

- [7] E. W. Johnson, J. A. Johnson and J. P. Lediaev, A structural approach to Noether lattices, Canad. J. Math. 22 (1970), pp. 657-665.
- [8] and J. P. Lediaev, Structure of Noether lattices with join-principal maximal elements, Pacific J. Math. 37 (1971), pp. 101-108.
- [9] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Boston 1970.
- [10] E-sequences and homological dimension, Nagoya Math. J. 20 (1962), pp. 195-199.
 [11] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra, Vol. I, II, Princeton 1965.

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
Iowa City, Iowa
SLIPPERY ROCK STATE COLLEGE
Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania

Recu par la Rédaction le 23. 1. 1973

Remarks on the absolute suspension

by

Andrzej Szymański (Katowice)

Abstract. There is proved that an n-dimensional compact metric space is n-dimensional sphere whenever each pair of distinct points is a pair of tops of some suspension representation and n=1,2,3. This is a positive answer, for $n \leq 3$, on de Groot's conjecture.

A suspension over Y is a space SY formed from $Y \times [-1, 1]$ by identifying $Y \times \{1\}$ and $Y \times \{-1\}$ to single points, called the tops of the suspension (the resulting set being equipped with the quotient topology).

A metrizable compact space will be said to be an absolute suspension if for each pair p, q of its distinct points it is a topologically suspension with tops p and q.

If X is the suspension over Y, then for $F \subset Y$, we can assume that F and SF are the subspaces of X.

Professor de Groot at the Prague Symposium 1971 asked whether an absolute suspension is homeomorphic to an n-sphere, whenever it is n-dimensional. We shall show that this conjecture is true in dimensions 1, 2 and 3.

Throughout the paper all the spaces will be assumed to be metric with the finite dimension in the sense of dim.

As was shown by de Groot in [4], Theorem 2, it suffices to show that the absolute suspension is a manifold in order to get the solution even for an arbitrary finite dimension. Thus showing that the absolute suspension in the dimensions 1, 2 and 3 is a manifold, is the most important step in the proof.

Lemma 1 (Hurewicz; see Kuratowski [2], p. 311). If Y is compact and $\dim Z=1$, then $\dim (Y\times Z)=\dim Y+1$

LEMMA 2. If X is compact and X = SY, then Y is compact.

Proof. Since $Y \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is a closed subset of compact space X, it is compact. Hence Y is compact.

LEMMA 3. If Y is compact, then $\dim SY = \dim Y + 1$.

Proof. Clearly, the inductive dimension at each point of Yx $\times (-1,1)$ is the same as that of the corresponding point in SY, hence it is at most dim Y+1, in virtue of Lemma 1. The inductive dimension in the tops of SY is also at most dimY+1. Hence the inductive dimension of SY is at most dimY+1. Since the converse inequality is easy and the inductive dimension of SY is the same as $\dim SY$, the lemma is proved.

A. Szymański

LEMMA 4. If X and Y are non-degenerate continua, then no pair of points of $X \times Y$ disconnects $X \times Y$.

The proof is easy.

CORROLARY 1. If Y is a non-degenerate continuum, then no pair of points disconnects SY.

LEMMA 5. If X is an absolute suspension, then X is a locally connected continuum.

The proof is obvious.

LEMMA 6. If X is an absolute suspension and dim $X \ge 2$, then Y is a locally connected continuum for each Y such that X is a suspension over Y.

Proof. 1. The connectedness of Y.

Observe that among those Y for which X = SY there is at least one Y which is connected (it is then a continuum in virtue of Lemma 2). In fact, otherwise, for each two distinct points p and q of X, the space X, being a suspension with tops p and q with a non-connected Y, would be disconnected by $\{p, q\}$. But if each pair of points disconnects a metrizable locally connected continuum, then, by a theorem of Moore (see [3], p. 188), it is S^1 topologically. In particular we have dim X=1— a contradiction.

Now let Y_0 be a continuum such that $X = SY_0$, whose existence follows from the above part of the proof. Since dim $X \ge 2$, Y_0 is nondegenerate and, by Corollary 1, no pair of points disconnects X. Now, if X = SY, then Y is connected because otherwise X would be disconnected by tops of SY.

2. To prove the local connectedness of Y let us consider Y as a subspace of X = SY. Let $y \in Y$. Since X is locally connected by Lemma 5, there exist open and connected neighborhoods of y in X having arbitrarily small diameters and such that the tops of SY do not belong to those neighborhoods. The projection of $Y \times (-1, 1)$ onto Y maps these neighborhoods onto neighborhoods of y in Y and the diameters are not greater than those of the corresponding neighborhoods in X.

LEMMA 7. An absolute suspension is locally contractible.



Proof. This follows from the fact that the space of the form SY is locally contractible at the tops.

In the sequel, following Borsuk's book [1], we distinguish between AR's and ANR's with respect to the class of all compact metric spaces and more wider notions of AR(M)'s and ANR(M)'s, absolute retracts and absolute neighborhood retracts with respect to all metric spaces. For compact metric spaces these notions coincide.

LEMMA 8. If X is an absolute suspension, then $X \in ANR$.

Proof. This follows, in virtue of Lemma 7, from the fact that each locally contractible compact space is an ANR whenever the dimension is finite (see [1], Corollary 10.4, p. 122).

LEMMA 9. If X is an absolute suspension, then $X \setminus \{p\} \in AR(\mathfrak{M})$ for each $p \in X$.

Proof. Since, by Lemma 8, $X \in ANR$, we have, by a theorem of Hanner ([1], Theorem 10.1, p. 96), $X \setminus \{p\} \in ANR(\mathfrak{M})$ for arbitrary p in X. Let $q \in X \setminus \{p\}$. Since X is an absolute suspension, p and q are the tops of SY for some Y such that X = SY. Clearly, $SY \setminus \{p\}$ is contractible to the point q. Hence $X \setminus \{p\}$ is contractible. But a contractible ANR(M) is $AR(\mathfrak{M})$ ([1], Theorem 9.1, p. 96).

LEMMA 10. If X is an absolute suspension and dim $X \ge 2$, then X is unicoherent.

Proof. Let us assume that X is not unicoherent. Then X, being a locally connected continuum, contains, according to Borsuk's theorem (see [3], p. 437), a simple closed curve S which is a retract of X. Since $\dim X \geqslant 2$, there exists a point p in $X \setminus S$. By Lemma 9, $X \setminus \{p\} \in AR(\mathfrak{M})$. The curve S, being a retract of X, is a retract of $X \setminus \{p\}$. This means that S is an absolute retract — a contradiction.

Lemma 11. If X is an absolute suspension and X = SY, then Y ϵ ANR.

Proof. Let p and q be the tops of SY. Then $X \setminus \{p, q\} = Y \times (-1, 1)$, topologically. Since $X \setminus \{p, q\}$ is an open subset of ANR-space X, in virtue of Lemma 8, we have $Y \times (-1, 1) \in ANR(\mathfrak{M})$, by Hanner's theorem loco cit. Thus Y, being a factor of $ANR(\mathfrak{M})$ -space $Y \times (-1, 1)$, is ANR(M) ([1], Theorem 7.2, p. 92). Then $Y \in ANR$, being compact in virtue of Lemma 2.

Lemma 12. If X is an absolute suspension and $\dim X \geqslant 2$, then no arc disconnects X.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an arc $L \subset X$ which disconnects X. The arc L contains a closed subset F which irreducibly disconnects X(see [3], Theorem 3, p. 250). By Lemmas 5 and 10, X is unicoherent and therefore ([3], Theorem 3, p. 437) F is a continuum. Hence, by Lemma 6 and Corollary 1, F is an arc. Let b be an inner point of F. Let A



be a component of $X \backslash F$. Let B denote the union of all components of $X \backslash F$ different from A. We have ([3], Theorem 1, p. 249) $\operatorname{Fr} C_1 = \operatorname{Fr} C_2 = F$ for each two different components of $X \backslash F$ and therefore $\operatorname{Fr} A = \operatorname{Fr} B = F$ because F is irreducible. Since $b \in F$, $\operatorname{cl} C \backslash \{b\}$ is connected for an arbitrary component C of $X \backslash F$. Since $\operatorname{cl} C_1 \backslash \{b\} \cap (\operatorname{cl} C_2 \backslash \{b\}) = F \backslash \{b\}$ for arbitrary two different components C_1 and C_2 of $X \backslash F$, $\operatorname{cl} B \backslash \{b\}$ is connected. Hence $X \backslash \{b\}$ is not unicoherent, being a union of the above-mentioned closed (in $X \backslash \{b\}$) and connected sets $\operatorname{cl} A \backslash \{b\}$ and $\operatorname{cl} B \backslash \{b\}$, whose intersection is not connected. But $X \backslash \{b\}$, being contractible and being an $\operatorname{ANR}(\mathfrak{M})$ in virtue of Lemma 9, is unicoherent (see [3], Theorem 2, p. 435) — a contradiction.

LEMMA 13. If X is an n-dimensional absolute suspension, then each (n-1)-dimensional sphere S^{n-1} contained in X disconnects X.

Proof. There exists a point $p \in X \setminus S^{n-1}$. We infer that S^{n-1} is contractible in $X \setminus \{p\}$ because, by Lemma 9, $X \setminus \{p\}$ is contractible, being AR(\mathfrak{M}). Hence, by Theorem 16.1, [1], p. 191, S^{n-1} , being cyclic in dimension n-1 must disconnect X, X being an n-dimensional homogeneous ANR and $X \setminus \{p\}$ being a proper subset of X.

LEMMA 14 (Borsuk [1], Theorem 15.1, p. 191). An n-dimensional connected ANR is a manifold whenever it is homogeneous and contains topologically a Euclidean n-ball.

THEOREM. If n = 1, 2 and 3, then the n-dimensional absolute suspension is an n-dimensional sphere, topologically.

Proof. If n = 1 and 2, the conclusion follows from Lemmas 8 and 14 and Theorem 2 of de Groot, cited at the beginning.

To prove the conclusion for n=3 let us note that, by Lemmas 3, 6 and 12, Y is a locally connected continuum without cut points and $\dim Y = 2$ for each Y such that SY is a 3-dimensional absolute suspension. Let us see that each one-dimensional sphere S^1 contained in Y disconnects Y. Otherwise SS^1 , the suspension over S^1 (with the suspension structure inherited from SY), does not disconnect SY, since SY\SS1 $=(Y S^1) \times (-1,1)$, and this contradicts the fact that $SS^1 = S^2$ disconnects SY in virtue of Lemma 13. Hence, by Young's [5] characterization of two-dimensional manifolds (as two-dimensional locally connected continua without cut points and such that "small" one-dimensional spheres disconnect them) we infer that Y is a two-dimensional manifold. In particular, Y contains a two-dimensional Euclidean ball and therefore SY, being a suspension over Y, contains a three-dimensional Euclidean ball. Hence, by Lemma 14, SY is a three-dimensional manifold. According to the de Groot reduction, SY is a three-dimensional sphere, topologically.

References

- 11 K. Borsuk, Theory of retracts, Warszawa 1967.
- 91 К. Куратовский, Топология І, Москва 1966.
- 3] Топология II, Москва 1969.
- [4] J. de Groot, On the topological characterization of manifolds, Third Prague Topological Symposium, 1971, pp. 155-158.
- 5] G. S. Young, Characterization of 2-manifolds, Duke Math. J. 14 (1947), pp. 979-990.

SILESIAN UNIVERSITY, Katowice

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 2. 1973