

	Pages
G. KRABBE, An extension of Duhamel's integral to differential equations involving generalized functions; the steady-state solution	1-35
P. LEGIŠA, Well embedded Hilbert subspaces in C^* -algebras	37-40
A. MAKAGON and A. WERON, q -variate minimal stationary processes	41-52
W. FISCHER and U. SCHÖLER, The range of vector measures into Orlicz spaces	53-61
D. LEPINGLE, Quelques inégalités concernant les martingales	63-83
N. R. LADHAWALA and D. C. PANKRATZ, Almost everywhere convergence of Walsh Fourier series of \mathcal{H}^1 -functions	85-92
C. P. CALDERÓN, On parabolic Marcinkiewicz integrals	93-105

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

Managing editors: Z. Ciesielski, W. Orlicz (*Editor-in-Chief*),

A. Pełczyński, W. Żelazko

The journal prints original papers in English, French, German, and Russian, mainly on functional analysis, abstract methods of mathematical analysis and on the theory of probabilities. Usually 3 issues constitute a volume.

The papers submitted should be typed on one side only and they should be accompanied by abstracts, normally not exceeding 200 words in length. The authors are requested to send two copies, one of them being the typed, not Xerox copy. Authors are advised to retain a copy of the paper submitted for publication.

Manuscripts and the correspondence concerning the editorial work should be addressed to

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

ul. Śniadeckich 8

00-950 Warszawa, Poland

Correspondence concerning exchange should be addressed to

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

ul. Śniadeckich 8

00-950 Warszawa, Poland

The journal is available at your bookseller or at

ARS POLONA

Krakowskie Przedmieście 7

00-068 Warszawa, Poland

PRINTED IN POLAND

An extension of Duhamel's integral to differential equations involving generalized functions; the steady-state solution

by

GREGERS KRABBE (Lafayette, Indiana, U. S. A.)

Abstract. The two-sided algebraic operational calculus is applied to differential equations of the form

$$a_0 y + a_1 y' + \dots + a_m y^{(m)} = b_0 F + b_1 F' + \dots + b_n F^{(n)},$$

where F is a Schwartz distribution of unbounded support, arbitrary growth, and arbitrary order; the coefficients are also arbitrary. Duhamel's integral has lower limit $= -\infty$.

Let Ω be a fixed open sub-interval (such that $0 \in \Omega$) of the real line. Let \mathfrak{P} be the space of functions which are piecewise continuous in Ω . We shall define a linear injection $f \mapsto \{f\}$ of \mathfrak{P} into a space \mathcal{X} of generalized functions. If $B \in \mathcal{X}$, then B has an *initial value* $[B]_0$ and a *derivative* ∂B ; if $f \in \mathfrak{P}$, then $\{f\}_0 = f(0-)$; if also $f' \in \mathfrak{P}$, then $\partial\{f\} = \{f'\}$ when the function f is continuous in the open interval Ω . If f and g are continuous (in Ω), then $\partial\{f\} = \{g\}$ if (and only if) $f' = g$.

Often, the components of a physical system are governed by an input-output differential equation of the form

$$(1) \quad a_0 y + a_1 \partial y + \dots + a_m \partial^m y = b_0 F + b_1 \partial F + \dots + b_n \partial^n F,$$

where $F \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\partial^k F \in \mathcal{X}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$; both (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m) and (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) are sequences of complex numbers; the input F could be a distribution with locally finite support and (possibly) infinite order, F could be an arbitrary series of impulses (Dirac deltas) going from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The steady-state solution of such equations is the main concern of this paper.

Given any sequence c_k ($0 \leq k < m$) of complex numbers, we shall prove the existence of a unique solution y of (1) such that $[\partial^k y]_0 = c_k$ for $0 \leq k < m$; this solution is easily obtainable by our operational calculus and is given explicitly by the simple equation in 6.1.

Let Ω be an interval of the form $(-\infty, \beta)$ with $0 < \beta \leq \infty$; further, let μ and P be the polynomials

$$\mu(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_m z^m$$

and

$$P(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_n z^n;$$

Equation (1) can therefore be written $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F$. In 9.12 we define the *steady-state* solution $[P(\partial)/\mu(\partial)]F$ of equation (1); the generalized function F can be a series of impulses; in case $n < m$ and $F = \{f\}$ (with f piecewise continuous) then

$$\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)}\{f\} = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x)f(x)dx \right\} \quad (\text{Duhamel's integral}),$$

where G is the inverse Laplace transform of the rational function P/μ . In contrast to the classical situation, no difficulty arises when $n \geq m$. Until further notice, suppose that $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$ and let all the roots of the polynomial μ have negative real parts. If a is a complex number with real part $= 0$, then $[P(\partial)/\mu(\partial)]\{e^{at}\}$ equals the *frequency response* $[P(a)/\mu(a)]\{e^{at}\}$. If the Fourier-transform procedure can be applied to obtain a solution of (1), then this solution y equals $[P(\partial)/\mu(\partial)]F$ (see 8.6); however, the inverse Fourier transform can fail to exist in the simplest cases: see Example 10.5, where $m = 1 = n$ and $F = \{f\}$ with $f(t) = e^t$ for $t < 0$ (but $f(t) = e^{2t}$ for $t > 0$).

1.1. Organization. Motivation and background are found in Sections 6 and 8 (entitled "The principal objective of this paper"). Section 9 is entitled "The main theorem"; Section 10 is devoted to applications; Section 11 is entitled "A generalization of Duhamel's integral". Some of the less easy proofs are found in Sections 12–15.

2. THE OPERATIONAL CALCULUS

Henceforth, Ω is an open sub-interval (α_1, β) of the real line: we suppose that $-\infty \leq \alpha_1 < 0 < \beta \leq \infty$. We shall denote by $L^{loc}(\Omega)$ the family of all the functions which are integrable in every compact sub-interval of the open interval Ω .

2.1. The space of test-functions. Let W be the linear space of all the infinitely differentiable functions w such that $w^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for every integer $k \geq 0$. As usual, $w^{(k)}$ is the k th derivative of the function w .

2.2. DEFINITIONS. An *operator* is a linear mapping of W into W . If A is an operator, we denote by $A \cdot w$ the function that the mapping A assigns to w . As usual, the product $A_1 A_2$ of two operators is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad A_1 A_2 \cdot w = A_1 \cdot (A_2 \cdot w) \quad (\text{for all } w \text{ in } W).$$

We denote by D the operator which assigns to each test-function its derivative:

$$(2.4) \quad D \cdot w = w' \quad (\text{for all } w \text{ in } W).$$

If $f \in L^{loc}(\Omega)$, we denote by $\{f\}$ the mapping that assigns to each test-function w the function

$$\int_0^t f(t-x)w'(x)dx.$$

The mapping $\{f\}$ is an operator, called the *operator* of the function f (see [10], 1.28).

We denote by \mathcal{A} the family of all the operators A such that

$$A\{w\} = \{A \cdot w\} \quad \text{for all } w \text{ in } W.$$

2.5. The space \mathcal{A} is a commutative algebra (see [10], 1.38).

2.6. The transformation $f \mapsto \{f\}$ is a linear injection of $L^{loc}(\Omega)$ into the linear space \mathcal{A} :

$$(2.7) \quad \{f_1\} = \{f_2\} \quad \text{implies} \quad f_1 = f_2;$$

of course, the equation $f_1 = f_2$ means that the functions f_1 and f_2 are equal almost-everywhere in the interval Ω (see [10], 1.34).

2.8. Notation. Given an operator B , let $\Omega(B)$ be the set of all the points τ in Ω such that the equations

$$(2) \quad f(\tau-) = f(\tau) = f(\tau+) \quad \text{and} \quad \{f\} = B$$

hold for some function f in $L^{loc}(\Omega)$. If $\tau \in \Omega(B)$ there exists a function f in $L^{loc}(\Omega)$ such that (2) holds; the number $f(\tau)$ depends only on B and τ (this follows directly from 2.6): we can therefore set $B(\tau) = f(\tau)$. We shall denote by $B(t)$ the function $\tau \mapsto B(\tau)$ which assigns the number $B(\tau)$ to any point τ in $\Omega(B)$.

2.9. DEFINITION. An operator B will be called *functionable* if the function $B(t)$ is defined somewhere (that is, if the set $\Omega(B)$ is not void).

2.10. If B is functionable, then $B(t) \in L^{loc}(\Omega)$ and $B = \{B(t)\}$.

2.11. If $B = \{f\}$ and $f \in L^{loc}(\Omega)$, then $B(t) = f$; moreover, if $f(\tau-) = f(\tau) = f(\tau+)$ for $\tau \in \Omega$, then $B(\tau) = f(\tau)$.

2.12. If $B = \{f\}$ and if f is continuous in Ω , then $B(\tau) = f(\tau)$ for $\tau \in \Omega$.

2.13. The operators \wedge and T_a . We shall denote by \wedge the operator of the unit ramp function; from 2.11 it therefore follows that

$$\wedge(\tau) = \tau \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \in \Omega.$$

If $a \geq 0$ we denote by T_a the operator of the characteristic function of the interval (a, ∞) ; from 2.11 it therefore follows that

$$(2.14) \quad T_a(\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } \tau < a, \\ 1 & \text{when } \tau > a. \end{cases}$$

If $a < 0$ we set $T_a = \{-T_0(a-t)\}$.

2.15. The unit impulse DT_x . If $x \in \Omega$, then the operator DT_x is not functionable (it is the unit impulse applied at the point x : see [10], 4.5). The operator D was defined in (2.4).

2.16. The unit constant $\mathbf{1}$. We denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the unit constant (defined by $\mathbf{1}(\tau) = 1$ for $\tau \in \Omega$); if c is a complex number, then $c\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function c ; if A is an operator, then cA is the product $\{c\mathbf{1}\}A$; moreover, $\{\mathbf{1}\}$ is the multiplicative unit of the algebra \mathcal{A} :

$$(2.16.1) \quad A\{\mathbf{1}\} = A = \{\mathbf{1}\}A.$$

2.17. An operator is *invertible* if the equation $AB = \{\mathbf{1}\}$ holds for some element B in \mathcal{A} . If A is an invertible element of \mathcal{A} , there exists a unique element A^{-1} of \mathcal{A} such that $AA^{-1} = \{\mathbf{1}\} = A^{-1}A$.

2.18. The operator D is an invertible element of \mathcal{A} ; in fact,

$$(2.19) \quad D\wedge = \{\mathbf{1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad D^{-1} = \wedge = \{t\}.$$

Therefore, $DB\wedge = \{\mathbf{1}\}B = B$ for any B in \mathcal{A} . Equations (2.19) are proved in [10], 2.6 (also, in 12.20).

2.20. THEOREM. If B_1 and B_2 are functionable, then $B_1 \wedge B_2$ is functionable and

$$(2.21) \quad B_1 \wedge B_2 = \left\{ \int_0^t B_1(t-x)B_2(x)dx \right\}.$$

See 12.2. From 2.11 it follows that

$$(2.22) \quad [B_1 \wedge B_2](t) = \int_0^t B_1(t-x)B_2(x)dx.$$

In particular,

$$[B_1 \wedge B](\tau) = - \int_{\tau}^0 B_1(\tau-x)B_2(x)dx$$

for τ almost-everywhere in the interval $\Omega \cap (-\infty, 0)$; consequently, the function $[B_1 \wedge B_2](t)$ is not the usual convolution.

3. THE DERIVATIVE

3.1. DEFINITIONS. An operator A is said to *agree with a function f on an interval J* if

$$A \cdot w(\tau) = \int_0^{\tau} f(\tau-x)w'(x)dx \quad \text{for } \tau \in J \text{ and any } w \text{ in } W.$$

3.2. If $f \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, this means that

$$[A \cdot w](\tau) = [\{f\} \cdot w](\tau) \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} \tau \in J, \\ w \in W. \end{cases}$$

3.3. We shall write $A \supset f$ (or $f \subset A$) to indicate the existence of a number $a < 0$ such that A agrees with the function f on the open interval $(a, 0)$.

3.4. Let $[\mathcal{X}]$ be the family of all the functions which are piecewise continuous in some open interval of the form $(x, 0)$. Thus, if $h \in [\mathcal{X}]$, then the limit

$$h(0-) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} h(\tau) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau < 0$$

exists, and there is a number $a < 0$ such that h is continuous in the open interval $(a, 0)$. Piecewise continuity is called *sectional* continuity in [4], p. 5.

We shall denote by \mathcal{X} the family of operators A in \mathcal{A} such that the relation $A \supset h$ holds for some function h in $[\mathcal{X}]$.

3.5. The derivative. If $A \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a unique number $[A]_0$ with the following property: the equation $[A]_0 = h(0-)$ holds for some function h in $[\mathcal{X}]$ with $h \subset A$ (see [10], 5.0); we set

$$(3.6) \quad \partial A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} DA - [A]_0 D.$$

3.7. Thus, if $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \supset h$ for some element h of $[\mathcal{X}]$, then $[A]_0 = h(0-)$.

3.8. Suppose that $f \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and $f \in [\mathcal{X}]$; since $\{f\} \supset f$, it follows from 3.5-3.7 that $[\{f\}]_0 = f(0-)$ and

$$(3.9) \quad \partial \{f\} = D\{f\} - f(0-)D.$$

Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{X}$; if ∂B is functionable, then it is the operator of the derivative of the function $B(t)$; thus, $B'(t) = \partial B(t)$ (see 13.21).

3.10. Clearly, $\partial T_x = DT_x$ for all real values of x (see (3.9) and 2.13).

3.11. Suppose that $f \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and let f' be continuous in Ω except possibly on a locally finite subset of Ω ; further, suppose that $f' \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$.

Therefore, f is continuous in some open sub-interval of the form $(\alpha, 0) \cap \Omega$; since $f' \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, it also follows the existence of a limit $f(0-)$; consequently, $f \in [\mathcal{X}]$. If this function f is continuous in Ω , then $\partial\{f\} = \{f'\}$ (see [10], 5.5).

3.12. Let g be a function such that both g and g' are continuous in Ω except possibly on a locally finite subset of Ω ; further, suppose that $g' \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. If so, then $g \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\partial\{g\} = \{g'\} + \sum_{\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} [g(\alpha+) - g(\alpha-)] D\Gamma_{\alpha};$$

this equation can be proved by observing that the equation

$$f = g - \sum_{\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} [g(\alpha+) - g(\alpha-)] \chi(\alpha, \infty)$$

(where $\chi(\alpha, \infty)$ is the characteristic function of the interval (α, ∞)) defines a continuous function f satisfying 3.11. The proof requires the definition of convergence given in [10].

3.13. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of operators of functions satisfying 3.12. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and if $A(t)$ is continuous in Ω it follows from 3.12 that

$$(3.14) \quad \partial A = \{A'(t)\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} A(t) \right\}.$$

The correspondence $A \mapsto \partial A$ (of \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{A}) is the only linear mapping such that (3.14) holds (when $A(t)$ is continuous) and $\partial \Gamma_x = D\Gamma_x$ (for all x in Ω).

3.15. There is a linear injection $R \mapsto \langle R \rangle$ of a certain space of Schwartz distributions into \mathcal{X} ; under this injection, distributional derivation corresponds to the operation ∂ ; further, a distribution R is regular if (and only if) the operator $\langle R \rangle$ is functionable (see Shultz [18], pp. 177 and 180); finally, the Dirac distribution corresponds to the operator $D\Gamma_a$ (see 2.15).

3.16. If A_1 and A_2 belong to \mathcal{X} , then $A_1 \wedge A_2$ also belongs to \mathcal{X} and $\partial[A_1 \wedge A_2] = A_1 A_2$: see 12.12.

4. HIGHER DERIVATIVES

As usual, $\partial^0 A = A$ and $\partial^n A$ is defined (recursively, for $n \geq 1$) by the equation

$$(4.1) \quad \partial^n A = \partial[\partial^{n-1} A] \quad \text{when} \quad \partial^k A \in \mathcal{X} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

4.1.1. We say that $\partial^n A$ is *definable* if $\partial^k A \in \mathcal{X}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. If $n \geq 0$ we denote by $[\mathcal{X}_n]$ the space of functions h in $[\mathcal{X}]$ such that $h^{(k)} \in [\mathcal{X}]$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. The space \mathcal{X}_n will consist of all the operators A in \mathcal{A} such that $A \supset h$ for some function h in $[\mathcal{X}_n]$. Note that

$$\mathcal{X}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{X}_n \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}.$$

4.2. If $B \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\partial B \in \mathcal{X}$, then $B \in \mathcal{X}_1$; more generally,

$$(4.3) \quad \text{if } \partial^n B \text{ is definable and belongs to } \mathcal{X}, \text{ then } B \in \mathcal{X}_n;$$

see (13.19) and (5.4).

4.4. Conversely, if $B \in \mathcal{X}_n$, then $\partial^n B$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{X} . Indeed, if the relation $B \supset h$ holds for some function h in $[\mathcal{X}_n]$, then $\partial^n B \supset h^{(n)}$: see 12.1.

4.5. If k and r are non-negative integers, then

$$\partial^k [\partial^r B] = \partial^{k+r} B \quad \text{when} \quad \partial^{k+r} B \text{ is definable.}$$

4.6. If $\partial^n y$ is definable it follows easily from (3.6) and (4.1) that

$$(4.7) \quad \partial^n y = D^n y - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\partial^k y]_0 D^{n-k}.$$

4.8. If $y \in \mathcal{X}_n$ and $\partial^n y = 0$ it is easily verified (using 4.6 and 4.4) that $y(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $= n-1$.

4.9. EXAMPLE. Let c_k ($-\infty < k < \infty$) be any sequence of complex numbers. If $\alpha > 0$ the series of impulses

$$y = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k D\Gamma_{k\alpha}$$

is such that $\partial^k y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $[\partial^k y]_0 = 0$ for any integer $k \geq 0$. Of course, y is not functionable.

5. THE SPACE \mathcal{E}^m

5.1. DEFINITIONS. An operator g is \mathcal{E}^0 -functionable if it is the operator of a function which is continuous in the interval Ω . When $m \geq 0$ we denote by \mathcal{E}^m the space of all the \mathcal{E}^0 -functionable operators g such that the k th derivative $g^{(k)}(t)$ (of the function $g(t)$) is continuous in Ω for $0 \leq k \leq m$.

5.2. If $g \in \mathcal{E}^m$, then g is the operator of the function $g(t)$ which is m times continuously differentiable; its m th derivative $g^{(m)}(t)$ is continuous in Ω . Recall that $g(t)$ is the only continuous function f such that $g = \{f\}$

and $g(\tau) = f(\tau)$ for all τ in Ω (see 2.12); moreover, $[g]_0 = f(0)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}$. If $g \in \mathcal{C}^1$ the equation $\partial g = \{g'(t)\}$ is immediate from 3.11.

5.3. If $g \in \mathcal{C}^m$, then $\partial^k g = \{g^{(k)}(t)\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$.

5.4. DEFINITIONS. Given a polynomial

$$p(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_m z^m \quad (\text{with } b_m \neq 0 \text{ and } m \geq 1),$$

we write, if $\partial^m A$ is definable,

$$p(\partial)A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_0 A + b_1 \partial A + \dots + b_m \partial^m A;$$

we shall say that $p(\partial)A$ is *definable* if $\partial^m A$ is definable.

5.5. If $p(\partial)A$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{K} , then $A \in \mathcal{K}_m$ (this will be proved in 13.15); from 4.4 it therefore follows that

$$A \in \mathcal{K}_m \text{ if (and only if) } p(\partial)A \text{ is definable and belongs to } \mathcal{K}.$$

5.6. Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{C}^m$; it results directly from 5.3 and 2.12 that

$$[p(\partial)g](\tau) = b_0 g(\tau) + b_1 g'(\tau) + \dots + b_m g^{(m)}(\tau)$$

for all τ in Ω .

5.7. Consequently, $p(\partial)g \in \mathcal{C}^0$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{C}^m$. If $p(\partial)g$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{C}^0 it can be proved that $g \in \mathcal{C}^m$: see 13.21. Thus, if $p(\partial)y$ is definable, then the relation $p(\partial)y = R \in \mathcal{C}^0$ implies that the equation

$$b_0 y(\tau) + b_1 y'(\tau) + \dots + b_m y^{(m)}(\tau) = R(\tau)$$

holds for all τ in Ω .

5.8. If p_1 and p_2 are two polynomials, then

$$(5.9) \quad p_1(\partial)[p_2(\partial)F] = p_1 p_2(\partial)F \quad (\text{if } p_1 p_2(\partial)F \text{ is definable}),$$

see (4.5), and

$$(5.10) \quad p_2(\partial)F + p_1(\partial)F = [p_2 + p_1](\partial)F \quad (\text{if } [p_2 + p_1](\partial)F \text{ is definable}).$$

5.11. As in 5.4,

$$(5.12) \quad p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^m b_n z^n.$$

Let c_k ($0 \leq k < m$) be a given sequence of complex numbers; observe that

$$(5.13) \quad p(D) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k D^{-k} = \sum_{n=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_n c_k D^{n-k}.$$

5.14. Notation. We set

$$(5.15) \quad \left\| p(D) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k D^{-k} \right\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_n c_k D^{n-k};$$

this is the result of deleting (from the right-hand side of (5.13)) all the terms which do not contain positive powers of D .

5.16. From (5.15) it follows easily the existence of a polynomial λ whose degree is less than the degree of p and such that

$$\left\| p(D) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k D^{-k} \right\| = D\lambda(D).$$

5.17. THEOREM. Let p be a polynomial of positive degree m . If $p(\partial)y$ is definable, then

$$(5.18) \quad p(\partial)y = p(D)y - \left\| p(D) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} [\partial^k y]_0 D^{-k} \right\|.$$

Proof. Immediate from 4.6.

6. INITIAL-VALUE PROBLEMS

We shall now deal with equations of the form $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F$, where μ is a polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$, and where P is a non-zero polynomial. Most equations in system engineering have such a form; this has been emphasized in Wunsch [19] (see also [13], p. 25, Berg [1], p. 76, and Example 10.1). The subject of steady-state solution will be brought up in 6.12.

6.1. Suppose that $P(\partial)F$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{K} (in view of 5.5, this means that $F \in \mathcal{K}_n$, where n is the degree of P). Let c_k ($0 \leq k < m$) be a sequence of complex numbers. The initial-value problem

$$\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F \quad \text{with} \quad [\partial^k y]_0 = c_k \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k < m$$

has a solution y in \mathcal{K}_m (see 13.20): to solve it, we apply 5.17 twice:

$$y = \frac{P(D)}{\mu(D)} F + \frac{1}{\mu(D)} \left\| P(D) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} [\partial^k F]_0 D^{-k} \right\| + \frac{1}{\mu(D)} \left\| \mu(D) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k D^{-k} \right\|;$$

6.2. Consequently, this last equation determines the unique operator y such that $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F$ and $[\partial^k y]_0 = c_k$ (for $0 \leq k < m$).

6.3. Of course, the degree of P could exceed the degree of μ .

6.4. EXAMPLE. The input F could be the series of impulses in 4.9; it could also be the operator

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial^k (D\mathbf{T}_k)$$

which is such that $[\partial^n F]_0 = 0$ and $\partial^n F \in \mathcal{K}$ for any integer $n \geq 0$; it corresponds to a distribution of infinite order; a simple differential equation involving (6.5) is worked out in Zemanian [20], p. 164 with the initial condition $y(-\varepsilon) = 3$, where ε is *infinitesimally small* (and positive).

6.6. Zero initial values at time zero. Let λ be a non-zero polynomial of degree $n < m$ (as before, m is the degree of the polynomial μ). The operator

$$(6.7) \quad G = D \frac{\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)}$$

belongs to \mathcal{G}^1 (see 13.6). If F is the unit impulse $D\mathbf{T}_0$, then $[\partial^k F]_0 = 0$; we can set $P = \lambda$ in 6.1 to conclude that the equation

$$(6.7.1) \quad y = D \frac{\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)} \mathbf{T}_0 = \mathbf{T}_0 G$$

determines the solution of the initial-value problem $\mu(\partial)y = \lambda(\partial)[D\mathbf{T}_0]$ with $[\partial^k y]_0 = 0$ (for $0 \leq k < m$). The function (6.7.1) is the "response to the unit impulse"; we have $y(\tau) = G(\tau)$ for $\tau > 0$ (but $y(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau < 0$; see [10], 3.7).

If F is functionable it follows from (6.7) and (2.18) that

$$(6.8) \quad \frac{\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)} F = G \wedge F;$$

setting $c_k = 0$ in 6.1, we see that the equation

$$(6.9) \quad y = G \wedge F + \frac{1}{\mu(D)} \left\| \lambda(D) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\partial^k F]_0 D^{-k} \right\|$$

determines the unique operator y which satisfies the initial-value problem

$$(6.10) \quad \mu(\partial)y = \lambda(\partial)F \quad \text{with} \quad [\partial^k y]_0 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k < m.$$

If $[\partial^k F]_0 = 0$ for $0 \leq k < n$ (= degree of λ), then (6.9) becomes $y = G \wedge F$; if F is functionable it results from (2.21) that

$$(6.11) \quad y(t) = \int_0^t G(t-x)F(x)dx.$$

This solution of (6.10) corresponds therefore to zero initial values (equilibrium) at time zero; a similar solution is obtained by Wunsch [19] by adjoining a different type of conditions ("natürliche Anfangsbedingungen"). See also Berg [1], pp. 76-77; in both [19] and [1] the interval Ω is $[0, \infty)$.

6.12. The road ahead. We shall consider steady-state solutions; to that effect, integral (6.11) will be replaced by the integral

$$(6.13) \quad \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x)F(x)dx;$$

the resulting solution (of $\mu(\partial)y = \lambda(\partial)F$), although considered physically more appropriate by many engineering textbooks (e.g., [14], p. 330, [17], pp. 221-222, [16], p. 21, and Van Der Pol [15], p. 156) does not always correspond to zero initial values at time $-\infty$ (see 9.22). We shall require that F agrees on $(-\infty, 0)$ with a suitably integrable function.

7. THE SPACE \mathfrak{B}

Henceforth, Ω is an interval of the form $(-\infty, \beta)$ with $0 < \beta \leq \infty$. Let \mathfrak{l} be the operator

$$(7.1) \quad \mathfrak{l} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathbf{1}\} - \mathbf{T}_0;$$

from (2.12) it therefore follows that

$$(7.2) \quad \mathfrak{l}(\tau) = \mathbf{1}(\tau) - \mathbf{T}_0(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \tau < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \tau > 0. \end{cases}$$

7.3. DEFINITION. \mathfrak{B} will denote the space of all the operators A in \mathcal{A} such that $\mathfrak{l}A$ is *functionable* and $\mathfrak{l}A(t) \in [\mathcal{X}]$.

7.4. If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A = \mathfrak{l}A + \mathbf{T}_0 A$ (from (7.1) and (2.16.1)). Let B be a functionable operator:

$$(7.5) \quad B = \{B(t)\} \quad (\text{from 2.10});$$

it is easily verified that

$$(7.6) \quad \mathfrak{l}\{B(t)\} = \mathfrak{l}B = \{\mathfrak{l}(t)B(t)\} \quad (\text{see Section 15});$$

consequently, 2.11 gives

$$(7.7) \quad [\mathfrak{l}B](t) = \mathfrak{l}(t)B(t),$$

whence

$$(7.8) \quad [\mathfrak{l}B](\tau) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \tau > 0, \\ B(\tau) & \text{for } \tau < 0; \end{cases}$$

that is, $lB(\tau) = B(\tau)$ for τ almost-everywhere in the interval $(-\infty, 0)$. From (7.6) it follows directly that $lT_0 = 0$ and

$$(7.9) \quad l = l.$$

7.10. THEOREM. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in L^{loc}(\Omega)$. The equation $lA = l\{f\}$ holds if (and only if) the operator A agrees with the function f on the interval $(-\infty, 0)$.

Proof. See 15.9 or [10], 3.24.

7.11. Suppose that A agrees with a function f on $(-\infty, 0)$: if $f \in [\mathcal{X}] \cap L^{loc}(\Omega)$ it follows from 7.10 that $lA = l\{f\}$; from (7.7) therefore,

$$[lA](t) = l(t)f(t);$$

consequently, $lA(t) \in [\mathcal{X}]$, whence $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Conversely, suppose that $A \in \mathcal{B}$: this means that the equation

$$(1) \quad lA = \{f\}$$

holds for some function f in $[\mathcal{X}] \cap L^{loc}(\Omega)$; from (7.9) and (1) therefore: $lA = lA = l\{f\}$; consequently, we may conclude from 7.10 that the operator A agrees with f on $(-\infty, 0)$; in particular,

$$(2) \quad A = f;$$

since $f \in [\mathcal{X}]$, it follows from Definition 3.4 that $A \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$$(3) \quad [A]_0 = f(0-).$$

From (1) and 2.12 we see that $lA(t) = f$; since this gives $lA(0-) = f(0-)$, we can use (1)-(3) to write

$$(7.12) \quad A = [lA](t) \quad \text{and} \quad [A]_0 = [lA](0-).$$

7.13. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and $lA(t) \in [\mathcal{X}_n]$; from (7.12) and 4.1.1 it follows that $\partial^n A$ is definable and $A \in \mathcal{X}_n$; in fact,

$$[l(\partial^n A)](t) = (\partial^n / \partial t^n)[lA(t)].$$

7.13.1. As we saw in 7.11, if $A \in \mathcal{B}$, then $A \in \mathcal{X}$; therefore, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$.

7.14. Suppose that B is functionable. The operator lB is the operator of the pointwise product $l(t)B(t)$ (see (7.6)); therefore, $B \in \mathcal{B}$ whenever the function $B(t)$ belongs to $[\mathcal{X}]$.

7.15. Let \mathcal{B}_+ be the space of all the operators A in \mathcal{A} such that $lA = 0$. Note that

$$\mathcal{B}_+ \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

The space \mathcal{B}_+ contains operators of infinite order such as (6.5); therefore, \mathcal{B}_+ is larger than Berg's space of "distributions" [1], p. 104 and p. 108. The space \mathcal{B}_+ corresponds to the space \mathcal{D}'_+ of distributions with support in the interval $[0, \infty)$.

7.16. As we shall see in 9.18.1, if $F \in \mathcal{B}$, then the general solution y of the equation $\mu(\partial)y = \lambda(\partial)F$ belongs to \mathcal{B} ; if $F \in \mathcal{B}_+$ this general solution y need not belong to \mathcal{B}_+ . For example, the equation $\partial y = D T_0$ implies that $y(t) = c + T_0(t)$ for some number c : since $ly(t) = c$, it follows that $y \in \mathcal{B}$, but $y \notin \mathcal{B}_+$ when $c \neq 0$ (as we shall see, $c = 0$ gives the steady-state solution).

3. THE PRINCIPAL OBJECTIVE OF THIS PAPER

Let G be a functionable operator. If $F \in \mathcal{B}$ we write

$$(8.1) \quad G \otimes F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 g(t-x)[lF](x) dx \right\}.$$

8.2. If F and $G \otimes F$ are functionable, then

$$(8.3) \quad G \wedge F + G \otimes F = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x)F(x) dx \right\} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty G(u)F(t-u) du \right\};$$

the first equation will be proved in 11.1. Of course, Ω is an interval of the form $(-\infty, \beta)$ with $0 < \beta \leq \infty$. A device which determines a correspondence $F \mapsto [G \wedge F + G \otimes F]$ is sometimes called a "filter of type II" [2], p. 132 or a "one-port" [20], 10.2.

8.4. The main theorem. Let μ be a polynomial of positive degree, let λ be a polynomial of degree less than the degree of μ . The operator

$$(8.5) \quad G = D \frac{\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)}$$

is functionable, and the function $G(t)$ is the inverse Laplace transform of the rational function λ/μ . By expanding λ/μ into partial fractions, the function $G(t)$ is obtained as a linear combination of a family $e^{at}t^n$ ($a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq n \leq \pi(a)$) of exponential-monomials (see 9.2). Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{B}$; if

$$(1) \quad \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n=0}^{\pi(a)} \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ax} x^n [lF](x) dx \right| < \infty,$$

then $G \otimes F$ is functionable and

$$(2) \quad \mu(\partial)[G \wedge F + G \otimes F] = \lambda(\partial)F$$

— provided that $\lambda(\partial)F \in \mathcal{X}$ (see 9.4–9.5 and 9.8).

8.6. Particular cases. In this section we suppose that $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$ and that F is functionable; further, we suppose that the polynomial μ is *stable* (that is, suppose that all the zeros of μ lie on the left-hand side of the imaginary axis). The equation (8.5) implies that

$$(8.7) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-au} G(u) du = \frac{\lambda(a)}{\mu(a)} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(a) \geq 0:$$

by hypothesis, $\mu(a) = 0$ implies $\operatorname{Re}(a) < 0$. Thus, if F satisfies (1) it follows from 8.2 that the equation

$$(3) \quad y = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x) F(x) dx \right\}$$

implies $\mu(\partial)y = \lambda(\partial)F$ (provided that $\lambda(\partial)F \in \mathcal{K}$).

Condition (1) is satisfied when

$$(4) \quad 0 = \lim_{\tau \downarrow -\infty} e^{\varepsilon\tau} [\mathcal{L}F](\tau) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0;$$

this condition is therefore sufficient to ensure that (3) determines a solution y of the equation

$$(5) \quad \mu(\partial)y = \lambda(\partial)F:$$

this is proved in Kaplan [8], pp. 284–287, for the case $\lambda = 1$. The particular solution (3) of (5) is often calculated by the Fourier transform technique: the transform $\hat{f} \rightarrow \hat{f}$ is applied to both sides of (5), the resulting algebraic equation is solved for \hat{y} : the *inversion step* consists in finding the inverse Fourier transform of \hat{y} ; if $F(t)$ and $[\lambda(\partial)F](t)$ belong to $L^1(-\infty, \infty)$, then

$$y = G \wedge F + G \otimes F = \left\{ \lim_{N \uparrow \infty} \int_{-N}^N e^{i\omega t} \frac{\lambda(i\omega) \hat{F}(i\omega)}{2\pi\mu(i\omega)} d\omega \right\};$$

see [2], pp. 126–128, and [8], pp. 284–287.

However, when $\lambda(\partial)F \notin L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ the inversion step is not applicable to some equations governing very simple systems; for example, the inversion step is not applicable to the equation $\partial y + y = \partial F$ with $F(t) = \mathcal{I}(t)e^t + \Gamma_0(t)e^{2t}$ (see 10.5); note that Condition (4) (and therefore Condition (1)) is satisfied.

9. THE MAIN THEOREM

As in Sections 7–8, the interval Ω is of the form $(-\infty, \beta)$. Throughout, μ is a polynomial of positive degree and λ is a non-zero polynomial such that the degree of λ is less than the degree of μ . Also,

$$(9.1) \quad G = D \frac{\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)},$$

9.2. Let Z be the set of roots of the polynomial μ . There exists a unique family $\pi(a)$ ($a \in Z$) of non-negative integers such that

$$(9.3) \quad G = \sum_{a \in Z} \sum_{m=0}^{\pi(a)} c_a^m \{e^{at} t^m\},$$

where the c_a^m are complex numbers (they are uniquely determined by (9.3)).

9.4. The numbers c_a^m can be found by expanding $\lambda(D)/\mu(D)$ into partial fractions; recall that $\{e^{at} t^m\} = D/(D-a)^{m+1}$. The multiplicity of the root a equals the integer $1 + \pi(a)$.

9.5. Suppose that $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^0$; from (8.1) we see that

$$(9.6) \quad [g \otimes F](0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 g(-x) [\mathcal{L}F](x) dx = \int_0^\infty g(u) [\mathcal{L}F](-u) du.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (9.3) that

$$[G \otimes F](0) = \sum_{a \in Z} \sum_{m=0}^{\pi(a)} c_a^m [\{e^{at} t^m\} \otimes F](0):$$

we shall denote by $\mathfrak{S}[G]$ the space of all the operators F in \mathfrak{B} such that

$$\sum_{a \in Z} \sum_{m=0}^{\pi(a)} |[\{e^{at} t^m\} \otimes F](0)| < \infty.$$

9.7. Therefore, $F \in \mathfrak{S}[G]$ if (and only if) $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ and if the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ax} x^m [\mathcal{L}F](x) dx \quad \text{exists whenever} \quad \begin{cases} a \in Z, \\ 0 \leq m \leq \pi(a). \end{cases}$$

9.8. Let P be a non-zero polynomial. The division algorithm determines uniquely two polynomials Q and λ such that

$$(9.9) \quad \frac{P}{\mu} = Q + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \quad \text{with} \quad \deg(\lambda) < \deg(\mu):$$

here \deg = degree of the polynomial. Suppose that $F \in \mathfrak{S}[G]$, where $G = D\lambda(D)/\mu(D)$; we set

$$(9.10) \quad \frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q(\partial)F + \frac{\lambda(D)F}{\mu(D)} + \frac{D\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)} \otimes F.$$

If $P(\partial)F$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{K} , then

$$(9.11) \quad \mu(\partial) \left[\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F \right] = P(\partial)F \quad (\text{see 14.6}).$$

9.12. DEFINITION. We shall call (9.10) the *steady-state solution* of the equation $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F$.

9.13. Recall that $[D\lambda(D)/\mu(D)](t)$ is the inverse Laplace transform $\Omega^{-1}(\lambda/\mu)$ of the rational function λ/μ (see (8.6)):

$$(9.14) \quad \frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F = Q(D)F + \frac{\lambda(D)F}{\mu(D)} + \left[\Omega^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \right] \otimes F.$$

9.15. As in (9.1), set $G = D\lambda(D)/\mu(D)$:

$$(9.16) \quad G = \left\{ \Omega^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) \right\};$$

therefore, Definition (9.10) can be written

$$(9.17) \quad \frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F = Q(\partial)F + [G \wedge F + G \otimes F].$$

9.17.1. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{G}$; consequently, $G \otimes F \in \mathcal{G}^0$ (by 14.1); since $G \in \mathcal{G}^0$ (by (9.3)), it follows from (11.4) that

$$[G \wedge F + G \otimes F] \text{ belongs to } \mathfrak{B};$$

also, we may let $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in (11.5):

$$[G \wedge F + G \otimes F](0-) = \int_{-\infty}^0 G(-x) [LF](x) dx;$$

from (9.17) therefore

$$(9.18) \quad \left[\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F \right]_0 = [Q(\partial)F]_0 + \int_{-\infty}^0 G(-x) [LF](x) dx.$$

9.18.1. From 9.17.1 we see that (9.17) belongs to \mathfrak{B} whenever $\deg(Q) = 0$ and $F \in \mathcal{G}$; since (9.17) is a particular solution, it is easily seen that the general solution also belongs to \mathfrak{B} (when $Q = 0$).

9.18.2. If F and $G \otimes F$ are functionable, it results from (9.17) and (8.3) that

$$(9.19) \quad \frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F = Q(\partial)F + \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x) F(x) dx \right\};$$

in particular, if $F \in \mathcal{G}$ and $P(\partial)F \in \mathcal{X}$, it follows from (9.11) that (9.19) defines a solution of the equation $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F$.

9.19.1. Let μ , P and Q be the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) &= a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_m z^m, \\ P(z) &= b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_n z^n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q(z) = Q_0 + Q_1 z + \dots + Q_r z^r.$$

Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{G}^m$ and $F \in \mathcal{G}$; Definition 5.1 gives $P(\partial)F \in \mathcal{G}^0$; if we set $y = [P(\partial)/\mu(\partial)]F$ it therefore follows from (9.11) that $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F \in \mathcal{G}^0$; from 5.7 it therefore results that $y \in \mathcal{G}^m$ and the equation

$$(9.20) \quad y(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^r Q_k F^{(k)}(\tau) + \int_0^\infty G(u) F(\tau-u) du$$

(for all τ in Ω) follows from 5.7 and (9.19); since $y \in \mathcal{G}^m$, it implies that

$$a_0 y(\tau) + a_1 y'(\tau) + \dots + a_m y^{(m)}(\tau) = b_0 F(\tau) + \dots + b_n F^{(n)}(\tau)$$

for all τ in Ω .

9.21. The classical cases. Let \mathcal{S} be the space of all linear combinations of operators of the form $\{e^{at}t^m\}$, where $m \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(a) \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{S}_0 be the space of all the operators F in \mathfrak{B} such that

$$0 = \lim_{\tau \downarrow -\infty} e^{a\tau} [LF](\tau) \quad (\text{as } \tau \downarrow -\infty)$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that \mathcal{S}_0 contains all functions of slow growth [20], p. 104. In this Section 9.21 we suppose that μ is a stable polynomial (see 8.6). It is easily seen that

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_0 \subset [D\lambda(D)/\mu(D)].$$

In the very special case where $F(t) = e^{at}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(a) \geq 0$, we have $F \in \mathcal{S}_1$; Equation (9.20) becomes

$$\left[\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} \{e^{at}\} \right](\tau) = e^{a\tau} \left[Q(a) + \int_0^\infty e^{-au} G(u) du \right],$$

where $G = D\lambda(D)/\mu(D)$; from (8.7) therefore

$$\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} \{e^{at}\} = e^{at} \left[Q(a) + \frac{\lambda(a)}{\mu(a)} \right] = \frac{P(a)}{\mu(a)} \{e^{at}\};$$

the last equation is from (9.9). Obviously, the general solution of the equation $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)\{e^{at}\}$ differs from $P(a)\{e^{at}\}/\mu(a)$ by a transient term.

9.22. If $\omega \neq 0$ it follows easily (from the above) that

$$\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} \{\sin \omega t\} = \left| \frac{P(i\omega)}{\mu(i\omega)} \right| \sin \left(\omega t + \arg \frac{P(i\omega)}{\mu(i\omega)} \right).$$

9.23. The frequency response. When $a = i\omega$ with $-\infty < \omega < \infty$ then $P(a)e^{at}/\mu(a)$ is called the *frequency response*; the case $P = 1$ is treated in Doetsch [5], pp. 54–56 and p. 174; the case $\deg(Q) = 0$ is found in Wunsch [19], p. 996.

9.24. Equilibrium at time $= -\infty$. When $F(-\infty) = 0$ the steady-state solution of $\mu(\partial)y = P(\partial)F$ can sometimes be obtained by imposing the condition $y(-\infty) = 0$ (neither of these limits exist in 9.22). For example, the steady-state solution of the equation

$$(1) \quad \partial^2 y + 4\partial y + 3y = 2\partial^2 F + 6\partial F + 6F$$

with $F(t) = 1/(1+t^2)^m$ has been obtained in Doležal [6], pp. 111–112, by imposing the condition $y(-\infty) = 0$. Instead, let us suppose only that F is a functionable operator such that $\mathcal{LF}(t) \in [\mathcal{K}_1]$ (which, by 7.13, guarantees that the right-hand side of (1) is definable); from 9.18.2 and (1) it follows that

$$y = \left[2 - \frac{2\partial}{\partial^2 + 4\partial + 3} \right] F = 2F - \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x)F(x)dx \right\},$$

where, by (9.16),

$$G = \left\{ \Omega^{-1} \left(\frac{2s}{s^2 + 4s + 3} \right) \right\} = \{-e^{-t} + 3e^{-3t}\},$$

so that

$$y(t) = 2F(t) + e^{-t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{3x} F(x) dx - 3e^{-3t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{3x} F(x) dx,$$

which is the result that the Doležal procedure would yield in case $F(-\infty) = 0$. To ensure that this is indeed a solution, we require that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{3x} F(x) dx \right| + \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{3x} F(x) dx \right| < \infty,$$

which is precisely the condition $F \in \mathfrak{S}[G]$ (see 9.7).

10. FOUR EXAMPLES

10.1. Given two numbers L and R , the equation

$$(10.2) \quad L\partial^2 y + R\partial y + y = \partial F$$

governs a simple electric circuit; suppose that $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathcal{LF}(t) \in [\mathcal{K}_1]$ (this

ensures that ∂F is definable and belongs to \mathcal{K} : see 7.13). In case $L = 1$ and $R = 2$, the steady-state solution of (10.2) is given by Definition (9.10):

$$(10.3) \quad y = \frac{\partial}{(\partial+1)^2} F = \frac{D F}{(D+1)^2} + G \otimes F,$$

where

$$G = \left\{ \Omega^{-1} \left(\frac{s}{(s+1)^2} \right) \right\} = \{(1-t)e^{-t}\}.$$

To ensure that (10.3) is a solution of (10.2) we require that $F \in \mathfrak{S}[G]$, which means that

$$|[\{t^n e^{-t}\} \otimes F](0)| < \infty \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1:$$

see 9.7. Our hypotheses $F \in \mathfrak{S}[G]$ and $\mathcal{LF}(t) \in [\mathcal{K}_1]$ are satisfied when

$$(10.4) \quad F = f + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial^k (D T_k) = f + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D^k D T_k,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_1$ (see 9.21); operator (10.4) is not functionable and does not correspond to a distribution of finite order. In case F is functionable, (10.3) becomes

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t [1 - (t-x)] e^{-t+x} F(x) dx \quad (\text{see } 9.18.2),$$

in view of 9.7, the existence of the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 x^n e^{3x} F(x) dx \quad (\text{for } n = 0, 1)$$

is sufficient (and necessary) to ensure that y is a solution of our equation (10.2). Compare with [14], p. 383.

10.5. The case $L = 0$ and $R = 1$. In view of 9.15–(9.17) and (9.9), the steady-state solution of the equation $\partial y + y = \partial F$ is

$$(1) \quad y = \frac{\partial}{\partial+1} F = F - \frac{1}{\partial+1} F = F - \frac{D F}{D+1} - G \otimes F,$$

where

$$G = \left\{ \Omega^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{s+1} \right) \right\} = \{e^{-t}\}$$

— provided that $|[\{e^{-t}\} \otimes F](0)| < \infty$. If F is functionable, then (1) and (9.19) give

$$(2) \quad y(t) = F(t) - e^{-t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{3x} F(x) dx,$$

and the condition $|\{e^{-t}\} \otimes F\}(0)| < \infty$ means simply that $e^t F(t)$ is integrable over $(-\infty, 0)$.

Finally, consider the case $F(t) = l(t)e^{at} + cT_0(t)e^{bt}$ with $a > 0$, $b > 0$, and c is a complex number. We now have $|\{e^{-t}\} \otimes F\}(0)| < \infty$ (as required); also, both F and ∂F belong to \mathfrak{B} ; Equation (2) yields $y(0+) - y(0-) = c$ and

$$y(t) = \frac{a}{A} l(t)e^{at} + T_0(t) \frac{bc}{B} e^{bt} - T_0(t) \frac{ac - bc}{AB} e^{-t},$$

where $A = a+1$ and $B = b+1$; in case $b = 2$ and $a = c = 1$ the Fourier transform procedure gives no answer, because the "inversion step" fails nor does the inverse two-sided Laplace transform exist: see [12], p. 255).

10.6. The anti-derivative. To find the steady-state solution of $\partial y = F$ (when $F \in \mathfrak{B}$), set $P = 1$ and $\mu(\partial) = \partial$ in (9.9)–(9.10):

$$\partial^{-1} F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\partial} F = \frac{F}{D} + \{1\} \otimes F = D^{-1} F + \{1\} \otimes F;$$

moreover, from (9.18) it follows that

$$(3) \quad [\partial^{-1} F]_0 = [\{1\} \otimes F](0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 [lF](x) dx;$$

recall that $\partial(\partial^{-1} F) = F$ when $F \in \mathfrak{B}$, which means that $|\{1\} \otimes F\}(0)| < \infty$, which in turn means that the integral in (3) exists. In particular, if F is the unit impulse $D T_0$, then (3) gives $\partial^{-1} F = T_0$ (since $lF = 0$). This clears up a question which was very unsatisfactorily treated in the textbook [9], pp. 146–152.

10.7. EXAMPLE. Suppose that $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $lF(t) \in [\mathcal{X}_2]$ (see 7.13). The steady-state solution of the equation $\partial y = \partial^2 F + F$ is given by

$$y = \frac{\partial^2 + 1}{\partial} F = \partial F + \partial^{-1} F;$$

it is required that $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ (as in 10.6). For instance, F could be operator (10.4) when $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f(t) \in L^1(-\infty, 0)$.

11. A GENERALIZATION OF DUHAMEL'S INTEGRAL

11.1. THEOREM. Let F and G be functionable operators. If the operator $G \otimes F$ is functionable, then

$$(11.2) \quad G \wedge F + G \otimes F = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x) F(x) dx \right\}.$$

Proof. Since $lF(x) = F(x)$ for $x < 0$ (by 7.8), it follows from Definition (8.1) that

$$G \otimes F = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 G(t-x) F(x) dx \right\};$$

from 2.20 therefore,

$$G \wedge F + G \otimes F = \left\{ \int_0^t G(t-x) F(x) dx \right\} + \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 G(t-x) F(x) dx \right\};$$

Conclusion (11.2) is now an immediate consequence of the linearity of the mapping $f \mapsto \{f\}$ (see 2.6).

11.3. THEOREM. Suppose that $F \in \mathfrak{B}$. If both G and $G \otimes F$ are functionable, then

$$(11.4) \quad l[G \wedge F + G \otimes F] = \left\{ l(t) \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-x) [lF](x) dx \right\}$$

and

$$(11.5) \quad [G \wedge F + G \otimes F](\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} G(\tau-x) [lF](x) dx \quad \text{for } \tau < 0.$$

Proof. From 7.9 it follows that

$$(4) \quad G \wedge lF = l[G \wedge F] = l \left\{ \int_0^t G(t-x) [lF](x) dx \right\};$$

the last equation is from 2.20. From (4) and Definition (8.1) therefore

$$l[G \wedge F + G \otimes F] = l \left\{ \int_0^t G(t-x) [lF](x) dx \right\} + l \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^0 G(t-x) [lF](x) dx \right\}.$$

Conclusion (11.4) is now immediate from (7.6); Conclusion (11.5) comes from (11.4) and (7.8).

12. SOME PROOFS

We shall begin by proving 4.4 in the special case $n = 1$; the proof generalizes easily.

12.1. THEOREM. Let B be an operator. If $B \supset h \in [\mathcal{X}_1]$, then $\partial B \supset h'$.

Proof. Since $h \in [\mathcal{X}_1]$, there exist two numbers $x_k < 0$ such that $h^{(k)}$ is continuous in $(x_k, 0)$ (for $k = 0, 1$). Since $B \supset h$, there exists a number $\alpha < 0$ such that both h and h' have continuous extensions to the closed

interval $[\alpha, 0]$ and B agrees with the function h on $(\alpha, 0)$: for any v in W and any t in $(\alpha, 0)$ we have (since $v' \in W$)

$$B \cdot v'(t) = - \int_t^0 h(t-x) v''(x) dx \quad (\text{see 3.1});$$

integrating by parts, we obtain

$$(5) \quad B \cdot v'(t) - v'(t) h(0-) = - \int_t^0 h'(t-x) v'(x) dx.$$

Since the left-hand side of (5) equals $[DB - h(0-)D] \cdot v(t)$, we have proved that ∂B agrees with h' on the interval $(\alpha, 0)$.

12.2. Suppose that $f_k \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ (for $k = 1, 2$). The function

$$(12.3) \quad f_{12} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t f_1(t-x) f_2(x) dx$$

belongs to $L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ (see [10], 0.19) and

$$(12.4) \quad \{f_1\} \wedge \{f_2\} = \{f_{12}\} \quad (\text{see [10], 2.16}).$$

12.5. Next, to prove that $B_1 \wedge B_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ whenever $B_k \in \mathcal{X}$ (for $k = 1, 2$).

12.6. Suppose that $h_k \in [\mathcal{X}]$ (for $k = 1, 2$): there exists a number $x_{12} < 0$ such that both h_1 and h_2 have a continuous extension to the closed interval $[x_{12}, 0]$. Let f_k be the function defined by $f_k(u) = h_k(u)$ for $x_{12} < u < 0$, while $f_k(0) = h_k(0-)$ and $f_k(u) = 0$ for $u \leq x_{12}$ or $u > 0$. Note that $f_k \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$; the relations

$$(12.7) \quad f_{12} \in \mathcal{X}$$

and

$$(12.8) \quad f_{12}(0) = 0$$

are easily obtained by noting that the usual reasonings (as in [4], p. 258 or [7], pp. 34–43) apply equally well when the interval $[0, \infty)$ is replaced by an interval of the form $[x_{12}, 0]$ with $x_{12} < 0$.

12.9. In particular, if h_1 is the unit constant, we have

$$f_{12} = \int_0^t h_2(x) dx.$$

12.10. LEMMA. If $h_k \in [\mathcal{X}]$ and $h_k \in B_k \in \mathcal{A}$ (for $k = 1, 2$), then

$$(12.11) \quad f_{12} \in B_1 \wedge B_2.$$

Proof. In view of Definition 3.1, our hypotheses imply the existence of a number $a_{12} < 0$ such that B_k agrees with h_k in the interval $(a_{12}, 0)$: let a be the largest of the two negative numbers a_{12} and x_{12} (see 12.6).

Let f_k be the function defined in 12.6; clearly, $f_k(\tau) = h_k(\tau)$ for $\tau \in (a, 0)$ and therefore B_k agrees with f_k on $(a, 0)$: from 3.2 it therefore follows that the equation

$$(1) \quad [\{f_k\} \cdot w_k](\tau) = [B_k \cdot w_k](\tau) \quad (\text{when } a < \tau < 0)$$

holds for any w_k in W . Let w be any element of W ; since $\wedge \{f_2\} \in \mathcal{A}$, the equation

$$(2) \quad w_1 = \wedge \{f_2\} \cdot w$$

defines an element w_1 of W ; substituting $k = 1$ and (2) into (1), we obtain

$$[\{f_1\} \cdot (\wedge \{f_2\} \cdot w)](\tau) = [B_1 \cdot (\wedge \{f_2\} \cdot w)](\tau);$$

from (2.3) it therefore follows that

$$[(\{f_1\} \wedge \{f_2\}) \cdot w](\tau) = [(B_1 \wedge \{f_2\}) \cdot w](\tau) \quad \text{for } a < \tau < 0,$$

so that (12.4) and (2.5) give

$$(3) \quad [\{f_{12}\} \cdot w](\tau) = [(\{f_2\} \wedge B_1) \cdot w](\tau).$$

On the other hand, the equation

$$(4) \quad w_2 = \wedge B_1 \cdot w$$

defines an element w_2 of W ; substituting (4) and $k = 2$ in (1):

$$(5) \quad [\{f_2\} \cdot (\wedge B_1 \cdot w)](\tau) = [B_2 \cdot (\wedge B_1 \cdot w)](\tau);$$

combining (3) and (5), we can use (2.3) to obtain

$$(6) \quad [\{f_{12}\} \cdot w](\tau) = [B_2 \wedge B_1 \cdot w](\tau) \quad (\text{for } a < \tau < 0).$$

Since w is an arbitrary element of W , Conclusion (12.11) follows from (6) (using commutativity: see 2.5).

12.12. THEOREM. If $B_k \in \mathcal{X}$ (for $k = 1, 2$), then $B_1 \wedge B_2$ also belongs to \mathcal{X} ; moreover,

$$(12.13) \quad [B_1 \wedge B_2]_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial[B_1 \wedge B_2] = D[B_1 \wedge B_2] = B_1 B_2.$$

Proof. In view of 3.4, the hypotheses $B_k \in \mathcal{X}$ implies the existence of h_k in $[\mathcal{X}]$ such that $h_k \in B_k$ (for $k = 1, 2$); from 12.10 it therefore follows that $f_{12} \in B_1 \wedge B_2$; the conclusion $B_1 \wedge B_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ is now immediate from (12.7) and Definition 3.4; further, from (12.11) and 3.5 we see that

$$[B_1 \wedge B_2]_0 = f_{12}(0) = 0$$

(the last equation is from (12.8)); from Definition (3.6) therefore $\partial[B_1 \wedge B_2] = DB_1 \wedge B_2 = B_1 B_2$ (the last equation is from 2.18).

12.14. Remarks. If B_1 is the operator $\{1\}$ of the unit constant, then $B_1 \supset 1$ and the relations

$$(12.15) \quad \wedge B_2 = \{1\} \wedge B_2 \supset \int_0^t h_2(x) dx.$$

follow from (2.16.1), 12.10, and 12.9 (of course, we suppose that $B_2 \supset h_2 \in \mathcal{K}$). From (12.15) it easily results that

$$(12.15.1) \quad \wedge B_2 \in \mathcal{K}_1.$$

From (12.13) we also have

$$(12.16) \quad \partial(\wedge B_2) = B_2.$$

If B_2 is functionable, it follows from (12.4) that

$$(12.17) \quad \wedge B_2 = \left\{ \int_0^t B_2(x) dx \right\}.$$

12.18. If ν is an integer ≥ 1 , then

$$(12.19) \quad \wedge^\nu = \{t^\nu/\nu!\}.$$

The proof (by induction) is based on the equations

$$\wedge^{n+1} = \wedge \wedge^n = \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{x^n}{n!} dx \right\} = \left\{ \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right\};$$

the middle equation is from (12.4)–(12.3).

12.20. If $0 \leq r \leq \nu$, then $\partial^r \wedge^\nu = D^r \wedge^\nu = \wedge^{\nu-r}$.

Indeed, since $\wedge^\nu \in \mathcal{C}^r$ (see 5.1), the conclusion is immediate from (12.19) and 5.3.

12.21. LEMMA. If c_r ($0 \leq r \leq n$) is a finite family of complex numbers such that

$$(12.22) \quad \sum_{r=0}^n c_r D^r = 0,$$

then $c_r = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq n$.

Proof. Right-multiplying by \wedge^{r+1} both sides of (12.22), it follows from 12.20 that

$$\sum_{r=0}^n c_r D^r \wedge^{n+1} = \sum_{r=0}^n c_r \wedge^{n+1-r} = 0,$$

whence $c_n t + c_{n-1} t^2/2 + \dots + c_0 t^{n+1}/(n+1)! = 0$; the conclusion is now at hand.

12.23. LEMMA. If $n > 1$, if $y \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and $\partial^n y = D^n y$, then $0 = [\partial^k y]_0$ for $0 \leq k < n$.

Proof. Immediate from 4.6 and 12.21.

12.24. LEMMA. Suppose that $R_2 \in \mathcal{K}$. If m is an integer ≥ 1 , then

$$(12.25) \quad \wedge^m R_2 \in \mathcal{K}_m;$$

moreover,

$$(12.26) \quad \partial^m (\wedge^m R_2) = R_2$$

and

$$(12.27) \quad [\partial^k (\wedge^m R_2)]_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < m.$$

Proof. Since $\wedge^m R_2 \in \mathcal{K}_m$ for $m = 1$ (by (12.15.1)), we proceed by induction. If $\wedge^k R_2 \in \mathcal{K}_k$ for $k \geq 1$, then $\wedge^k R_2 \supset h_2 \in [\mathcal{K}_k]$, so that (12.15) (with $B_2 = \wedge^k R_2$) gives

$$\wedge^{k+1} R_2 = \wedge [\wedge^k R_2] \supset \int_0^t h_2(x) dx,$$

whence $\wedge^{k+1} R_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{k+1}$. This completes the induction proof of (12.25). To prove (12.26), suppose $k \geq 1$. Since $\wedge^k \in \mathcal{C}^k \subset \mathcal{K}_k$, it follows from (12.13) that

$$\partial(\wedge^{k+1} R_2) = \partial(\wedge^k \wedge R_2) = \wedge^k R_2;$$

therefore

$$(7) \quad \partial^{k+1} (\wedge^{k+1} R_2) = \partial^k [\partial(\wedge^{k+1} R_2)] = \partial^k [\wedge^k R_2].$$

Thus, if

$$(8) \quad \partial^k (\wedge^k R_2) = R_2$$

it follows from (7) that $\partial^{k+1} (\wedge^{k+1} R_2) = R_2$; since (8) holds for $k = 1$ (by (12.16)), this completes the induction proof of (12.26).

In view of 12.20, we can write (12.26) in the form

$$(9) \quad \partial^m (\wedge^m R_2) = D^m (\wedge^m R_2).$$

Conclusion (12.27) is now direct from (9), 12.23, and (12.25).

12.28. Remark. In view of (12.19), equation (12.26) can be written

$$R_2 = \partial^m (\wedge^{m-1} \wedge R_2) = \partial^m \left\{ \left(\frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \right) \wedge R_2 \right\};$$

recall that $R_2 \in \mathcal{K}$. If R_2 is also functionable, we can use (2.21) to write the preceding equation in the form

$$R_2 = \partial^m \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{(t-x)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} R_2(x) dx \right\}.$$

13. AN EXISTENCE THEOREM

Henceforth, μ is a fixed polynomial

$$\mu(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_m z^m,$$

where $a_m \neq 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Our aim is to prove the existence of a solution of the equation $\mu(\partial)y = B$, where B is a given element of \mathcal{X} ; of course, B need not be functionable. In case B is functionable (and such that $B(t)$ is continuous in Ω), this existence theorem is well known. Presumably, the theorem could be derived from distribution theory, but it would still remain to prove that any solution belongs to \mathcal{X} .

We shall denote by \mathcal{C}^∞ the space of all the elements g of \mathcal{C}^0 such that the function $g(t)$ is infinitely differentiable. Thus, $\mathcal{C}^\infty \subset \mathcal{C}^m$ for any integer $m \geq 0$.

In this section, g denotes the element of \mathcal{C}^∞ such that

$$(13.1) \quad \mu(\partial)g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g^{(k)}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < m-1, \\ a_m^{-1} & \text{for } k = m-1; \end{cases}$$

from (5.3) therefore

$$(13.2) \quad [\partial^k g]_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < m-1, \\ a_m^{-1} & \text{for } k = m-1. \end{cases}$$

13.3. Remark. If p is a polynomial

$$(13.4) \quad p(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_m z^m$$

it follows from (5.18) and (13.2) that

$$(13.5) \quad p(\partial)g = p(D)g - a_m^{-1}b_m D.$$

13.6. THEOREM. *The operator $\mu(D)$ is an invertible element of the algebra \mathcal{A} ; its inverse belongs to \mathcal{X} . If λ is a polynomial of degree $r < m$, the equation*

$$(13.7) \quad G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{D\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)}$$

implies $G \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$,

$$(13.8) \quad G = \lambda(\partial)g,$$

and

$$(13.9) \quad \mu(\partial)G = 0.$$

Proof. Set $p = \lambda$ in 13.3: since $r < m$, it follows that $b_m = 0$; therefore, (13.5) gives

$$(13.10) \quad \lambda(\partial)g = \lambda(D)g.$$

Set $p = \mu$ in 13.3: therefore, $b_m = a_m$, whence (13.5) implies

$$(13.11) \quad \mu(\partial)g = \mu(D)g - D.$$

Since $\mu(\partial)g = 0$ (by (13.1)), equation (13.11) implies that

$$(13.12) \quad \mu(D)g = D.$$

Left-multiplying by \wedge both sides of (13.12), we obtain $\mu(D)[\wedge g] = \{1\}$; since $\wedge g \in \mathcal{X}$ (by (12.15.1)), the operator $\mu(D)$ is invertible and belongs to \mathcal{X} ; from (13.12) therefore,

$$(13.13) \quad g = \frac{D}{\mu(D)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D[\mu(D)]^{-1}.$$

Combining (13.13) with (13.10):

$$(13.14) \quad \lambda(\partial)g = \frac{D\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)} = G;$$

the last equation is from (13.7). Since $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, it follows from 5.7 that $G \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$. In view of (13.14), it only remains to prove (13.9); to that effect, note that, by (13.14),

$$\mu(\partial)G = \mu(\partial)[\lambda(\partial)g] = \lambda(\partial)[\mu(\partial)g] = 0;$$

the middle equation is from (5.9), while the last equation is from (13.1).

13.15. THEOREM. *Let μ be a polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$. If $B \in \mathcal{X}$, then*

$$(13.16) \quad \frac{B}{\mu(D)} \in \mathcal{X}_m$$

moreover,

$$(13.17) \quad [\partial^k (B/\mu(D))]_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < m$$

and

$$(13.18) \quad \mu(\partial)(B/\mu(D)) = B;$$

also,

$$(13.19) \quad \text{if } \mu(\partial)y \text{ is definable and belongs to } \mathcal{X}, \text{ then } y \in \mathcal{X}_m.$$

Proof. Setting $p(\partial) = \partial^m$ in 13.3, we obtain

$$(1) \quad \partial^m g = D^m g - a_m^{-1} D = D^m \frac{D}{\mu(D)} - a_m^{-1} D;$$

the last equation is from (13.13). Since $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$, it follows from 5.3 and (1) that

$$(2) \quad g^{(m)} = -a_m^{-1} D + \frac{1}{\mu(D)} D^{m+1}.$$

Left-multiplying by $B \wedge^{m+1}$ both sides of (2):

$$B \wedge^{m+1} g^{(m)} = -a_m^{-1} B D \wedge^{m+1} + \frac{B}{\mu(D)} D^{m+1} \wedge^{m+1};$$

from 12.20 and 2.18 therefore,

$$\wedge^m B \wedge g^{(m)} = -a_m^{-1} B \wedge^m + \frac{B}{\mu(D)};$$

that is,

$$(3) \quad \frac{B}{\mu(D)} = \wedge^m B_2, \quad \text{where} \quad B_2 = a_m^{-1} B + B \wedge g^{(m)}.$$

Since $B \in \mathcal{K}$ (by hypothesis), and since $g^{(m)} \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows from 12.12 that $B_2 \in \mathcal{K}$: conclusion (13.16) now comes from (3) and (12.25). Since $B_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, conclusion (13.17) results from (3) and (12.27).

We can set $p = \mu$ and $y = B/\mu(D)$ in 5.17 to obtain (13.18) from (13.17): note that $\mu(\partial)y$ is definable (by (13.16) and 4.4).

It remains to prove (13.19). By hypothesis (see Definition 5.4) we have $\partial^k y \in \mathcal{K}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$; from 5.17–5.16 it follows the existence of a polynomial λ of degree less than m such that

$$\mu(D)y - D\lambda(D) = \mu(\partial)y,$$

whence

$$(4) \quad y = \frac{\mu(\partial)y}{\mu(D)} - \frac{D\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)} = \frac{B}{\mu(D)} - G;$$

the last equation is obtained by setting $B = \mu(\partial)y$ and $G = D\lambda(D)/\mu(D)$. Since $B \in \mathcal{K}$ (from our hypothesis $\partial^k y \in \mathcal{K}$) and since $G \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ (from 13.6), the conclusion $y \in \mathcal{K}_m$ now comes from (13.16).

13.20. THEOREM. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{K}$ and let c_k ($0 \leq k < m$) be a sequence of complex numbers. The initial-value problem

$$\mu(D)y = B \quad \text{with} \quad [\partial^k y]_0 = c_k \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq k < m)$$

has a solution y in \mathcal{K}_m .

Proof. Let F be the element of \mathcal{C}^∞ such that

$$(5) \quad \mu(\partial)F = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\partial^k F]_0 = c_k;$$

recall that $[\partial^k F]_0 = F^{(k)}(0)$ (see 5.3). Set

$$(6) \quad y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{B}{\mu(D)} + F.$$

From (6) and (13.18) therefore

$$\mu(\partial)y = \mu(\partial) \frac{B}{\mu(D)} + 0 = B.$$

On the other hand, the equations

$$[\partial^k y]_0 = \left[\partial^k \frac{B}{\mu(D)} \right]_0 + [\partial^k F]_0 = 0 + c_k = c_k$$

are from (6), (5), and (13.17). The conclusion $y \in \mathcal{K}_m$ comes from (6) and (13.16).

13.21. THEOREM. If $B \in \mathcal{K}$ and ∂B is functionable, then $B \in \mathcal{C}^0$ and $B'(t) = [\partial B](t)$. Let μ be a polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$; if $\mu(\partial)y$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{C}^0 , then $y \in \mathcal{C}^m$.

Proof. Set $F = \partial B$; from Definition 3.6 it follows that $F = D[B - \{[B]_0 \mathbf{1}\}]$; left-multiplying by \wedge both sides of this equation, we have

$$\wedge F = B - \{[B]_0 \mathbf{1}\} \quad (\text{from 2.18});$$

from (12.17) therefore,

$$B = \{[B]_0 \mathbf{1}\} + \int_0^t F(x) dx;$$

since $F(t) \in L^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, it now results from 2.12 that

$$B(t) = [B]_0 + \int_0^t F(x) dx,$$

whence $B'(\tau) = F(\tau) = [\partial B](\tau)$ for almost-every τ in Ω .

Set $B = \mu(\partial)y$: our hypothesis implies that $B \in \mathcal{C}^0$; as is well known, there exists an operator y_1 in \mathcal{C}^m such that (in view of 5.6)

$$\mu(\partial)y_1 = B \quad \text{and} \quad y_1^{(k)}(0) = [\partial^k y_1]_0 = [\partial^k y]_0 \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq k < m);$$

from 5.17 it therefore follows that $\mu(D)y = \mu(D)y_1$, which implies $y = y_1$: since $y_1 \in \mathcal{C}^m$, we have our conclusion $y \in \mathcal{C}^m$.

13.22. THEOREM. Let h be a non-zero polynomial of degree $< m$, where m is the degree of μ . If $F \in \mathcal{K}$ and if $h(\partial)F$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{K} , then

$$(13.23) \quad \mu(\partial) \left[\frac{h(D)F}{\mu(D)} \right] = h(\partial)F.$$

Proof. From 5.17–5.16 it follows the existence of a polynomial λ such that $\deg(\lambda) < \deg(h)$ and

$$h(\partial)F = h(D)F - D\lambda(D);$$

consequently,

$$(13.24) \quad \frac{h(D)F}{\mu(D)} = \frac{h(\partial)F}{\mu(D)} + G, \quad \text{where} \quad G = \frac{D\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)}.$$

Since $\deg(\lambda) < \deg(h) < m$, it results from (13.24) and (13.9) that

$$\mu(\partial) \left[\frac{h(D)F}{\mu(D)} \right] = \mu(\partial) \left[\frac{h(\partial)F}{\mu(D)} \right] + 0 = h(\partial)F;$$

the last equation is obtained by setting $B = h(\partial)F$ in (13.18).

14. CONCLUDING SECTION

It remains to prove that the operator (9.10) satisfies the differential equation (9.11). As in Sections 7-11, the open interval Ω has the form $(-\infty, \beta)$; further, μ is a polynomial of degree ≥ 1 .

14.1. THEOREM. *Let λ be a polynomial whose degree is smaller than the degree of μ . Set*

$$(14.2) \quad G = \frac{D\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)}.$$

If $F \in [G]$, then $G \otimes F \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ and

$$(14.3) \quad \mu(\partial)[G \otimes F] = 0.$$

Proof. Let Z be the set of zeros of μ ; as in 9.2, let $\pi(a)$ ($a \in Z$) be the family of integers $\pi(a) \geq 0$ such that

$$(14.4) \quad G = \sum_{a \in Z} \sum_{m=0}^{\pi(a)} c_a^m \{e^{at} t^m\},$$

where the c_a^m are complex numbers. Our hypothesis $F \in [G]$ means that $F \in \mathfrak{B}$ and

$$(14.5) \quad \sum_{a \in Z} \sum_{n=0}^{\pi(a)} \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ax} x^n [LF](x) dx \right| < \infty.$$

Take any a in Z and let $0 \leq m \leq \pi(a)$. Set $g = e^{at} t^m$; consequently,

$$(8) \quad g(\tau) = e^{a\tau} \tau^m \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \in \Omega.$$

If $k \geq 0$ it follows from Definition (8.1) that

$$(9) \quad g^{(k)} \otimes F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 g^{(k)}(t-x) [LF](x) dx.$$

In view of (9)-(8):

$$(10) \quad g \otimes F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 (t-x)^m e^{at} e^{-ax} [LF](x) dx;$$

therefore,

$$(11) \quad g \otimes F(t) = \sum_{v=0}^m \binom{m}{v} e^{at} t^m \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ax} (-x)^{m-v} [LF](x) dx \right];$$

from (8) and (11) we may therefore conclude that

$$(12) \quad \{e^{at} t^m\} \otimes F \quad \text{belongs to} \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty.$$

Since $0 \leq m-v \leq m \leq \pi(a)$, the existence of the number

$$(13) \quad F(a, m-v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ax} (-x)^{m-v} [LF](x) dx$$

follows from (14.5). Equation (11) can now be written

$$(14) \quad \{e^{at} t^m\} \otimes F = \sum_{v=0}^m \binom{m}{v} F(a, m-v) \{e^{at} t^m\}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (9) that

$$g^{(k)} \otimes F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k g(t-x) [LF](x) dx;$$

from (8) therefore,

$$(15) \quad g^{(k)} \otimes F(t) = \sum_{v=0}^m \binom{m}{v} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k e^{at} t^m \right] e^{-ax} (-x)^{m-v} [LF](x) dx.$$

From (15) and (13) therefore

$$g^{(k)} \otimes F(t) = \sum_{v=0}^m \binom{m}{v} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k e^{at} t^m \right] F(a, m-v),$$

whence, by (8) and 5.3,

$$(16) \quad [\partial^k \{e^{at} t^m\}] \otimes F = \sum_{v=0}^m \binom{m}{v} F(a, m-v) [\partial^k \{e^{at} t^m\}].$$

From (14) and (16) we may now conclude that

$$(17) \quad \partial^k [\{e^{at} t^m\} \otimes F] = [\partial^k \{e^{at} t^m\}] \otimes F.$$

Now for the conclusion. From (14.4) we see that

$$(18) \quad \partial^k [G \otimes F] = \sum_{a, m} c_a^m \partial^k [\{e^{at} t^m\} \otimes F];$$

from (17) therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^k[G \otimes F] &= \sum_{a,m} c_a^m [\partial^k \{e^{at} t^m\}] \otimes F \\ &= \partial^k \left[\sum_{a,m} c_a^m \{e^{at} t^m\} \right] \otimes F;\end{aligned}$$

another application of (14.4) therefore gives

$$\partial^k[G \otimes F] = [\partial^k G] \otimes F \quad \text{for any integer } k \geq 0,$$

whence

$$\mu(\partial)[G \otimes F] = [\mu(\partial)G] \otimes F = 0;$$

the last equation is from (13.9). This gives Conclusion (14.3). The conclusion $G \otimes F \in \mathcal{E}$ comes from (12) by setting $k = 0$ in (18).

14.6. THEOREM. Let P be a non-zero polynomial. Let Q and λ be the polynomials such that

$$(14.7) \quad P/\mu = Q + \lambda/\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(\lambda) < \deg(\mu).$$

Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{D}[\lambda(D)/\mu(D)]$; if $P(\partial)F$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{X} , then

$$(14.8) \quad \mu(\partial) \left[\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F \right] = P(\partial)F.$$

Proof. Let n be the degree of P , let m be the degree of μ , and let r be the degree of Q . Since $P(\partial)F$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{X} , it follows from 5.5 that

$$(19) \quad F \in \mathcal{X}_n.$$

Since $\deg(\lambda) < m \leq n$, it results from (19) that $F \in \mathcal{X}_i$, where i is the degree of λ : therefore, $\lambda(\partial)F$ is definable and belongs to \mathcal{X} (see 5.5): consequently, we may set $h = \lambda$ in 13.22 to obtain

$$(20) \quad \mu(\partial) \left[\frac{\lambda(D)F}{\mu(D)} \right] = \lambda(\partial)F.$$

On the other hand, (14.7) gives

$$(21) \quad P = \mu Q + \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad n = m + r;$$

it therefore follows from (5.10) that

$$(22) \quad P(\partial)F = \mu Q(\partial)F + \lambda(\partial)F = \mu(\partial)[Q(\partial)F] + \lambda(\partial)F;$$

the last equation is from (19), $n = \deg(\mu Q)$, 5.5 and (5.9). From Definition (9.10) we see that

$$\mu(\partial) \left[\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F \right] = \mu(\partial)[Q(\partial)F] + \mu(\partial) \left[\frac{\lambda(D)F}{\mu(D)} \right] + \mu(\partial)[G \otimes F];$$

from (20) and (14.3) therefore

$$\mu(\partial) \left[\frac{P(\partial)}{\mu(\partial)} F \right] = \mu(\partial)[Q(\partial)F] + \lambda(\partial)F + 0 = P(\partial)F;$$

the last equation is from (22). This concludes the proof of (14.8).

15. APPENDIX

This section is devoted to proving (7.6) and 7.10. Let B be a functional operator. The equation

$$(15.1) \quad T_0 B = \{T_0(t)B(t)\}$$

is proved in [10], (6.2). From (7.2) it follows that

$$\{l(t)B(t)\} = \{B(t) - T_0(t)B(t)\} = B - T_0 B = [\{1\} - T_0]B;$$

the middle equation is from (7.5) and (15.1); the last equation comes from (2.16.1); Conclusion (7.6) is now immediate from (7.1). Thus, we have also proved that

$$(15.2) \quad B(t)l(t) = lB(t).$$

It remains to prove 7.10.

15.3. Recall that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if (and only if) $A\{w\} = \{A \cdot w\}$ for all w in W (see Definition 2.2).

15.4. LEMMA. If $R \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W$, then

$$(15.5) \quad [R \cdot w](\tau)l(\tau) = [lR \cdot w](\tau) \quad \text{for} \quad \tau \in \Omega.$$

Proof. From 15.3 we see that

$$\{R \cdot w\}(\tau)l(\tau) = [R\{w\}](\tau)l(\tau) = [lR\{w\}](\tau) = \{lR \cdot w\}(\tau);$$

the middle equation is from (15.2); the last equation is from 15.3 (with $A = lR$). Conclusion (15.5) now comes by observing that

$$\{A \cdot w\}(\tau) = [A \cdot w](\tau) \quad \text{for any operator } A \text{ (see 2.12).}$$

15.6. THEOREM. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in L^{100}(\Omega)$. If A agrees with f on $(-\infty, 0)$, then

$$(15.7) \quad lA = l\{f\}.$$

Proof. Take any w in W . By hypothesis,

$$(15.8) \quad [A \cdot w](\tau) = [\{f\} \cdot w](\tau) \quad \text{for} \quad \tau < 0;$$

see 3.2. From (15.8) and (7.2) it follows that

$$[A \cdot w](\tau)l(\tau) = [\{f\} \cdot w](\tau)l(\tau) \quad \text{for } \tau \in \Omega,$$

whence a double application of (15.5) now gives

$$[lA \cdot w](\tau) = [l\{f\} \cdot w](\tau):$$

since $\tau \in \Omega$ and $w \in W$, we have obtained (15.7).

15.9. Proof of 7.10. In view of 15.6, it suffices to verify that (15.7) implies (15.8). To that effect, note that (15.5) gives

$$(15.10) \quad [R \cdot w](\tau) = [lR \cdot w](\tau) \quad \text{for } \tau < 0.$$

Therefore, for $\tau < 0$,

$$[A \cdot w](\tau) = [lA \cdot w](\tau) = [l\{f\} \cdot w](\tau) = [\{f\} \cdot w](\tau);$$

the middle equation is from (15.7); the last equation is from (15.10). We have obtained (15.8) as a consequence of (15.7).

References

- [1] L. Berg, *Operatorenrechnung, I. Algebraische Methoden*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wiss., Berlin 1972.
- [2] H. Bremermann, *Distributions, complex variables, and Fourier transforms*, Reading 1965.
- [3] E. A. Coddington, *An introduction to ordinary differential equations*, Englewood Cliffs, 1961.
- [4] R. V. Churchill, *Operational mathematics*, New York 1958.
- [5] G. Doetsch, *Guide to the applications of the Laplace and Z-transforms*, London 1971.
- [6] V. Doležal, *Dynamics of linear systems*, Groningen, Prague 1967.
- [7] D. L. Holl, C. G. Maple and B. Vinograd, *Introduction to the Laplace transform*, New York 1959.
- [8] W. Kaplan, *Operational methods for linear systems*, Reading 1962.
- [9] G. Krabbe, *Operational calculus*, New York 1970.
- [10] — *An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval: two sided operational calculus*, Pacific J. Math. (42) 2 (1972), pp. 381–411.
- [11] — *An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval: two-sided operational calculus*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (77) 1 (1971), pp. 78–84.
- [12] B. P. Lathi, *Signals, systems and communication*, New York 1966.
- [13] B. J. Leon, *Lumped systems*, New York 1968.
- [14] — and P. A. Wintz, *Basic linear networks for electrical and electronics engineers*, New York 1970.
- [15] B. Van Der Pol and H. Bremmer, *Operational calculus based on the two-sided Laplace integral*, Cambridge 1955.
- [16] F. M. Reza and S. Seely, *Modern network analysis*, New York 1959.
- [17] R. J. Schwartz and B. Friedland, *Linear systems*, New York 1965.
- [18] H. S. Shultz, *An algebra of distributions on an open interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 169 (1972), pp. 163–181.

- [19] G. Wunsch, *Die Heavisidesche Operatorenrechnung in neuer Begründung*, Wissensch. Zeitschr. Techn. Hochschule Dresden (9) 4 (1959/60), pp. 991–998.
- [20] A. H. Zemanian, *Distribution theory and transform analysis, an introduction to generalized functions, with applications*, New York 1965.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
PURDUE UNIVERSITY, LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

Received September 30, 1974

(875)