Deformed solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation coming from skew braces

Marzia Mazzotta

Università del Salento Joint work with Bernard Rybołowicz and Paola Stefanelli



LOOP'S 2023 - 30th June 2023, Będlewo

Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation

If S is a set, a map $r: S \times S \longrightarrow S \times S$ satisfying the braid relation

 $(r \times id_S)(id_S \times r)(r \times id_S) = (id_S \times r)(r \times id_S)(id_S \times r)$

is called *set-theoretic solution*, or briefly *solution*, of the Yang-Baxter equation.

For a solution r, we introduce two maps $\lambda_a, \rho_b : S \rightarrow S$ and write

 $r(a,b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$

for all $a, b \in S$. In particular, the solution r is said to be

- Ieft non-degenerate if λ_a is bijective, for every a set of the set of
- right non-degenerate if pb is bijective, for every b < S;</p>
- non-degenerate if r is both left and right non-degenerate.

Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation

If S is a set, a map $r: S \times S \longrightarrow S \times S$ satisfying the braid relation

 $(r \times id_S)(id_S \times r)(r \times id_S) = (id_S \times r)(r \times id_S)(id_S \times r)$

is called *set-theoretic solution*, or briefly *solution*, of the Yang-Baxter equation.

For a solution *r*, we introduce two maps $\lambda_a, \rho_b : S \rightarrow S$ and write

 $r(a,b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a)),$

for all $a, b \in S$. In particular, the solution r is said to be

- *left non-degenerate* if λ_a is bijective, for every $a \in S$;
- right non-degenerate if ρ_b is bijective, for every $b \in S$;
- non-degenerate if r is both left and right non-degenerate.

Theorem (Lu, Yan, Zhu - 2000)

Let G be a group, $\lambda, \rho : G \to \text{Sym}_G$ maps and set $\lambda_a(b) := \lambda(a)(b)$, $\rho_b(a) := \rho(b)(a)$, for all $a, b \in G$. If $\lambda, \rho : G \to \text{Sym}_G$ are a left action and a right action of G on itself, respectively, and

 $\forall a, b \in G \quad ab = \lambda_a(b) \rho_b(a),$

then the map $r(a,b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a))$ is a non-degenerate bijective solution on *G*.

Venkov solutions

If G is a group and, for all $a, b \in G$, set $\lambda_a = id_G$ and $\rho_b(a) = b^{-1}ab$, then

 $r(a,b)=(b,b^{-1}ab)$

s a non-degenerate bijective solution on G.

Theorem (Lu, Yan, Zhu - 2000)

Let G be a group, $\lambda, \rho : G \to \text{Sym}_G$ maps and set $\lambda_a(b) := \lambda(a)(b)$, $\rho_b(a) := \rho(b)(a)$, for all $a, b \in G$. If $\lambda, \rho : G \to \text{Sym}_G$ are a left action and a right action of G on itself, respectively, and

 $\forall a, b \in G \quad ab = \lambda_a(b) \rho_b(a),$

then the map $r(a,b) = (\lambda_a(b), \rho_b(a))$ is a non-degenerate bijective solution on *G*.

Venkov solutions

If *G* is a group and, for all $a, b \in G$, set $\lambda_a = id_G$ and $\rho_b(a) = b^{-1}ab$, then

$$r(a,b)=\left(b,\,b^{-1}ab\right)$$

is a non-degenerate bijective solution on G.

[Rump - 2007] traced a novel research direction for finding solutions by introducing the algebraic structure of *brace*.

[Rump- 2007, Guarnieri, Vendramin - 2017]

A triple $(B, +, \circ)$ is called *skew (left) brace* if

- (B, +) and (B, \circ) are groups
- $\forall a, b, c \in B \qquad a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c.$

If (B, +) is abelian, then $(B, +, \circ)$ is a *(left) brace*.

The groups (B, +) and (B, ∘) have the same identity that we denote by 0.

Similarly, we can define the structures of skew fright) braces. Skew braces simultaneously satisfying the left and the right axioms are called *two-sided skew braces*.

[Rump - 2007] traced a novel research direction for finding solutions by introducing the algebraic structure of *brace*.

[Rump- 2007, Guarnieri, Vendramin - 2017]

A triple $(B, +, \circ)$ is called *skew (left) brace* if

- (B, +) and (B, \circ) are groups
- $\forall a, b, c \in B \qquad a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c.$

If (B, +) is abelian, then $(B, +, \circ)$ is a *(left) brace*.

► The groups (B,+) and (B, ∘) have the same identity that we denote by 0.

Similarly, we can define the structures of skew fright) braces. Skew braces simultaneously satisfying the left and the right axioms are called *two-sided skew braces*.

[Rump - 2007] traced a novel research direction for finding solutions by introducing the algebraic structure of *brace*.

[Rump- 2007, Guarnieri, Vendramin - 2017]

A triple $(B, +, \circ)$ is called *skew (left) brace* if

- (B, +) and (B, \circ) are groups
- $\forall a, b, c \in B \qquad a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c.$

If (B, +) is abelian, then $(B, +, \circ)$ is a *(left) brace*.

► The groups (B, +) and (B, ∘) have the same identity that we denote by 0.

Similarly, we can define the structures of skew fright) braces. Skew braces simultaneously satisfying the left and the right axioms are called *two-sided skew braces*.

[Rump - 2007] traced a novel research direction for finding solutions by introducing the algebraic structure of *brace*.

[Rump- 2007, Guarnieri, Vendramin - 2017]

A triple $(B, +, \circ)$ is called *skew (left) brace* if

- (B, +) and (B, \circ) are groups
- $\forall a, b, c \in B \qquad a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c.$

If (B, +) is abelian, then $(B, +, \circ)$ is a *(left) brace*.

- ► The groups (B, +) and (B, ∘) have the same identity that we denote by 0.
- Similarly, we can define the structures of skew (right) braces. Skew braces simultaneously satisfying the left and the right axioms are called *two-sided skew braces*.

Solutions associated to skew (left) braces

Given a skew (left) brace $(B, +, \circ)$ and set, for all $a, b \in B$,

$$\lambda_a(b) \coloneqq -a + a \circ b$$
 and $\rho_b(a) \coloneqq (-a + a \circ b)^- \circ a \circ b$,

then the maps λ and ρ satisfy Lu-Yan-Zhu conditions on (B, \circ) .

Consequently, the map

$$r_B(a,b) = (-a + a \circ b, (-a + a \circ b)^{-} \circ a \circ b)$$

is a non-degenerate bijective solution.

The solution r_B is *involutive*, i.e., $r^2 = id_{B \times B}$, if and only if $(B, +, \circ)$ is a brace.

Given a skew (left) brace $(B, +, \circ)$ and set, for all $a, b \in B$,

$$\lambda_a(b) \coloneqq -a + a \circ b$$
 and $\rho_b(a) \coloneqq (-a + a \circ b)^- \circ a \circ b$,

then the maps λ and ρ satisfy Lu-Yan-Zhu conditions on (B, \circ) .

Consequently, the map

$$r_B(a,b) = (-a + a \circ b, (-a + a \circ b)^{-} \circ a \circ b)$$

is a non-degenerate bijective solution.

The solution r_B is *involutive*, i.e., $r^2 = id_{B \times B}$, if and only if $(B, +, \circ)$ is a brace.

Given a skew (left) brace $(B, +, \circ)$ and set, for all $a, b \in B$,

$$\lambda_a(b) \coloneqq -a + a \circ b$$
 and $\rho_b(a) \coloneqq (-a + a \circ b)^- \circ a \circ b$,

then the maps λ and ρ satisfy Lu-Yan-Zhu conditions on (B, \circ) .

Consequently, the map

$$r_B(a,b) = (-a + a \circ b, (-a + a \circ b)^{-} \circ a \circ b)$$

is a non-degenerate bijective solution.

The solution r_B is *involutive*, i.e., $r^2 = id_{B \times B}$, if and only if $(B, +, \circ)$ is a brace.

[Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022] introduced a new family of solutions coming from skew braces that can be obtained by *"deforming"* the classic map r_B by certain parameters.

Theorem (Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022)

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew left brace and $z \in B$ such that the identity

 $(a-b+c)\circ z = a\circ z - b\circ z + c\circ z$

holds, for all $a, b, c \in B$. Then, the map $r_z : B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$ given by

$$r_z(a,b) = (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z, (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^{-} \circ a \circ b)$$

a non-degenerate bijective solution.

[Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022] introduced a new family of solutions coming from skew braces that can be obtained by *"deforming"* the classic map r_B by certain parameters.

Theorem (Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022)

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew left brace and $z \in B$ such that the identity

$$(a-b+c)\circ z = a\circ z - b\circ z + c\circ z$$

 (\star)

holds, for all $a, b, c \in B$. Then, the map $r_z : B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$ given by

$$r_{z}(a,b) = (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z, (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^{-} \circ a \circ b)$$

a non-degenerate bijective solution.

[Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022] introduced a new family of solutions coming from skew braces that can be obtained by *"deforming"* the classic map r_B by certain parameters.

Theorem (Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022)

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew left brace and $z \in B$ such that the identity

$$(a-b+c)\circ z = a\circ z - b\circ z + c\circ z \qquad (*$$

holds, for all $a, b, c \in B$. Then, the map $r_z : B \times B \rightarrow B \times B$ given by

$$r_{z}(a,b) = (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z, (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^{-} \circ a \circ b)$$

a non-degenerate bijective solution.

Some considerations

Clearly, if *B* is a skew brace: $(a - b + c) \circ 0 = a \circ 0 - b \circ 0 + c \circ 0$, hence $r_0 = r_B$ is a solution.

For all $a, b \in B$, we write the components of r_z as:

 $\sigma_a^z(b) \coloneqq -a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_b^z(a) \coloneqq (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^- \circ a \circ b.$

If $z \in B$ satisfies (*), then the following hold for the map r_z :

- $\forall a, b \in B \quad a \circ b = \sigma_a^z(b) \circ \tau_b^z(a);$
- $\tau^{z}: (B, \circ) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{B}, b \mapsto \tau_{b}^{z}$ is a group anti-homomorphism:
- σ^z is a homomorphism if and only if $\forall a \in B$ as $z \neq z \neq a$.

For all $a, b \in B$, we write the components of r_z as:

 $\sigma_a^z(b) \coloneqq -a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_b^z(a) \coloneqq (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^- \circ a \circ b.$

If $z \in B$ satisfies (*), then the following hold for the map r_2 :

- $\forall a, b \in B \quad a \circ b = \sigma_a^z(b) \circ \tau_b^z(a);$
- $\tau^{z}: (B, \circ) \to \text{Sym}_{B}, b \mapsto \tau^{z}_{b}$ is a group anti-homomorphism:
- σ^{z} is a homomorphism if and only if $\forall a \in B$ as $z \neq z \neq a$.

For all $a, b \in B$, we write the components of r_z as:

 $\sigma_a^z(b) \coloneqq -a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_b^z(a) \coloneqq (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^- \circ a \circ b.$

If $z \in B$ satisfies (*), then the following hold for the map r_z :

- $\forall a, b \in B \quad a \circ b = \sigma_a^z(b) \circ \tau_b^z(a);$
- $\tau^{z}: (B, \circ) \to \text{Sym}_{B}, b \mapsto \tau^{z}_{b}$ is a group anti-homomorphism:
- σ^{z} is a homomorphism if and only if $\forall a \in B$ as $z \neq z \neq a$.

For all $a, b \in B$, we write the components of r_z as:

 $\sigma_a^z(b) \coloneqq -a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_b^z(a) \coloneqq (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^- \circ a \circ b.$

If $z \in B$ satisfies (*), then the following hold for the map r_z :

- $\forall a, b \in B \quad a \circ b = \sigma_a^z(b) \circ \tau_b^z(a);$
- $\tau^{z}: (B, \circ) \to \text{Sym}_{B}, b \mapsto \tau^{z}_{b}$ is a group anti-homomorphism;

• σ^{z} is a homomorphism if and only if $\forall a \in B$ as $z \neq z \neq a$.

For all $a, b \in B$, we write the components of r_z as:

 $\sigma_a^z(b) \coloneqq -a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_b^z(a) \coloneqq (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^- \circ a \circ b.$

If $z \in B$ satisfies (*), then the following hold for the map r_z :

- $\forall a, b \in B \quad a \circ b = \sigma_a^z(b) \circ \tau_b^z(a);$
- $\tau^{z}: (B, \circ) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{B}, b \mapsto \tau_{b}^{z}$ is a group anti-homomorphism;
- σ^z is a homomorphism if and only if $\forall a \in B$ $a \circ z = z + a$.

For all $a, b \in B$, we write the components of r_z as:

 $\sigma_a^z(b) \coloneqq -a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_b^z(a) \coloneqq (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^- \circ a \circ b.$

If $z \in B$ satisfies (*), then the following hold for the map r_z :

- $\forall a, b \in B \quad a \circ b = \sigma_a^z(b) \circ \tau_b^z(a);$
- $\tau^{z}: (B, \circ) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{B}, b \mapsto \tau_{b}^{z}$ is a group anti-homomorphism;
- σ^z is a homomorphism if and only if $\forall a \in B$ $a \circ z = z + a$.

Non-involutive solutions

Left braces determine also non-involutive solutions.

Example (Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022)

Consider Odd := $\left\{\frac{2n+1}{2k+1} \mid n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and the structure of brace (Odd, +1, \circ) where the binary operation +1 and \circ are given by

 $\forall a, b \in \text{Odd}$ a + b := a - 1 + b and $a \circ b := a \cdot b$

with $+, \cdot$ are the usual addition and the multiplication of rational numbers, respectively. Then, for every $z \neq 1$, the solution r_z is not involutive.

Non-involutive solutions

Left braces determine also non-involutive solutions.

Example (Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022)

Consider Odd := $\left\{ \frac{2n+1}{2k+1} \mid n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ and the structure of brace (Odd, +₁, \circ) where the binary operation +₁ and \circ are given by

 $\forall a, b \in \text{Odd}$ a + b := a - 1 + b and $a \circ b := a \cdot b$

with +, \cdot are the usual addition and the multiplication of rational numbers, respectively. Then, for every $z \neq 1$, the solution r_z is not involutive.

Non-involutive solutions

Left braces determine also non-involutive solutions.

Example (Doikou, Rybołowicz - 2022)

Consider Odd := $\left\{ \frac{2n+1}{2k+1} \mid n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ and the structure of brace $(\text{Odd}, +_1, \circ)$ where the binary operation $+_1$ and \circ are given by

 $\forall a, b \in \text{Odd}$ a + b := a - 1 + b and $a \circ b := a \cdot b$

with $+, \cdot$ are the usual addition and the multiplication of rational numbers, respectively. Then, for every $z \neq 1$, the solution r_z is not involutive.

Question: If *B* is a skew (left) brace, which are all the parameters $z \in B$ giving rise to a solution r_z ?

Definition (M., Rybołowicz, Stefanelli - 2023)

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew left brace. Then, we call the set

 $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = \{ z \in B \mid \forall a, b \in B \quad (a+b) \circ z = a \circ z - z + b \circ z \},\$

the right distributor of B.

Theorem

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace and $z \in B$. Then, the map r_z is a solution if and only if $z \in \mathcal{D}_r(B)$.

Question: If *B* is a skew (left) brace, which are all the parameters $z \in B$ giving rise to a solution r_z ?

Definition (M., Rybołowicz, Stefanelli - 2023)

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew left brace. Then, we call the set

 $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = \{ z \in B \mid \forall a, b \in B \quad (a+b) \circ z = a \circ z - z + b \circ z \},\$

the *right distributor* of *B*.

Theorem

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace and $z \in B$. Then, the map r_z is a solution if and only if $z \in \mathcal{D}_r(B)$.

Question: If *B* is a skew (left) brace, which are all the parameters $z \in B$ giving rise to a solution r_z ?

Definition (M., Rybołowicz, Stefanelli - 2023)

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew left brace. Then, we call the set

 $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = \{ z \in B \mid \forall a, b \in B \quad (a+b) \circ z = a \circ z - z + b \circ z \},\$

the *right distributor* of *B*.

Theorem

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace and $z \in B$. Then, the map r_z is a solution if and only if $z \in \mathcal{D}_r(B)$.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace. Then, $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = B$. In other words, r_z is a deformed solution for every $z \in B$.

Question: Let *B* a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$. Under which conditions are r_z and r_w equivalent?

[Trappeniers - 2023]: Given a two-sided skew brace $(B, +, \circ)$, all the inner automorphisms of (B, \circ) are skew brace automorphisms of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$ belonging to the same conjugacy class in (B, \circ) . Then, r_z and r_w are equivalent.

The converse is not true.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace. Then, $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = B$. In other words, r_z is a deformed solution for every $z \in B$.

Question: Let *B* a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$. Under which conditions are r_z and r_w equivalent?

[Trappeniers - 2023]: Given a two-sided skew brace $(B, +, \circ)$, all the inner automorphisms of (B, \circ) are skew brace automorphisms of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$ belonging to the same conjugacy class in (B, \circ) . Then, r_z and r_w are equivalent.

The converse is not true.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace. Then, $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = B$. In other words, r_z is a deformed solution for every $z \in B$.

Question: Let *B* a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$. Under which conditions are r_z and r_w equivalent?

[Trappeniers - 2023]: Given a two-sided skew brace $(B, +, \circ)$, all the inner automorphisms of (B, \circ) are skew brace automorphisms of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$ belonging to the same conjugacy class in (B, \circ) . Then, r_z and r_w are equivalent.

The converse is not true.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace. Then, $\mathcal{D}_r(B) = B$. In other words, r_z is a deformed solution for every $z \in B$.

Question: Let *B* a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$. Under which conditions are r_z and r_w equivalent?

[Trappeniers - 2023]: Given a two-sided skew brace $(B, +, \circ)$, all the inner automorphisms of (B, \circ) are skew brace automorphisms of *B*.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a two-sided skew brace and $z, w \in B$ belonging to the same conjugacy class in (B, \circ) . Then, r_z and r_w are equivalent.

The converse is not true.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then,

 $Z(B,\circ) \leq (\mathcal{D}_r(B),\circ) \leq (B,\circ).$

In general, $(\mathcal{D}_r(B), +) \notin (B, +)$, unless we get into perticular cases.

If $(B, +, \circ)$ is a (left) brace, then $\mathcal{D}_r(B)$ is a two-sided subbrace of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then, $Fix(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$ and Ann $(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$.

We recall that

 $\operatorname{Fix}(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall x \in B \lambda_x(a) = a\} \& \operatorname{Ann}(B) = \operatorname{Soc}(B) \cap Z(B, \circ),$

with Soc $(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall b \in B \ a+b = a \circ b \land a+b = b+a\}$

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then,

 $Z(B,\circ) \leq (\mathcal{D}_r(B),\circ) \leq (B,\circ).$

In general, $(\mathcal{D}_r(B), +) \notin (B, +)$, unless we get into particular cases.

If $(B, +, \circ)$ is a (left) brace, then $\mathcal{D}_{r}(B)$ is a two-sided subbrace of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then, $Fix(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$ and Ann $(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$.

We recall that

 $\operatorname{Fix}(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall x \in B \lambda_x(a) = a\} \& \operatorname{Ann}(B) = \operatorname{Soc}(B) \cap Z(B, \circ),$

with Soc $(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall b \in B \ a+b = a \circ b \land a+b = b+a\}$

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then,

 $Z(B,\circ) \leq (\mathcal{D}_r(B),\circ) \leq (B,\circ).$

In general, $(\mathcal{D}_r(B), +) \notin (B, +)$, unless we get into particular cases.

If $(B, +, \circ)$ is a (left) brace, then $\mathcal{D}_{r}(B)$ is a two-sided subbrace of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then, $Fix(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$ and $Ann(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$.

We recall that

 $\operatorname{Fix}(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall x \in B \lambda_x(a) = a\} \quad \& \quad \operatorname{Ann}(B) = \operatorname{Soc}(B) \cap Z(B, \circ),$

with Soc
$$(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall b \in B \mid a + b = a \circ b \land a + b = b + a\}$$
.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then,

 $Z(B,\circ) \leq (\mathcal{D}_r(B),\circ) \leq (B,\circ).$

In general, $(\mathcal{D}_r(B), +) \notin (B, +)$, unless we get into particular cases.

If $(B, +, \circ)$ is a (left) brace, then $\mathcal{D}_{r}(B)$ is a two-sided subbrace of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then, $Fix(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$ and $Ann(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$.

We recall that

 $\operatorname{Fix}(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall x \in B \lambda_x(a) = a\} \quad \& \quad \operatorname{Ann}(B) = \operatorname{Soc}(B) \cap Z(B, \circ),$

with Soc $(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall b \in B \mid a + b = a \circ b \land a + b = b + a\}.$

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then,

 $Z(B,\circ) \leq (\mathcal{D}_r(B),\circ) \leq (B,\circ).$

In general, $(\mathcal{D}_r(B), +) \notin (B, +)$, unless we get into particular cases.

If $(B, +, \circ)$ is a (left) brace, then $\mathcal{D}_{r}(B)$ is a two-sided subbrace of B.

Proposition

Let $(B, +, \circ)$ be a skew (left) brace. Then, $Fix(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$ and Ann $(B) \subseteq D_r(B)$.

We recall that

 $\operatorname{Fix}(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall x \in B \lambda_x(a) = a\} \quad \& \quad \operatorname{Ann}(B) = \operatorname{Soc}(B) \cap Z(B, \circ),$

with Soc $(B) = \{a \in B \mid \forall b \in B \mid a + b = a \circ b \land a + b = b + a\}.$

Definition (Catino, M., Miccoli, Stefanelli, 2022)

A weak brace is a triple $(S, +, \circ)$ such that (S, +) and (S, \circ) are inverse semigroups satisfying

- $\forall a, b, c \in S$ $a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c$,
- $\forall a \in S \qquad a \circ a^- = -a + a,$

where -a and a^{-} denote the inverses of (S, +) and (S, \circ) .

If $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak brace, then the map

$$r_{S}(a,b) = (-a + a \circ b, (-a + a \circ b)^{-} \circ a \circ b),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution that has a *behaviour close to bijectivity*. The solution $r_{S^{op}}$ associated to the weak brace S^{op} is such that

 $r_S r_{S^{op}} r_s = r_S$, $r_{S^{op}} r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}}$, and $r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}} r_S$.

Definition (Catino, M., Miccoli, Stefanelli, 2022)

A weak brace is a triple $(S, +, \circ)$ such that (S, +) and (S, \circ) are inverse semigroups satisfying

- $\forall a, b, c \in S$ $a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c$,
- $\forall a \in S \qquad a \circ a^- = -a + a,$

where -a and a^{-} denote the inverses of (S, +) and (S, \circ) .

If $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak brace, then the map

$$r_{\mathcal{S}}(a,b) = \left(-a + a \circ b, \left(-a + a \circ b\right)^{-} \circ a \circ b\right),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution that has a *behaviour close to bijectivity*. The solution $r_{S^{op}}$ associated to the weak brace S^{op} is such that

 $r_S r_{S^{op}} r_s = r_S$, $r_{S^{op}} r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}}$, and $r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}} r_S$.

Definition (Catino, M., Miccoli, Stefanelli, 2022)

A weak brace is a triple $(S, +, \circ)$ such that (S, +) and (S, \circ) are inverse semigroups satisfying

- $\forall a, b, c \in S$ $a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c$,
- $\forall a \in S \qquad a \circ a^- = -a + a,$

where -a and a^{-} denote the inverses of (S, +) and (S, \circ) .

If $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak brace, then the map

$$r_{\mathcal{S}}(a,b) = \left(-a + a \circ b, \left(-a + a \circ b\right)^{-} \circ a \circ b\right),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution that has a *behaviour close to bijectivity*. The solution $r_{S^{op}}$ associated to the weak brace S^{op} is such that

 $r_S r_{S^{op}} r_s = r_S, \quad r_{S^{op}} r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}}, \quad \text{and} \quad r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}} r_S$

Definition (Catino, M., Miccoli, Stefanelli, 2022)

A weak brace is a triple $(S, +, \circ)$ such that (S, +) and (S, \circ) are inverse semigroups satisfying

- $\forall a, b, c \in S$ $a \circ (b + c) = a \circ b a + a \circ c$,
- $\forall a \in S \qquad a \circ a^- = -a + a,$

where -a and a^{-} denote the inverses of (S, +) and (S, \circ) .

If $(S, +, \circ)$ is a weak brace, then the map

$$r_{S}(a,b) = \left(-a + a \circ b, \left(-a + a \circ b\right)^{-} \circ a \circ b\right),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution that has a *behaviour close to bijectivity*. The solution $r_{S^{op}}$ associated to the weak brace S^{op} is such that

 $r_S r_{S^{op}} r_s = r_S$, $r_{S^{op}} r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}}$, and $r_S r_{S^{op}} = r_{S^{op}} r_S$.

Theorem

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a weak brace. Then, (S, +) is a Clifford semigroup.

An inverse semigroup *S* is a *Clifford semigroup* if it has central idempotents.

In general, (S, \circ) is not Clifford. If it is, we call $(S, +, \circ)$ dual weak brace.

In this case, the solution *r_S* has also a *behaviour close to the non-degeneracy* in the sense that

$$\lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a = \lambda_a, \quad \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} = \lambda_{a^-}, \text{ and } \lambda_{a^+} = \lambda_{a^-},$$

Theorem

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a weak brace. Then, (S, +) is a Clifford semigroup.

An inverse semigroup *S* is a *Clifford semigroup* if it has central idempotents.

In general, (S, \circ) is not Clifford. If it is, we call $(S, +, \circ)$ dual weak brace.

In this case, the solution r_S has also a *behaviour close to the non-degeneracy* in the sense that

$$\lambda_a \lambda_a - \lambda_a = \lambda_a, \quad \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a - = \lambda_a, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_a \lambda_a = \lambda_a, \quad \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a = \lambda_a - \lambda_a, \quad \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a = \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a = \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a = \lambda_a - \lambda_a \lambda_a - \lambda$$

Theorem

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a weak brace. Then, (S, +) is a Clifford semigroup.

An inverse semigroup *S* is a *Clifford semigroup* if it has central idempotents.

In general, (S, \circ) is not Clifford. If it is, we call $(S, +, \circ)$ dual weak brace.

In this case, the solution *r_s* has also a *behaviour close to the non-degeneracy* in the sense that

$$\lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a = \lambda_a, \quad \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} = \lambda_{a^-}, \text{ and } \lambda_{a^+} = \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a$$

 $\rho_a \rho_a - \rho_a = \rho_a, \quad \rho_a - \rho_a \rho_a - \rho_a = \rho_a, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_a \rho_a - \rho_a - \rho_a$

Theorem

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a weak brace. Then, (S, +) is a Clifford semigroup.

An inverse semigroup *S* is a *Clifford semigroup* if it has central idempotents.

In general, (S, \circ) is not Clifford. If it is, we call $(S, +, \circ)$ dual weak brace.

In this case, the solution r_S has also a *behaviour close to the non-degeneracy* in the sense that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a = \lambda_a, & \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} = \lambda_{a^-}, & \text{and} & \lambda_a \lambda_{a^-} = \lambda_{a^-} \lambda_a \\ \rho_a \rho_a - \rho_a = \rho_a, & \rho_a - \rho_a \rho_{a^-} = \rho_{a^-}, & \text{and} & \rho_a \rho_{a^-} = \rho_{a^-} \rho_a \end{array}$$

Theorem (M., R., S. - 2023)

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak brace and $z \in S$. Then, the map $r_z : S \times S \to S \times S$ given by

$$r_{z}(a,b) = \left(-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z, \left(-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z\right)^{-} \circ a \circ b\right),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution if and only if $z \in D_r(S)$.

Note that $E(S) \subseteq D_r(S)$.

Example

Let $X = \{e, x, y\}$ and (S, \circ) the commutative inverse monoid on X with identity e satisfying the relations $x \circ x = y \circ y = x$ and $x \circ y = y$. Considered the trivial weak brace on S, then the solutions $r_e = r$ and r_x are not equivalent.

Theorem (M., R., S. - 2023)

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak brace and $z \in S$. Then, the map $r_z : S \times S \to S \times S$ given by

$$r_{z}(a,b) = \left(-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z, \left(-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z\right)^{-} \circ a \circ b\right),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution if and only if $z \in D_r(S)$.

Note that $E(S) \subseteq D_r(S)$.

Example

Let $X = \{e, x, y\}$ and (S, \circ) the commutative inverse monoid on X with identity e satisfying the relations $x \circ x = y \circ y = x$ and $x \circ y = y$. Considered the trivial weak brace on S, then the solutions $r_e = r$ and r_x are not equivalent.

Theorem (M., R., S. - 2023)

Let $(S, +, \circ)$ be a dual weak brace and $z \in S$. Then, the map $r_z : S \times S \to S \times S$ given by

$$r_{z}(a,b) = (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z, (-a \circ z + a \circ b \circ z)^{-} \circ a \circ b),$$

for all $a, b \in S$, is a solution if and only if $z \in D_r(S)$.

Note that $E(S) \subseteq D_r(S)$.

Example

Let $X = \{e, x, y\}$ and (S, \circ) the commutative inverse monoid on X with identity e satisfying the relations $x \circ x = y \circ y = x$ and $x \circ y = y$. Considered the trivial weak brace on S, then the solutions $r_e = r$ and r_x are not equivalent.

Some references

A. Doikou, B. Rybołowicz: *Novel non-involutive solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation from (skew) braces*, preprint

L. Guarnieri, L. Vendramin: *Skew braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Math. Comp. 86(307), (2017) 2519-2534

Lu, M. Yan, Y.-C. Zhu: On the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation, Duke Math. J. 104(1) (2000), 1–18.

M. Mazzotta, B. Rybołowicz, P. Stefanelli: Deformed solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation coming from dual weak braces and unital near-trusses, preprint

W. Rump: Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, J. Algebra 307(1) (2007) 153-170



Some references

A. Doikou, B. Rybołowicz: *Novel non-involutive solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation from (skew) braces*, preprint

L. Guarnieri, L. Vendramin: *Skew braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Math. Comp. 86(307), (2017) 2519-2534

Lu, M. Yan, Y.-C. Zhu: On the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation, Duke Math. J. 104(1) (2000), 1–18.

M. Mazzotta, B. Rybołowicz, P. Stefanelli: Deformed solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation coming from dual weak braces and unital near-trusses, preprint

W. Rump: Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, J. Algebra 307(1) (2007) 153-170

Thank you!