A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

Ian D. Morris and Çağrı Sert

Będlewo, May 15th 2023

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

Proof outline

Iterated function systems and their attractors

In this presentation an affine iterated function system or affine IFS will be a finite collection (T_i)_{i∈I} of invertible affine contractions of ℝ^d.

Proof outline

Iterated function systems and their attractors

- In this presentation an affine iterated function system or affine IFS will be a finite collection (T_i)_{i∈I} of invertible affine contractions of ℝ^d.
- By default I will write the transformation T_i as $T_i x = A_i x + v_i$.

Proof outline

Iterated function systems and their attractors

- In this presentation an affine iterated function system or affine IFS will be a finite collection (T_i)_{i∈I} of invertible affine contractions of ℝ^d.
- By default I will write the transformation T_i as $T_i x = A_i x + v_i$.
- General problem: find the Hausdorff dimensions of the associated self-affine set $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i X$ and self-affine measures $m = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} p_i(T_i)_* m$, where $(p_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an arbitrary non-degenerate probability vector.

Variational principles

Proof outline

The classical self-similar case

■ If every T_i is a similarity transformation then we may write $T_i = r_i O_i x + v_i$ where $r_i \in (0, 1)$ and $O_i \in O(d)$.

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Variational principles

Proof outline

The classical self-similar case

- If every T_i is a similarity transformation then we may write $T_i = r_i O_i x + v_i$ where $r_i \in (0, 1)$ and $O_i \in O(d)$.
- Assuming the open set condition, this case is very well understood: the Hausdorff dimension s = dim_H X solves

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}r_i^s=1,$$

Ian D. Morris

Variational principles

Proof outline

The classical self-similar case

- If every T_i is a similarity transformation then we may write $T_i = r_i O_i x + v_i$ where $r_i \in (0, 1)$ and $O_i \in O(d)$.
- Assuming the open set condition, this case is very well understood: the Hausdorff dimension s = dim_H X solves

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}r_i^s=1,$$

and if $m = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} p_i(T_i)_* m$ then

$$\dim_{\mathsf{H}} m = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\log p_i}{\log r_i}.$$

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

Variational principles

Proof outline

• Upper bounds come from covers using sets of the form $T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} U$.

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

- Upper bounds come from covers using sets of the form $T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} U$.
- Lower bounds are obtained by using energy integrals to estimate dimensions of measures.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ 差 少への

- Upper bounds come from covers using sets of the form $T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} U$.
- Lower bounds are obtained by using energy integrals to estimate dimensions of measures.
- Let Σ_I = I^N be the set of all one-sided infinite sequences over I, and let ν be the Bernoulli measure on Σ_I corresponding to the probability vector (p_i)_{i∈I}.

3

- Upper bounds come from covers using sets of the form $T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} U$.
- Lower bounds are obtained by using energy integrals to estimate dimensions of measures.
- Let Σ_I = I^N be the set of all one-sided infinite sequences over I, and let ν be the Bernoulli measure on Σ_I corresponding to the probability vector (p_i)_{i∈I}.
- \blacksquare Define a continuous function $\pi\colon \Sigma_\mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} X = \{\pi[(i_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}]\}$$

which is a singleton set because the maps T_i are contractions.

- Upper bounds come from covers using sets of the form $T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} U$.
- Lower bounds are obtained by using energy integrals to estimate dimensions of measures.
- Let Σ_I = I^N be the set of all one-sided infinite sequences over I, and let ν be the Bernoulli measure on Σ_I corresponding to the probability vector (p_i)_{i∈I}.
- \blacksquare Define a continuous function $\pi\colon \Sigma_\mathcal{I} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} X = \{\pi[(i_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}]\}$$

which is a singleton set because the maps T_i are contractions.

• The measure $m := \pi_* \nu$ has Hausdorff dimension $\sum_{i \in I} \log p_i / \log r_i$ and support X.

Variational principles

Proof outline

The self-affine case

■ When the maps *T_i* are not similitudes there are numerous complications.

・ロト・日本・ キョン・ ヨー うらの

Proof outline

The self-affine case

- When the maps T_i are not similitudes there are numerous complications.
- Covering directly by sets of the form T_{i1} ··· T_{in}U is no longer useful: these sets are (in general) long and narrow, but the definition of Hausdorff dimension rewards covers which use "round" sets.

Proof outline

The self-affine case

- When the maps *T_i* are not similitudes there are numerous complications.
- Covering directly by sets of the form T_{i1} ··· T_{in}U is no longer useful: these sets are (in general) long and narrow, but the definition of Hausdorff dimension rewards covers which use "round" sets.
- Computing the dimensions of self-affine measures is also much harder and remains a wide open problem in dimension $d \ge 4$.

Proof outline

The self-affine case

- When the maps *T_i* are not similitudes there are numerous complications.
- Covering directly by sets of the form T_{i1} ··· T_{in}U is no longer useful: these sets are (in general) long and narrow, but the definition of Hausdorff dimension rewards covers which use "round" sets.
- Computing the dimensions of self-affine measures is also much harder and remains a wide open problem in dimension $d \ge 4$.
- If the linear parts A_i are algebraically degenerate (e.g. if they are all diagonal matrices) then various exceptional examples occur (e.g. the "carpet" fractals of Bedford and McMullen and their extensions by Das-Simmons, Feng-Wang, Fraser &c.).

The self-affine case 0000

Variational principles

Proof outline

In 1988, Falconer obtained an upper bound for the dimension of a self-affine set essentially by "chopping" the sets $T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n} X$ into round pieces to create a more efficient cover. This gives a bound called the *affinity dimension* of $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, written dim_{aff} $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- In 1988, Falconer obtained an upper bound for the dimension of a self-affine set essentially by "chopping" the sets T_{i1} ··· T_{in}X into round pieces to create a more efficient cover. This gives a bound called the *affinity dimension* of (T_i)_{i∈I}, written dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- Falconer showed that if the linear parts A_i are fixed, then for Lebesgue-typical choices of the translation parts v_i, the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor equals the affinity dimension (assuming a strong contraction condition on the the A_i's).

- In 1988, Falconer obtained an upper bound for the dimension of a self-affine set essentially by "chopping" the sets T_{i1} ··· T_{in}X into round pieces to create a more efficient cover. This gives a bound called the *affinity dimension* of (T_i)_{i∈I}, written dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- Falconer showed that if the linear parts A_i are fixed, then for Lebesgue-typical choices of the translation parts v_i, the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor equals the affinity dimension (assuming a strong contraction condition on the the A_i's).
- Feng more recently showed that the set of good translation vectors is also residual.

- In 1988, Falconer obtained an upper bound for the dimension of a self-affine set essentially by "chopping" the sets T_{i1} ··· T_{in}X into round pieces to create a more efficient cover. This gives a bound called the *affinity dimension* of (T_i)_{i∈I}, written dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- Falconer showed that if the linear parts A_i are fixed, then for Lebesgue-typical choices of the translation parts v_i, the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor equals the affinity dimension (assuming a strong contraction condition on the the A_i's).
- Feng more recently showed that the set of good translation vectors is also residual.
- Explicit examples of self-affine sets with known Hausdorff dimension remained rare until the late 2010s (e.g. Hueter-Lalley '95).

э

Variational principles

Proof outline

• The affinity dimension is defined in terms of the singular values of products $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_n}$ of the linear maps A_i .

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

lan D. Morris

The self-affine case 00000

Variational principles

Proof outline

- The affinity dimension is defined in terms of the singular values of products $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_n}$ of the linear maps A_i .
- Given $B \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, let $\sigma_1(B) \ge \sigma_2(B) \cdots \ge \sigma_d(B)$ denote the singular values. For each $s \in [0, d]$ define

$$\varphi^{s}(B) := \sigma_{1}(B)\sigma_{2}(B)\cdots\sigma_{\lfloor s \rfloor}(B)\sigma_{\lceil s \rceil}(B)^{s-\lfloor s \rfloor}.$$

The self-affine case 00000

Variational principles

Proof outline

- The affinity dimension is defined in terms of the singular values of products $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_n}$ of the linear maps A_i .
- Given $B \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, let $\sigma_1(B) \ge \sigma_2(B) \cdots \ge \sigma_d(B)$ denote the singular values. For each $s \in [0, d]$ define

$$\varphi^{s}(B) := \sigma_{1}(B)\sigma_{2}(B)\cdots\sigma_{\lfloor s \rfloor}(B)\sigma_{\lceil s \rceil}(B)^{s-\lfloor s \rfloor}.$$

The affinity dimension s of $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is defined to be the unique $s \ge 0$ such that the quantity

$$P((T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}};s) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n\in\mathcal{I}} \varphi^s(A_{i_1}\cdots A_{i_n})$$

is equal to 0.

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

The self-affine case $000 \bullet 0$

Variational principles

Proof outline

 Similarly, in 2004, Käenmäki defined a quantity called the Lyapunov dimension, dim_{Lyap} μ, for each ergodic measure μ on Σ_I.

- Similarly, in 2004, Käenmäki defined a quantity called the Lyapunov dimension, dim_{Lyap} μ, for each ergodic measure μ on Σ_I.
- It is the unique solution s to

$$h(\mu) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}} \varphi^{s}(A_{i_{1}} \cdots A_{i_{n}}) d\mu \left[(i_{k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \right] = 0.$$

- Similarly, in 2004, Käenmäki defined a quantity called the Lyapunov dimension, dim_{Lyap} μ, for each ergodic measure μ on Σ_I.
- It is the unique solution s to

$$h(\mu) + \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}} \varphi^{s}(A_{i_{1}}\cdots A_{i_{n}}) d\mu \left[(i_{k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \right] = 0.$$

■ He showed that dim_H π_{*}µ ≤ dim_{Lyap} µ and that there always exists an ergodic *equilibrium state* µ such that dim_{Lyap} µ = dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Similarly, in 2004, Käenmäki defined a quantity called the Lyapunov dimension, dim_{Lyap} μ, for each ergodic measure μ on Σ_I.
- It is the unique solution s to

$$h(\mu) + \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}}} \varphi^{s}(A_{i_{1}}\cdots A_{i_{n}}) d\mu \left[(i_{k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \right] = 0.$$

- He showed that dim_H π_{*}µ ≤ dim_{Lyap} µ and that there always exists an ergodic *equilibrium state* µ such that dim_{Lyap} µ = dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- A possible strategy for the general problem: understand enough about the equilibrium states μ, and the dimensions of measures of the form π_{*}μ, to find a measure on the attractor X with Hausdorff dimension equal to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.

The self-affine case 0000●

Variational principles

Proof outline

Progress in the last decade

 The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Proof outline

Progress in the last decade

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:

Proof outline

Progress in the last decade

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:
 - The dimension *d* is at most 3

Proof outline

Progress in the last decade

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:
 - The dimension *d* is at most 3
 - (*T_i*) satisfies suitable separation conditions (e.g. SOSC)

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:
 - The dimension *d* is at most 3
 - (*T_i*) satisfies suitable separation conditions (e.g. SOSC)
 - (A_i)_{i∈I} satisfies certain algebraic non-degeneracy conditions (e.g. strong irreducibility).

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:
 - The dimension *d* is at most 3
 - (*T_i*) satisfies suitable separation conditions (e.g. SOSC)
 - (A_i)_{i∈I} satisfies certain algebraic non-degeneracy conditions (e.g. strong irreducibility).

See work of Bárány, Hochman, Rapaport, Feng...

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:
 - The dimension *d* is at most 3
 - (*T_i*) satisfies suitable separation conditions (e.g. SOSC)
 - (A_i)_{i∈I} satisfies certain algebraic non-degeneracy conditions (e.g. strong irreducibility).

See work of Bárány, Hochman, Rapaport, Feng...

If we knew that the equilibrium states were Bernoulli measures then we could make the lower and upper bounds meet.

- The structure of equilibrium states μ is now well-understood (work of Feng, Käenmäki, M., Bochi).
- There has also been great progress in showing that dim_H π_{*}μ = dim_{Lyap} μ when μ is a non-degenerate *Bernoulli measure*, if:
 - The dimension *d* is at most 3
 - (*T_i*) satisfies suitable separation conditions (e.g. SOSC)
 - (A_i)_{i∈I} satisfies certain algebraic non-degeneracy conditions (e.g. strong irreducibility).

See work of Bárány, Hochman, Rapaport, Feng...

- If we knew that the equilibrium states were Bernoulli measures then we could make the lower and upper bounds meet.
- However, this is never the case except when the maps T_i are similitudes (M.-Sert '19).

Proof outline

Approximating equilibrium states using Bernoulli measures

• Let \mathcal{I}^n denote the set of all words $i_1 \cdots i_n$ over the alphabet \mathcal{I} .

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets
Approximating equilibrium states using Bernoulli measures

- Let \mathcal{I}^n denote the set of all words $i_1 \cdots i_n$ over the alphabet \mathcal{I} .
- Write i for an element of \mathcal{I}^n . If $i = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \in \mathcal{I}^n$, write $T_i := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n}$.

Approximating equilibrium states using Bernoulli measures

- Let \mathcal{I}^n denote the set of all words $i_1 \cdots i_n$ over the alphabet \mathcal{I} .
- Write i for an element of \mathcal{I}^n . If $i = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \in \mathcal{I}^n$, write $T_i := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n}$.
- Clearly, (*T*_i)_{i∈*I*ⁿ} is an IFS with the same attractor as (*T_i*)_{*i*∈*I*}. It also has the same affinity dimension.

Approximating equilibrium states using Bernoulli measures

- Let \mathcal{I}^n denote the set of all words $i_1 \cdots i_n$ over the alphabet \mathcal{I} .
- Write i for an element of \mathcal{I}^n . If $i = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \in \mathcal{I}^n$, write $T_i := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_n}$.
- Clearly, (*T*_i)_{i∈*I*ⁿ} is an IFS with the same attractor as (*T_i*)_{*i*∈*I*}. It also has the same affinity dimension.
- By taking *n* sufficiently large, can we find Bernoulli measures on Σ_{Iⁿ} with Lyapunov dimension close to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}?

Introduction 0000 The self-affine cas 00000 $\underset{0 \bullet 00000}{\text{Variational principles}}$

Proof outline

 Answer is yes: by adapting a 2014 argument of Feng and Shmerkin we can construct a Bernoulli measure on Σ_{Iⁿ} with Lyapunov dimension close to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

Introduction 0000 The self-affine ca 20000

- Answer is yes: by adapting a 2014 argument of Feng and Shmerkin we can construct a Bernoulli measure on Σ_{Iⁿ} with Lyapunov dimension close to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- But: this measure is not (in general) fully supported.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへの

- Answer is yes: by adapting a 2014 argument of Feng and Shmerkin we can construct a Bernoulli measure on Σ_{Iⁿ} with Lyapunov dimension close to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- *But:* this measure is not (in general) fully supported.
- This is a problem since results on self-affine measures apply only to fully-supported Bernoulli measures.

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- Answer is yes: by adapting a 2014 argument of Feng and Shmerkin we can construct a Bernoulli measure on Σ_{Iⁿ} with Lyapunov dimension close to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- But: this measure is not (in general) fully supported.
- This is a problem since results on self-affine measures apply only to fully-supported Bernoulli measures.
- In effect, we've found a smaller IFS $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$, where $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$, which has a fully-supported Bernoulli measure with large Lyapunov dimension.

- Answer is yes: by adapting a 2014 argument of Feng and Shmerkin we can construct a Bernoulli measure on Σ_{Iⁿ} with Lyapunov dimension close to dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I}.
- *But:* this measure is not (in general) fully supported.
- This is a problem since results on self-affine measures apply only to fully-supported Bernoulli measures.
- In effect, we've found a smaller IFS (*T*_i)_{i∈J}, where *J* ⊂ *I*ⁿ, which has a fully-supported Bernoulli measure with large Lyapunov dimension.
- This smaller IFS may fail to inherit key algebraic properties from (*T*_i)_{i∈*I*ⁿ} such as strong irreducibility, which are necessary for theorems on self-affine measures to work.

- Answer is yes: by adapting a 2014 argument of Feng and Shmerkin we can construct a Bernoulli measure on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{I}^n}$ with Lyapunov dimension close to $\dim_{\text{aff}}(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{T}}$.
- But: this measure is not (in general) fully supported.
- This is a problem since results on self-affine measures apply only to fully-supported Bernoulli measures.
- In effect, we've found a smaller IFS $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$, which has a fully-supported Bernoulli measure with large Lyapunov dimension.
- This smaller IFS may fail to inherit key algebraic properties from $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}^n}$ such as strong irreducibility, which are necessary for theorems on self-affine measures to work.
- We need a theorem showing that the desired analytic properties described above can be obtained in a way which ensures that $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ has the same *algebraic* features as $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Variational principles

Proof outline

The variational principle for planar affine IFS

Theorem (M. - Shmerkin '16)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an irreducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

Proof outline

The variational principle for planar affine IFS

Theorem (M. - Shmerkin '16)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an irreducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

 The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.

э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Proof outline

The variational principle for planar affine IFS

Theorem (M. - Shmerkin '16)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an irreducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.
- **2** If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is strongly irreducible then so is $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$.

э

Proof outline

The variational principle for planar affine IFS

Theorem (M. - Shmerkin '16)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an irreducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.
- **2** If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is strongly irreducible then so is $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$.
- **3** If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is proximal then $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ is dominated.

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

The variational principle for planar affine IFS

Theorem (M. - Shmerkin '16)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an irreducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- 1 The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ satisfies $\dim_{\mathsf{Lyap}} \nu > \dim_{\mathsf{aff}}(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \varepsilon.$
- **2** If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is strongly irreducible then so is $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$.
- 3 If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is proximal then $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ is dominated.
- 4 If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ satisfies the SOSC then $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ satisfies the SSC.

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Proof outline

The variational principle for planar affine IFS

Theorem (M. - Shmerkin '16)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an irreducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- 1 The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on $\Sigma_{\mathcal{J}}$ satisfies $\dim_{\mathsf{Lyap}} \nu > \dim_{\mathsf{aff}}(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \varepsilon.$
- **2** If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is strongly irreducible then so is $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$.
- 3 If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is proximal then $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ is dominated.
- 4 If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ satisfies the SOSC then $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ satisfies the SSC.

This allowed deep results of Bárány, Hochman and Rapaport on planar self-affine *measures* to translate directly into results on planar self-affine *sets*.

Choose an equilibrium state µ. It can be shown to have distinct Lyapunov exponents.

- Choose an equilibrium state µ. It can be shown to have distinct Lyapunov exponents.
- Using the Subadditive Ergodic Theorem and Shannon-McMillan-Breiman, find a collection *J* of at least e^{n(h(µ)-ε)} words i ∈ *I*ⁿ such that A_i has norm nε-close to the top Lyapunov exponent of (A_i)_{i∈I} with respect to µ.

- Choose an equilibrium state µ. It can be shown to have distinct Lyapunov exponents.
- Using the Subadditive Ergodic Theorem and Shannon-McMillan-Breiman, find a collection *J* of at least e^{n(h(µ)-ε)} words i ∈ *I*ⁿ such that A_i has norm nε-close to the top Lyapunov exponent of (A_i)_{i∈I} with respect to µ.
- Using a pigeonhole argument and non-atomicity of the distribution of the Oseledec subspaces, we can do this in a way which ensures that {A_i: i ∈ J} is an irreducible and dominated semigroup. Strong irreducibility follows.

- Choose an equilibrium state µ. It can be shown to have distinct Lyapunov exponents.
- Using the Subadditive Ergodic Theorem and Shannon-McMillan-Breiman, find a collection *J* of at least e^{n(h(µ)-ε)} words i ∈ *I*ⁿ such that A_i has norm nε-close to the top Lyapunov exponent of (A_i)_{i∈I} with respect to µ.
- Using a pigeonhole argument and non-atomicity of the distribution of the Oseledec subspaces, we can do this in a way which ensures that {A_i: i ∈ J} is an irreducible and dominated semigroup. Strong irreducibility follows.
- Control on cardinality of *J* and on Lyapunov exponents implies control of the Lyapunov dimension of the measure of maximal entropy on Σ_J.

Variational principles

Proof outline

Problems in higher dimensions

 It is no longer well understood where the gaps between Lyapunov exponents are found. (The equilibrium state must have some gaps between Lyapunov exponents, but where?)

Variational principles

Proof outline

Problems in higher dimensions

- It is no longer well understood where the gaps between Lyapunov exponents are found. (The equilibrium state must have some gaps between Lyapunov exponents, but where?)
- We need to consider irreducibility and proximality across multiple representations (e.g. different exterior powers).

Variational principles

Proof outline

Problems in higher dimensions

- It is no longer well understood where the gaps between Lyapunov exponents are found. (The equilibrium state must have some gaps between Lyapunov exponents, but where?)
- We need to consider irreducibility and proximality across multiple representations (e.g. different exterior powers).
- There are very few subgroups of GL₂(ℝ), resulting in what could be seen as a case-by-case argument depending on which linear algebraic group (A_i)_{i∈I} generates. In general dimensions no analogous case-by-case argument is possible.

Variational principles

Proof outline

...and now the result:

Theorem (M. - Sert '23)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a completely reducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^d , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

Variational principles

Proof outline

...and now the result:

Theorem (M. - Sert '23)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a completely reducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^d , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

 The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.

Variational principles

...and now the result:

Theorem (M. - Sert '23)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a completely reducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^d , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.
- If G ≤ GL_d(ℝ) denotes the Zariski closure of the semigroup generated by {A_i: i ∈ I}, then the semigroup generated by {A_i: i ∈ J} is Zariski dense in the identity component of G.

...and now the result:

Theorem (M. - Sert '23)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a completely reducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^d , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.
- If G ≤ GL_d(ℝ) denotes the Zariski closure of the semigroup generated by {A_i: i ∈ I}, then the semigroup generated by {A_i: i ∈ J} is Zariski dense in the identity component of G.
- If (T_i)_{i∈I} is k-proximal and k-strongly irreducible then (T_i)_{i∈J} is k-dominated and k-strongly irreducible.

...and now the result:

Theorem (M. - Sert '23)

If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a completely reducible affine IFS acting on \mathbb{R}^d , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we may find $n \ge 1$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ such that:

- The uniform Bernoulli measure ν on Σ_J satisfies dim_{Lyap} ν > dim_{aff}(T_i)_{i∈I} − ε.
- If G ≤ GL_d(ℝ) denotes the Zariski closure of the semigroup generated by {A_i: i ∈ I}, then the semigroup generated by {A_i: i ∈ J} is Zariski dense in the identity component of G.
- If (T_i)_{i∈I} is k-proximal and k-strongly irreducible then (T_i)_{i∈J} is k-dominated and k-strongly irreducible.
- 4 If $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ satisfies the SOSC then $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ satisfies the SSC.

This implies an extension of a recent result of A. Rapaport on self-affine measures in \mathbb{R}^3 :

Ξ.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

This implies an extension of a recent result of A. Rapaport on self-affine measures in \mathbb{R}^3 :

Corollary

Let $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a strongly irreducible affine iterated function system acting on \mathbb{R}^3 and satisfying the strong open set condition. Then the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor is equal to the affinity dimension of $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Ian D. Morris

Proof outline

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

I Choose an equilibrium state μ and use SAET and SMBT as before to find a set $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ of at least $e^{n(h(\mu)-\varepsilon)}$ words i such that the singular values of every A_i are $n\varepsilon$ -close to the respective Lyapunov exponents.

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

- **1** Choose an equilibrium state μ and use SAET and SMBT as before to find a set $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ of at least $e^{n(h(\mu)-\varepsilon)}$ words i such that the singular values of every A_i are $n\varepsilon$ -close to the respective Lyapunov exponents.
- 2 By a pigeonhole argument, pass to a set J₁ ⊂ Iⁿ of at least e^{n(h(µ)-2ε)} words all belonging to the same connected component of G.

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

- **1** Choose an equilibrium state μ and use SAET and SMBT as before to find a set $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}^n$ of at least $e^{n(h(\mu)-\varepsilon)}$ words i such that the singular values of every A_i are $n\varepsilon$ -close to the respective Lyapunov exponents.
- 2 By a pigeonhole argument, pass to a set J₁ ⊂ Iⁿ of at least e^{n(h(µ)-2ε)} words all belonging to the same connected component of G.
- 3 Extending those words by an *a priori* bounded amount, pass to a new set J₂ ⊂ I^{n+k} of at least e^{n(h(μ)-3ε)} words which generate a narrow Schottky subsemigroup of the identity component and where the singular values are still 2nε-close to the respective Lyapunov exponents.

э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

Select some additional words k₁,..., k_t which, when appended to J₃, ensure that a Zariski-dense subsemigroup of the identity component is generated. (Moreover, do this in such a way that substituting any power of k_i for the relevant word k_i has the same effect.)

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

- Select some additional words k₁,..., k_t which, when appended to J₃, ensure that a Zariski-dense subsemigroup of the identity component is generated. (Moreover, do this in such a way that substituting any power of k_i for the relevant word k_i has the same effect.)
- **5** The set $\mathcal{J}_3 \cup \{k_1, \dots, k_t\}$ no longer consists of words of a consistent length, so choose integers m, r_1, \dots, r_t such that

$$\mathcal{J}_4 = \{\mathbf{i}_1 \cdots \mathbf{i}_m \colon \mathbf{i}_j \in \mathcal{J}_3\} \cup \{\mathbf{k}_1^{r_1}, \dots, \mathbf{k}_t^{r_t}\}$$

consists of words of a consistent length.

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

6 Some of those words do not have *a priori* control on their singular values, so instead consider

$$\mathcal{J}_5 = \{\mathtt{i}_1 \cdots \mathtt{i}_{m+p} \colon \mathtt{i}_j \in \mathcal{J}_3\} \cup \{\mathtt{i}^p \mathtt{k}_1^{r_1}, \dots, \mathtt{i}^p \mathtt{k}_t^{r_t}\}$$

where $i \in \mathcal{J}_3$ is arbitrary, and p is large enough that A_i^p generates a Zariski-connected semigroup, and also large enough that the singular values are $3n\varepsilon$ -controlled.

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

6 Some of those words do not have *a priori* control on their singular values, so instead consider

$$\mathcal{J}_5 = \{\mathtt{i}_1 \cdots \mathtt{i}_{m+p} \colon \mathtt{i}_j \in \mathcal{J}_3\} \cup \{\mathtt{i}^p \mathtt{k}_1^{r_1}, \dots, \mathtt{i}^p \mathtt{k}_t^{r_t}\}$$

where $i \in \mathcal{J}_3$ is arbitrary, and p is large enough that A_i^p generates a Zariski-connected semigroup, and also large enough that the singular values are $3n\varepsilon$ -controlled.

The number of elements, their length & singular values are now controlled, and they generate a semigroup which is dominated and has the correct Zariski closure.
Proof outline

An overview of the proof (with simplifications)

6 Some of those words do not have *a priori* control on their singular values, so instead consider

$$\mathcal{J}_5 = \{\mathtt{i}_1 \cdots \mathtt{i}_{m+p} \colon \mathtt{i}_j \in \mathcal{J}_3\} \cup \{\mathtt{i}^p \mathtt{k}_1^{r_1}, \dots, \mathtt{i}^p \mathtt{k}_t^{r_t}\}$$

where $i \in \mathcal{J}_3$ is arbitrary, and p is large enough that A_i^p generates a Zariski-connected semigroup, and also large enough that the singular values are $3n\varepsilon$ -controlled.

- The number of elements, their length & singular values are now controlled, and they generate a semigroup which is dominated and has the correct Zariski closure.
- 8 Control on the number of elements and their Lyapunov exponents implies control on the Lyapunov dimension.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proof outline

A list of ingredients (not exhaustive):

- Bochi-Gourmelon: characterisations of domination
- Benoist: finding Zariski dense, narrow Schottky subsemigroups of semigroups of linear maps
- Tits: finding small generating sets for Zariski dense subsemigroups
- Abels-Margulis-Soifer: finding large proximal subsets of semigroups of linear maps
- Guivarc'h-Raugi: separating Lyapunov exponents for Bernoulli measures
- ... and numerous others for bringing the theory of affine IFS to its present state.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Variational principles



Thanks for listening!

lan D. Morris

A variational principle relating self-affine measures and self-affine sets

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト