

Note added in proof. J. Hagler (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 214 (1975), pp. 415–428) has shown that if the weight of a dyadic space S is an uncountable regular cardinal τ then S contains a subspace homeomorphic to D^τ .

References

- [1] J. M. Cohen, *The homotopy groups of inverse limits*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 27 (1973), pp. 159–177.
- [2] B. Efimov, *Dyadic bicomplexa*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. 14 (1965), 211 = Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (1965), pp. 229–267.
- [3] — *Subspaces of dyadic bicomplexa*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 185 (1969) = Soviet Math. Dokl. 10 (1969), pp. 453–456.
- [4] R. Haydon, *On a problem of Pełczyński: Milutin spaces, Dugundji spaces and $AE(0\text{-dim})$* , Studia Math. 52 (1974), pp. 23–31.
- [5] E. Michael, *Selected selection theorems*, Amer. Math. Monthly 63 (1956), pp. 233–238.
- [6] A. Pełczyński, *Linear extensions, linear averagings and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions*, Diss. Math. 58, Warszawa 1968.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
ST. GILES, OXFORD, ENGLAND

Received July 25, 1974

(870)

On absolute retracts, $P(S)$, and complemented subspaces of $\mathcal{C}(D^{\omega_1})$

by

SEYMOUR DITOR (London, Ontario)

and

RICHARD HAYDON (Oxford, U.K.)

Abstract. It is shown that, if S is a compact Hausdorff space, then the space $P(S)$ of probability measures on S is an absolute retract (in the category of compact spaces and continuous mappings) if and only if S is a Dugundji space (in Pełczyński's terminology) and the weight of S is at most ω_1 . The crucial point of the proof consists of showing that $P(\{0, 1\}^A)$ is an absolute retract if and only if the cardinality of A is at most ω_1 . As a corollary, it follows that if S is a compact Hausdorff space of weight ω_1 , then S is a Dugundji space if and only if the Banach space $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is linearly isometric to the range of a contractive projection on $\mathcal{C}(\{0, 1\}^{\omega_1})$.

1. When S is a compact (Hausdorff) space, the Banach space of all continuous real valued functions on S will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(S)$. The dual space of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ will as usual be identified with $M(S)$, the space of all Radon measures on S . We shall be particularly interested in

$$P(S) = \{\mu \in M(S) : \|\mu\| = \langle \mu, 1_S \rangle = 1\},$$

the set of all probability measures on S , which is itself a compact space under the weak topology $\sigma(M(S), \mathcal{C}(S))$. We write δ or δ_s for the canonical embedding $S \hookrightarrow P(S)$.

If $\varphi: S \hookrightarrow T$ is a continuous injection, and $\varrho: T \rightarrow S$ is a continuous mapping satisfying $\varrho \circ \varphi = 1_S$, where 1_S is the identity mapping on S , we say that ϱ is a *retraction* for φ , and that S is a *retract* of T . A compact space S is an *absolute retract* (AR) if every continuous injection $S \hookrightarrow T$ allows a retraction. The question dealt with in this paper, namely that of characterizing those S for which $P(S)$ is an AR, arose out of some problems posed by Pełczyński in [8], concerning extension operators and averaging operators on spaces of continuous functions.

A linear operator $u: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ is called *regular* if u is continuous with $\|u\| = 1$ and $u(1_S) = 1_T$, where 1_S denotes, of course, the function that is identically 1 on the space S . Equivalently, u is regular if and only if the transpose u' takes $P(T)$ into $P(S)$. If $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$ is a continuous mapping, a regular operator $\hat{\varphi}^0: \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ is defined by $\hat{\varphi}^0(g) = g \circ \varphi$ ($g \in \mathcal{C}(T)$). Restricting the transpose $(\hat{\varphi}^0)'$ to the set $P(S)$ gives us a continuous map $\hat{\varphi}: P(S) \rightarrow P(T)$. In the particular case where φ is a continuous injection,

φ^0 is a surjection. A regular right inverse for φ^0 (i.e. a regular operator $u: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ with $u(f) \circ \varphi = f(f \in \mathcal{C}(S))$), if one exists, is called a *regular extension operator* (r.e.o.). If, on the other hand, φ is a surjection; φ^0 is an injection and a regular left inverse for φ^0 , if one exists, is a *regular averaging operator* (r.a.o.). Pełczyński [8] defined a *Dugundji space* to be a compact space S such that every continuous injection $\varphi: S \hookrightarrow T$ allows an r.e.o. It is enough that some continuous injection $S \hookrightarrow I^A$ should allow an r.e.o., where I is the unit interval $[0, 1]$ and A is some index set. If, for some A , there is a continuous surjection $I^A \twoheadrightarrow S$ that allows an r.a.o., where D is the two-point space $\{0, 1\}$, S is said to be a *Milutin space*.

In what follows, we shall always identify a cardinal with the corresponding initial ordinal; ω and ω_1 will denote, respectively, the first infinite cardinal and the first uncountable cardinal. The weight $w(S)$ of a space S is the smallest cardinality of a base for the topology of S . When S is compact, we can always embed S in $I^{w(S)}$.

2. THEOREM 1. *The compact space $P(D^{\omega_1})$ is an absolute retract.*

Proof. We can represent $P(D^{\omega_1})$ as an inverse limit

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} (P(D^\alpha), \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha, \beta})_{\alpha < \beta < \omega_1},$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha, \beta}: P(D^\beta) \rightarrow P(D^\alpha)$ ($\alpha < \beta$) is the mapping induced by the projection

$$\pi_{\alpha, \beta}: D^\beta \rightarrow D^\alpha.$$

(We are, of course, identifying the ordinal α as a subset of the ordinal β .)

To prove $P(D^{\omega_1})$ is AR we shall show that, whenever $\varphi: S \hookrightarrow T$ is a continuous injection, and $\psi: S \rightarrow P(D^{\omega_1})$ is a continuous mapping, there is a continuous $\theta: T \rightarrow P(D^{\omega_1})$ with $\theta \circ \varphi = \psi$. Such a map θ will be determined if we obtain a family $(\theta_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of continuous mappings

$$\theta_\alpha: T \rightarrow P(D^\alpha) \quad \text{with} \quad \theta_\alpha = \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha, \beta} \circ \theta_\beta \quad (\alpha < \beta < \omega_1)$$

and

$$\theta_\alpha \circ \varphi = \tilde{\pi}_\alpha \circ \psi,$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha: P(D^{\omega_1}) \rightarrow P(D^\alpha)$ is defined in the same way as $\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha, \beta}$.

We construct the family (θ_α) by transfinite induction. With $\alpha = 0$, the situation is trivial, $P(D^0)$ being a one-point set, so that θ_0 is determined. Suppose now that θ_α has been defined for all $\alpha \leq \beta$. We consider the set-valued mapping

$$\Theta_\beta: T \rightarrow pP(D^{\beta+1})$$

defined by

$$\Theta_\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \{\tilde{\pi}_{\beta+1} \psi(s)\} & \text{if } t = \varphi(s) \in \varphi[S], \\ \tilde{\pi}_{\beta+1}^{-1}(\theta_\beta(t)) & \text{if } t \notin \varphi[S]. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact (Section 4 of (2)) that $\tilde{\pi}_{\beta, \beta+1}$ is an open mapping, we can check that Θ_β is, in Michael's terminology, a lower semicontinuous carrier. Moreover, $P(D^{\beta+1})$ is compact, convex and metrizable (β is countable), and each $\Theta_\beta(t)$ is a compact convex subset. So by Theorem 1.2 of [7] there is a continuous mapping

$$\theta_{\beta+1}: T \rightarrow P(D^{\beta+1})$$

with

$$\theta_{\beta+1}(t) \in \Theta_\beta(t) \quad (t \in T).$$

Finally, if γ is a limit ordinal, and a consistent family $(\theta_\alpha)_{\alpha < \gamma}$ has been defined already, we note that we can identify $P(D^\gamma)$ with $\varprojlim P(D^\alpha)$, $\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha, \beta})_{\alpha < \beta < \gamma}$. So the maps θ_α do already determine the required map

$$\theta_\gamma: T \rightarrow P(D^\gamma).$$

Note. Alternatively, we could notice that, by the inverse limit representation used above, $P(D^{\omega_1})$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 of [4]. Thus, as a *convex Dugundji space*, it is AR (cf. the discussion in Section 2 of [4]).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let S be a compact space and suppose $P(S)$ is an AR. Then S is a Dugundji space.*

Proof. Let $\varphi: S \hookrightarrow T$ be a continuous injection. Then there exists a continuous map $\theta: T \rightarrow P(S)$ with $\theta \circ \varphi = \delta_S$. Define $u: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$ by $(u(f))(t) = \langle \theta(t), f \rangle$ ($f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, $t \in T$). Then u is a regular extension operator for φ .

The next proposition, which we shall need in order to prove the second theorem of this section, uses methods similar to some employed by Amir and Arbel [1] and McDonald [6]. For convenience, we shall use some category theory terminology from [9]. The Banach spaces $\mathcal{C}(S)$ may be regarded as objects in either the category **Ban**₁, where the morphisms are linear contractions, or **Befd**, where the morphisms are regular operators. Thus, to say that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a **Ban**₁-retract (resp. a **Befd**-retract) of $\mathcal{C}(T)$ is just to say that there are linear contractions (resp. regular operators)

$$j: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T), \quad p: \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S),$$

with $p \circ j = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$. If S is a Milutin space, then $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a **Befd**-retract of $\mathcal{C}(D^A)$ for some A .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let S, T be compact spaces and suppose that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a **Ban**₁-retract of $\mathcal{C}(T)$. Then there is a closed G_δ subset T_0 of T such that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a **Befd**-retract of $\mathcal{C}(T_0)$.*

Proof. There exist, by hypothesis, linear contractions $j: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T)$, $p: \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ with $p \circ j = \iota_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$. We start by defining

$$T_+ = \{t \in T: (j(1_S))(t) = +1\},$$

$$T_- = \{t \in T: (j(1_S))(t) = -1\},$$

$$T_0 = T_+ \cup T_-.$$

So T_0 is certainly a closed G_δ subset of T .

Since j is evidently isometric, the transpose j' takes the unit ball $M(T)$ onto ball $M(S)$. By the Krein-Mil'man theorem, for each extreme point μ of ball $M(S)$, there is an extreme point ν of ball $M(T)$ with $j'(\nu) = \mu$. Recalling that the extreme points of ball $M(T)$ are exactly the measures $\pm \delta(t)$, we see that for each $s \in S$, there is $t \in T$ with $j' \delta(t) = \pm \delta(s)$. Such a point t is necessarily in T_0 , so we see that j' takes ball $M(T_0)$ (identified as a subset of $M(T)$) onto ball $M(S)$. Thus

$$j_0: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T_0),$$

defined by $j_0(f) = j(f)|_{T_0}$ is isometric and

$$|j_0(1_S)| = 1_{T_0}.$$

Let us define $k: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T_0)$ by

$$(k(f))(t) = \begin{cases} (j_0(f))(t) & (t \in T_+), \\ -(j_0(f))(t) & (t \in T_-). \end{cases}$$

Then k is a regular isometric embedding.

We now assert that

$$p: \mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$$

factors through the restriction mapping $\mathcal{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(T_0)$. It will be enough to prove that each measure $p' \delta(s) \in M(T)$ is supported by T_0 . If $\mu = p' \delta(s)$, then

$$\langle \mu, j(1_S) \rangle = \langle p j(1_S) \rangle(s) = 1.$$

That is to say,

$$\int (j(1_S)) d\mu = 1, \quad \text{while} \quad \|\mu\| \leq 1$$

and $|j(1_S)| \leq 1$. Thus

$$|\mu|(T_0) = |\mu|\{t \in T: |(j(1_S))(t)| = 1\} = 1,$$

as required. So $p_0: \mathcal{C}(T_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ is well defined by $p_0(f) = p(g)$ if $g \in \mathcal{C}(T)$ and $g|_{T_0} = f$. Let us finally define $q: \mathcal{C}(T_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$ by

$$q(f) = p_0(f \cdot |j(1_S)|).$$

Then q is regular and $q \circ k = \iota_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$.

COROLLARY 1. Let S be a compact space and suppose that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a Ban_1 -retract of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^A)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a Bcf_d -retract of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^A)$.

Proof. If A is countable, S is necessarily metrizable, hence a Milutin space ([8], Theorem 5.6), so that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a Bcf_d -retract of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^A)$. If A is uncountable, the result follows immediately from Proposition 2 when we recall that every closed G_δ subset of \mathbf{D}^A is homeomorphic to \mathbf{D}^A ([3], Theorem 6).

THEOREM 2. Let S be a compact space and suppose that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a Ban_1 -retract of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1})$. Then $P(S)$ is an absolute retract and S is a Dugundji space.

Proof. By Corollary 1, there exist regular operators

$$k: \mathcal{C}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1}),$$

$$q: \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S),$$

with $q \circ k = \iota_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$. Passing to the adjoints, we have

$$q': P(S) \rightarrow P(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1}),$$

$$k': P(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1}) \rightarrow P(S),$$

with $k' \circ q' = \iota_{P(S)}$. Thus $P(S)$ is a retract of $P(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1})$, and so, by Theorem 1, an absolute retract. Proposition 2 tells us that S is a Dugundji space.

COROLLARY 2. Let S be a compact space with $w(S) \leq \omega_1$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) S is a Dugundji space;
- (b) S is a Milutin space;
- (c) $P(S)$ is an absolute retract.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) follows from the results of [4],

(b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (a) from Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3. Let S be a non-metrizable compact space, and suppose that $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a Ban_1 -retract of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1})$. Then $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1})$.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.7 of [5], when we note that, by Theorem 2, S is a Dugundji space of weight ω_1 .

3. THEOREM 3. If $\text{card } A$ exceeds ω_1 , $P(\mathbf{D}^A)$ is not an absolute retract.

Proof. Let us suppose, if possible, that $\text{card } A > \omega_1$ and that $P(\mathbf{D}^A)$ is an absolute retract. The weight of $P(\mathbf{D}^A)$ is $\text{card } A$, so there is a continuous injection

$$\varphi: P(\mathbf{D}^A) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^A.$$

Let ϱ be a retraction for φ .

When $B \subseteq C \subseteq A$, let us agree to write $\pi_{B,C}$ (resp. $\tilde{\pi}_{B,C}$) for the canonical mappings $I^C \rightarrow I^B$ (resp. $P(D^C) \rightarrow P(D^B)$). Let us write $\pi_B, \tilde{\pi}_B$ for $\pi_{B,A}, \tilde{\pi}_{B,A}$, respectively.

We shall say that $B \subseteq A$ is a *factorization set* for φ and ϱ if

- (i) $\pi_B \circ \varphi: P(D^A) \rightarrow I^B$ factors through $\tilde{\pi}_B$, and
- (ii) $\tilde{\pi}_B \circ \varrho: I^A \rightarrow P(D^B)$ factors through π_B .

If B is a factorization set, there are continuous mappings $\varphi_B: P(D^B) \rightarrow I^B$, $\varrho_B: I^B \rightarrow P(D^B)$ with $\varrho_B \circ \varphi_B = \iota_{P(D^B)}$ and such that the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P(D^A) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & I^A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P(D^A) \\
 \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_B & & \downarrow \pi_B & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_B \\
 P(D^B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & I^B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P(D^B)
 \end{array}$$

We need the following lemmas to guarantee the existence of such sets.

LEMMA 1. *Let θ be a continuous map from I^A (resp. $P(D^A)$) into X . Then there is a subset E of A with $\text{card } E \leq w(X)$ such that θ factors through π_E (resp. $\tilde{\pi}_E$).*

Proof. The assertion is evidently trivial if the image of θ contains only one point. Otherwise, since the image of θ is connected and non-trivial, the weight of X is infinite. It is easy to see by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem (cf. [9], 7.3.13) that every $f \in \mathcal{C}(I^A)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{C}(P(D^A))$) factors through π_C (resp. $\tilde{\pi}_C$) for some countable $C \subseteq A$. Let $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}(X)$ be a set which separates the points of X and which has cardinality $w(X)$. Then there is a subset E of A with cardinality $\omega \cdot w(X) = w(X)$ and such that, for each $f \in F$, $f \circ \theta$ factors through π_E (resp. $\tilde{\pi}_E$).

LEMMA 2. *With the notation of Theorem 3, let H be an infinite subset of A . Then there is a factorization set B with $H \subseteq B$ and $\text{card } B = \text{card } H$.*

Proof. Let us write κ for $\text{card } H$ and define subsets $B(n)$ of A inductively. We put $B(0) = H$. Suppose that we have defined $B(0) \subseteq B(1) \subseteq \dots \subseteq B(n)$ and that $\text{card } B(n) = \kappa$. Then $w(I^{B(n)}) = \kappa$ and $w(P(D^{B(n)})) = \kappa$, so that, by Lemma 1, there are subsets E, F of A with $\text{card } E = \text{card } F = \kappa$, such that

$$\pi_{B(n)} \circ \varphi \text{ factors through } \tilde{\pi}_E$$

and

$$\tilde{\pi}_{B(n)} \circ \varrho \text{ factors through } \pi_F.$$

Let us put $B(n+1) = B(n) \cup E \cup F$.

Finally, we take B to be $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B(n)$ and assert that B is a factorization

set. To show that $\pi_B \circ \varphi$ factors through $\tilde{\pi}_B$, we suppose that $\mu, \nu \in P(D^A)$ and that $\pi_B \varphi(\mu) \neq \pi_B \varphi(\nu)$. Then, for some n , $\pi_{B(n)} \varphi(\mu) \neq \pi_{B(n)} \varphi(\nu)$. So, by construction, $\tilde{\pi}_{B(n+1)}(\mu) \neq \tilde{\pi}_{B(n+1)}(\nu)$, and $\tilde{\pi}_B(\mu) \neq \tilde{\pi}_B(\nu)$. A similar argument shows that $\pi_B \circ \varrho$ factors through π_B .

Proof of Theorem 3 (continued). By Lemma 2, there exists a factorization set $B \subseteq A$ with $\text{card } B = \omega_1$. Let us choose a point $a \in A \setminus B$ and a countably infinite subset C of B . Then there is a countable factorization set E with $C \cup \{a\} \subseteq E$. We note that $F = B \cap E$ is countably infinite, and it is easy to see that F is also a factorization set. If $\varphi_B, \varrho_B, \varphi_E, \varrho_E, \varphi_F$ are defined as in the discussion at the start of the proof, then the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\pi}_{F,B} \circ \varrho_B &= \varrho_F \circ \pi_{F,B}, & \pi_{F,B} \circ \varphi_B &= \varphi_F \circ \tilde{\pi}_{F,B}, \\
 \tilde{\pi}_{F,E} \circ \varrho_E &= \varrho_F \circ \pi_{F,E}, & \pi_{F,E} \circ \varphi_E &= \varphi_F \circ \tilde{\pi}_{F,E}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let Q denote the set

$$\{(\mu, \nu) \in P(D^B) \times P(D^E): \tilde{\pi}_{F,B}(\mu) = \tilde{\pi}_{F,E}(\nu)\}.$$

If $(\mu, \nu) \in Q$,

$$\pi_{F,B} \varphi_B(\mu) = \varphi_F \tilde{\pi}_{F,B}(\mu) = \varphi_F \tilde{\pi}_{F,E}(\nu) = \pi_{F,E} \varphi_E(\nu).$$

So there is a (uniquely determined) element z of $I^{B \cup E}$ with

$$\pi_{B,B \cup E}(z) = \varphi_B(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{E,B \cup E}(z) = \varphi_E(\nu).$$

We can define a continuous map $\psi: Q \rightarrow I^{B \cup E}$ by $\psi(\mu, \nu) = z$, as above. On the other hand, if $z \in I^{B \cup E}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\pi}_{F,B} \varrho_B \pi_{B,B \cup E}(z) &= \varrho_F \pi_{F,B} \pi_{B,B \cup E}(z) \\
 &= \varrho_F \pi_{F,B \cup E}(z) = \tilde{\pi}_{F,E} \varrho_E \pi_{E,B \cup E}(z),
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\sigma(z)$, defined to be $(\varrho_B \pi_{B,B \cup E}(z), \varrho_E \pi_{E,B \cup E}(z))$, is in Q . We can now draw a commutative diagram of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P(D^A) & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & I^A & \xrightarrow{\quad \varrho \quad} & P(D^A) \\
 \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \pi_{B \cup E} & & \downarrow \psi \\
 Q & \xrightarrow{\quad \psi \quad} & I^{B \cup E} & \xrightarrow{\quad \sigma \quad} & Q
 \end{array}$$

Here σ and ψ are as defined above and $p(\lambda) = (\tilde{\pi}_B(\lambda), \tilde{\pi}_E(\lambda))$. We have $\varrho \circ \varphi = \iota_{P(D^A)}$ and $\sigma \circ \psi = \iota_Q$, so that, by Lemma 1 of [4], p is an

open mapping. To finish the proof with a contradiction we need just one more lemma, which tells us that p is not open.

LEMMA 3. Let R, S, T be compact spaces, with $\text{card} R, \text{card} T \geq 2$ and $\text{card} S \geq \omega$.

Let the mapping

$$p: P(R \times S \times T) \rightarrow Q$$

$$= \{(\mu, \nu): \mu \in P(R \times S), \nu \in P(S \times T) \text{ and } \tilde{\Pi}_S(\mu) = \tilde{\Pi}_S(\nu)\}$$

be defined by $p(\lambda) = (\tilde{\Pi}_{R \times S}(\lambda), \tilde{\Pi}_{S \times T}(\lambda))$, where $\tilde{\Pi}_S, \tilde{\Pi}_{R \times S}$ and $\tilde{\Pi}_{S \times T}$ have the obvious interpretations. Then p is not an open mapping.

Proof. Choose an accumulation point s_0 of S and distinct points $r_0, r_1 \in R$ and $t_0, t_1 \in T$.

Define $\lambda \in P(R \times S \times T)$ to be

$$\frac{1}{2}(\delta(r_0, s_0, t_0) + \delta(r_1, s_0, t_1)).$$

Choose disjoint open neighbourhoods U_0, U_1 of r_0, r_1 in R and W_0, W_1 of t_0, t_1 in T , and let V be the neighbourhood of λ in $P(R \times S \times T)$ defined by

$$V = \{\lambda': \lambda'((U_0 \times S \times W_0) \cup (U_1 \times S \times W_1)) > 0\}.$$

Now $p(\lambda) = (\mu, \nu)$, where

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(r_0, s_0) + \delta(r_1, s_0))$$

and

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(s_0, t_0) + \delta(s_0, t_1)).$$

Since s_0 is an accumulation point of S , any neighbourhood of (μ, ν) in Q contains points (μ', ν') , where

$$\mu' = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(r_0, s_0) + \delta(r_1, s_1)),$$

$$\nu' = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(s_1, t_0) + \delta(s_0, t_1)),$$

and s_1 is distinct from s_0 (but lies in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of it). The unique $\lambda' \in P(R \times S \times T)$ for which $p(\lambda') = (\mu', \nu')$ is

$$\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(r_0, s_0, t_1) + \delta(r_1, s_1, t_0)),$$

which is not in V . Thus $p[V]$ is not a neighbourhood of $p(\lambda)$ and p is not an open mapping.

THEOREM 4. Let S be a compact space. The space $P(S)$ is an absolute retract if and only if S is a Dugundji space and $w(S) \leq \omega_1$.

Proof. If S is a Dugundji space and $w(S) \leq \omega_1$, then $P(S)$ is AR by Corollary 2. On the other hand, suppose that $P(S)$ is an absolute retract. Then S is a Dugundji space by Proposition 1. If $w(S)$ were to exceed ω_1 , then by Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 6.3 of [5], there would be a conti-

nuous injection $\varphi: D^{\omega_2} \rightarrow S$. Now D^{ω_2} is a Dugundji space ([8], Theorem 6.6), so there would be a regular extension operator u for φ . We should have

$$\tilde{\varphi}: P(D^{\omega_2}) \rightarrow P(S)$$

and

$$u': P(S) \rightarrow P(D^{\omega_2})$$

with $u' \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \iota_{P(D^{\omega_2})}$. Thus $P(D^{\omega_2})$ would be a retract of $P(S)$, and hence an absolute retract, contradicting Theorem 3.

References

- [1] D. Amir and B. Arbel, *On injections and surjections of continuous function spaces*, Israel J. Math. 15 (1973), pp. 301–310.
- [2] S. Z. Ditor and L. Q. Eifler, *Some open mapping theorems for measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 164 (1972), pp. 287–293.
- [3] B. Efimov, *Dyadic bicompa*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obse. 14 (1965) 211 = Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (1965), pp. 229–267.
- [4] R. Haydon, *On a problem of Pełczyński: Milutin spaces, Dugundji spaces and $AE(0\text{-dim})$* , Studia Math. 52 (1974), pp. 23–31.
- [5] — *Embedding D^* in Dugundji spaces, with an application to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions* ibid. 56 (1976), pp. 31–44.
- [6] J. M. McDonald, *Isometries of function algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 69 (1973), pp. 579–583.
- [7] E. Michael, *A selection theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), pp. 1404–1406.
- [8] A. Pełczyński, *Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions*, Diss. Math. 58, Warszawa 1968.
- [9] Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions*, Monografie Matematyczne 55, Warszawa 1971.

Received July 25, 1974

(871)