

- [R] R. T. Rockafellar, *Measurable dependence of convex sets and functions on parameters*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 28 (1969), pp. 4-25.
- [V] M. Valadier, *Multi-applications mesurables à valeurs convexes compactes*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. 50 (1971), pp. 265-297.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 28. 10. 1973

## $\lambda$ -complete near-rings \*

by

R. Gorton (Dayton, Ohio)

**Abstract.** Let  $N$  be a near-ring. For each cardinal  $\lambda$ , a radical like ideal  $C_\lambda(N)$  is introduced and used to describe the structure of  $N$  in terms of  $\lambda$ -complete near-rings of transformations. The radical  $J_\lambda(N)$  of Betsch is extended to near-rings in which  $0n = 0$  is not assumed and it is shown that  $J_\lambda(N) \subseteq C_\lambda(N) \cap N_c$ . Finally, the result of Berman and Silverman on simplicity of near-rings of transformations is extended for infinite groups, and several illustrative examples are given.

**1. Introduction.** The most natural example of a near-ring is given by the collection of all transformations of a group. Several authors (for example [3, 4, 7, 8]) have studied the structure of near-rings by extending well known radical concepts of rings to near-rings. In this paper a radical like ideal  $C_\lambda(N)$  is introduced and used to describe the structure of near-rings in terms of  $\lambda$ -complete near-rings of transformations. The radical  $J_\lambda(N)$  of Betsch is extended to near-rings in which  $0n = 0$  is not assumed and it is shown that  $J_\lambda(N) \subseteq C_\lambda(N) \cap N_c$ . Finally, the principal result of [2] is extended for infinite groups and several illustrative examples are given.

**2. Definitions.** A *near-ring*  $N$  is a system (containing at least two elements) with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  satisfying

- (i)  $(N, +)$  is a group.
- (ii)  $(N, \cdot)$  is a semigroup.
- (iii)  $a(b+c) = ab+ac$  for all  $a, b, c \in N$ .

If  $N$  is a near-ring then an additive group  $\Gamma$  ( $\neq \{0\}$ ) is an  $N$ -group if and only if for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$ ,  $\gamma n$  belongs to  $\Gamma$  and

- (i)  $\gamma(m+n) = \gamma m + \gamma n$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $m, n \in N$ .
- (ii)  $\gamma(mn) = (\gamma m)n$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $m, n \in N$ .

A subgroup  $\Delta$  of an  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  is an  $N$ -subgroup if and only if  $\Delta N \subseteq \Delta$ . Observe that any  $N$ -subgroup of  $\Gamma$  must contain  $\Gamma_0 = 0N$  (where  $0$  is the identity element of  $\Gamma$ ). If  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are  $N$ -groups and

\* Research performed at the U.S.A.F. Aerospace Research Laboratories while in the capacity of an Ohio State University Research Foundation Visiting Research Associate under Contract F33615-67-C-1758.

$\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$  is a group homomorphism, then  $\theta$  is an  $N$ -homomorphism if and only if  $(\gamma\theta)n = (\gamma n)\theta$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in N$ .

A subset  $K$  of a near-ring  $N$  is an ideal in  $N$  if and only if  $K$  is the kernel of a near-ring homomorphism. Thus  $K$  is an ideal in  $N$  if and only if

(i)  $K$  is an additive normal subgroup of  $N$ .

(ii)  $NK \subseteq K$ .

(iii)  $(n+k)m - nm \in K$  for all  $k \in K$  and  $m, n \in N$  [5].

If  $K$  satisfies (i) and (iii), then  $K$  is called a *right ideal* in  $N$ . If  $\Gamma$  is an  $N$ -group and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  ( $B \subseteq \Gamma$ ) then  $A(\gamma)(A(B))$  denotes the annihilating right ideal of  $\gamma(B)$ . Notice that  $A(\Gamma)$  is an ideal in  $N$  for any  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$ .

Berman and Silverman [1] have shown that every near-ring  $N$  can be expressed as the supplementary sum of its maximal sub- $O$ -ring  $N_o$  and its maximal sub- $Z$ -ring  $N_z$  where  $N_o = \{n \in N \mid 0n = 0\}$  and  $N_z = \{n \in N \mid 0n = n\}$ .

**3. The radical  $C_\lambda(N)$ .** Let  $\Gamma$  be an  $N$ -group and let  $\lambda$  ( $\geq 1$ ) be a cardinal number.  $\Gamma$  is of class  $\lambda$  if and only if for any  $\Omega$  ( $\subseteq \Gamma$ ) of cardinality not exceeding  $\lambda$  and for any function  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $\omega n = \omega f$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus if  $\Gamma$  is an  $N$ -group of class  $\lambda$  then  $\Gamma$  is of class  $\mu$  for all  $\mu \leq \lambda$ . Also, if  $\Gamma$  is of class  $\lambda = \text{ord } \Gamma$  then  $\Gamma$  is of class  $\mu$  for any cardinal number  $\mu$ .

The near-ring  $N$  is  $\lambda$ -complete if and only if  $N$  possesses an  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  of class  $\lambda$  and  $A(\Gamma) = (0)$ . The ideal  $K$  in  $N$  is  $\lambda$ -complete if and only if  $N/K$  is a  $\lambda$ -complete near-ring.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $K$  be an ideal in  $N$ .  $K$  is  $\lambda$ -complete if and only if there exists an  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  of class  $\lambda$  such that  $A(\Gamma) = K$ .

**Proof.** The proof is quite analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.6 [4].

The radical  $C_\lambda(N)$  of the near-ring  $N$  is the intersection of all annihilating ideals  $A(\Gamma)$  of  $N$ -groups  $\Gamma$  of class  $\lambda$ . If no such  $N$ -groups exist then  $C_\lambda(N) = N$ . Thus if  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , then  $C_\lambda \subseteq C_\mu$ .

**COROLLARY 1.**  $C_\lambda(N)$  is the intersection of all  $\lambda$ -complete ideals of  $N$ .

**COROLLARY 2.**  $C_\lambda(N) = (0)$  if and only if  $N$  is isomorphic to a sub-direct sum of  $\lambda$ -complete near-rings.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $\Gamma$  is an  $N$ -group of class  $\lambda$  and  $A(\Gamma) = (0)$  then  $\Gamma$  is  $N$ -isomorphic to  $N_z$ .

**Proof.** The mapping  $\theta: N \rightarrow \Gamma$  defined by  $n\theta = 0n$  is an  $N$ -homomorphism onto  $\Gamma$ . Evidently  $N_o \subseteq \text{Ker } \theta$ . If  $n \in \text{Ker } \theta$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then  $\gamma(0n) = (\gamma 0)n = 0n = 0$ . Hence  $0n \in A(\Gamma) = (0)$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is  $N$ -isomorphic to  $N^+ - N_o$ . Similarly,  $N_z$  is  $N$ -isomorphic to  $N^+ - N_o$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** The near-ring  $N$  is 1-complete if and only if  $A(N_z) = (0)$ .

The right ideal  $M$  is *modular* if and only if there exists  $e \in N$  such that  $n - en \in M$  for all  $n \in N$  [3, 4].  $M$  is  $C_\lambda$ -modular if and only if  $M$  is modular and  $N^+ - M$  is an  $N$ -group of class  $\lambda$ .

**THEOREM 3.**  $C_\lambda(N)$  is the intersection of all  $C_\lambda$ -modular right ideals of  $N$ .

**Proof.** The proof proceeds in a manner similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 [4]. The main difference is that for any  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  of class  $\lambda$ ,  $\gamma N = \Gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

If  $B, A$  are subsets of the  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  then  $(B: A)$  denotes  $\{n \in N \mid \Delta n \subseteq B\}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** The ideal  $K$  is  $\lambda$ -complete if and only if  $K = (M: N)$  where  $M$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -modular right ideal in  $N$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be a  $\lambda$ -complete ideal. By Theorem 1, there exists an  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  of class  $\lambda$  such that  $K = (0: \Gamma)$ . By Theorem 2,  $(0: \Gamma) = (0: N^+ - N_o) = (N_o: N^+)$ . Conversely, suppose  $K = (M: N)$  where  $M$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -modular right ideal. Then  $K = (0: N^+ - M)$  and by Theorem 1,  $K$  is  $\lambda$ -complete.

**COROLLARY 4.**  $C_\lambda(N) = \bigcap_M (M: N^+)$  where  $M$  varies over all  $C_\lambda$ -modular right ideals in  $N$ .

**THEOREM 5.**  $C_\lambda(N/C_\lambda(N)) = (0)$  for any near-ring  $N$ .

**Proof.** The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 2.4 [4].

The  $N$ -group  $\Gamma$  is minimal if and only if the only  $N$ -subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are  $\Gamma_o$  and  $\Gamma$ .  $\Gamma$  is essentially minimal if and only if  $\Gamma$  is minimal and  $\Gamma N \neq \{0\}$ . The radical  $J_\lambda(N)$  of  $N$  is the intersection of all annihilating ideals  $A(\Gamma)$  of essentially minimal  $N$ -groups  $\Gamma$ . If no such  $N$ -groups  $\Gamma$  exist, then  $J_\lambda(N) = N$ . Notice that if  $N_z = \{0\}$ , then the above definitions coincide with those of Betsch [3, 4].

**THEOREM 6.**  $J_2(N) \subseteq C_1(N) \cap N_o$  for any near-ring  $N$ .

**Proof.** If  $\Gamma$  is an  $N$ -group of class 1, then  $\gamma N = \Gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is a minimal  $N$ -group. Hence  $J_2(N) \subseteq C_1(N)$ . If  $N_z = \{0\}$ , then obviously  $J_2(N) \subseteq N_o$ . Otherwise  $N_z$  is an essentially minimal  $N$ -group. Thus  $n \in A(N_z)$  implies  $0n = 0$ . In either case  $J_2(N) \subseteq N_o$ .

#### 4. Examples.

(1) Let  $\Gamma$  be any additive group ( $\text{ord } \Gamma \geq 2$ ) and let  $N_1$  be the near-ring of all constant functions on  $\Gamma$  into  $\Gamma$ . Then  $C_1(N_1) = (0)$  and  $C_2(N_1) = N_1$ .

(2) Let  $\Gamma$  be the additive group of any field  $F$  and let  $N_2$  be the near-ring of all polynomials of degree 1 or less. Then  $N_2$  is 2-complete whence  $C_2(N_2) = (0)$ , while  $N_2$  is not 3-complete. Furthermore, the only non-trivial ideal in  $N_2$  is  $(N_2)_z$ . It follows that  $C_\lambda(N_2) = N_2$ .

(3) Let  $\Gamma$  be the additive group of any field  $F$  of characteristic 2. Let  $N_3$  be the near-ring of all polynomials  $xp = a_n x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x^{a_0} + a_0$  over  $F$  where  $a_i = 2^i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ). Since  $N_3$  contains all quadratic polynomials,  $N_3$  is 3-complete. Thus  $C_3(N_3) = (0)$ . However, due to the characteristic of the field, for any  $a \in F$ ,  $(a+1)p = ap + 1p + 0p$ . Thus if  $0, 1, a$  are distinct elements of  $F$  and  $f: F \rightarrow F$  has the property that

$$0f = 1, \quad 1f = 1, \quad af = 1, \quad (a+1)f = 0$$

then there exists no polynomial  $p \in N_3$  such that  $xf = xp$  ( $x = 0, 1, a, a+1$ ) since  $0p = 1, 1p = 1, ap = 1$  implies  $(a+1)p = 1+1+1 \neq 0 = (a+1)f$ . Thus  $(F, +)$  is an  $N_3$ -group which is not of class 4. Now, if  $N_3$  has an  $N_3$ -group  $\Gamma$  of class 4 such that  $A(\Gamma) = (0)$ , by Theorem 2 ( $\Gamma, +$ ) is  $N_3$ -isomorphic to  $(N_{32}, +)$ . Obviously,  $(N_{32}, +)$  is  $N_3$ -isomorphic to  $(F, +)$  which implies that  $(F, +)$  is an  $N_3$ -group of class 4. This contradiction shows that  $N_3$  is not 4-complete.

(4) Let  $\Gamma$  be the additive group of integers and let  $N_4$  be the near-ring of all constant functions on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $N_5$  be the near-ring of all functions  $f$  on  $\Gamma$  such that  $f$  is constant outside a bounded set  $\Omega_f$ . Let  $N_6$  be the near-ring of all transformations of  $\Gamma$ . It is known that  $N_6$  is simple [2].

THEOREM 7.  $N_5$  is simple.

Proof. Let  $K$  be a non-zero ideal in  $N_5$ . Then  $K$  must contain a non-zero element  $k$ . Thus there exists an integer  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\alpha_0 k = \gamma_0 \neq 0$ . If  $\bar{\gamma}$  denotes the constant function whose range is  $\{\gamma\}$ , then  $\alpha_0 k = \bar{\gamma}_0 \in K$ . Let  $m \in N_5$  be defined by:

$$\gamma m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \gamma_0, \\ \gamma_1 & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\bar{0}m = \bar{0}$  whence  $(\bar{0} + \bar{\gamma}_0)m - \bar{0}m = \bar{\gamma}_0 m \in K$ . But  $\bar{\gamma}_0 m = \bar{\gamma}_1$ . Hence  $K$  contains all constant functions. Furthermore, for any  $\beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ , let  $m_\beta, n_\delta \in N_5$  be defined by

$$\gamma m_\beta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq 3, \\ \beta & \text{if } \gamma = 3, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma n_\delta = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \delta, \\ 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \delta. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(n_\delta + \bar{2})m_\beta - n_\delta m_\beta \in K$ . But

$$\gamma[(n_\delta + \bar{2})m_\beta - n_\delta m_\beta] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \delta, \\ \beta & \text{if } \gamma = \delta. \end{cases}$$

Thus if  $f_{\beta, \delta} \in N_5$  denotes the function defined by

$$\gamma f_{\beta, \delta} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \delta, \\ \beta & \text{if } \gamma = \delta, \end{cases}$$

then  $f_{\beta, \delta} \in K$ . Now, let  $f \in N_5$ . Then there exist  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n, \gamma \in K$  such that

$$f = f_{\beta_1, \delta_1} + f_{\beta_2, \delta_2} + \dots + f_{\beta_n, \delta_n} + \bar{\gamma}.$$

Hence  $f \in K$ . Thus  $N_5$  is simple.

Let  $N$  be the direct sum  $N_4 + N_5 + N_6$  and let  $\lambda$  be any integer greater than 1. Also let  $\mu$  be any infinite cardinal. It follows then that

$$(0) = C_1(N) \subsetneq C_\lambda(N) \subsetneq C_\mu(N).$$

In fact  $C_\lambda(N)$  is equal to  $N_4$  and  $C_\mu(N)$  is equal to the direct sum  $N_4 + N_5$ .

(5) Let  $\Gamma$  be an additive group of infinite order  $\lambda$ . For every infinite cardinal  $\mu$  not exceeding  $\lambda$  let  $N_\mu$  denote the near-ring of all transformations  $f$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\text{ran } f$  contains at most  $\mu$  elements. Set  $N$  equal to the direct sum  $\sum_\mu N_\mu$  where  $\mu$  ranges over all infinite cardinals less than or equal to  $\lambda$ .

THEOREM 8.  $N_\mu$  is simple.

Proof. Let  $K$  be a non-zero ideal in  $N_\mu$ . As in the proof of Theorem 7,  $K$  contains all constant functions. Let  $f \in N_\mu$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\simeq$  on  $\Gamma$  by:

If  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  then  $\gamma_1 \simeq \gamma_2$  if and only if  $\gamma_1 f = \gamma_2 f$ . Let the  $\simeq$  equivalence classes be denoted  $A_i$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an indexing set. From each equivalence class  $A_i$  select an element  $\gamma_i$ . Let  $B = \{\gamma_i - \gamma_j \mid i, j \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Evidently the cardinality of  $B$  cannot exceed  $\mu$ . Since the theorem is true for  $\mu = \lambda$  [2] we may assume  $\mu < \lambda$ . Then there exists  $\delta \in \Gamma \setminus B$ . Define functions  $g, h: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  as follows: For any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\gamma g = \gamma_i$  where  $\gamma \in A_i$  and

$$\gamma h = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \notin \{\gamma_i + \delta \mid i \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\ \gamma_i f & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma_i + \delta. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g, h \in N_\mu$  and  $\bar{\delta} \in K$ . Hence  $(g + \bar{\delta})h - gh \in K$ . However, if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  then  $\gamma(g + \bar{\delta})h - \gamma gh = (\gamma_i + \bar{\delta})h - \gamma_i h = \gamma_i f = \gamma f$ . Thus  $f \in K$ ; i.e.,  $K = N_\mu$ .

It follows therefore if  $\aleph_0 \leq \nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \lambda$ , then  $C_{\nu_1}(N) \subsetneq C_{\nu_2}(N)$ . In fact,  $C_{\nu_i}(N)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum  $\sum_\mu N_\mu$  where  $\mu$  ranges over all infinite cardinals less than  $\nu_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

### References

- [1] G. Berman and R. J. Silverman, Near-rings, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), pp. 23-34.
- [2] — *Simplicity of near-rings of transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1959), pp. 456-459.
- [3] G. Betsch, *Struktursätze für Fastringe*, Inaugural-Dissertation, Eberhard-Karls-Universität zu Tübingen (1963).
- [4] — *Ein Radical für Fastringe*, Math. Z. 78 (1962), pp. 86-90.
- [5] D. W. Blackett, *Simple and semi-simple near-rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), pp. 772-785.
- [6] N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 37, Providence, R. I.
- [7] D. Ramakotaiah, *Radicals for near-rings*, Math. Z. 97 (1967), pp. 45-56.
- [8] A. P. J. van der Walt, *Prime ideals and nil radicals in near rings*, Arch. Math. 15 (1964), pp. 408-414.

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON  
Dayton, Ohio

*Accepté par la Rédaction le 5. 11. 1973*

---