

- [3] L. Lipshitz, *Commutative regular rings with integral closure*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 211 (1975), pp. 161–170.
- [4] A. Macintyre, *Model-completeness for sheaves of structures*, Fund. Math. 81 (1973), pp. 73–89.
- [5] V. Weispfenning, *Model-completeness and elimination of quantifiers for subdirect products of structures*.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Accepté par la Rédaction le 12. 12. 1974

Cozero and Baire maps on products of uniform spaces

by

Gloria J. Tashjian (Hamilton, N. Y.)

Abstract. The main result is this: **THEOREM.** *A uniform Baire function from a product of uniform spaces into a metric space depends on countably many coordinates.* The proof uses a set-theoretic result: let X be a cartesian product and let Σ denote the collection of subsets of X which depend on countably many coordinates. **LEMMA.** *If \mathcal{U} is a family of subsets of X such that $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \in \Sigma$ whenever $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_0$, then \mathcal{U}_0 depends on countably many coordinates.* Corollaries describe the cozero and Baire-fine coreflections of a product of uniform spaces in terms of its countable subproducts, and it follows in particular that metric-fine coreflections of products of metric spaces and measurable coreflections of products of complete metric spaces are proximally fine. (The various classes of uniform spaces mentioned above are discussed in recent papers of A. W. Hager, Z. Frolík, and M. D. Rice.)

In this paper we study cozero and Baire-measurable functions defined on uniform products and the cozero-fine and Baire-fine uniformities derived from these functions. The basic result is that these mappings, with metric range, depend on countably many coordinates. We then prove that the cozero-fine, Baire-fine, and proximally-fine coreflections of a product of uniform spaces are generated by the cozero maps, Baire maps, or proximally continuous maps when the coreflections on each countable subproduct are so generated.

1. Basic result. We begin with some definitions. Let uX be a uniform space where \mathcal{U} denotes a family of covers of X satisfying the usual axioms for a uniformity, as in [11]. A *cozero set* in uX is a set of the form $\{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}$ for some uniformly continuous real-valued function $f: uX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $\text{Coz}(uX)$ denote the family of all cozero sets in uX , and let $\text{Baire}(uX)$ be the σ -algebra on the set X generated by $\text{Coz}(uX)$. $\text{Baire}(uX)$ is classified in the same way that the Borel sets of a metric space are classified, replacing open set by cozero set, in [5], [9], or [13]. Then, a family \mathcal{U} of Baire sets is said to be of bounded class if there exists an ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that each element of \mathcal{U} belongs to additive or multiplicative Baire class $\leq \alpha$. Given uniform spaces uX and vY , a function $f: uX \rightarrow vY$ is a cozero or Baire map if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a cozero or Baire set in uX for every cozero or Baire set U in vY .

Now let $X = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ be a cartesian product of sets. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to another set Y depends on the index set $I \subseteq A$ if $f(x) = f(y)$ whenever $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y)$.

(Here, $\pi_I: X \rightarrow \prod_{a \in I} X_a$ denotes the projection mapping to the subproduct $\prod_{a \in I} X_a$, which we sometimes denote by X_I . Similarly for a point x of X we may write x_I for $\pi_I(x)$.) When I is countable, we say that the function f depends on countably many coordinates.

A subset U of X depends on I if $\pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(U)) = U$, i.e., if $x \in U$ and $x_I = y_I$, then $y \in U$. A collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X depends on I if each element of \mathcal{U} depends on I . If \mathcal{U} is a family of subsets of X , there exists a smallest cardinal γ such that \mathcal{U} depends on a set of power γ . We denote this cardinal by $\gamma(\mathcal{U})$. Similarly, we write $\gamma(f)$ for the smallest cardinal γ such that the function f depends on a set of power γ .

With these definitions, it is easy to prove the following:

(i) *A cozero set in a uniform product depends on countably many coordinates.* (Use the theorem of Vidossich in [17] which says that a uniformly continuous map from a product into a metric space depends on countably many coordinates.) *By transfinite induction, Baire sets in a uniform product depend on countably many coordinates.*

(ii) *Suppose $X = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ is a product of topological spaces X_a and U is an open subset of X . Let $J_a = A - \{a\}$, for each $a \in A$. Let $r(U) = \{a \in A: \pi_{J_a}^{-1}(\pi_{J_a}(U)) \neq U\}$. This is called the restriction set of U . Then U depends on $r(U)$.*

Proof. Write U as the union of basic open subsets of the product topology: $U = \bigcup_{s \in S} B_s$, where each set B_s has a finite restriction set $r(B_s)$; let $I = r(U)$. Claim $\pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(B_s)) \subseteq U$, for every $s \in S$; to prove this, we write $r(B_s) - I = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, and use induction on this finite set. Let $R_1 = r(B_s) - \{a_1\}$. Then $\pi_{R_1}^{-1}(\pi_{R_1}(B_s)) \subseteq U$: let $y \in \pi_{R_1}^{-1}(\pi_{R_1}(B_s))$. Then $\exists x \in B_s$ such that $y_a = x_a \forall a \in R_1$. Define a point z in X by $z_a = x_a \forall a \in r(B_s)$ and $z_a = y_a \forall a \notin r(B_s)$. Then $z \in B_s \subseteq U$; also $z_a = y_a \forall a \neq a_1$. Since $a_1 \notin r(U)$, this means $y \in U$. By induction, then, we may eliminate all indices in $r(B_s) - I$, so that $\pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(B_s)) \subseteq U$. So U can be expressed as the union of basic open sets, each having restriction set contained in $r(U) = I$. Hence, $\pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(U)) = U$, i.e., U depends on I .

DEFINITION. If $X = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ is a product set, let $\Sigma(X)$ denote the family of all subsets of X which depend on countably many coordinates. Then it is easy to see that $\Sigma(X)$ is a σ -algebra on the set X . We say that a family \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is a *completely additive* (c.a.) $\Sigma(X)$ family if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \Sigma(X)$ and the union of each subfamily of \mathcal{U} belongs to $\Sigma(X)$.

We are now ready to state the basic result:

LEMMA 1. *Let $X = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ be a product of sets. A disjoint completely additive $\Sigma(X)$ -family depends on countably many coordinates.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a disjoint completely additive $\Sigma(X)$ -family, and let $\gamma(\mathcal{U})$ denote the minimal cardinality of all index sets on which \mathcal{U} depends.

Suppose that $\gamma(\mathcal{U}) > \aleph_0$. Then we can define by transfinite induction two sequences of sets,

$$A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A_i \subseteq \dots \subseteq A$$

and

$$U_0, U_1, \dots, U_i, \dots \in \mathcal{U},$$

each of length ω_1 , and two sequences of points of X , $\{x_i: i < \omega_1\}$ and $\{y_i: i < \omega_1\}$, such that the following conditions hold for each $i < \omega_1$:

- (a) $|A_i| = \aleph_0$;
- (b) U_i depends on A_i , but U_i does not depend on the set $B_i = \bigcup_{j < i} A_j$;
- (c) $x_i \in U_i$, $y_i \notin \bigcup_{j < i} U_j$, and $\pi_{B_i}(x_i) = \pi_{B_i}(y_i)$;
- (d) $U_i \cap \{y_j: j < i\} = \emptyset$.

To do this, let $i < \omega_1$ and suppose that the sets A_j , U_j and the points x_j , y_j are defined for all $j < i$ so that conditions (a)-(d) hold for each j . Since \mathcal{U} is a disjoint family and $\{y_j: j < i\}$ is countable, there are at most countably many elements of \mathcal{U} which contain some y_j for $j < i$. However, there are uncountably many elements of \mathcal{U} which do not depend on B_i , since $\gamma(\mathcal{U}) > \aleph_0$. Hence, there exists $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U_i \cap \{y_j: j < i\} = \emptyset$ and U_i does not depend on B_i . Then we may choose $x_i \in U_i$ and $y_i \notin U_i$ such that $\pi_{B_i}(x_i) = \pi_{B_i}(y_i)$. Note that $y_i \notin U_j$ for any $j < i$, since $x_i \notin U_j$ and U_j depends on B_i . Finally, let I be a countable set on which U_i depends, and let $A_i = I \cup B_i$. This completes the induction, so that conditions (a)-(d) hold.

Now let $U = \bigcup_{i < \omega_1} U_i$. Then $U \in \Sigma(X)$ by complete additivity of \mathcal{U} , so it depends on some countable set $I \subseteq A$. But U also depends on the set $\bigcup_{i < \omega_1} A_i$, so U depends on $I \cap \bigcup_{i < \omega_1} A_i$. Hence there exists $i < \omega_1$ such that U depends on A_i . Note that conditions (c) and (d) for every $i < \omega_1$ imply that $U \cap \{y_i: i < \omega_1\} = \emptyset$, so in particular we have points $x_{i+1} \in U$ and $y_{i+1} \notin U$ such that $\pi_{B_{i+1}}(x_{i+1}) = \pi_{B_{i+1}}(y_{i+1})$. But $B_{i+1} = A_i$, so U does not depend on A_i , yielding a contradiction.

Remark. The above lemma, whose proof was suggested to me by the referee's comments, generalizes and simplifies an earlier version. The proof actually intended by the referee uses a recent set-theoretic result of D. Preiss [14, Lemma 1 p. 342] which we present below:

THEOREM (Preiss). *Let α be a regular ordinal, let A be a set and let $\Psi: \exp(A) \rightarrow \alpha$ be a function. Suppose that there is a function $f: \alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\Psi(A_1 \cap A_2) \leq f(\Psi(A_1), \Psi(A_2))$ for all A_1, A_2 in $\exp(A)$. Then there exists $i < \alpha$ such that $\Psi(\{a\}) \leq i$ for each $a \in A$.*

To use this result we suppose $\gamma(\mathcal{U}) > \aleph_0$, and we define two sequences of sets, $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq A$ and $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_i, \dots \in \mathcal{U}$, of length ω_1 , such that $|A_i| \leq \aleph_0$ and U_i depends on A_i but not on $\bigcup_{j < i} A_j$. For any $E \subseteq \omega_1$ the set $U_E = \bigcup_{j \in E} U_j$

depends on some countable set I , and it also depends on $\bigcup \{A_i: i < \omega_1\}$. Hence, there exists $i < \omega_1$ such that U_E depends on A_i . Thus we may define a function $\Psi: \exp(\omega_1) \rightarrow \omega_1$ by $\Psi(E) = \min\{i: U_E \text{ depends on } A_i\}$. Now if $E_1, E_2 \subseteq \omega_1$, then $\Psi(E_1 \cap E_2) \leq \max\{\Psi(E_1), \Psi(E_2)\}$. Hence, by the theorem of Preiss there exists $i < \omega_1$ such that $\Psi(\{j\}) \leq i$ for all $j < \omega_1$. This implies, for $j = i+1$, that U_{i+1} depends on A_i , a contradiction.

As we noted earlier, the family $\Sigma(X)$ is a σ -algebra on the set X ; hence we may define $\Sigma(X)$ -measurable functions from X into a metric space M to be those f such that $f^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma(X)$ for every open set $U \subseteq M$. Then we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $X = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ be a product of sets. A map $f: X \rightarrow M$, where M is metric, is $\Sigma(X)$ -measurable iff it depends on countably many coordinates.*

Proof. Suppose f is $\Sigma(X)$ -measurable. The metric space M has a countable base of uniform covers $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$, where \mathcal{S}_n is the family of spheres of radius $1/n$. Each cover \mathcal{S}_n has a σ -uniformly discrete open refinement \mathcal{V}_n , by Stone's theorem. Now write $\mathcal{V}_n = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_{nm}$, where \mathcal{V}_{nm} is a discrete family of sets in M . Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_{nm})$ is a disjoint c.a. $\Sigma(X)$ family in X , so it depends on some countable set I_{nm} , by Lemma 1. Let $I = \bigcup_{n,m} I_{nm}$. Then f depends on I .

Conversely, if f depends on the countable set I , then $f^{-1}(U)$ depends on I for every subset U of M , so f is $\Sigma(X)$ -measurable.

2. Application to Baire-fine and cozero-fine spaces. We consider Baire and cozero sets in products of uniform spaces. A completely additive Baire (cozero) family is a family of Baire (cozero) sets such that the union of every subfamily is also a Baire (cozero) set. Such families are all completely additive $\Sigma(X)$ families, so that we have immediately the following result:

PROPOSITION 2. *Let uX be a product of uniform spaces, where u denotes the product uniformity. If \mathcal{U} is a completely additive disjoint Baire family in uX , then \mathcal{U} depends on countably many coordinates.*

We may rephrase Proposition 2 in terms of Baire and cozero mappings on a product. If $X = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ is a product of topological spaces, define a uniformity q on X to have base $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{U} \text{ is an open normal cover of } X \text{ which depends on } \aleph_0 \text{ coordinates}\}$. Note that q does not change the topology on X .

PROPOSITION 3. *Let uX be a product of uniform spaces, and let δ be any uniformity on X such that $u \subseteq \delta \subseteq q$.*

(a) *If $f: \delta X \rightarrow vY$ is a Baire map to a uniform space vY and if $\mathcal{V} \in v$, then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is refined by a completely additive Baire partition of δX which depends on countably many coordinates.*

(b) *If $f: \delta X \rightarrow vY$ is a cozero map and $\mathcal{V} \in v$, then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is refined by a completely additive cozero cover of δX which depends on \aleph_0 coordinates and which starts a normal sequence of such covers.*

Proof. Since $\delta \subseteq q$, δX has a basis consisting of covers which depend on \aleph_0 coordinates, so any cozero or Baire set in δX must depend on \aleph_0 coordinates.

(a) Given $\mathcal{V} \in v$, there exists a c.a. Baire partition \mathcal{W} of vY which refines \mathcal{V} (as in [7] Lemma 3.3). Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ is a c.a. Baire partition of δX which refines $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, and by Proposition 2, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ depends on \aleph_0 coordinates.

(b) Given $\mathcal{V} \in v$, there exists a σ -uniformly discrete c.a.-cozero cover \mathcal{W} of vY which star-refines \mathcal{V} , by Stone's theorem. Write $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_n$, where each \mathcal{W}_n is uniformly discrete. Then $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_n)$, and each family $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_n)$ is a disjoint c.a. cozero family in δX . By Proposition 2, each $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_n)$ depends on \aleph_0 coordinates, so $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ depends on \aleph_0 coordinates. Clearly, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ starts a normal sequence of such covers.

We now consider the cozero-fine and Baire-fine coreflections for products of uniform spaces. A uniform space uX is cozero-fine, Baire-fine, or proximally-fine (p -fine) if every cozero-map, Baire map, or proximally continuous map (p -map) f on uX is uniformly continuous. The collection of all cozero-fine, Baire-fine, or p -fine spaces forms a coreflective subcategory of uniform spaces. Let Φ_{coz} , Φ_{Ba} , and Φ_p denote these coreflective functors; we write $\Phi(uX)$ for the coreflection of a uniform space uX .

These coreflections may be described as follows; given a uniform space uX , let $\bar{u}^{\text{coz}}X$ denote the uniformity on X projectively generated by the family of all cozero maps on uX . Repeat for $\bar{u}X$: let $\bar{u}^{\text{coz}}X$ be the set X with the uniformity generated by all cozero maps on $\bar{u}^{\text{coz}}X$. Continue this operation inductively, taking suprema at limit ordinals. A limit uniformity is obtained, and this is clearly the cozero-fine coreflection of uX . The Baire-fine and p -fine coreflections are obtained in a similar way, replacing cozero maps by Baire or p -maps. (We write $\bar{u}^{\text{Ba}}X$, \bar{u}^pX for the intermediate uniformities, and when there is no confusion about the coreflection being discussed, we write $\bar{u}X$ or even \bar{X} .) (These facts are all proved by Hager and Frolík in [2] and [7].)

It is not known whether $\Phi(uX) = \bar{u}X$ always holds, for any of the three coreflections. In the case of cozero-fine spaces, Z. Frolík has given a description of the coreflection in [2]: it is asserted that $\Phi_{\text{coz}}(uX)$, for any space uX , is projectively generated by all mappings $f: X \rightarrow M$ to metric spaces M which satisfy the following:

1. $f = h \circ g$, where $g: X \rightarrow \prod_{a \in A} S_a$, S_a is metric $\forall a$, and $\pi_a \circ g$ is a cozero map $\forall a$.

2. $h: g(X) \rightarrow M$ is a cozero map. (Note that any cozero map on \bar{X} is such a generating map.) In showing that the space so generated is cozero-fine, it is claimed that the reduced product of two generating maps is a generating map. This statement implies that the reduced product of two cozero maps on \bar{X} is a cozero map on \bar{X} : let $f_1, f_2: \bar{X} \rightarrow M_1, M_2$ be cozero maps to metric spaces M_1, M_2 .

Now \bar{X} is generated by all cozero maps on X , so the evaluation map $e: \bar{X} \rightarrow \prod_{a \in A} S_a$ of all cozero maps on X is an embedding of \bar{X} into $\prod S_a$, (where $\{S_a: a \in A\}$ is the collection of all cozero images of X). Then the mappings $f_i \circ e: X \rightarrow M_i$ are generating maps, for $i = 1, 2$. (We identify \bar{X} with the image of X under e , so $\bar{X} \subseteq \prod_{a \in A} S_a$). Hence the reduced product $(f_1 \circ e) \times (f_2 \circ e): X \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ is a generating map. Note that $(f_1 \circ e) \times (f_2 \circ e) = (f_1 \times f_2) \circ e$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \prod S_a & \\ & \cup & \\ X & \xrightarrow{e} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} & M_1 \times M_2 \\ & \searrow g & \nearrow h \\ & g(X) & \\ & \cap & \\ & \prod R_i & \end{array}$$

By definition of generating map, there exists a product of metric spaces $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ and maps $g: X \rightarrow \prod R_i$, $h: g(X) \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ satisfying:

- (1) $\pi_i \circ g$ is a cozero map on $X \forall i \in I$;
- (2) h is a cozero map on $g(X)$,
- (3) $h \circ g = (f_1 \times f_2) \circ e$.

For each $i \in I$, there exists $a_i \in A$ such that $\pi_{a_i} \circ e = \pi_i \circ g$, since e is the evaluation of all cozero maps on X . Therefore, if we let $B = \{a_i: i \in I\}$ and $C = A - B$, we have $e = g \times j$ for some function $j: X \rightarrow \prod_{a \in C} S_a$. Hence, the map $f_1 \times f_2$ depends on the index set B . Let $U \in \text{coz}(M_1 \times M_2)$, and let $V = (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(U)$. Then $\pi_B(V) = h^{-1}(U)$, where $\pi_B(V) \subseteq \prod_{a \in B} S_a$, which equals $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$; π_B is defined on \bar{X} . Hence $\pi_B(V)$ is a cozero set since h is a cozero map, so $V = \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(V))$ is a cozero set in \bar{X} . Hence, the reduced product $f_1 \times f_2$ of cozero maps f_1 and f_2 is a cozero map on \bar{X} .

Now, using Proposition 1.5 of [7], this implies that \bar{X} is cozero-fine. This shows that for any space X , if the reduced product of two generating maps as defined in [2] is a generating map, then \bar{X} is cozero-fine.

We will show that if uX is a product of metric spaces, then \bar{uX} is cozero-fine.

DEFINITION. Let uX be a product of uniform spaces. Define two uniformities v, σ on X as follows:

- (i) Let v have subbase $\{\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{U} \text{ is a c.a. cozero cover of } uX, \gamma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathfrak{s}_0, \text{ and } \mathcal{U} \text{ starts a normal sequence of such covers}\}$. (Note that $u \subseteq v \subseteq \varrho$.)
- (ii) Let σ have subbase $\{\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{U} \text{ is a c.a. Baire partition of } uX \text{ and } \gamma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathfrak{s}_0\}$.

COROLLARY 1. Let uX be a product of uniform spaces. Let \bar{u} be the uniformity on X generated by all cozero maps on uX . Then:

- (a) $\bar{u} = v$.

(b) If $\text{coz}(\bar{X}_I) = \text{coz}(X_I)$ for every countable subproduct X_I of X , then $\text{coz}(uX) = \text{coz}(\bar{uX})$.

(c) If $\text{coz}(uX) = \text{coz}(\bar{uX})$, then \bar{uX} is cozero-fine.

Proof. (a) By Proposition 3.2 of [7], \bar{uX} is generated by all c.a. cozero covers of uX which start a normal sequence of such covers. Hence $v \subseteq \bar{u}$. Also, by Proposition 3(b) any cozero map on uX is uniformly continuous on vX . Hence $\bar{u} \subseteq v$.

(b) Suppose $\text{coz}(\bar{X}_I) = \text{coz}(X_I) \forall$ countable $I \subset A$. Let $f: \bar{uX} \rightarrow R$ be uniformly continuous, and let $U = \text{coz}(f)$. To show that U is a cozero set in uX , we need the following fact:

LEMMA 2. Let $uX = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ be a product of uniform spaces. If U is a cozero set in uX which depends on the countable set I , then $\pi_I(U)$ is a cozero set in X_I .

Proof. Suppose $f: uX \rightarrow R$ is u.c. and $U = \text{coz}(f)$. There exists a countable set $J \subseteq A$ such that f depends on J , and $I \subseteq J$. Let \mathcal{S}_n be a basic cover of R . Choose finitely many indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ from J and covers \mathcal{V}_i^n in X_{α_i} , $i \leq k$, such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i^n) \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)$. If we do this for each $n \in N$, we obtain, for each $a \in J$, at most countably many covers \mathcal{V}_i^n in X_a which are required to make f uniformly continuous. For each $a \in J$, let Y_a be the set X_a with the uniformity generated by these countably many covers. Then Y_a is a pseudometric space for each $a \in J$. Let $Y = \prod_{a \in A - J} X_a \times \prod_{a \in J} Y_a$. Then $f: Y \rightarrow R$ is uniformly continuous. Also, $\pi_I(U)$ is an open set in $\prod_{a \in I} Y_a$, which is a pseudometric space; hence $\pi_I(U)$ is a cozero set in that space. Since $\prod_{a \in I} Y_a$ is coarser than $\prod_{a \in I} X_a$, then $\pi_I(U)$ is a cozero set in X_I . This proves the lemma.

Returning to the proof of (b) let $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$ be a countable base for R . For each $n \in N$ we may choose finitely many subbasic covers $\mathcal{U}_1^n, \dots, \mathcal{U}_k^n$ of \bar{uX} such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{U}_i^n \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)$. Each cover \mathcal{U}_i^n depends on a countable index set, so there exists a countable set I such that all covers \mathcal{U}_i^n depend on I . Then we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k \pi_I(\mathcal{U}_i^n) = \pi_I\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{U}_i^n\right) \subset \pi_I(f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)).$$

By Lemma 2, $\pi_I(\mathcal{U}_i^n)$ is a c.a. cozero cover of X_I , so it belongs to \bar{X}_I . Hence $\pi_I f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)$ is a uniform cover of \bar{X}_I also, $\forall n \in N$. Now U is a union of elements chosen from $\bigcup_{n \in N} f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)$, so $\pi_I(U)$ is a union of elements from $\bigcup_{n \in N} \pi_I f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)$. Therefore, $\pi_I(U)$ is a cozero set in \bar{X}_I . Now $\text{coz} \bar{X}_I = \text{coz} X_I$ by assumption, so $\pi_I(U)$ is a cozero set in X_I . It follows that U is a cozero set in uX , since $U = \pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(U))$. Hence, $\text{coz}(\bar{uX}) \subseteq \text{coz}(uX)$.

(c) If $\text{coz}(uX) = \text{coz}(\bar{uX})$, then any cozero map on \bar{uX} is a cozero map on uX , and therefore it is uniformly continuous on \bar{uX} . Hence \bar{uX} is cozero-fine.

COROLLARY 2. (a) A product uX of uniform spaces is cozero-fine if and only if each countable subproduct is cozero-fine.

(b) If uX is a product of metric spaces, then $\Phi_{\text{coz}}(uX) = \bar{u}X$.

Proof. (a) If the product is cozero-fine, then the countable subproducts are cozero-fine since they are quotients of the product. Conversely, any metric-valued cozero map on uX factors as a cozero map through a countable subproduct, using Proposition 3(b) and Lemma 2. Hence if each countable subproduct is cozero-fine, a cozero map on the whole product must be uniformly continuous.

(b) Any countable subproduct X_I of X is metric, so $\text{coz}(X_I)$ is the family of all open subsets of X_I . Hence $\text{coz}(\bar{X}_I) = \text{coz}(X_I)$ since \bar{X}_I has the same topology as X_I . By Corollary 2, $\text{coz}(uX) = \text{coz}(\bar{u}X)$, so $\bar{u}X$ is cozero-fine.

Remark. $\text{Coz}(\bar{X}_I) = \text{coz}(X_I)$ whenever X_I has a Lindelöf topology. Hence by Corollary 1, $\Phi_{\text{coz}}(uX) = \bar{u}X$ whenever uX is a product of uniform spaces such that each countable subproduct is Lindelöf.

We now prove analogous statements about the p -fine and Baire-fine coreflections. If uX is a uniform space, let puX denote the set X with the uniformity consisting of all finite uniform covers of uX .

COROLLARY 3. Let uX be a product of uniform spaces.

(a) If $p\bar{X}_I^p = pX_I$ for every countable I , then $p\bar{u}X = puX$.

(b) If $p\bar{u}X = puX$, then $\bar{u}X$ is p -fine.

(c) The product uX is p -fine if and only if each countable subproduct is p -fine.

Proof. (a) Let $\mathcal{U} \in p\bar{u}X$. We may assume that \mathcal{U} is a finite cozero cover of $\bar{u}X$. By definition of $\bar{u}X$, there exist p -maps $f_1, \dots, f_n: uX \rightarrow Y_i$, for $i \leq n$, and uniform covers \mathcal{V}_i of Y_i such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i) < \mathcal{U}$. The maps f_i are all cozero maps on uX , so by Proposition 3 each one depends on a countable set; choose a countable set I such that all the f_i depend on I and also \mathcal{U} depends on I . (We may do this since cozero sets in $\bar{u}X$ depend on \aleph_0 coordinates). Then

$$\pi_I\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_I(f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)) < \pi_I(\mathcal{U}).$$

The maps f_i depend on I , so they factor as p -maps through X_I ; i.e., there exist p -maps $g_i: X_I \rightarrow Y_i$ such that $g_i \circ \pi_I = f_i \forall i \leq n$. Then $\pi_I(f_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)) = g_i^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_i)$, a uniform cover of \bar{X}_I . Hence $\pi_I(\mathcal{U}) \in p\bar{X}_I$ since $\pi_I(\mathcal{U})$ is finite. By the assumption $p\bar{X}_I = pX_I$, we have $\pi_I(\mathcal{U}) \in pX_I$. Then $\mathcal{U} \in puX$, since $\mathcal{U} = \pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(\mathcal{U}))$. So, $p\bar{u}X = puX$.

(b) Trivial.

(c) Suppose each countable subproduct X_I is p -fine. Let $f: uX \rightarrow Y$ be a p -map to a metric space Y . Then $f = g \circ \pi_I$ for some countable I and p -map $g: X_I \rightarrow Y$. Since g is uniformly continuous if X_I is p -fine, f is also uniformly continuous. Hence, uX is p -fine.

Remark. M. Hušek has proved independently in [10]: a product of uniform spaces is p -fine if and only if each finite subproduct is p -fine; a proximally continuous map from a uniform product into a metric space depends on countably many coordinates; the statement of Corollary 4(a) below.

DEFINITION. A uniform space uX is metric-fine if each uniformly continuous map $f: uX \rightarrow M$ to a metric space M is uniformly continuous with respect to the fine uniformity α on M . (Here α has base consisting of all open covers of M .) The metric-fine spaces form a coreflective subcategory of uniform spaces. (Metric-fine spaces were originally defined by Hager in [6], where separable metric-fine spaces are discussed. The non-separable theory is developed by Frolík in [4] and by Rice in [15].)

COROLLARY 4. (a) A product of metric spaces is p -fine.

(b) The metric-fine coreflection of a product of metric spaces is p -fine.

Proof. (a) All countable subproducts of uX are metric. By a theorem of Švarc (in [11] p. 38) metric spaces are p -fine. Since all p -maps on uX factor through a countable subproduct, they are then uniformly continuous on the whole product. Hence uX is p -fine.

(b) It is easy to see that if uX is a product of metric spaces, the metric-fine coreflection of uX is qX . We have shown that $q\bar{X}$ is cozero-fine (here $qX = vX = \bar{u}X$) in Corollary 1. Hence, qX is p -fine, since all p -maps are cozero maps.

COROLLARY 5. Let uX be a product of uniform spaces.

(a) $\bar{u}^{\text{Ba}}X = \sigma X$, and if $\text{Ba}(X_I) = \text{Ba}(\bar{X}_I^{\text{Ba}})$, for every countable I , then $\text{Ba}(uX) = \text{Ba}(\bar{u}X)$.

(b) If $\text{Ba}(uX) = \text{Ba}(\bar{u}X)$, then $\bar{u}X$ is Baire-fine.

Proof. (a) \bar{u} has sub-base consisting of all completely additive Baire partitions of uX which, by Proposition 2, all depend on \aleph_0 coordinates. Hence $\bar{u} = \sigma$.

Assume now that $\text{Ba}(X_I) = \text{Ba}(\bar{X}_I)$ for every countable subproduct X_I . To prove that $\text{Ba}(uX) = \text{Ba}(\bar{u}X)$, we need the following fact:

LEMMA 3. Let uX be a product of uniform spaces. If B is a Baire set in X of class α which depends on the countable set I , the $\pi_I(B)$ is a Baire set in X_I of class $\leq \alpha+1$.

Proof. First, suppose uX is a product of metric spaces. The Baire set B originates from a countable number of cozero sets in uX , so we may choose a countable set $J \subseteq A$ such that all of these cozero sets depend on J , and $I \subseteq J$. Since $\pi_J: X \rightarrow X_J$ preserves unions and intersections of sets in X which depend on J , $\pi_J(B)$ is a Baire set in X_J . Now let $K = J - I$. Fix a point p in X_K and let $C = \{p\} \times X_I$.

Since X_K is metric, $\{p\}$ is a Baire set in X_K , so C is a Baire set in X_J . Then $C \cap \pi_J(B)$ is a Baire set in X_J , where $C \cap \pi_J(B) = \{p\} \times \pi_I(B)$. Finally, we note that $\pi_I(B)$ is a Baire set in X_I , since it is the inverse image of the Baire set $\{p\} \times \pi_I(B)$ under the uniformly continuous map $f: X_I \rightarrow X_I$ defined by $f(x) = (p, x)$, (where $X_J = X_K \times X_I$).

Now if uX is an arbitrary product of uniform spaces and if $B \in \text{Ba}(uX)$ and J is a countable index set as above, we may define pseudometric spaces Y_a weaker than X_a , for each $a \in J$, such that B is a Baire set in the product $\prod_{a \in J} X_a \times \prod_{a \in J} Y_a$ (as in Lemma 2). Then $\pi_J(B)$ is a Baire set in the pseudometric space $Y = \prod_{a \in J} Y_a$.

If we let M, M_a be the metric identifications of Y, Y_a respectively, $\forall a \in J$, then $M = \prod_{a \in J} M_a$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow M$ be the canonical map. Then $f(\pi_J(B))$ is a Baire set in the metric space M , and it depends on the index set J , so that by the first part it projects onto a Baire set in M_I . This implies that $\pi_I(B)$ is a Baire set in Y_I , hence it is a Baire set in the finer space X_I . This completes the lemma.

Now, to show $\text{Ba}(uX) = \text{Ba}(\bar{u}X)$, it suffices to show that $\text{coz}(\bar{u}X) \subseteq \text{Ba}(uX)$. Let $\text{coz}(f) \in \text{coz}(\bar{u}X)$. For each basic cover \mathcal{S}_n of R choose finitely many subbasic covers $\mathcal{U}_1^n, \dots, \mathcal{U}_K^n$ of $\bar{u}X$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^K \mathcal{U}_i^n \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)$. Each cover \mathcal{U}_i^n is a completely additive Baire partition of uX , so by Proposition 2 it depends on a countable set I_{in} . Let $I = \bigcup \{I_{in} : n \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq K\}$. Then I is countable and each cover \mathcal{U}_{in} depends on I , so by Lemma 3 $\pi_I(\mathcal{U}_{in})$ is a c.a. Baire cover of X_I , i.e., a uniform cover of X_I . Now

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^K \pi_I(\mathcal{U}_i^n) = \pi_I\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^K \mathcal{U}_i^n\right) \subset \pi_I(f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n)).$$

So, $\pi_I(f^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_n))$ is a uniform cover of \bar{X}_I . Then $\pi_I(\text{coz}(f))$ is a cozero set in X_I , so by our assumption it is a Baire set in X_I . Therefore, $\text{coz}(f) = \pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(\text{coz}(f)))$ is a Baire set in uX .

DEFINITION. A uniform space uX is *measurable* if every pointwise limit of uniformly continuous metric-valued functions is uniformly continuous. The measurable spaces form a coreflective subcategory of uniform spaces. If uX is a uniform space, its measurable coreflection m_*uX is generated by all σ -uniformly discrete c.a. Baire covers on uX . (Measurable spaces are discussed in [3], [5], and [15].)

COROLLARY 6. Assume GCH. Let uX be a product of uniform spaces such that $|\text{Ba}(X_I)| \leq c$ for every countable I . Then the measurable coreflection m_*uX is Baire-fine.

Proof. It is known that if $|\text{Ba}(uX)| \leq c$, then each c.a. Baire partition of uX is countable (Corollary 7.9 in [7]). Hence for each countable I , all c.a. Baire partitions of X_I are countable. Then $m_*X_I = \bar{X}_I$. Now, $\text{Ba}(uX) = \text{Ba}(m_*uX)$ for any space uX , as in [3] or [16], so $\text{Ba}(uX_I) = \text{Ba}(\bar{X}_I) \forall$ countable I . Hence \bar{X}_I is Baire-fine. Now if $f: m_*uX \rightarrow Y$ is a Baire map, it is a Baire map on uX ; using Proposition 3 and Lemma 3, there exists a countable set I and a Baire map $g: X_I \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = g \circ \pi_I$. Now $g: m_*X_I \rightarrow Y$ is u.c. since m_*X_I is Baire-fine, so $f: m_*uX \rightarrow Y$ is u.c. Hence m_*uX is Baire-fine.

Remark. Corollary 6 applies to products of separable metric spaces since $|\text{Ba}(X_I)| \leq c \forall$ countable subproduct I .

3. Further applications. We now consider the recent result of D. Preiss in [14]:

(a) Any completely additive disjoint system of Baire sets in a topological space is of bounded class.

It is known that this is equivalent to the following three conditions, for complete metric spaces qM :

(b) Any Baire map $f: qM \rightarrow Y$ to a metric space Y is of bounded class (i.e., there exists $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that for any open subset U of Y , $f^{-1}(U)$ is a Baire set of class α).

(c) The measurable coreflection m_*qM is p -fine.

(d) The meet of two c.a. Baire covers is a c.a. Baire cover on qM .

Proof. The equivalence of (b) and (c) was proved by M. Rice in [14, II, Theorem 2.2, p. 6]. The equivalence of (c) and (d) was shown by M. Rice in [14, I, Theorem 2.2, p. 8].

(b) \rightarrow (a) Let \mathcal{U} be a c.a. disjoint Baire family in qM , where $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a : a \in A\}$. Let D be the discrete metric space of power $|A|$. Define $f: qM \rightarrow D$ by $f(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ and $f(x) = a$ if $x \in U_a$. ($D = \{0\} \cup A$.) Then f is a Baire map, so by (b) it is of bounded class. Hence $\exists \alpha < \omega_1$ such that $U_a = f^{-1}(\{a\})$ is a Baire set of class $\alpha \forall a \in A$.

(a) \rightarrow (d) Let \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} be c.a. Baire covers in qM , let D, E be the discrete spaces of power $|\mathcal{U}|, |\mathcal{V}|$ respectively, and let $f, g: qM \rightarrow D, E$ be maps which are constant on each element of \mathcal{U} or \mathcal{V} . Now the meet $\mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{V}$ is a c.a. Baire cover if and only if the reduced product $f \times g: M \rightarrow D \times E$ is a Baire map. By a theorem of Hansell [8, Theorem 5, p. 163] it suffices to show that f and g are σ -discrete and of class α , some $\alpha < \omega_1$. Again, we apply theorems of Hansell: f and g are of class α by Corollary 5, p. 159 in [8], and \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} are σ -discretely decomposable by Theorem 2, p. 156 in [8], so it follows that f and g are σ -discrete.

This shows that conditions (a)-(d) are true for complete metric spaces qM , and it is not hard to see that they hold for products of complete metric spaces also.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $uX = \prod_{a \in A} X_a$ be a product of complete metric spaces.

(i) Any Baire map $f: uX \rightarrow Y$ to a metric space Y is of bounded class.

(ii) The measurable coreflection m_*uX is p -fine.

(iii) The meet of two completely additive Baire covers on uX is a c.a. Baire cover.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3(a), f depends on a countable index set $I \subseteq A$. Let $g: X_I \rightarrow Y$ be the map such that $f = g \circ \pi_I$. Then g is a Baire map on X_I , where X_I is a complete metric space, so by condition (b) above g is of bounded class. Hence, f is of bounded class.

(ii) Let $f: m_*uX \rightarrow Y$ be a p -map, where Y is metric. Then f is a Baire map on m_*uX ; by a theorem of M. Rice in [15], $\text{Ba}(m_*uX) = \text{Ba}(uX)$, so $f: uX \rightarrow Y$ is a Baire map. By Proposition 3, f depends on a countable index set I , so there exists a Baire map $g: X_I \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = g \circ \pi_I$. We know that m_*X_I is p -fine; hence,

it is Baire-fine, by a theorem of A. W. Hager in [7]. Thus $g: m_* X_I \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous, so $f: m_* X \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous.

(iii) If \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} are completely additive Baire covers on uX , there exists a countable index set I on which \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} depend, so $\mathcal{U} = \pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(\mathcal{U}))$ and $\mathcal{V} = (\pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(\mathcal{V})))$. By Lemma 3, $\pi_I(\mathcal{U})$ and $\pi_I(\mathcal{V})$ are c.a. Baire covers on X_I , so by condition (d), $\pi_I(\mathcal{U}) \wedge \pi_I(\mathcal{V})$ is a c.a. Baire cover on X_I . Then

$$\mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{V} = \pi_I^{-1}(\pi_I(\mathcal{U}) \wedge \pi_I(\mathcal{V}))$$

is a c.a. Baire cover on uX .

Finally, we make some observations about other subclasses of uniform spaces. Let \mathcal{F} be a class of functions each having domain and range in uniform spaces. Let \mathcal{R} be a reflective subcategory of uniform spaces (i.e., \mathcal{R} is closed under product and closed subspace formation). Define the class of uniform spaces $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\mathcal{R}$ as follows: $uX \in \mathcal{F}\text{-}\mathcal{R}$ iff each image of uX under a function in \mathcal{F} belongs to \mathcal{R} . For example, we may let $\mathcal{F} = \text{cozero}$, Baire, or p -maps, and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{P}$ or \mathcal{E} . (Here \mathcal{P} denotes the precompact uniform spaces, and \mathcal{E} denotes the separable spaces, i.e. those uniform spaces having a basis of countable covers). Then, a space is in $\text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$ or $\text{Ba-}\mathcal{E}$ iff each c.a. cozero cover or c.a. Baire partition has a countable subcover. These notions are discussed by Hager in [7].

It is known that $\text{Coz-}\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ are closed under arbitrary product formation (see [12] for $\mathcal{P}\text{-}\mathcal{P}$ and [7] for $\text{Coz-}\mathcal{P}$.) In addition, we have the following:

(i) *A product of uniform spaces is in $\text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$ iff each countable subproduct is in $\text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$. A product of separable metric spaces is in $\text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$.*

(ii) *Assume GCH. A product of uniform spaces is in $\text{Ba-}\mathcal{E}$ iff each countable subproduct is. A product of separable metric spaces is in $\text{Ba-}\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. (i) Let uX be a product of uniform spaces. Assume that $uX \in \text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$. If X_I is a countable subproduct and $f: X_I \rightarrow Y$ a cozero map with image Y , then $f \circ \pi_I: X \rightarrow Y$ is a cozero map, so $Y \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence $X_I \in \text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$. Conversely, suppose that $X_I \in \text{Coz-}\mathcal{E} \forall$ countable I . Let $f: uX \rightarrow Y$ be a cozero map. Then \mathcal{F} factors through a countable subproduct as a cozero map, so $Y \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence $uX \in \text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$.

Suppose uX is a product of separable metric spaces. Then each countable subproduct X_I is a separable metric space, so it is topologically separable. Then any cozero (i.e. continuous) image Y of X_I is topologically separable, hence any compatible uniformity on Y is in \mathcal{E} . So $X_I \in \text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$. By the first part, $uX \in \text{Coz-}\mathcal{E}$.

(ii) The proof is similar to the proof for (i). Note that we must factor Baire maps through countable subproducts. For the second statement, we use the fact that, assuming the continuum hypothesis, any c.a. Baire family in a separable metric space is countable. (This fact also holds for any uniform product uX whose countable subproducts satisfy $|\text{Baire}(uX)| \leq c$.)

I would like to thank A. W. Hager and M. D. Rice for their many valuable comments concerning this paper.

References

- [1] Z. Frolík, *Baire sets and uniformities on complete metric spaces*, Commentationes Math. Universitatis Carolinae 13 (1972), pp. 137-147.
- [2] — *Basic refinements of the category of uniform spaces*, Proceedings of the 2nd Pittsburgh International Conference on General Topology (TOPO 72), New York (1974), pp. 140-158.
- [3] — *Measurable uniform spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 55 (1974), pp. 93-105.
- [4] — *A note on metric-fine spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1974), pp. 111-119.
- [5] A. W. Hager, *Measurable uniform spaces*, Fund. Math. 77 (1972), pp. 51-73.
- [6] — *Some nearly fine uniform spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 28 (1974), pp. 517-546.
- [7] — *Uniformities induced by proximity, cozero, and Baire sets*; pre-print August, 1973, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [8] R. W. Hansell, *Borel-measurable mappings for non-separable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (Nov. 1971), pp. 145-169.
- [9] F. Hausdorff, *Set Theory*, New York 1962.
- [10] M. Hušek, *Factorization of mappings (products of proximally fine spaces)*, Seminar Uniform Spaces, 1973-1974, Matematický ústav CSAV, Prague, pp. 173-190.
- [11] J. R. Isbell, *Uniform Spaces*, Providence 1964.
- [12] — *Spaces without large projective subspaces*, Math. Scand. 17 (1965), pp. 89-105.
- [13] C. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 1, New York-London-Warszawa 1966.
- [14] D. Preiss, *A completely additive disjoint system of Baire sets is of bounded class*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 15 (1974), pp. 341-344.
- [15] M. D. Rice, *Uniformities in the descriptive theory of sets I; and II*, to appear in Amer. J. Math.
- [16] — *Metric-fine uniform spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 11 (1975), pp. 53-64.
- [17] G. Vidossich, *Two remarks on A. Gleason's factorization theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), pp. 370-371.

COLGATE UNIVERSITY
Hamilton, New York

Accepté par la Rédaction le 9. 1. 1975