

Interpolating bases for spaces of differentiable functions

by

JERZY RYLL (Warszawa)

Abstract. The paper contains: (a) A construction of an extension operator into the space $C^p(I^d)$; (b) A construction of an interpolating basis for the space $C^p(I^d)$; (c) A characterization of Hölder continuous functions in terms of their coefficients in the decomposition with respect to the basis.

0. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to give a new construction of a Schauder basis in the space $C^p(I^d)$ of p -times continuously differentiable functions on the d -dimensional cube I^d .

The problem of the existence of a basis in the space $C^1(I^d)$ goes back to Banach [1]. It was solved by Z. Ciesielski [2] and S. Schonefeld [10] independently. Z. Ciesielski and J. Domsta [4] constructed a basis in the space $C^p(I^d)$ for an arbitrary p . S. Schonefeld [11] constructed another basis in $C^p(I^d)$ (for $p = 0, \dots, 4$ only) and in $C^p(T^d)$ (where T is a one-dimensional torus). The relation between the Schonefeld bases and the Ciesielski-Domsta bases is akin to the relation between the Schauder basis and the Franklin basis in $C(I)$: the Schonefeld basis is interpolating while the Ciesielski-Domsta basis is an orthogonal system.

The basis $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ constructed in this paper (Theorem 3.2.1) has the following properties:

- (i) It is an interpolating basis in $C(I^d)$.
- (ii) It is a basis in each space $C^q(I^d)$ for $q = 0, \dots, p$.
- (iii) $\text{diam}(\text{supp } \varphi_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The third property is a new feature; the previously known bases do not satisfy (iii). The construction of the basis $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ leans heavily on a method of Filippov and Riabienkiĭ [5], pp. 158–165. The basic lemma (Lemma 2.2.1 below) concerns the interpolating by spline functions.

In the case $p = 0$ the construction of the basis $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ was described by the author in [9] (under the name “cube basis”).

In Section 4 it is given an answer to the problem of Z. Ciesielski [3]. It is proved that derivatives of order p of $f \in C^p(I^d)$ satisfy the Hölder condition with an exponent s ($0 < s < 1$) iff the sequence of coefficients

of f is bounded. We obtain as a corollary the result of J. Frampton and A. J. Tromba [6] that the spaces $H_{p+s}(I^d)$ and l_∞ are isomorphic (for the definitions, see Preliminaries).

The author is very grateful to Z. Semadeni, Z. Ciesielski, and J. Domsta for helpful discussions.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. On bases and spaces of differentiable functions. Throughout this paper \mathbf{R} denotes the set of reals, \mathbf{N} the set of nonnegative integers, and I the unit interval $[0, 1]$; moreover, d is a fixed positive integer (the dimension of the cube),

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbf{N}^d$$

is a multiindex, $|a| = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i$, $e_m = (\delta_{im})_{i=1}^d$ is the m th vector of the canonical basis of \mathbf{R}^d ; $\theta = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{N}^d$, $|x - y|$ denotes the Euclidean distance in \mathbf{R}^d . If f is a function on X and Y is contained in X , then $f|_Y$ denotes the restriction of the function f to Y . $C(X)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on the compact set X . $C^p(I^d)$ denotes the space of p -times continuously differentiable functions on the d -dimensional cube I^d provided with the norm

$$\|f\|^{(p)} = \sup \{\|D^\alpha f\|_\infty : |\alpha| \leq p\},$$

where D^α is the differential operator

$$D^\alpha f = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$$

and $\|g\|_\infty = \sup \{|g(x)| : x \in I^d\}$.

For an f in $C(I^d)$, let ω_f denote the modulus of continuity of f , i.e.

$$\omega_f(\delta) = \sup \{|f(x) - f(y)| : |x - y| \leq \delta; x, y \in I^d\} \quad \text{for } \delta > 0.$$

If f is in $C^p(I^d)$, then we define for $k \leq p$

$$\omega_{D^k f}(\delta) = \sup \{\omega_{D^k f}(\delta) : |\alpha| = k\}.$$

The continuity of f means that $\omega_f(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$; since ω_f is subadditive,

$$\omega_f(n\delta) \leq n\omega_f(\delta) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

For $0 < s < 1$, let

$$H_{p+s}(I^d) = \{f \in C^p(I^d) : \exists c > 0, \omega_{D^p f}(\delta) \leq c \cdot \delta^s\}.$$

Thus, $H_{p+s}(I^d)$ is the space of functions whose derivatives of order p satisfy the Hölder condition with an exponent s . The norm in $H_{p+s}(I^d)$ is

$$\|f\|^{(p+s)} = \max \left\{ \sup \left\{ \frac{|D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha f(y)|}{|x - y|^s} : |\alpha| = p; x, y \in I^d \right\}, \|f\|^{(p)} \right\}.$$

A sequence $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of a Banach space X is called a *basis of finite-dimensional subspaces* iff each f in X can be written uniquely as

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n,$$

where $f_n \in X_n$ and the series converges in X .

A sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements of a Banach space X is called a *Schauder basis* iff each f in X has a unique decomposition

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n(f) \varphi_n,$$

where $a_n(f)$ are scalars and the series converges in X ($(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the associated sequence of coefficient functionals). If $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Schauder basis for X , then S_n are operators of partial sums, i.e.

$$S_n f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(f) \varphi_i,$$

and the number

$$\sup \{\|S_n f\| : \|f\| \leq 1, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

is called the *norm* of the basis $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. In [7] the following lemma is proved.

LEMMA 1.1.1. *If $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a basis of finite-dimensional subspaces for X and $(\varphi_i)_{i=N_n+1}^{N_{n+1}}$ are bases for X_n with uniformly bounded norms, then $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a basis for X .*

A basis $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ for $C(I^d)$ is called an *interpolating basis with nodes* $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ iff for each f in $C(I^d)$ and each n in \mathbf{N}

$$f(x_m) = S_n f(x_m) \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, n.$$

A basis for $C^p(I^d)$ is called *simultaneous* iff it is a basis in each space $C^q(I^d)$ for $q = 0, \dots, p$.

1.2. Some estimations for divided differences. Let $T_i = [t_0^i, \dots, t_{n_i}^i]$ be partitions of the unit interval I and $0 = t_0^i < \dots < t_{n_i}^i = 1$. Then $T_\theta = \prod_{i=1}^d T_i$ determines a partition of the cube I^d into $n_1 \dots n_d$ cuboids (i.e. rectangular parallelepipeds). Let $\psi : T_\theta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function defined on the set T_θ of vertices of the cuboids. The divided differences of ψ are defined by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{T_\theta}^0 \psi &= \psi, \\ (1.2.1) \quad \Delta_{T_\theta}^{a+e_m} \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_d}^d) &= \frac{\Delta_{T_\theta}^a \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_m+1}^m, \dots, t_{k_d}^d) - \Delta_{T_\theta}^a \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_m}^m, \dots, t_{k_d}^d)}{t_{k_m+1}^m - t_{k_m}^m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k_m = 0, \dots, n_m - \alpha_m - 1$; $k_i = 0, \dots, m_i - \alpha_i$ for $i \neq m$. Note that $\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha \psi$ is defined only at the points which are not too close to the faces $\{x = (x_i)_{i=1}^d \in I^d: x_k = 1\}$; $\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha$ is a linear operator from $C(T_\theta)$ to $C(\prod_{i=1}^d \{t_0^i, \dots, t_{n_i - \alpha_i}^i\})$. We write

$$\|\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha \psi\|_\infty = \sup \{|\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha \psi(x)|: x \in \prod_{i=1}^d \{t_0^i, \dots, t_{n_i - \alpha_i}^i\}\}.$$

Let $W_h = \{0, \dots, nh\}^d$, where $h = 1/n$. We write

$$(1.2.2) \quad \Delta_h^{\alpha+e_m} \psi(x) = \frac{\psi(x + e_m h) - \psi(x)}{h}, \quad \Delta_h^{\alpha+e_m} \psi = \Delta_h^{\alpha m} (\Delta_h^\alpha \psi).$$

Thus, $\Delta_h^\alpha \psi = \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_d! \Delta_{W_h}^\alpha \psi$.

LEMMA 1.2.1. Suppose that there is a number D such that

$$(1.2.3) \quad 1/D \leq \frac{t_{k_i+1}^i - t_{k_i}^i}{t_{k_i}^i - t_{k_i-1}^i} \leq D \quad \text{for } k_i = 1, \dots, n_i - 1, i = 2, \dots, d.$$

Suppose that α, β are multiindices satisfying $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists a number $c_{\alpha\beta}(D)$ independent of T_θ and ψ such that

$$|\Delta_\theta^\beta \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_d}^d)| \leq c_{\alpha\beta}(D) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d (t_{k_i+1}^i - t_{k_i}^i)^{\alpha_i - \beta_i} \|\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha \psi\|_\infty$$

for $\psi \in C(T_\theta)$.

Proof. We prove the existence of $c_{\alpha\beta}(D)$ by induction on $|\beta|$, α being fixed. If $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, then obviously $c_{\alpha\alpha}(D) = 1$. Passing from $|\beta|$ to $|\beta| + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta_{T_\theta}^{\beta+e_m} \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_d}^d)| \\ & \leq \frac{|\Delta_{T_\theta}^\beta \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_m+1}^m, \dots, t_{k_d}^d) - \Delta_{T_\theta}^\beta \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_m}^m, \dots, t_{k_d}^d)|}{t_{k_m+1}^m - t_{k_m}^m} \\ & \leq c_{\alpha\beta}(D) \left[\left(\frac{t_{k_m+1}^m - t_{k_m}^m}{t_{k_m+2}^m - t_{k_m+1}^m} \right)^{\beta_m - \alpha_m} + 1 \right] (t_{k_m+1}^m - t_{k_m}^m) \times \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i=1}^d (t_{k_i+1}^i - t_{k_i}^i)^{\alpha_m - \beta_m} \|\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha \psi\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence according to (1.2.3) it suffices to take

$$c_{\alpha, \beta+e_m}(D) = c_{\alpha\beta}(D) \cdot (D^{\beta_m - \alpha_m} + 1). \quad \blacksquare$$

The same formula (1.2.1) determines a linear operator $\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha$ from $C(I^d)$ into $C(\prod_{i=1}^d [0, t_{n_i - \alpha_i}^i])$. The double meaning of $\Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha$ should not cause

any confusion. Let us note that

$$D^\beta \Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha f = \Delta_{T_\theta}^\alpha D^\beta f \quad \text{for } f \in C^p(I^d) \text{ and } |\beta| \leq p.$$

LEMMA 1.2.2. Let $f \in C^p(I^d)$, $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq p+1$. Then

$$(1.2.4) \quad \|\Delta_h^\alpha f\|_\infty \leq h^{-1} \omega_{D^{|\alpha|-1}, f}(h).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|\alpha|$. If $|\alpha| = 1$, then $\alpha = e_m$ and

$$|\Delta_h^\alpha f(x)| = |\Delta_h^{e_m} f(x)| = h^{-1} |f(x + e_m h) - f(x)| \leq h^{-1} \omega_f(h).$$

Let $|\alpha| > 1$ and $\alpha_m > 0$. Then $\alpha = \beta + e_m$, $|\beta| = |\alpha| - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h^\alpha f(x)| &= |\Delta_h^{\alpha m} (\Delta_h^\beta f)(x)| = h^{-1} |\Delta_h^\beta f(x + e_m h) - \Delta_h^\beta f(x)| \\ &= h^{-1} \left| \int_0^h D^{e_m} \Delta_h^\beta f(x + te_m) dt \right| = h^{-1} \left| \int_0^h \Delta_h^\beta D^{e_m} f(x + te_m) dt \right| \leq \|\Delta_h^\beta D^{e_m} f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\|\Delta_h^\beta D^{e_m} f\|_\infty \leq h^{-1} \sup \{ \omega_{D^{\beta+e_m} f}(h): |\gamma| = |\beta| - 1 \} \leq h^{-1} \omega_{D^{|\alpha|-1}, f}(h).$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get (1.2.4). \blacksquare

LEMMA 1.2.3. Let $f \in C^p(I^d)$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p$; then

$$\|\Delta_h^\alpha f\|_\infty \leq \sup \{ \|D^\beta f\|_\infty: |\beta| = |\alpha| \}.$$

The proof is analogous to that in Lemma 1.2.2.

1.3. A generalization of Rolle's theorem. The following lemma will be needed in Section 3.

LEMMA 1.3.1. If $|\alpha| \leq q$, $a_{k_i}^i \in \mathbf{R}$, $k_i = 0, \dots, \alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, and sequences $(a_{k_i}^i)_{k_i=0}^{\alpha_i}$ are strictly increasing, then for each f in $C^q(\prod_{i=1}^d [a_0^i, a_{\alpha_i}^i])$ satisfying $f(a_{k_1}^1, \dots, a_{k_d}^d) = 0$ for $k_i = 0, \dots, \alpha_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, there exists a point $x^0 \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_0^i, a_{\alpha_i}^i]$ such that $D^\alpha f(x^0) = 0$.

Proof. If $d = 1$, then the statement of the lemma is a known generalization of Rolle's theorem. Let us assume that the lemma is true for each cube of dimension less than d and let f satisfy the assumption of the lemma.

(i) If $\alpha_1 = 0$, then we can consider the cube $\{a_0^1\} \times \prod_{i=2}^d [a_0^i, a_{\alpha_i}^i]$ as a cube of dimension less than d . We get

$$D^\alpha f = D^{(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)} \tilde{f},$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(x_2, \dots, x_d) = f(a_0^1, x_2, \dots, x_d).$$

130

J. Ryll

By the above assumption, there exists a point $\tilde{x}^0 = (x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0)$ such that

$$D^{(a_2, \dots, a_d)} \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^0) = 0.$$

Then $x^0 = (a_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0)$ is the desired point.

(ii) If $\alpha_1 > 0$, then we define a function \tilde{f} in $C^{\alpha}(\prod_{i=1}^d [a_0^i, a_{\alpha_i}^i])$ as

$$\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = f(x_1, \dots, x_d) - \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_1-1} W_k(x_1) \cdot f(a_k^1, x_2, \dots, x_d),$$

where W_k is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial of degree $\alpha_1 - 1$ such that

$$(1.3.1) \quad W_k(a_j^1) = \delta_{kj} \quad \text{for } k, j = 0, \dots, \alpha_1 - 1.$$

By the assumption about the function f , we have

$$\tilde{f}(a_{\alpha_1}^1, a_{\alpha_2}^2, \dots, a_{\alpha_d}^d) = 0, \quad k_i = 0, \dots, \alpha_i, \quad i = 2, \dots, d.$$

From the above equalities and from (i) it follows that there exists a point $\tilde{x}^0 = (a_{\alpha_1}^1, x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0)$, which belongs to $\{a_{\alpha_1}^1\} \times \prod_{i=2}^d [a_0^i, a_{\alpha_i}^i]$, such that

$$(1.3.2) \quad D^{a - \alpha_1 e_1} \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}^0) = 0.$$

Let

$$\hat{f}(x) = D^{a - \alpha_1 e_1} \tilde{f}(x, x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0).$$

It follows from (1.3.1) that

$$(1.3.3) \quad \hat{f}(a_j^1) = D^{a - \alpha_1 e_1} f(a_j^1, x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0) - \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_1-1} W_k(a_j^1) \cdot D^{a - \alpha_1 e_1} f(a_k^1, x_2^0, \dots, x_d^0), \quad j = 0, \dots, \alpha_1.$$

Then, by (1.3.2), (1.3.3), $\hat{f}(a_j^1) = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, \alpha_1$. Hence there exists a point x_1^0 in $[a_0^1, a_{\alpha_1}^1]$ such that

$$0 = D^{\alpha_1} \hat{f}(x_1^0) = D^{\alpha_1 e_1} (D^{a - \alpha_1 e_1} \tilde{f})(x_1^0, \dots, x_d^0) = D^a \tilde{f}(x_1^0, \dots, x_d^0).$$

Since $\tilde{f} - f$ is a polynomial of degree $\alpha_1 - 1$ with respect to the variable x_1 , we infer that $D^{\alpha_1 e_1} \tilde{f} = D^{\alpha_1 e_1} f$ and hence $D^a f = D^a \tilde{f}$. ■

COROLLARY 1.3.2. *If $f \in C^{\alpha}(I^d)$, $f|_{W_h} = 0$, $x \in I^d$, then for each α which satisfies $|\alpha| \leq q$ there exists a point x^a such that*

$$|x^a - x| \leq \sqrt{d} q h, \quad D^{\alpha} f(x^a) = 0.$$

2. Extension operators into $C^p(I^d)$

2.1. Extension operators in the one-dimensional case. Let $T = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, where $n \geq p$, $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$, and $\psi \in C(T)$. We define polynomials $P_i \psi$ for $i = 0, \dots, n - p$ of degree not greater than p such that

$$(2.1.1) \quad P_i \psi(t_k) = \psi(t_k), \quad k = i, \dots, i + p.$$

We define polynomials $Q_i \psi$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - p$ of degree not greater than $2p + 1$ such that

$$(2.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D^j Q_i \psi(t_{i-1}) &= D^j P_{i-1} \psi(t_{i-1}), \\ D^j Q_i \psi(t_i) &= D^j P_i \psi(t_i), \end{aligned} \quad j = 0, \dots, p,$$

The polynomials $P_i \psi$ and $Q_i \psi$ exist and are unique. We define the function $L_T \psi$ as

$$(2.1.3) \quad L_T \psi(t) = \begin{cases} Q_i \psi(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, n - p, \\ P_{n-p} \psi(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_{n-p}, t_n]. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.1.1. *Let T satisfy (1.2.3). Then L_T is an operator from $C(T)$ into $C^p(I)$ and*

(i) $L_T \psi|_T = \psi$,

(ii) $D^{p+1} L_T \psi(t)$ exists for $t \in I \setminus T$,

(iii) there exists a number $c(p, D)$ independent of T and ψ such that

$$(2.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D^j L_T \psi\|_{\infty} &\leq c(p, D) \|A_T^j \psi\|_{\infty}, \quad j = 0, \dots, p, \\ \sup \{|D^{p+1} L_T \psi(t)| : t \in I \setminus T\} &\leq c(p, D) \|A_T^{p+1} \psi\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to (2.1.2) the function $L_T \psi$ is in $C^p(I)$ and satisfies (i) and (ii). We are going to show that $Q_i \psi$ is of the form (2.1.9). Let $P_i f = P_i(f|_T)$; $Q_i f = Q_i(f|_T)$ for f in $C(I)$. If t is fixed and ψ is a variable, then $Q_i \psi(t)$ becomes a linear functional on the $(p+2)$ -dimensional space of all functions on the set $\{t_{i-1}, \dots, t_{i+p}\}$. Consequently,

$$Q_i \psi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} f_{ij}(t) \cdot \psi(t_{i+j-1}),$$

where $f_{ij}(t)$ do not depend on ψ . Moreover, the numbers $\psi(t_{i+j-1})$, $j = 0, \dots, p+1$, can be expressed as linear combinations of $A_T^j \psi(t_{i-1})$, $j = 0, \dots, p+1$, with coefficients $r_{ij}(t)$ independent of ψ . Thus

$$(2.1.5) \quad Q_i \psi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} r_{ij}(t) A_T^j \psi(t_{i-1}).$$

We define polynomials w_{ik} by

$$(2.1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} w_{i0}(t) &= 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-p, \\ w_{ik}(t) &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (t - t_{i+j-1}), \quad k = 1, \dots, p+1; \quad i = 1, \dots, n-p. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.1.7) \quad \Delta_T^i w_{ik}(t_{i-1}) = \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k = 0, \dots, p+1; \quad i = 1, \dots, n-p.$$

The degree of w_{ik} is equal to k . Hence for $k = 0, \dots, p$

$$(2.1.8) \quad P_{i-1} w_{ik} = w_{ik}, \quad P_i w_{ik} = w_{ik}, \quad Q_i w_{ik} = w_{ik}.$$

This means that P_i are projections from $C(I)$ onto the subspace of polynomials of degree not greater than p .

From (2.1.5) and (2.1.7) it follows that

$$Q_i w_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} r_{ij} \Delta_T^j w_{ik}(t_{i-1}) = r_{ik}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, p$; $i = 1, \dots, n-p$. Combining this with (2.1.5) we obtain

$$(2.1.9)$$

$$Q_i \psi = \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} v_{ij} \Delta_T^j \psi(t_{i-1}), \quad \text{where } v_{ij} = \begin{cases} w_{ij} & \text{for } j = 0, \dots, p, \\ Q_i w_{i, p+1} & \text{for } j = p+1. \end{cases}$$

Analogously, one can show that

$$(2.1.10) \quad P_{n-p} \psi = \sum_{i=0}^p v_{n-p, i} \Delta_T^i \psi(t_{n-p}).$$

We are going to estimate $D^j v_{ik}(t)$ for $j = 0, \dots, p+1$. Since w_{ik} is a polynomial (2.1.6),

$$D^j w_{ik}(t) = j! \sum_{\{m_1, \dots, m_j\} \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}} \left(\prod_{r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \setminus \{m_1, \dots, m_j\}} (t - t_{i+r-1}) \right).$$

By (1.2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left\{ \left| \prod_{r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \setminus \{m_1, \dots, m_j\}} (t - t_{i+r-1}) \right| : t \in [t_{i-1}, t_{i+p}] \right\} \\ \leq |t_{i+p} - t_{i-1}|^{k-j} \leq c_1(p, D) |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{k-j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.1.11) \quad \sup \{|D^j w_{ik}(t)| : t \in [t_{i-1}, t_{i+p}]\} \leq c_2(p, D) \cdot |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{k-j}.$$

Let us note that $P_i w_{i, p+1} = (t_{i+p} - t_{i-p}) \cdot w_{i+1, p}$, so

$$(2.1.12) \quad |D^i P_i w_{i, p+1}(t_i)| \leq c_3(p, D) |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{p+1-j}.$$

Since the polynomial $Q_i w_{i, p+1}$ satisfies (2.1.2) with $\psi = w_{i, p+1}|_T$, from Hermite interpolation formula ([8], p. 98) it is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i w_{i, p+1}(t) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-r} \frac{D^{p-k} P_i w_{i, p+1}(t_i) (p+k-r)! (t - t_{i-1})^{p+1} \cdot (t - t_i)^{p-r}}{(p-k)! (k-r)! p! (t_i - t_{i-1})^{p+1+k-r}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we write $w(t) = (t - t_{i-1})^{p+1} \cdot (t - t_i)^{p-r}$, then

$$\sup \{|D^j w(t)| : t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]\} \leq c_4(p) |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{2p+1-j-r}.$$

So, combining the above inequalities and (2.1.12), we have an estimation

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1.13) \quad \sup \{|D^j Q_i w_{i, p+1}(t)| : t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]\} \\ \leq c_5(p, D) \sum_{k=0}^p \sum_{r=0}^k |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{k+1} |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{-p-1-k+r} |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{2p+1-r-j} \\ \leq c_6(p, D) \cdot |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{p+1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1.9), (2.1.10), (2.1.11), and (2.1.13) we obtain

$$\sup \{|D^j Q_i \psi(t)| : t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]\} \leq c_7(p, D) \sum_{k=j}^{p+1} |t_i - t_{i-1}|^{k-j} |\Delta_T^k \psi(t_{i-1})|,$$

$$\sup \{|D^j P_{n-p} \psi(t)| : t \in [t_{n-p}, t_n]\} \leq c_7(p, D) \sum_{k=j}^p |t_{n-p} - t_{n-p-1}|^{k-j} |\Delta_T^k \psi(t_{n-p})|.$$

Combining the above inequalities and Lemma 1.2.1, we obtain (2.1.4). ■

2.2. Extension operators in the multi-dimensional case. Let $T_i = \{t_0^i, \dots, t_{n_i}^i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ be partitions of I ($0 = t_0^i < \dots < t_{n_i}^i = 1$). We write

$$T_\varepsilon = \prod_{i=1}^d T_{i, \varepsilon_i} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{0, 1\}^d,$$

where $T_{i,0} = T_i$; $T_{i,1} = I$. If $L: C(T_j) \rightarrow C(I)$ is any linear operator and ε is such that $\varepsilon_j = 0$, then we can define an operator $L_\varepsilon^j: C(T_\varepsilon) \rightarrow C(T_{\varepsilon+e_j})$ by the formula

$$(2.2.1) \quad (L_\varepsilon^j \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (L(\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \cdot, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d)))(x_j),$$

where $\psi \in C(T_\varepsilon)$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T_{\varepsilon+e_j}$, i.e. L_ε^j is the operator L applied to the function ψ regarded as a function of the j th variable only. Obviously, if

$$\|L\psi\|_\infty \leq \| \Delta_{T_j}^j \psi \|_\infty \quad \text{for } \psi \in C(T_j),$$

then

$$\|L_j^e \psi\|_\infty \leq \|A_{T_0}^{e_j e_j} \psi\|_\infty \quad \text{for } \psi \in C(T_e).$$

Formula (2.2.1) will be applied to the operators $L_{T_i}: C(T_i) \rightarrow C(I)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ (2.1.9).

We define an operator $L = L_{T_0}: C(T_0) \rightarrow C(I^d)$ by the formula

$$(2.2.2) \quad L = L_{T_1,1}^1 \circ \dots \circ L_{T_i,i}^i \circ \dots \circ L_{T_d,d}^d,$$

where $e^i = \sum_{j=i+1}^d e_j$. The operator $L_{T_i,i}^i$ is of the form

$$L_{T_i,i}^i \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{p+1} v_{km}(x_i) \Delta_{T_i}^{k e_m} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t_k^i, \dots, x_d), & t_k^i \leq x_i < t_{k+1}^i, \\ \sum_{n=0}^p v_{km}(x_i) \Delta_{T_i}^{k e_m} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t_{n-p}^i, \dots, x_d), & t_{n-p}^i \leq x_i \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad k = 0, \dots, n_i - p - 1,$$

where $\psi \in C(T_e)$, $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T_{e+e_i}$, and $e_i = 0$. The operators $L_{T_i,i}^i$ commute in the sense:

if $i \neq j$, e is such that $e_i = e_j = 0$, then

$$L_{T_i,i}^{e+e_i} \circ L_{T_j,j}^e \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = L_{T_j,j}^{e+e_j} \circ L_{T_i,i}^e \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)$$

for $\psi \in C(T_e)$, $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T_{e+e_j+e_i}$.

Let ε be such that $\varepsilon_{i_0} = \varepsilon_{i_1} = 0$ for a pair of indices $i_0 < i_1 \leq d$. Let f_j be a function on I for $j = 0, 1$. The operator M_i^ε is defined by the formula

$$(2.2.3) \quad M_j^\varepsilon \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = f_j(x_{i_j}) \Delta_{T_j}^{\varepsilon e_{i_j}} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{i_j-1}, t_k^j, x_{i_j+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

for $x_{i_j} \in [t_k^j, t_{k+1}^j]$; $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T_{\eta+e_{i_j}}$, where $\eta = \varepsilon$ or $\eta = \varepsilon + e_{i-j}$ and $j = 0, 1$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (M_0^{e+e_{i_1}} M_1^\varepsilon \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_d) &= (M_1^{e+e_{i_0}} M_0^\varepsilon \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &= f_0(x_{i_0}) \cdot f_1(x_{i_1}) (\Delta_{T_0}^{\varepsilon e_{i_0} + \varepsilon_1 e_{i_1}} \psi)(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, t_{k_0}^0, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_{i_1-1}, t_{k_1}^1, \\ &\quad x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned}$$

for $x_{i_j} \in [t_k^j, t_{k+1}^j]$, $j = 0, 1$; $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in T_{e+e_{i_0}+e_{i_1}}$. Since $L_{T_i,i}^i$ are sums of operators of the form (2.2.3), they commute too. Let us note that if $i \neq j$, then the operator $\Delta_{T_0}^{e_j}$ commutes with the operator $L_{T_i,i}^i$.

LEMMA 2.2.1. Let T_i satisfy (2.1.1) for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then L is an operator from $C(T_0)$ into $C^p(I^d)$ and

- (i) $L\psi|_{T_0} = \psi$;
- (ii) the derivative $D^{(p+1)e_i} L\psi(x)$ exists for any x in I^d such that $x_i \in I \setminus T_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$;
- (iii) the derivative $D^\alpha L\psi(x)$ exists for any x in I^d and α such that $\max \alpha_i \leq p$;
- (iv) there exists a number $c(p, d, D)$ not depending on T_0 and ψ such that

$$\|D^\alpha L\psi\|_\infty \leq c(p, d, D) \|A_{T_0}^\alpha \psi\|_\infty \quad \text{for } \max \alpha_i \leq p, \\ \sup \{ |D^{(p+1)e_i} L\psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)| : x_i \in I \setminus T_i \} \leq c(p, d, D) \|A_{T_0}^{(p+1)e_i} \psi\|_\infty.$$

Proof. For $\varphi \in C(T_i)$ the functions $L_{T_i} \varphi$ and their derivatives are of the form

$$(2.2.4) \quad D^{\alpha_i} L_{T_i} \varphi(t) = \sum_{j=\alpha_i}^{p+1} D^{\alpha_i} v_{kj} \Delta_{T_i}^j \varphi(t_k^i)$$

for t in the closure of $J_{k,i}$, $k = 0, \dots, n_i - p$,

$$(2.2.5) \quad D^{p+1} L_{T_i} \varphi(t) = D^{p+1} v_{k,p+1}(t) \Delta_{T_i}^{p+1} \varphi(t_k^i)$$

for t in $J_{k,i}$, $k = 0, \dots, n_i - p$, where

$$J_{k,i} = \begin{cases} (t_k^i, t_{k+1}^i), & k = 0, \dots, n_i - p - 1, \\ (t_{n-p}^i, t_{n_i}^i), & k = n_i - p. \end{cases}$$

Let us note that

$$D^{\alpha_i e_i} \circ (L_{T_i,i}^e) = (D^{\alpha_i} \circ L_{T_i,i})^e.$$

Let $\psi \in C(T_0)$, let α be such that $\max \alpha_i \leq p$. According to (2.2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\alpha_1 e_1} L &= D^{\alpha_1 e_1} L_{T_1,1}^1 \circ L_{T_2,2}^2 \circ \dots \circ L_{T_d,d}^d = (D^{\alpha_1} L_{T_1,1})^{e_1} \circ L_{T_2,2}^2 \circ \dots \circ L_{T_d,d}^d \\ &= L_{T_2,2}^2 \circ \dots \circ L_{T_d,d}^d \circ (D^{\alpha_1} L_{T_1,1})^{e_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $D^{\alpha_1 e_1} L$ exists and is p -times differentiable with respect to the variables x_2, \dots, x_d . If we apply the above procedure to all variables x_1, \dots, x_d , then we obtain

$$D^\alpha L\psi = D^{\alpha_1 e_1} \circ \dots \circ D^{\alpha_d e_d} \circ L\psi = (D^{\alpha_1} L_{T_1,1})^{e_1} \circ \dots \circ (D^{\alpha_d} L_{T_d,d})^{e_d}.$$

Hence the function $L\psi$ is in $C^p(I^d)$. From the above and (2.2.4) we obtain

$$D^\alpha L\psi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{\beta_1=\alpha_1}^{p+1} \dots \sum_{\beta_d=\alpha_d}^{p+1} D^{\alpha_1} v_{k_1 \beta_1}(x_1) \dots D^{\alpha_d} v_{k_d \beta_d}(x_d) \Delta_{T_0}^\beta \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_d}^d)$$

for x_i the closure of $J_{k,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore from (2.1.9) and (2.1.11)

we infer

$$\|D^a L\psi\|_\infty \leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{\beta_1=\alpha_1}^{p+1} \dots \sum_{\beta_d=\alpha_d}^{p+1} c_1(p, d, D) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d |t_{k_i+1}^i - t_{k_i}^i|^{\beta_i - \alpha_i} \times \right. \\ \left. \times |\Delta_{T_g}^\beta \psi(t_{k_1}^1, \dots, t_{k_d}^d)| : k_i = 0, \dots, n_i - p; i = 1, \dots, d \right\}.$$

Now we apply Lemma 1.2.1 and obtain (iv) in the case where $\max \alpha_i \leq p$.

In an analogous way one can show, using (2.2.5), the estimation (iv) for $\alpha = (p+1)e_i$.

3. Constructions of bases

3.1. Projections in the space $C^q(I^d)$. In this section p and d are fixed integers ($p \geq 0, d \geq 1$). The number $e(p, d, 1)$ will shortly be denoted by c . We recall that $W_h = \{0, h, \dots, nh\}^d$, where $1/h = n \geq p$. Obviously, $\{0, h, \dots, nh\}$ satisfies (1.2.3) with $D = 1$. We define an operator G_h from $C(I^d)$ to $C^p(I^d)$ as an extension of the function g restricted to W_h :

$$(3.1.1) \quad G_h g = L_{W_h}(g|_{W_h}) \quad \text{for } g \in C(I^d).$$

By Lemmas 1.2.3 and 2.2.1 we have for $g \in C^q(I^d)$ and $q = 0, \dots, p$

$$\|G_h g\|^{(q)} \leq c \cdot \|g\|^q \quad \text{and} \quad G_h g|_{W_h} = g|_{W_h}.$$

This means that the operator G_h is a continuous projection on the space $C^q(I^d)$ for $q = 0, \dots, p$. Let us take a multiindex α satisfying $|\alpha| \leq q$ and a function g in the space $C^q(I^d)$. If $x, y \in (I \setminus W_h)^d$, then

$$|D^\alpha G_h g(x) - D^\alpha G_h g(y)| \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |D^\alpha G_h g(x_1, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_d) - D^\alpha G_h g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, \dots, y_d)| \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \int_{x_i}^{y_i} D^{\alpha + e_i} G_h g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_d) dt \right| \\ \leq d^{1/2} \cdot |x - y| \cdot \sup \{ \|D^\beta G_h g\|_\infty : \beta = |\alpha| + 1 \}.$$

Let α, g be as before and $x, y \in I^d$. We choose sequences $(x^k), (y^k)$ such that $\lim x^k = x, \lim y^k = y$ and $x^k, y^k \in (I \setminus W_h)^d$. Obviously, we have

$$(3.1.2) \quad |D^\alpha G_h g(x) - D^\alpha G_h g(y)| \\ = \lim |D^\alpha G_h g(x^k) - D^\alpha G_h g(y^k)| \leq d^{1/2} |x - y| \cdot \sup \{ \|D^\beta G_h g\|_\infty : \beta = |\alpha| + 1 \}.$$

From this inequality and Lemmas 1.2.2 and 2.2.1 it follows that

$$(3.1.3) \quad \omega_{D|\alpha|_g}(\delta) \leq d^{1/2} \cdot c \cdot \delta \cdot \omega_{D|\alpha|_g}(h) \cdot h^{-1}.$$

According to Corollary 1.3.2, for each α with $|\alpha| \leq q$ and each $x \in I^d$ there

exists a point x^α in I^d such that

$$|x - x^\alpha| \leq qh\sqrt{d} \quad \text{and} \quad D^\alpha G_h g(x^\alpha) = D^\alpha g(x^\alpha).$$

Combining (3.1.2) and Lemmas 1.2.2 and 2.2.1, we get

$$|D^\alpha G_h g(x) - D^\alpha g(x)| \leq |D^\alpha G_h g(x) - D^\alpha G_h g(x^\alpha)| + |D^\alpha g(x^\alpha) - D^\alpha g(x)| \\ \leq \sqrt{d} \cdot c \cdot qh \cdot \omega_{D|\alpha|_g}(h) \cdot h^{-1} + \omega_{D|\alpha|_g}(qh\sqrt{d}) \leq \sqrt{d}(qc + q)\omega_{D|\alpha|_g}(h).$$

So

$$(3.1.4) \quad \|D^\alpha G_h g - D^\alpha g\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{d}(qc + q)\omega_{D|\alpha|_g}(h).$$

Now let $(h_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence convergent to 0 and such that

$$h_n \cdot h_{n+1}^{-1} \in N \quad (\text{i.e. } W_{h_{n+1}} \subset W_{h_n}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We write

$$W_n = W_{h_n}; \quad V_n = W_n \setminus W_{n-1}; \quad V = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty V_n \quad (W_0 = \emptyset).$$

We arrange the elements of V into a sequence $(v_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ so that $v_k \in V_n$ for $(h_{n-1}^{-1} + 1)^d < k \leq (h_n^{-1} + 1)^d$ ($h_0 = -1$). We write

$$N_n = \{k \in N : v_k \in V_n\} = \{k \in N : (h_{n-1}^{-1} + 1)^d < k \leq (h_n^{-1} + 1)^d\}, \quad n = 1, \dots$$

The operators B_n and R_n from the space $C^q(I^d)$ to itself are defined by induction:

$$(3.1.5) \quad B_0 = \text{id}, \quad B_n = G_{h_n} \circ R_{n-1} \quad (n = 1, \dots),$$

$$R_n = R_{n-1} - B_n = \text{id} - \sum_{k=1}^n B_k \quad (n = 1, \dots).$$

LEMMA 3.1.1. *The operators B_n are orthogonal projections, i.e.*

$$B_n B_m = \begin{cases} 0, & m \neq n, \\ B_n, & m = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In virtue of (3.1.5) and Lemma 2.2.1 we have for f in $C^q(I^d)$

$$R_n f|_{W_n} = R_{n-1} f|_{W_n} - G_{h_n} R_{n-1} f|_{W_n} = 0.$$

Hence

$$B_{n+1} f|_{W_n} = G_{h_{n+1}} R_n f|_{W_n} = 0$$

and

$$G_{h_m} B_{n+1} f = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 1, \dots, n.$$

For a fixed n , let

$$m(n) = \inf \{m \in N : B_m B_n \neq 0 \text{ and } m \neq n\}.$$

Then $m(n) \leq \infty$ and

$$B_{m(n)} B_n = G_{h_{m(n)}} \left(B_n - \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)-1} B_k B_n \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m(n) < n, \\ G_{h_{m(n)}} (B_n - B_n B_n) & \text{if } m(n) > n. \end{cases}$$

Hence $m(n) > n$ and

$$B_n B_n = G_{h_n} \left(B_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k B_n \right) = G_{h_n} B_n = G_{h_n} G_{h_n} R_{n-1} = G_{h_n} R_{n-1} = B_n.$$

Thus $m(n) = +\infty$, i.e. $B_n B_m = 0$ for $n \neq m$. ■

LEMMA 3.1.2. Let

$$\omega_{D^q G_{h_n} g}(\delta) \leq \delta \cdot b \cdot \omega_{D^q g}(h_n) \cdot h_n^{-1}$$

for g in $C^q(I^d)$ and $n = 1, \dots, b$ being a positive constant. Then

$$(3.1.6) \quad \omega_{D^q R_n f}(\delta) \leq \omega_{D^q f}(\delta) + \delta \cdot b \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (b+1)^{n-k} \omega_{D^q f}(h_k) h_k^{-1}.$$

Let $\omega_n = \omega_{D^q R_n f}$. We are going to prove (3.1.6) by induction on n . For $n = 1$, inequality (3.1.6) is just the same as (3.1.7). Let us assume that (3.1.6) is true for some n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n+1}(\delta) &\leq \omega_n(\delta) + \delta \cdot b \cdot \omega_n(h_{n+1}) h_{n+1}^{-1} \leq \omega_0(\delta) + \delta \cdot b \sum_{k=1}^n (b+1)^{n-k} \omega_0(h_k) h_k^{-1} + \\ &\quad + \delta \cdot b \left(\omega_0(h_{n+1}) + h_{n+1} b \sum_{k=1}^n (b+1)^{n-k} \omega_0(h_k) h_k^{-1} \right) h_{n+1}^{-1} \\ &= \omega_0(\delta) + \delta \cdot b \left((b+1) \sum_{k=1}^n (b+1)^{n-k} \omega_0(h_k) h_k^{-1} + \omega_0(h_{n+1}) h_{n+1}^{-1} \right) \\ &= \omega_0(\delta) + \delta \cdot b \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (b+1)^{n+1-k} \omega_0(h_k) h_k^{-1}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Bases in $C^q(I^d)$. We recall that $c = c(p, d, 1)$. Let A and M be fixed integers such that

$$(3.2.1) \quad A \geq c d^{1/2} + 1, \quad M \geq 2.$$

We define a sequence $(h_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ by

$$(3.2.2) \quad h_n = A^{-n} M^{-n}.$$

Let us note that if $t_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(3.2.3) \quad M^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k M^k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We define functions $\varphi_k^{(M)}$ in $C^p(I^d)$ extending canonically the functions $\tilde{\varphi}_{v_k}^{(M)}$, where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{v_k}^{(M)}(w) = \begin{cases} 0, & w \in V_n \setminus \{v_k\}, \\ 1, & w = v_k, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k \in N_n.$$

Technically,

$$(3.2.4) \quad \varphi_k = \varphi_k^{(M)} = L_{W_n}(\tilde{\varphi}_{v_k}^{(M)}) \quad \text{for } k \in N_n.$$

THEOREM 3.2.1. The sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a simultaneous interpolating basis for $C^p(I^d)$ with nodes $(v_k)_{k=1}^\infty$.

Proof. Let q be fixed, $0 \leq q \leq p$. We write

$$E_n = B_n(C^q(I^d)).$$

We prove the theorem in two steps. First we show that $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a basis of finite-dimensional subspaces in $C^q(I^d)$. Then we prove that for each n the sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k \in N_n}$ is a basis in E_n and the norms are uniformly bounded with respect to n . Hence, by Lemma 1.1.1, $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a basis in $C^q(I^d)$.

Let $f \in C^q(I^d)$. By (3.1.5) we have

$$(3.2.5) \quad f = \sum_{m=1}^n B_m f + R_n f.$$

We are going to prove that

$$\|R_n f\|^{(q)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to (3.1.4) and (3.1.5) we have for $|a| \leq q$

$$(3.2.6) \quad \|D^a R_n f\|_\infty = \|D^a R_{n-1} f - f D G_{h_n} R_{n-1} f\|_\infty \leq q A \omega_{D^{|a|} R_{n-1}}(h_n).$$

Since for $k \leq q-1$

$$\omega_{D^k R_{n-1} f}(h_n) \leq h_n \sup \{ \|D^k R_{n-1} f\|_\infty : |\beta| = k+1 \},$$

it is enough to prove that

$$(3.2.7) \quad \omega_{D^q R_n f}(h_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (3.1.3), (3.2.1), (3.2.2), and Lemma (3.1.2), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2.8) \quad \omega_{D^q R_n f}(h_{n+1}) &\leq \omega_{D^q f}(h_{n+1}) + h_{n+1} (A-1) \sum_{k=1}^n A^{n-k} \omega_{D^q f}(h_k) h_k^{-1} \\ &\leq \omega_{D^q f}(h_{n+1}) + M^{-n-1} A^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n A^{n-k} A^k M^k \omega_{D^q f}(h_k) \\ &\leq M^{-n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \omega_{D^q f}(h_k) M^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega_{Dq_f}(h_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow 0$ and (3.2.3), we get (3.2.7). Hence $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n f$.

The projections B_n are orthogonal so the decomposition is unique.

Obviously, $(\varphi_k)_{k \in N_n}$ is a basis in E_n . We are going to estimate the norm of this basis. Let

$$v_k = (v_k(1), \dots, v_k(d)) \in V_n.$$

Then the support of φ_k is contained in

$$\prod_{i=1}^d [v_k(i) - p - 1, v_k(i) + p + 1].$$

Consequently, for x in I^d the cardinality of the set

$$N_n(x) = \{k \in N_n : \varphi_k(x) \neq 0\}$$

is not greater than $(2p+2)^d$. Let $g \in E_n$ and $U \subset N_n$. Then $g = \sum_{k \in N_n} a_k \varphi_k$, where $a_k = g(v_k)$. We have to estimate the norm of $S_U g = \sum_{k \in U} a_k \varphi_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D^a \left(\sum_{k \in U} a_k \varphi_k \right) (x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k \in U \cap N_n(x)} a_k D^a \varphi_k(x) \right| \\ &\leq (2p+2)^d \cdot \sup \{|a_k| : k \in N_n\} \cdot \sup \{\|D^a \varphi_k\|_{\infty} : k \in N_n\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $|a| \leq q$ and therefore

$$(3.2.9) \quad \|S_U g\|^{(a)} \leq (2p+2)^d \sup \{|a_k| : k \in N_n\} \sup \{\|\varphi_k\|^{(a)} : k \in N_n\}.$$

We are going to estimate these upper bounds. We fix k in N_n . Lemmas 2.2.1 and 1.2.1 imply that

$$\|D^a \varphi_k\|_{\infty} \leq c \|\Delta_{h_n}^a \tilde{\varphi}_{v_k}\|_{\infty} \leq c \cdot c_{\theta a}(1) \|\Delta_{h_n}^0 \tilde{\varphi}_{v_k}\|_{\infty} h_n^{-|a|}.$$

Hence

$$(3.2.10) \quad \|\varphi_k\|^{(a)} \leq c(q) \cdot h_n^{-a}.$$

Since $g \in E$, we have $g|_{W_{n-1}} = 0$. Let $x \in I^d$, $|a| < q$ and x^a be such as in Corollary 1.3.2. According to (3.1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D^a g(x)| &= |D^a G_{h_n} g(x)| = |D^a G_{h_n} g(x) - D^a G_{h_n} g(x^a)| \\ &\leq d^{1/2} q h_{n-1} \sup \{\|D^{\beta} g\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = |a| + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2.11) \quad |a_k| &= |D^{\theta} g(v_k)| \leq d^{1/2} q h_{n-1} \sup \{\|D^{\beta} g\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = 1\} \leq \dots \\ &\leq d^{q/2} q^a \cdot h_{n-1}^a \sup \{\|D^{\beta} g\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = q\} \leq d^{q/2} q^a \cdot h_{n-1}^a \|g\|^{(q)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2.9), (3.2.10), and (3.2.11) it follows that

$$\|S_U g\|^{(a)} \leq c_1 \cdot h^{-a} \cdot h_{n-1}^a \|g\|^{(q)} = c_2 \|g\|^{(q)}.$$

Hence the norm of the basis $(\varphi_k)_{k \in N_n}$ is not greater than c_2 . ■

4. An isomorphism of the spaces $H_{p+s}(I^d)$ and l_{∞} . Throughout this section s is a fixed real number such that $s \in (0, 1)$, while A and M are integers satisfying (3.2.1) and $M > A^{s/(1-s)}$. Let $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis for $C^p(I^d)$ constructed in Section 3.2 for the given M , let $(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the associated sequence of coefficient functionals.

LEMMA 4.1.1. *There exists a number c_3 such that the conditions $f \in C^p(I^d)$ and $\omega_{Dp_f}(\delta) \leq \delta^s$ imply*

$$(4.1.1) \quad |a_k(f)| \leq c_3 h_n^{p+s} \quad \text{for } k \in N_n.$$

Proof. From (3.2.6) and (3.2.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1.2) \quad \sup \{\|D^a R_n f\|_{\infty} : |a| = p\} &\leq p A \omega_{Dp R_n - f}(h_n) \\ &\leq M^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{Dp_f}(h_k) M^k \leq M^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n h_k^s M^k = M^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n M^{-ks} A^{-ks} M^k \\ &= M^{-n} M^{1-s} A^{-s} \frac{(M^{n(1-s)} A^{-ns} - 1)}{(M^{1-s} A^{-s} - 1)} \leq \frac{M^{1-s} A^{-s}}{M^{1-s} A^{-s} - 1} (M^{-n} A^{-n})^s = c_1 h_n^s. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_n f$ vanishes on W_n , by Corollary 1.3.2 for each x in I^d and a satisfying $|a| \leq p$ there exists a point x^a in I^d such that $|x^a - x| \leq p h_n$ and $D^a R_n(x^a) = 0$. Hence

$$|D^a R_n f(x)| = |D^a R_n f(x) - D^a R_n f(x^a)| \leq p h_n \cdot \sup \{\|D^{\beta} R_n f\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = |a| + 1\}.$$

Combining this with (4.1.2), we get for each k in N_{n+1}

$$|R_n f(v_k)| \leq p^2 h_n^p \cdot \sup \{\|D^{\beta} R_n f\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = p\} \leq c_2 h_n^{p+s}$$

but if $i \in N \setminus N_{n+1}$, then $\varphi_i|_{W_{n+1}} = 0$ and hence

$$R_n f(v_k) = B_n f(v_k) + \sum_{i \in N \setminus N_{n+1}} a_i(f) \varphi_i(v_k) = B_n f(v_k) = a_k(f).$$

Since $h_n = h_{n+1} \in AM$, we obtain the desired estimation. ■

LEMMA 4.1.2. *There exists a number c_{10} such that if $f \in C^p(I^d)$ and $|a_k(f)| \leq h_n^{p+s}$ for $k \in N_n$; $n = 1, \dots$, then*

$$(4.1.3) \quad \omega_{Dp_f}(\delta) \leq c_{10} \cdot \delta^s \quad \text{for } \delta > 0.$$

Proof. Let $|a| \leq p$, $x \in I^d$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |D^a R_n f(x)| &\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in N_m} |a_k(f)| |D^a \varphi_k(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} (2p+2)^d \sup \{|a_k(f)| : k \in N_m\} \cdot \sup \{\|\varphi_k\|^{(p)} : k \in N_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (3.2.10)

$$(4.1.4) \quad \|R_n f\|^{(p)} \leq c_4 \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} h_m^s = c_4 h_n^s \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A^{-s} M^{-s})^m = c_5 \cdot h_n^s.$$

By (3.1.2) and Lemmas 2.2.1 and 1.2.1 we have

$$(4.1.5) \quad \omega_{D^p \varphi_k}(\delta) = \omega_{D^p G_{h_m \varphi_k}}(\delta) \leq \delta d^{1/2} \sup \{ \|D^p \varphi_k\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = p+1 \} \\ \leq \delta d^{1/2} c \cdot \sup \{ \|A_{h_m}^p \tilde{\varphi}_{v_k}\|_{\infty} : |\beta| = p+1 \} \leq \delta c_6 h_m^{-p-1} \quad \text{for } k \in N_m.$$

Let $x, y \in I^d$. Then there exists an n such that

$$h_{n+1} < |x-y| \leq h_n.$$

For α with $|\alpha| = p$ we obtain

$$|D^\alpha f(x) - D^\alpha f(y)| \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k \in N_n} |a_k(f)| |D^\alpha \varphi_k(x) - D^\alpha \varphi_k(y)| + 2 \|D^\alpha R_n f\|_{\infty} \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^n 2(2p+2)^d \cdot \sup \{ |a_k(f)| : k \in N_m \} \cdot \sup \{ \omega_{D^p \varphi_k}(|x-y|) : k \in N_m \} + \\ + 2 \|D^\alpha R_b f\|^{(p)} \\ \leq c_7 \sum_{m=1}^n h_m^{p+s} h_n h_m^{-p-1} + 2c_5 h_n^s \leq c_8 M^{-n} A^{-n} \sum_{m=1}^n (M^{1-s} A^{1-s})^m \\ \leq c_8 M^{-n} A^{-n} M^{1-s} A^{1-s} \frac{(M^{1-s} A^{1-s})^n}{M^{1-s} A^{1-s} - 1} \leq c_9 h_n^s = c_{10} h_{n+1}^s < c_{10} |x-y|^s,$$

and

$$\omega_{D^p f}(\delta) \leq c_{10} \cdot \delta^s. \blacksquare$$

Obviously for $\delta \geq \sqrt{d} \cdot p h_m$ and $k \in N_m$ $\omega_{D^p \varphi_k}(\delta) = \omega_{D^p \varphi_k}(\sqrt{d} \cdot p h_m)$. From above and (4.1.5) it follows that for $k \in N_n$ we have

$$\|\varphi_k\|^{(p+s)} \leq c_{11} \cdot h_n^{-p-s}.$$

But

$$\|\varphi_k\|^{(p+s)} \geq h_n^{-p-s}.$$

Let $\varphi_k = \varphi_k(\|\varphi_k\|^{(p+s)})^{-1}$ (for $k = 1, \dots$). Lemmas 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 imply

THEOREM 4.1.3. *Let $f \in C^p(I^d)$, $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \varphi_k$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\omega_{D^p f}(\delta) = O(\delta^s)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$,
- (ii) $|a_k| = O(1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 4.1.4. *The spaces $H_{p+s}(I^d)$ and l_{∞} are isomorphic as linear topological spaces.*

Proof. Let $f \in H_{p+s}(I^d)$. Then

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(f) \varphi_k \quad (\text{in } C^p(I^d)).$$

We define $\xi_k = a_k(f) \cdot h_n^{-p-s}$ for $k \in N_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$Tf = (\xi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}.$$

Since $f \in H_{p+s}(I^d)$, we have $\omega_{D^p f}(\delta) \leq b \cdot \delta^s$ and, by Lemma 4.1.1, $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in l_{\infty}$. If $\|f\|^{(p+s)} \leq 1$ ($b \leq 1$), then $|a_k(f)| \leq c_3 \cdot h_n^{p+s}$ for $k \in N_n$, i.e. $\|Tf\| \leq c_2$. Obviously, T is a one-to-one operator. We shall show that T maps $H_{p+s}(I^d)$ onto l_{∞} . Let $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in l_{\infty}$ and $\|(\xi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\| \leq 1$. We are looking for a function f such that $Tf = (\xi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Let

$$a_k = \xi_k \cdot h_n^{p+s} \quad \text{for } k \in N_n,$$

$$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in N_i} a_k \varphi_k \quad \text{for } n = 1, \dots$$

By (4.1.4)

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^{(p)} = \|R_m f_n\|^{(p)} \leq c_5 \cdot h_m^s.$$

So $(f_n)_{n=1}$ is a Cauchy sequence and

$$f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in N_n} a_k \varphi_k \in C^p(I^d).$$

By Lemma 4.1.2, $\omega_{D^p f}(\delta) \leq c_{10} \cdot \delta^s$ and so $f \in H_{p+s}(I^d)$. ■

References

- [1] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Warszawa 1932.
- [2] Z. Ciesielski, *A construction of a basis in $C(I^2)$* , *Studia Math.* 33 (1969), pp. 243–247.
- [3] — *Problem 1*, *Proceedings of the Conference on Approximation Theory* (Poznań 1972), Warszawa 1975.
- [4] Z. Ciesielski and J. Domsta, *Construction of orthonormal bases in $C^m(I^d)$ and $W_p^m(I^d)$* , *Studia Math.* 41 (1972), pp. 211–224.
- [5] A. F. Filippov and W. S. Rjabenkiĭ, *On stability of difference equations*, Moskva 1956 (Russian).
- [6] J. Frampton and A. J. Tromba, *On the classification of spaces of Hölder continuous functions*, *J. Functional Analysis* 10 (1972), pp. 336–345.
- [7] W. B. Johnson, H. Rosenthal, M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces*, *Israel J. Math.* 9 (1971), pp. 488–506.

- [8] W. N. Malozemow, *Simultaneous approximation of functions and their derivatives*, Leningrad 1973 (Russian).
- [9] J. Ryll, *Schauder bases for the space of continuous functions on n -dimensional cube*, Comm. Math. 17 (1973), pp. 201–213.
- [10] S. Schonefeld, *Schauder bases in spaces of differentiable functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), pp. 588–590.
- [11] — *A study of products and sums of Schauder bases in Banach spaces*, Dissertation, Purdue University, 1969.

Received December 16, 1975

(1105)

The chain rule for differentiable measures*

by

HUI-HSIUNG KUO (Amherst, N. Y.)

Abstract. The chain rule for differentiable measures is proved. It states that if ν is an H -differentiable measure on a Banach space B and θ is a suitable transformation, then the composition $\mu = \nu \circ \theta$ is also H -differentiable and the derivative is given by $D\mu(dx) = \theta'(x)^* D\nu \circ \theta(dx) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \theta''(x) (\theta'(x)^{-1} e_n, \cdot), e_n \rangle \mu(dx)$, where $\{e_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H .

1. Introduction. The notion of differentiable measure has been introduced in [5], [6], [8]. It plays an important role in Schwartz' distribution theory on Banach spaces. See, for instance, papers [1], [3], [10]. In particular, it has been shown in [10], Theorem 8, that a harmonic distribution can be represented by a smooth measure. However, in infinite dimensional spaces, there is no canonical way to represent a smooth measure by a smooth function.

In order to study distribution theory on infinite dimensional manifolds, one has to define differentiability for measures on manifolds. This obviously requires a fundamental theorem for differentiable measures, namely, the chain rule. Unlike the chain rule for differentiable functions, that for differentiable measures takes a non-trivial form and has some rather unexpected applications. For example, one can consider a Dirichlet form associated with a Borel measure on a Riemann–Wiener manifold. In case the measures is differentiable and has logarithmic derivative ([13], p. 121), we can use the chain rule to produce a self-adjoint operator associated with this Dirichlet form. This will be done in [12] and the subsequent papers. We remark that the number operator on a Riemann–Wiener manifold can be constructed in this way [11].

We would like to thank the referee for pointing out several ambiguous statements and arguments in the original version of this paper and for making some suggestions to generalize the original results.

*Research supported by NSF Grant MPS 73-08624 A02.