

- [11] S. McKilligan and A. J. White, *Representation of L -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3-rd Series, 25 (1972), pp. 655-674.
- [12] D. Maharan, *On homogeneous measure algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 28 (1942), pp. 108-111.
- [13] Z. Phelps, *A Banach space characterization of purely atomic measure spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), pp. 447-452.
- [14] H. Porta, *Compactly determined locally convex topologies*, Math. Ann. 196 (1972), pp. 91-100.
- [15] J. S. Pym, *The convolution of functionals on spaces of bounded functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3-rd Series, 15 (1965), pp. 84-104.
- [16] H. Rosenthal, *On quasicomplemented subspaces of Banach spaces with an appendix on compactness of operators from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^r(\nu)$* , J. Funct. Analysis 4 (1969), pp. 176-214.
- [17] L. A. Rubel, *Bounded convergence of analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), pp. 13-23.
- [18] — and Z. Ryff, *The bounded weak-star topology and the bounded analytic functions*, J. Funct. Analysis 5 (1970), pp. 167-183.
- [19] — and A. L. Shields, *Bounded approximation by polynomials*, Acta Math. 112 (1964), pp. 145-162.
- [20] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1971.
- [21] J. H. Shapiro, *The bounded weak star topology and the general strict topology*, J. Funct. Analysis 8 (1971), pp. 275-286.
- [22] — *Weak topologies on subspaces of $C(S)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 157 (1971), pp. 471-479.
- [23] A. C. Zaanen, *Integration*, 2-nd Ed., John Wilers, New York 1967.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA

Received April 18, 1975

(1002)

The structure of L -ideals of measure algebras

by

KEIJI IZUCHI (Yokohama)

Abstract. This paper shows that there are L -ideals I_1 and I_2 of the measure algebra on a L. C. A. group such that $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$ and there are no L -ideals I such that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$.

1. Introduction. Let G be a non-discrete L.C.A. group and let \hat{G} be the dual group of G . Let $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$ be the group algebra on G and the measure algebra on G , respectively. We denote by $\text{Rad} L^1(G)$ the radical of $L^1(G)$, that is, $\text{Rad} L^1(G)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of $M(G)$ which contain $L^1(G)$. For $\mu, \nu \in M(G)$ $\nu \ll \mu$ means that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , and $\nu \perp \mu$ means that ν and μ are mutually singular. For $\mu \in M(G)$, we put $L^1(\mu) = \{\lambda \in M(G); \lambda \ll \mu\}$. A closed subspace (ideal, subalgebra) N is called an L -subspace (L -ideal, L -subalgebra) if $L^1(\mu) \subset N$ for every $\mu \in N$.

Taylor [8] showed a characterization of the maximal ideal space of $M(G)$ as follows: There exist compact topological abelian semigroup S and an isometry isomorphism θ of $M(G)$ into $M(S)$ such that the maximal ideal space of $M(G)$ is identified with \hat{S} , the set of all continuous semi-characters of S , and the Gelfand transform of $\mu \in M(G)$ is given by $\hat{\mu}(f) = \int_S f d\theta\mu$ for $f \in \hat{S}$.

For a closed ideal I of $M(G)$, we put

$$Z(I) = \{f \in \hat{S}; \hat{\mu}(f) = 0 \text{ for every } \mu \in I\}.$$

H. Helson ([2]) showed that: If I_1 and I_2 are closed ideals of $L^1(G)$ with $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$ and $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$, then there is a closed ideal I such that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$.

In this paper, we show that Helson's theorem is not true in the category of L -ideals of $M(G)$ or in the category of closed ideals of $M(G)$. Our results are the following.

THEOREM 1. *There are two L -ideals I_1, I_2 of $M(G)$ such that $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$ and $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$, but there are no L -ideals I so that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$.*

THEOREM 2. *There are closed ideals I_1, I_2 of $M(G)$ and an L -ideal I_3 of $M(G)$ such that $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3$ and $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2) = Z(I_3)$, but there are neither closed ideals I with $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$ nor with $I_2 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_3$.*

THEOREM 3. *There exist L -ideals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $M(G)$ such that $L^1(G) \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I_n \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \text{Rad } L^1(G)$.*

The author is grateful to T. Shimizu for many helpful suggestions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. After some lemmas, we show Theorem 1. For $\mu \in M(G)$, we denote by $I(\mu)$ the smallest L -ideal of $M(G)$ which contains μ . For L -ideals I_1, I_2 of $M(G)$ such that $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$ and $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$, we say that (I_1, I_2) has property $(\#)$ if the following condition is satisfied:

$(\#)$ *There are no L -ideals I of $M(G)$ such that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$.*

For $x \in G$, $\delta(x)$ is the unit point mass at x . For an L -subspace N , we put $N^\perp = \{\mu \in M(G); \mu \perp N\}$. An L -ideal I is called *prime* if I^\perp is an L -subalgebra.

LEMMA 1. *Let H be a closed subgroup of G . If there are L -ideals I_1, I_2 of $M(H)$ such that (I_1, I_2) has property $(\#)$, then there are L -ideals J_1, J_2 of $M(G)$ such that (J_1, J_2) has property $(\#)$.*

Proof. We change the topology of G by adjoining to the original collection τ of open sets arbitrary unions of sets of the form $(H+x) \cap V$, where $x \in G$ and $V \in \tau$. We denote its L.C.A. group by G_H . Then we have $M(G) = M(G_H) \oplus M(G_H)^\perp$, $M(G_H)^\perp$ is a prime L -ideal of $M(G)$ and $M(H) \subset M(G_H)$. Let \tilde{I}_1, \tilde{I}_2 be the L -ideal of $M(G_H)$ generated by I_1, I_2 . Then we have $\tilde{I}_1 \subsetneq \tilde{I}_2$. Next we show $Z(\tilde{I}_1) = Z(\tilde{I}_2)$. Suppose that $Z(\tilde{I}_1) \supsetneq Z(\tilde{I}_2)$. Then there is a complex homomorphism ψ of $M(G_H)$ such that $\psi(\lambda) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \tilde{I}_1$, but there is $\nu \in \tilde{I}_2$ with $\psi(\nu) \neq 0$. Since \tilde{I}_2 coincides with the L -subspace generated by $\{\delta(x)*\eta; x \in G_H, \eta \in I_2\}$, there are $x \in G_H$ and $\eta \in I_2$ such that $\psi(\delta(x)*\eta) \neq 0$. Thus we have $\psi(\eta) \neq 0$, but $\psi(\lambda) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in I_1$. This contradicts $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$. We put $J_1 = \tilde{I}_1 \oplus M(G_H)^\perp$ and $J_2 = \tilde{I}_2 \oplus M(G_H)^\perp$. Then J_1 and J_2 are distinct L -ideals of $M(G)$, and $Z(J_1) = Z(J_2)$ is clear. Let J be an L -ideal such that $J_1 \subsetneq J \subsetneq J_2$. We put $I = \{\mu \in J; \mu \in M(G_H)\}$. Since $M(G_H)^\perp$ is a prime L -ideal, I is an L -ideal of $M(G_H)$ and $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$. By the assumption of this lemma, we have $I = I_2$. Then $J = J_2$. Thus (J_1, J_2) has property $(\#)$.

Let K be a compact subgroup of G and let φ be the canonical homomorphism from G onto G/K . Then φ induces the homomorphism Φ from $M(G)$ onto $M(G/K)$. We denote by m_K the normalized Haar measure on K . We note that if $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G)$ and $\Phi(\mu_1) = \Phi(\mu_2)$, then $\mu_1 * m_K = \mu_2 * m_K$.

LEMMA 2 ([1], Lemma 3.2). *Let $f \in \hat{S}$, the maximal ideal space of $M(G)$, such that $f = 1$ a.e. θm_K . Then there is a non-zero complex homomorphism*

ψ of $M(G/K)$ such that

$$\hat{\mu}(f) = \psi(\Phi\mu) \quad \text{for every } \mu \in M(G).$$

Remark. If $f \in \hat{S}$ and $f \neq 1$ a.e. θm_K , then $\hat{m}_K(f) = 0$. Thus if $f \in \hat{S}$ and $\hat{m}_K(f) \neq 0$, then there is a non-zero complex homomorphism ψ of $M(G/K)$ such that $\hat{\mu}(f) = \psi(\Phi\mu)$ for every $\mu \in M(G)$.

LEMMA 3. *Let K be a compact subgroup of G . If there are L -ideals I_1, I_2 of $M(G/K)$ such that (I_1, I_2) has property $(\#)$, then there are L -ideals J_1, J_2 of $M(G)$ such that (J_1, J_2) has property $(\#)$.*

Proof. Let $J_1 = \Phi^{-1}(I_1)$; then J_1 is an L -ideal of $M(G)$. Let J'_2 be an L -ideal of $M(G)$ generated by $\Phi^{-1}(I_2) * m_K$. Since $\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(I_2) * m_K) = I_2$, we have $\Phi(J'_2) = I_2$. Suppose that J is an L -ideal of $M(G)$ such that $\Phi(J) = I_2$. Since $J \supset J * m_K = \Phi^{-1}(I_2) * m_K$, we have $J \supset J'_2$. We put $J_2 = J_1 + J'_2$; then J_2 is an L -ideal of $M(G)$, $\Phi(J_2) = \Phi(J_1) + \Phi(J'_2) = I_1 + I_2 = I_2$ and $J_1 \subsetneq J_2$. Next we show $Z(J_1) = Z(J_2)$. Suppose that $Z(J_2) \subsetneq Z(J_1)$. Then there exist $\hat{f} \in \hat{S}$ and $\mu \in J_2$ such that $\mu \in J'_2$, $\hat{\mu}(f) \neq 0$ and $\hat{\lambda}(f) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in J_1$. Since $\Phi^{-1}(I_2) * m_K$ is dense in J'_2 , we have $\hat{m}_K(f) \neq 0$. By the remark of Lemma 2, there is a non-zero complex homomorphism ψ of $M(G/K)$ such that $\psi(\Phi(\nu)) = \hat{\nu}(f)$ for every $\nu \in M(G)$. Then we have $\psi \in Z(I_1)$, but $\psi \notin Z(I_2)$. This contradicts $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$. Thus we have $Z(J_1) = Z(J_2)$. Let J be an L -ideal of $M(G)$ such that $J_1 \subsetneq J \subsetneq J_2$. Then we have $I_1 = \Phi(J_1) \subset \Phi(J) \subset \Phi(J_2) = I_2$. Since $J_1 = \Phi^{-1}(I_1)$, we have $I_1 \subsetneq \Phi(J) \subsetneq I_2$. By the assumption of this lemma, we have $\Phi(J) = I_2$. This implies $J'_2 \subset J$. Since $J_2 = J_1 + J'_2 \subset J$, we get $J_2 = J$. Thus (J_1, J_2) has property $(\#)$.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that there is a positive measure μ on G satisfying the following conditions: (1) and (2); then there are L -ideals I_1, I_2 of $M(G)$ such that (I_1, I_2) has property $(\#)$.*

(1) $I(\mu) = I(\lambda)$ for every non-zero $\lambda \ll \mu$.

(2) *There exist $f \in \hat{S}$ and a positive number b ($0 < b < 1$) such that $|f| = b$ a.e. $\theta \mu$.*

Proof. Let μ be a positive measure satisfying the conditions of this lemma. We put $I_2 = I(\mu)$ and put $I_1 = \{\lambda \in I_2; |\lambda| * M(G) \perp \mu\}$; then I_1 is an L -ideal, $I_1 \perp \mu$ and $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$. By condition (2), there exists $f \in \hat{S}$ and $0 < b < 1$ such that $|f| = b$ a.e. $\theta \mu$. Since $|f| = b^2$ a.e. $\theta(\mu * \mu)$, we have $(\mu * \mu) * M(G) \perp \mu$. Thus we have $\mu * \mu \in I_1$. Since $Z(I(\mu)) = Z(I(\mu * \mu))$ and $I(\mu * \mu) \subset I_1 \subset I_2$, we have $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2)$. Next we show that there are no L -ideals I such that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$. Suppose that I is an L -ideal such that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$. Then there exists $\nu \in I$ such that $\nu \geq 0$ and $\nu \perp I_1$. By the definition of I_1 , there exists $\eta \in M(G)$ such that $\eta > 0$ and $\nu * \eta \text{ non } \perp \mu$. Then there is $\lambda_0 \in L^1(\mu)$ so that $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_0 \ll \nu * \eta$. By condition (1), we have

$I(\lambda_0) = I(\mu) = I_2$. Since $\lambda_0 \in I$, we have $I(\lambda_0) \subset I$. Thus $I = I_2$. This completes the proof.

Let G_1 be one of the following metrizable L.C.A. groups;

- (1) a countable product of finite cyclic groups $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Z(p_i)$,
- (2) a p -adic integer group A_p ,
- (3) the circle group T ,
- (4) the additive group of the real line R .

In [5], Johnson shows that there is a measure μ on G_1 which satisfies condition (2) of Lemma 4. We will show that such μ satisfies condition (1) of Lemma 4.

Let V_0, V_1, \dots be a basic system of compact neighbourhoods of 0 in G_1 with $V_i \supset V_{i+1} + V_{i+1}$; m_1, m_2, \dots , positive integers and x_{ij} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, j = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1$ such that $x_{i0} = 0$, $x_{ij} \in V_{i-1}$, and V_{i-1} is equal to the union of the disjoint sets $x_{ij} + V_i$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1$. Let

$$X_i = \{x_{ij}; j = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1\}, \quad Y_i = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_i,$$

$$M_i = \frac{2}{m_i(m_i + 1)}, \quad \delta_i = M_i \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} (m_i - j) \delta(x_{ij}),$$

$\mu = \bigstar_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i$, and $\mu_n = \bigstar_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \delta_i$ (convergence in the weak-* topology). Then μ_n is supported in V_n , $\mu_n \geq 0$ and $\|\mu_n\| = 1$. We note that

$$\mu = \sum_{y \in Y_i} \mu(y + V_i) \delta(y) * \mu_i$$

and $\delta(y) * \mu_i \perp \delta(y') * \mu_i$ for distinct $y, y' \in Y_i$.

LEMMA 5. $L^1(\mu)$ is the closed linear span of $\{\delta(y) * \mu_i; y \in Y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Proof. Since $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} V_i = \{0\}$ and V_{i-1} is the union of the disjoint sets $x_{ij} + V_i$, Lemma 5 is clear.

Remark. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and for $\nu \in L^1(\mu)$, there are a positive integer m and complex numbers $\{a(y)\}_{y \in Y_m}$ such that

$$\left\| \nu - \sum_{y \in Y_m} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu_m \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 6. Let N be an L -subspace and $\lambda \in M(G_1)$. If for every $\nu \ll \lambda$ there is $\eta \in N$ such that $\lambda \text{ non } \perp \eta$, then $\lambda \in N$.

Proof. It is clear by Lebesgue's decomposition theorem.

LEMMA 7. Such a measure μ as above satisfies condition (1) of Lemma 4.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in L^1(\mu)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Then we have $I(\lambda) \subset I(\mu)$. Next we show that $\lambda \in L^1(\mu)$ implies $\mu \in I(\lambda)$. To show this fact, it is sufficient to show that for every $\nu \ll \mu$ there is $x \in G_1$ such that $\delta(x) * \lambda \text{ non } \perp \nu$ by Lemma 6. Here we may assume that $\lambda \geq 0$, $\nu \geq 0$ and $\|\lambda\| = \|\nu\| = 1$. By Lemma 5, there are a positive integer n and complex numbers $\{a(y), b(y)\}_{y \in Y_n}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \left\| \lambda - \sum_{y \in Y_n} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu_n \right\| < \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \left\| \nu - \sum_{y \in Y_n} b(y) \delta(y) * \mu_n \right\| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

For $y \in Y_n$, we can decompose

$$(3) \quad \mu_n = \mu_{n,y,\lambda} + \mu'_{n,y,\lambda},$$

where $\delta(y) * \mu_{n,y,\lambda} \leq \lambda$ and $\delta(y) * \mu'_{n,y,\lambda} \perp \lambda$, and

$$(4) \quad \mu_n = \mu_{n,y,\nu} + \mu'_{n,y,\nu},$$

where $\delta(y) * \mu_{n,y,\nu} \leq \nu$ and $\delta(y) * \mu'_{n,y,\nu} \perp \nu$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \lambda - \sum_{y \in Y_n} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| \lambda - \sum_{y \in Y_n} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu_{n,y,\lambda} \right\| + \left\| \sum_{y \in Y_n} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu'_{n,y,\lambda} \right\| < \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{y \in Y_n} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu'_{n,y,\lambda} \right\| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

On the other hand, (1) implies that

$$(6) \quad \frac{3}{4} < \sum_{y \in Y_n} |a(y)| < \frac{5}{4}.$$

Here we show that there is $y_0 \in Y_n$ such that $a(y_0) \neq 0$ and $\|\mu_{n,y_0,\lambda}\| > \frac{1}{2}$. Suppose that $\|\mu_{n,y,\lambda}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for every $y \in Y_n$ with $a(y) \neq 0$. Then we have $\|\mu'_{n,y,\lambda}\| > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $y \in Y_n$ with $a(y) \neq 0$.

By (5), we have

$$\frac{1}{4} > \left\| \sum_{y \in Y_n} a(y) \delta(y) * \mu'_{n,y,\lambda} \right\| = \sum_{y \in Y_n} |a(y)| \|\mu'_{n,y,\lambda}\| > \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in Y_n} |a(y)|.$$

Hence we have $\frac{1}{2} > \sum_{y \in Y_n} |a(y)|$. This contradicts (6). Thus there is $y_0 \in Y_n$ such that

$$(7) \quad a(y_0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu_{n,y_0,\lambda}\| > \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the same argument as above, there is $y_1 \in Y_n$ such that

$$(8) \quad b(y_1) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu_{n,y_1}\| > \frac{1}{2}.$$

By (3) and (4), there are characteristic functions χ_1 and χ_2 such that $\mu_{n,y_0,\lambda} = \chi_1 \mu_n$ and $\mu_{n,y_1,\nu} = \chi_2 \mu_n$. By (7) and (8), we have $\mu_{n,y_0,\lambda} \text{ non } \perp \mu_{n,y_1,\nu}$. This implies that

$$\delta(y_1 - y_0) * (\delta(y_0) * \mu_{n,y_0,\lambda}) \text{ non } \perp \delta(y_1) * \mu_{n,y_1,\nu},$$

thus we have $\delta(y_1 - y_0) * \lambda * \nu$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 8 ([5], 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 5.4). *There exist $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that μ satisfies condition (2) of Lemma 4.*

By Lemmas 1, 2, 3, 7 and 8, we have Theorem 1 as usual.

3. Proofs of another theorems. We put $M_c = \{\mu \in M(G); \mu \text{ is a continuous measure}\}$, then M_c is an L -ideal of $M(G)$. For a subset N of $M(G)$, $[N]$ means the closed subalgebra generated by N . By Varopoulos [9], we have $[M_c * M_c] \subsetneq M_c$ and $[M_c * M_c]$ is an L -ideal of $M(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $I_3 = M_c$ and $I_0 = [M_c * M_c]$; then I_0 is an L -ideal, $I_0 \subsetneq I_3$ and $Z(I_0) = Z(I_3)$. We can decompose $I_3 = I_0 \oplus N$ such that $N \subset M_c$ and $N \perp I_0$. For $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \hat{G}$ ($\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$), we put $I_4 = \{\mu \in N; \hat{\mu}(\gamma_1) = 0\}$ and $I_5 = \{\mu \in N; \hat{\mu}(\gamma_1) = \hat{\mu}(\gamma_2) = 0\}$. Then I_4 and I_5 are closed subspaces of N and $I_5 \subsetneq I_4$. We put $I_1 = I_0 \oplus I_5$ and $I_2 = I_0 \oplus I_4$; then I_1 and I_2 are closed ideals of $M(G)$, $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$ and $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2) = Z(I_3)$. At first, we show that there are no closed ideals I such that $I_2 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_3$. Suppose that I is a closed ideal with $I_2 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_3$. Then there exists $\lambda \in I$ such that $\lambda \notin I_2$ and $\lambda \in N$, so we have $\hat{\lambda}(\gamma_1) \neq 0$. For $\nu \in N$, we have

$$\left(\nu - \frac{\hat{\nu}(\gamma_1)}{\hat{\lambda}(\gamma_1)} \lambda \right)^\wedge (\gamma_1) = 0.$$

Thus

$$\nu - \frac{\hat{\nu}(\gamma_1)}{\hat{\lambda}(\gamma_1)} \lambda \in I_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \in \frac{\hat{\nu}(\gamma_1)}{\hat{\lambda}(\gamma_1)} \lambda + I_4 \subset I.$$

This implies that $I_3 = I_0 \oplus N \subset I$ and $I = I_3$. As the same way as the above, we can prove the fact that there are no closed ideals I such that $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$.

As the same way as Theorem 2, we have the following:

THEOREM 2'. *Let M be an L -ideal of $M(G)$ such that $M \neq [M * M]$. Then there are closed ideals I_1 and I_2 such that $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq M$ and $Z(I_1) = Z(I_2) = Z(M)$, but there are neither closed ideals I with $I_1 \subsetneq I \subsetneq I_2$ nor with $I_2 \subsetneq I \subsetneq M$.*

For subsets N, M of $M(G)$, we put $N * M = \{\mu_1 * \mu_2; \mu_1 \in N, \mu_2 \in M\}$ and we put $N^n = N * N^{n-1}$ for a positive integer n .

To prove Theorem 3, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 9 ([3], P. 419). *For each integer $k > 1$, there is a non-zero positive measure μ in $\text{Rad } L^1(G)$ such that $\mu^n \perp L^1(G)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$), where $\mu^n = \mu * \mu^{n-1}$ and $\mu^k \in L^1(G)$.*

Proof of Theorem 3. We construct such L -ideals $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ inductively. The first step, there is a measure $\mu_1 > 0$ such that $\mu_1 \perp L^1(G)$ and $\mu_1^2 \in L^1(G)$ by Lemma 9. We put $I_1 = I(\mu_1)$. Since $L^1(G)$ is the smallest L -ideal of $M(G)$, we have $L^1(G) \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq \text{Rad } L^1(G)$ and $I_1 * I_1 \subset L^1(G)$. Suppose that for a positive integer k there are L -ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k and a positive integer $s(k)$ such that $L^1(G) \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I_k \subsetneq \text{Rad } L^1(G)$ and $I_k^{s(k)} \subset L^1(G)$. By Lemma 9, there is a measure $\mu_{k+1} > 0$ such that $\mu_{k+1}^n \perp L^1(G)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, s(k)$) and $\mu_{k+1}^{s(k)+1} \in L^1(G)$. We put $I_{k+1} = I_k + I(\mu_{k+1})$; then I_{k+1} is an L -ideal. Since $\mu_{k+1}^{s(k)+1} \perp L^1(G)$, we have $\mu_{k+1} \notin I_k$ and $\mu_{k+1} \in I_{k+1}$. Thus $I_k \subsetneq I_{k+1}$. We put $s(k+1) = 2s(k)$; then we have $I_{k+1}^{s(k+1)} \subset L^1(G)$. This implies that $I_{k+1} \subsetneq \text{Rad } L^1(G)$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] W. J. Bailey, G. Brown and W. Moran, *Spectra of independent power measures*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 72 (1972), pp. 27-35.
- [2] H. Helson, *On the ideal structure of group algebras*, Ark. Math. 2 (1952), pp. 83-86.
- [3] E. Hewitt and H. S. Zuckerman, *Singular measures with absolutely continuous convolution squares*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 62 (1966), pp. 399-420.
- [4] K. Izuchi, *On a zero set of Gelfand transforms of L -ideals of measure algebras*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sec. A, 11 (1972), pp. 227-230.
- [5] B. E. Johnson, *The Šilov boundary of $M(G)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (1968), pp. 289-296.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, New York 1962.
- [7] T. Shimizu, *L -ideals of measure algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. 48 (1972), pp. 172-176.
- [8] J. L. Taylor, *The structure of convolution measure algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1965), pp. 150-166.
- [9] N. T. Varopoulos, *A direct decomposition of the measure algebra of a locally compact abelian group*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble 16 (1966), pp. 121-143.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY
KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY
YOKOHAMA, JAPAN

Received April 30, 1975

(1007)