S(A).

In this case L is called a Lip-norm.

EXAMPLE If (X, d) is a compact metric space then C(X) becomes a CQMS by setting $L_d(f) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} : x \neq y \right\}$.

~ NC examples

If G A is an ergodic, strongly continuous action of a compact metric group on a unital C*-algebra A.

Example include:
(A) SU(2) (C) via conjugation with the arr +1)dimensioned method representation.
(B) T² → C(T_θ²) by rescaling generators on the NC torus.
If (A, H, D) is a spectral triple then the *Connes seminorm* L_D(a) := ||[D, a]|| (a ∈ A)
sometimes, but not always, gives rise to a CQMS (examples coming soon).

→ q-GH-dist

If G A is an ergodic, strongly continuous action of a compact metric group on a unital C*-algebra A. Then one gets a Lip-norm [Rieffel, 1998] by setting

$$L(a) := \sup\left\{\frac{\|\alpha_g(a) - a\|}{d_G(g, e)} \mid g \in G, g \neq e\right\}$$

Examples include:
(A) SU(2) and C) via conjugation with the next productive dimensional mechanishic representation.
(B) T² → C(T²_θ) by rescaling generators on the NC torus.
If (A, H, D) is a spectral triple then the *Connes seminorm* L_D(a) := ||[D, a]|| (a ∈ A) sometimes, but not always, gives rise to a CQMS (examples coming soon).

→ q-GH-dist

If G A is an ergodic, strongly continuous action of a compact metric group on a unital C*-algebra A. Then one gets a Lip-norm [Rieffel, 1998] by setting

$$L(a) := \sup\left\{\frac{\|\alpha_g(a) - a\|}{d_G(g, e)} \mid g \in G, g \neq e\right\}$$

Examples include:

- (A) $SU(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ via conjugation with the (n + 1)-dimensional irreducible representation.
- If (\mathcal{A}, H, D) is a spectral triple then the Connes seminorm

$L_D(a) := \| [D, a] \| \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$

sometimes, but not always, gives rise to a CQMS (examples coming soon).

∽ q-GH-dist

If G A is an ergodic, strongly continuous action of a compact metric group on a unital C*-algebra A. Then one gets a Lip-norm [Rieffel, 1998] by setting

$$L(a) := \sup\left\{\frac{\|\alpha_g(a) - a\|}{d_G(g, e)} \mid g \in G, g \neq e\right\}$$

Examples include:

- (A) $SU(2)
 ightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ via conjugation with the (n + 1)-dimensional irreducible representation.
- (B) $\mathbb{T}^2 \curvearrowright C(\mathbb{T}^2_{\theta})$ by rescaling generators on the NC torus.
- If (A, H, D) is a spectral imple then the Connes seminorm

$L_D(a) := \| [D, a] \| \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$

sometimes, but not always, gives rise to a CQMS (examples coming soon).

∽ q-GH-dist

If G A is an ergodic, strongly continuous action of a compact metric group on a unital C*-algebra A.
 Then one gets a Lip-norm [Rieffel, 1998] by setting

$$L(a) := \sup\left\{\frac{\|\alpha_g(a) - a\|}{d_G(g, e)} \mid g \in G, g \neq e\right\}$$

Examples include:

- (A) $SU(2)
 ightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ via conjugation with the (n + 1)-dimensional irreducible representation.
- (B) $\mathbb{T}^2 \curvearrowright C(\mathbb{T}^2_{\theta})$ by rescaling generators on the NC torus.
- If (A, H, D) is a spectral triple then the *Connes seminorm*

$$L_D(a) := \| [D,a] \| \quad (a \in \mathcal{A})$$

sometimes, but not always, gives rise to a CQMS (examples coming soon).

~→ q-GH-dist



- Rieffel's ong nul version [Rieffel, 2004] provides a distance function distance compact quantum metric spaces
- It is symmetric and subsidiate the third be inequality... ...but distance zero only means Lip-norm preserving isomorphism of the underlying order unit spaces, and not *-isomorphism!
- This is remedied by Latrémolière's quantum propinquity and the matricial dist^Q_{GH} due to Kerr and Li.



- Rieffel's original version [Rieffel, 2004] provides a distance function dist^Q_{CH} between compact quantum metric spaces.
- It is symmetric and satisfies the manual inequality...
 ...but distance zero only means Lip-norm preserving isomorphism of the underlying order unit spaces, and not *-isomorphism!
- This is remedied by Latrémolière's quantum propinquity and the matricial dist^Q_{GH} due to Kerr and Li.



- Rieffel's original version [Rieffel, 2004] provides a distance function dist^Q_{CH} between compact quantum metric spaces.
- It is symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality...
 ...but distance zero and protocologication preserving isomorphism of the underlying order unit spaces, and not *-isomorphism!
- This is remedied by Latrémolière's quantum propinquity and the matricial dist^Q_{GH} due to Kerr and Li.



- Rieffel's original version [Rieffel, 2004] provides a distance function dist^Q_{GH} between compact quantum metric spaces.
- It is symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality...
 ...but distance zero only means Lip-norm preserving isomorphism of the underlying order unit spaces, and not *-isomorphism!
- This is remedied by Latrémolière's quantum propinquity and the matricial dist^Q_{GH} due to Kerr and Li.



- Rieffel's original version [Rieffel, 2004] provides a distance function dist^Q_{GH} between compact quantum metric spaces.
- It is symmetric and satisfies the triangle inequality... ...but distance zero only means Lip-norm preserving isomorphism of the underlying order unit spaces, and not *-isomorphism!
- This is remedied by Latrémolière's quantum propinquity and the matricial dist^Q_{GH} due to Kerr and Li.

CONVERGENCE AND CONTINUITY RESULTS

- 1. Fuzzy spheres (i.e. matrix algebras) converge to classical 2-sphere S² month for
 - 2. Non-commutative tori
 - Spectral truncations (Developed Marinetti, 2014) [van Suijlekom, 2021]
 - 4. Crossed products [Kand-K 2020
 - 5. Non-commutative solenoids [Latrémolière-Packer, 2017]
 - 6. AF-algebras [Aguilar-Latrémolière, 2015]
 - 7. Fractals [Landry-Lapidus-Latrémolière, 2021]

QUESTION

So what is left to do?

w metric vs differential

CONVERGENCE AND CONTINUITY RESULTS

- Fuzzy spheres (i.e. matrix algebras) converge to the classical 2-sphere S² [Rieffel, 2004]
- 2. Non-commutative tori [Rieffel, 2004]
- 3. Spectral truncations [D'Andrea-Lizzi-Martinetti, 2014] [van Suijlekom, 2021]
- 4. Crossed products [Kaad-K, 2020]
- 5. Non-commutative solenoids [Latrémolière-Packer, 2017]
- 6. AF-algebras [Aguilar-Latrémolière, 2015]
- 7. Fractals [Landry-Lapidus-Latrémolière, 2021]

QUESTION

So what is left to do?

w metric vs differential

CONVERGENCE AND CONTINUITY RESULTS

- Fuzzy spheres (i.e. matrix algebras) converge to the classical 2-sphere S² [Rieffel, 2004]
- 2. Non-commutative tori [Rieffel, 2004]
- 3. Spectral truncations [D'Andrea-Lizzi-Martinetti, 2014] [van Suijlekom, 2021]
- 4. Crossed products [Kaad-K, 2020]
- 5. Non-commutative solenoids [Latrémolière-Packer, 2017]
- 6. AF-algebras [Aguilar-Latrémolière, 2015]
- 7. Fractals [Landry-Lapidus-Latrémolière, 2021]

QUESTION

So what is left to do?

→ metric vs differential

- A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.
 - On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a spectral propinquity.
 - This is applicable to specified imples (A, H, D) for which the Connes seminorm $L_D(a) = [[D, a]]$ gives rise to a CQM structure.
 - And is designed so that propinquity zero corresponds to unitary equivalence of the spectral triples.
 - Convergence in spectral propinquity implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators' spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

 A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.

On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a *spectral propinquity*. This is applicable to spectral triples (A, H, D) for which the Connected minorm $L_D(a) = ||[D, a]|$ gives rise to a CQM structure

And is designed so that propinquity zero corresponds to unitary equivalence of the spectral triples.

Convergence in spectral propinquity implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators' spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

- A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.
- On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a *spectral propinquity*.
 - This is applicable to spectral triples (A, H, D) for which the Conner seminorm $L_D(a) = \|[D, a]\|$ gives rise to a CQM structure
 - And is designed so that propinquity zero conceptonds to unitary equivalence of the spectral imples
 - Convergence in spectral propinquity implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators' spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

- A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.
- On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a *spectral propinquity*.
 This is applicable to spectral triples (A, H, D) for which the

Connes seminorm $L_D(a) = \|[D, a]\|$ gives rise to a CQM structure.

- And is designed so that propinquity zero domesponds to unitary equivalence of the spectral triples. Convergence in spectral propinquity implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

- A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.
- On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a *spectral propinquity*.

This is applicable to spectral triples (A, H, D) for which the Connes seminorm $L_D(a) = ||[D, a]||$ gives rise to a CQM structure.

And is designed so that propinquity zero corresponds to unitary equivalence of the spectral triples.

Convergence in spectral prophetories implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators: spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

- A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.
- On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a *spectral propinquity*.

This is applicable to spectral triples (A, H, D) for which the Connes seminorm $L_D(a) = ||[D, a]||$ gives rise to a CQM structure.

- And is designed so that propinquity zero corresponds to unitary equivalence of the spectral triples.
- Convergence in spectral propinquity implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators' spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

- A lot of current research goes into the connections between Connes' NCG and compact quantum metric spaces.
- On the propinquity side, Latrémolière and co-authors are currently working on a *spectral propinquity*.

This is applicable to spectral triples (A, H, D) for which the Connes seminorm $L_D(a) = ||[D, a]||$ gives rise to a CQM structure.

And is designed so that propinquity zero corresponds to unitary equivalence of the spectral triples.

Convergence in spectral propinquity implies a "pointwise convergence" of the Dirac operators' spectra [Latrémolière, 2021].

 Also the original approximation of S² by fuzzy spheres is being upgraded in a more geometric direction [van Suijlekom, 2021], [Rieffel, 2022] and so is the theory for NC solenoids
 [Farsi-Landry-Larsen-Packer, 2022] ~~ q-deformations

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral trip [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2006]
- And the Connes seminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
- Moreover, $\lim_{q \to 1} S_q^2 = S^2$ in dist C_{11} [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed with a Dirac operator (not a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it into a CQMS [Kaad-K, 2022]
- And also here we have $\lim_{q \to 1} SU_q(2) = SU(2)$ [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen] Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral triple [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2003]
- And the Connesseminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
 Moreover, Iim S² = S² in dist^Q_{cut} [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed with a Dirac operator (not a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it into a CQMS [Kaad-K, 2022]
- And also here we have $\lim_{q \to 1} SU_q(2) = SU(2)$ [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen] Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral triple [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2003]
- And the Connes seminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
- Moreover, $\lim S_a^2 = S^2$ in dist $_{GH}^Q$ [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed with a Dirac operator (not a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it info a COMS [Kaad-K, 2022]
- And also here we have $\lim_{q \to 1} SU_q(2) = SU(2)$ [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen] Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral triple [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2003]
- And the Connes seminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
- Moreover, $\lim_{q \to 1} S_q^2 = S^2$ in dist^Q_{GH} [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed without Dirac operator (10) a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it mines (COMS [Kasa-K, 2022]
- And also here we have lim SU₃(3) = SU(2) [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen] Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral triple [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2003]
- And the Connes seminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
- Moreover, $\lim_{q \to 1} S_q^2 = S^2$ in dist^Q_{GH} [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed with a Dirac operator (not a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it into a CQMS [Kaad-K, 2022]
- And also here we have lim SU₂(2) SU(2) [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen] Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral triple [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2003]
- And the Connes seminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
- Moreover, $\lim_{q \to 1} S_q^2 = S^2$ in dist^Q_{GH} [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed with a Dirac operator (not a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it into a CQMS [Kaad-K, 2022]
- And also here we have $\lim_{q \to 1} SU_q(2) = SU(2)$ [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen] Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

- Also q-deformations is an active area of research
- The quantised 2-sphere S²_q fits into a spectral triple [Dabrowski-Sitarz, 2003]
- And the Connes seminorm gives a CQMS [Aguilar-Kaad, 2018]
- Moreover, $\lim_{q \to 1} S_q^2 = S^2$ in dist^Q_{GH} [Aguilar-Kaad-K, 2021]
- Also Woronowicz' SU_q(2) can be endowed with a Dirac operator (not a full spectral triple) and a seminorm L_q turning it into a CQMS [Kaad-K, 2022]
- And also here we have $\lim_{q \to 1} SU_q(2) = SU(2)$ [Kaad-K, 2022]
- Ongoing work treats the quantum projective plane CP²_q, the quantum 5-sphere and higher dimensional analogues [Kaad-Mikkelsen]

Note the graph algebra connection via [Hong-Szymański, 2022]

~> groups

Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length fun
 Given a discrete group function a discrete group

 $D_\ell(\delta_\gamma) := \ell(\gamma)\delta_\gamma$

- gives rise to a CQMS.
- No counter examples known for word lenght
- Positive results for hyperbolic and nilpotent groups [Ozawa-Rieffel, 2005], [Christ-Rieffel, 2015], [Long-Wu, 2021].
- Much more work is needed in order to clarify this.

→ references

Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length function
 ℓ: Γ → ℝ_{≥0} it is a difficult problem to determine if the Dirac operator

 $D_{\ell}(\delta_{\gamma}) := \ell(\gamma)\delta_{\gamma}$

gives rise to a CQMS.

No counter examples known for word length Positive results for hyperbolic and nilpotent groups [Ozawa-Rieffel, 2005], [Christ-Rieffel, 2015] [Long-Wu, 2021]

Much more work is needed in order to clarify this.

→ references

Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length function
 ℓ: Γ → ℝ_{≥0} it is a difficult problem to determine if the Dirac operator

$$D_{\ell}(\delta_{\gamma}) := \ell(\gamma)\delta_{\gamma}$$

gives rise to a CQMS.

No counter examples known for word lenghts!

Positive re

[Ozawa-Rieffel, 2005], [Christ-Rieffel, 2015] [Long-Wu, 2021]

Much more work is needed in order to clarify this.

→ references

Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length function
 ℓ: Γ → ℝ_{≥0} it is a difficult problem to determine if the Dirac operator

$$D_{\ell}(\delta_{\gamma}) := \ell(\gamma)\delta_{\gamma}$$

gives rise to a CQMS.

No counter examples known for word lenghts! Positive results for hyperbolic and nilpotent groups [Ozawa-Rieffel, 2005], [Christ-Rieffel, 2015], [Long-Wu, 2021].

Much more work is needed in order to clarify this.

∽ references

Given a discrete group Γ and a proper length function
 ℓ: Γ → ℝ_{≥0} it is a difficult problem to determine if the Dirac operator

$$D_{\ell}(\delta_{\gamma}) := \ell(\gamma)\delta_{\gamma}$$

gives rise to a CQMS.

No counter examples known for word lenghts! Positive results for hyperbolic and nilpotent groups [Ozawa-Rieffel, 2005], [Christ-Rieffel, 2015], [Long-Wu, 2021].

Much more work is needed in order to clarify this.

~> references

A FEW REFERENCES

- 1. *Metrics on state spaces* M. Rieffel Doc. Math. 4 (1999)
- Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces
 M. Rieffel
 Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 168 (2004)
- Hyperbolic group C*-algebras and free-product C*-algebras as compact quantum metric spaces
 N. Ozawa and M. Rieffel Canad. J. Math. 57 (2005)
- 4. *The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity* F. Latrémolière Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016),
- The Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity for metric Spectral Triples
 F. Latrémolière
 Adv. Math. 404 (2022)
- The quantum metric structure of quantum SU(2)
 J. Kaad and D. Kyed Preprint (2022), arXiv:2205.06043

THANK YOU!