

74

## STUDIA MATHEMATICA, T. LXXIII (1982)



- [3] G. Brown, Spectral extension and power independence in measure algebras, Studia Math. 70 (1981), 81-99.
- [4] G. Brown & W. Moran, On the Shilov boundary of a measure algebra, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 197.
- [5] G. Brown, C.C. Graham & W. Moran, Translation and symmetry in M(G), Symposia Math. 22 (1977), 371.
- [6] Y. A. Sreider, The structure of maximal ideals in rings of measures with convolution, Mat. Sb. 27 (1950) 297 (Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 81).
- [7] J. L. Taylor, Measure algebras, Regional conference series n° 16, Amer. Math. Soc. 1973.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES C.S.P., UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-NORD 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE

> Received August 2, 1979 (1567) Revised version June 25, 1980

## On domination and extensions of Banach algebras

bу

V. MÜLLER (Praha)

Abstract. The paper studies connections between the domination property in a Banach algebra and existence of an extension with given properties. Two examples of Banach algebras are exhibited giving negative answers to problems of Zelazko.

**Introduction.** The concept of domination in commutative Banach algebras was introduced and studied by W. Zelazko in [4], [5] and [6]. We say that u is *dominated by*  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  (where  $u, v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are elements of an unital commutative Banach algebra A) if  $|ux| \leq K \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_i x|$  for some constant  $K \geq 0$  and for every  $x \in A$ .

A unital Banach algebra B is said to be an isometric extension of A if there exists a unit preserving isometric isomorphism from A into B. In this case we consider A as a subalgebra of B and write  $A \subset B$ .

If  $A\subset B,\ u,v_1,\ldots,v_n\in A$  and  $u=\sum\limits_{i=1}^n v_ib_i$  for some elements  $b_i\in B,$  then  $|ux|\leqslant (\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}|b_i|)\cdot\sum_{i=1}^n|v_ix|,$  so that u is dominated by  $v_1,\ldots,v_n.$  The converse statement is true in some particular cases ([1], [5]) but not in general ([2]). In the present paper we extend the result of [2].

In Section I we exhibit an example of a commutative finite-dimensional Banach algebra A with  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3 \in A$  such that  $e_1$  is dominated by  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  and  $e_1 \notin e_2B + e_3B$  in any commutative algebra B without topology containing A as a subalgebra. This gives a negative answer to Problem 4 of [6] and also simplifies the example of [2].

In Section II we give an example of a unital commutative Banach algebra A with  $u, v, w \in A$  such that u is dominated by v, w and dist  $(u, vB + wB) = |u|_A$  in any isometric extension B of A. This gives a negative answer to Problem 6 of [6]. (Problem 6 of [6] was raised in this weaker form: Let A be a commutative Banach algebra,  $x \in A$  and I an ideal in A. Let x be approximately dominated by I, i.e. for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$  such that  $xz \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n |x_iz| + \varepsilon |z|$  for all  $z \in A$ .

Does this imply that  $x \in \overline{I}_B$  in some isometric extension B of A, where  $I_B$  is the smallest ideal in B containing  $I^{\mathfrak{P}}$ )

All algebras considered in this paper are commutative, complex and with unit.

I. In this section we exhibit an example of a unital commutative Banach algebra A with  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3 \in A$  such that  $e_1$  is dominated by  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$  and if C is any commutative algebra (without any topology) containing A as a subalgebra, there are no b,  $c \in C$  satisfying  $e_1 = be_2 + ce_3$ .

Construction. Elements of A will be of the form  $x = \sum_{j=0}^{10} \lambda_j e_i$ , where  $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{10}$  are complex numbers,  $e_0, \ldots, e_{10}$  form a basis of A and  $e_0$  is the unit. Multiplication in A is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{10} \lambda_i e_i\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{10} \mu_j e_j\right) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{10} \lambda_i \mu_j e_i e_j,$$

where the multiplication of the basis elements  $e_i$  is given by the table

	$e_0$	e <sub>1</sub>	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$	$e_5$	$e_6$	$e_7$	$e_8$	$e_{\mathfrak{o}}$	e <sub>10</sub>
$e_0$	$e_0$	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$	$e_5$	$e_6$	$e_7$	$e_8$	$e_9$	$e_{10}$
$egin{array}{c} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{array}$		0		$egin{array}{c} e_7 \\ e_8 \\ e_9 \end{array}$	$e_8 \\ 0 \\ -e_{10}$	$egin{array}{c} e_0 \ e_{10} \ 0 \end{array}$		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	0	4
e <sub>4</sub> e <sub>5</sub> e <sub>6</sub>	e <sub>4</sub> e <sub>5</sub> e <sub>6</sub>	$egin{array}{c} e_7 \\ e_8 \\ e_9 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} e_8 \\ 0 \\ e_{10} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} e_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ -e_{\mathfrak{10}} \\ 0 \end{array}$		0				0	*******
$egin{array}{c} e_7 \\ e_8 \\ e_9 \end{array}$	e <sub>7</sub> e <sub>8</sub> e <sub>9</sub>		0			0			(	0	
$e_{10}$	e <sub>10</sub>										

Clearly A with the norm  $\left|\sum_{i=0}^{10}\lambda_ie_i\right|=\sum_{i=0}^{10}|\lambda_i|$  is a Banach algebra. Let  $x=\sum_{i=0}^{10}\lambda_ie_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |e_1 w| &= |\lambda_0 e_1 + \lambda_4 e_7 + \lambda_5 e_8 + \lambda_6 e_9| &= |\lambda_0| + |\lambda_4| + |\lambda_5| + |\lambda_6|, \\ |e_2 w| &= |\lambda_0 e_2 + \lambda_4 e_8 + \lambda_6 e_{10}| &= |\lambda_0| + |\lambda_4| + |\lambda_6|, \\ |e_3 w| &= |\lambda_0 e_8 + \lambda_4 e_9 - \lambda_5 e_{10}| &= |\lambda_0| + |\lambda_4| + |\lambda_8|, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $|e_1x| \le |e_2x| + |e_3x|$  for every  $x \in A$  and  $e_1$  is dominated by  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ .

Suppose now that there exists a commutative algebra B (without topology) containing A as a subalgebra and elements  $b, c \in B$  such that  $e_1 = e_2b + e_3c$ . Then

$$\begin{split} 0 &= (e_1 - e_2 b - e_3 c)(e_4 + e_5 b + e_6 c) \\ &= e_1 e_4 + b \left(e_1 e_5 - e_2 e_4\right) + c \left(e_1 e_6 - e_3 e_4\right) - b^2 e_2 e_5 - c^2 e_3 e_6 - b c \left(e_2 e_6 + e_3 e_5\right) \\ &= e_7, \end{split}$$

a contradiction.

**H.** LIEMMA. There exists a unital commutative Banach algebra A with generators  $u, v, w, a_{s,k}$  (s = 0, 1, 2, k = 1, 2, ...) such that

(1) 
$$|u|=|v|=|w|=|a_{0,k}|=1$$
,  $|a_{1,k}|=|a_{2,k}|=k$  and  $|a_{0,k}u^k|=1$   $(k=1,2,\ldots);$ 

(2) 
$$a_{s,k}a_{t,m} = 0$$
  $(s, t = 0, 1, 2, k, m = 1, 2, ...);$ 

(3) 
$$a_{s,k}u^rv^iw^j=0$$
  $(s=0,1,2,r+i+j>k);$ 

(4) u is dominated by v, w;

(5)  $ra_{0,k}u^{r-1}v^iw^j-ia_{1,k}u^rv^{i-1}w^j-ja_{2,k}u^rv^iw^{j-1}=0$  for  $r,i,j\geqslant 1,\ r+i+j=k+1,$ 

$$\begin{split} ra_{0,k}u^{r-1}w^j - ja_{2,k}u^rw^{j-1} &= 0 & (r,j \geqslant 1, \ r+j = k+1), \\ ra_{0,k}u^{r-1}v^i - ia_{1,k}u^rv^{i-1} &= 0 & (r,i \geqslant 1, \ r+i = k+1), \\ -ia_{1,k}v^{i-1}w^j - ja_{2,k}v^iw^{j-1} &= 0 & (i,j \geqslant 1, \ i+j = k+1), \\ & . & a_{2,k}w^k &= 0, & a_{1,k}v^k &= 0. \end{split}$$

Remark. Notice that the formulas of (5) are of the same kind. We may state them as follows:

$$ra_{0,k}u^{r-1}v^{i}w^{j} - ia_{1,k}u^{r}v^{i-1}w^{j} - ja_{2,k}u^{r}v^{i}w^{j-1} = 0$$

for  $r, i, j \ge 0$ , r+i+j=k+1,  $i+j\ge 1$  with the convention that whenever occurs an expression with a negative exponent, the corresponding term should be taken to be 0 (by the way the term is then multiplied by 0). We shall use this convention in the sequel.

Proof. Let S be the free commutative semigroup with unit 1 and zero element 0 and with generators  $u, v, w, a_{s,k}$  (s = 0, 1, 2, k = 1, 2, ...) satisfying conditions (2), (3). Let B be the  $l^1$  algebra over S with the norm defined by (1), i.e. B consists of formal linear combinations

$$x = \sum_{r,i,j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{r,i,j} u^r v^i w^j + \sum_{s=0}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leqslant r+i+j \leqslant k} \mu_{k,r,i,j}^{(s)} a_{s,k} u^r v^i w^j,$$

where  $\lambda_{r,i,j}$  and  $\mu_{k,r,i,j}^{(s)}$  are complex numbers and

$$|x| = \sum_{r,i,j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{r,i,j}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \le r+i+j \le k} (|\mu_{k,r,i,j}^{(0)}| + k |\mu_{k,r,i,j}^{(1)}| + k |\mu_{k,r,i,j}^{(2)}|) < \infty.$$

Clearly B with this norm is a commutative Banach algebra with unit. Let I be the closed ideal generated by elements which are on the left sides of formulas in condition (5). Denote  $A = B \mid I$  and for  $x \in B$  let  $\overline{x} = x + I \in A$ . We prove that A satisfies (1)–(5) (with  $u, v, w, a_{s,k}$  replaced by  $\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w}, \overline{a}_{s,k}$ ).

Conditions (2), (3) and (5) are evident. Let  $\boldsymbol{x}$  be an arbitrary element of  $\boldsymbol{B}.$  Then

where

$$x_0 = \sum_{r,i,j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{r,i,j} u^r v^i w^j,$$

$$x_{k,r,i,j} = \mu_{k,r-1,i,j}^{(0)} a_{0,k} u^{r-1} v^i w^j + \mu_{k,r,i-1,j}^{(1)} a_{1,k} u^r v^{i-1} w^j + \mu_{k,r,i,j-1}^{(2)} a_{2,k} u^r v^i w^{j-1}$$

(the  $\lambda$ 's and  $\mu$ 's are complex numbers; if some exponent is negative, the corresponding term is taken to be 0). It is clear from the definition of the ideal I that

$$\begin{split} |\overline{x}|_{\mathcal{A}} &= |\overline{x}_0|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leqslant r+i+j \leqslant k+1} |\overline{x}_{k,r,i,j}|_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ |\overline{x}_0|_{\mathcal{A}} &= \sum_{r,i,j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{r,i,j}|; \end{split}$$

$$|\overline{x}_{k,r,i,j}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\mu_{k,r-1,i,j}^{(0)}| + k |\mu_{k,r,i-1,j}^{(1)}| + k |\mu_{k,r,i,j-1}^{(2)}| \qquad (r-i+j \le k)$$

and

$$|\overline{x}_{k,k+1,0,0}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\mu_{k,k,0,0}^{(0)}|.$$

This implies condition (1).

We prove now that  $\overline{u}$  is dominated by  $\overline{v}$ ,  $\overline{w}$  with the constant K=3. Let us notice that we have for w of form (1)

$$|\overline{x}\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}}\,=\,|\overline{x}_0\,\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}}+\sum_{k,r,i,j}|\overline{x}_{k,r,i,j}\,\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}},$$

$$|\overline{x}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{x}_0\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{k,r,i,j} |\overline{x}_{k,r,i,j}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

and

$$|\overline{x}\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{x}_0 \, \overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{k,r,i,j} |\overline{x}_{k,r,i,j} \, \overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Further.

$$|\overline{x}_0\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{x}_0\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{x}_0\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{x}_0|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{r,i,j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_{r,i,j}|.$$

Thus it is sufficient to prove  $|\overline{y}\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 3(|\overline{y}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} + |\overline{y}\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}})$  for  $y = x_{k,r,i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq r+i+j \leq k+1$ .

Consider the following cases:

- (1) If r+i+j = k+1, then yu = yv = yw = 0.
- (2) If  $r+i+j \le k-1$ , then  $|\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{y}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{y}\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\overline{y}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |\mu^{(0)}_{k,r-1,i,j}| + k |\mu^{(1)}_{k,r,i-1,j}| + k |\mu^{(2)}_{k,r,i,j-1}|$ .
- (3) Let r+i+j=k,  $i+j\geq 1$ . Then  $y=aa_{0,k}u^{r-1}v^iw^j+\beta a_{1,k}u^rv^{i-1}w^j++\gamma a_{2,k}u^rv^iw^{j-1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$  are complex numbers; if r=0  $(i=0,\ j=0)$  we put  $\alpha=0$   $(\beta=0,\ \gamma=0)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} |\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}} &= \inf_{r \in \mathcal{C}} \left( |\alpha - (r+1)\nu| + k \, |\beta + i\nu| + k \, |\gamma + j\nu| \right), \\ |\overline{y}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} &= \inf_{r \in \mathcal{C}} P_1(\delta), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} P_1(\delta) &= |\alpha - r\delta| + k \, |\beta + (i+1)\, \delta| + k \, |\gamma + j\delta|, \\ |\overline{y}\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}} &= \inf_{\varepsilon \in G} P_2(\varepsilon), \end{split}$$

where

$$P_2(\varepsilon) = |\alpha - r\varepsilon| + k |\beta + i\varepsilon| + k |\gamma + (j+1)\varepsilon|.$$

Choose  $\delta, \varepsilon \in C$ . Putting  $v = \delta$  we get  $|\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq P_1(\delta) + (k+1)|\delta|$ . Analogously  $|\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq P_2(\varepsilon) + (k+1)|\varepsilon|$ . This gives

$$|\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{A} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(P_{1}(\delta) + P_{2}(\varepsilon)) + \frac{1}{2}(k+1)(|\delta| + |\varepsilon|)$$

for every  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon \in C$ .

Assume that  $|\delta| \geqslant |\varepsilon|$ . Then

$$|\beta + (i+1)\delta| + |\beta + i\varepsilon| \ge (i+1)|\delta| - i|\varepsilon| \ge |\delta| \ge \frac{1}{2}(|\delta| + |\varepsilon|),$$

hence  $P_1(\delta) + P_2(\varepsilon) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}k(|\delta| + |\varepsilon|)$ .

The same inequality can be proved also for  $|\delta| \leqslant |\epsilon|$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} |\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{\mathcal{A}} &\leqslant \tfrac{1}{2} \big( P_1(\delta) + P_2(\varepsilon) \big) + \tfrac{1}{2} (k+1) (2/k) \big( P_1(\delta) + P_2(\varepsilon) \big) \\ &\leqslant 3 \left( P_1(\delta) + P_2(\varepsilon) \right) \end{split}$$

 $\text{for each } \delta,\,\varepsilon\in C, \text{ hence } |\overline{y}\overline{u}|_A\leqslant 3\,(|\overline{y}\overline{v}|_A+|\overline{y}\overline{w}|_A).$ 

(4) If i=j=0,  $y=aa_{0,k}u^{k-1}$ , then  $|\overline{y}\overline{u}|_{\mathbb{A}}=|a|$  and it can be proved easily that

$$|\overline{y}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |a| |\overline{a}_{0,k}\overline{u}^{k-1}\overline{v}|_{\mathcal{A}} = |a|(1/k) |\overline{a}_{1,k}\overline{u}^k|_{\mathcal{A}} = |a| = |\overline{y}\overline{w}|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM. Let A be a unital commutative Banach algebra satisfying conditions (1)-(5) of the previous Lemma. Let C be any isometric extension of A. Then there are no b,  $c \in C$  such that  $|u-bv-cw|_C < 1 = |u|_A$ .

80 V. Müller



Proof. Suppose on the contrary that C is an isometric extension of A, b,  $c \in C$  and  $|u-bv-cw|_C = d < 1$ . Choose an integer k such that  $k \ge \max(|b|_C, |e|_C)$  and  $d^k(1+2k^2) < 1$ . Then we have (we use the convention about negative exponents)

$$\begin{split} &(u-bv-ow)^k(a_{0,k}+a_{1,k}b+a_{2,k}e)\\ &=\sum_{0\leqslant i+j\leqslant k+1} \left[b^i c^j (-1)^{i+j} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k-i}{j} \ a_{0,k} u^{k-i-j} v^i w^j + \right.\\ &+ (-1)^{i+j-1} \binom{k}{i-1} \binom{k-i+1}{j} a_{1,k} u^{k-i-j+1} v^{i-1} w^j + \\ &+ (-1)^{i+j-1} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k-i}{j-1} a_{2,k} u^{k-i-j+1} v^i w^{j-1}\right]\\ &=\sum_{0\leqslant i+j\leqslant k+1} b^i c^j \frac{k! (-1)^{i+j}}{i! j! (k-i-j+1)!} \left[ (k-i-j+1) a_{0,k} u^{k-i-j} v^i w^j - \\ &- i a_{1,k} u^{k-i-j+1} v^{i-1} w^j - j a_{2,k} u^{k-i-j+1} v^i w^{j-1}\right]\\ &= a_{0,k} u^k. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} |a_{0,k}u^k|_{\mathcal{C}} &\leqslant |u-bv-cw|_{\mathcal{C}}^k \cdot |a_{0,k}+a_{1,k}b+a_{2,k}c|_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &\leqslant d^k(1+2k^2) < 1 \,. \end{split}$$

At the same time  $|a_{0,k}u^k|_G = |a_{0,k}u^k|_A = 1$ , a contradiction.

## References

- [1] R. Arens, Extensions of Banach algebras, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 1-16.
- [2] V. Müller, On domination problem in Banach algebras, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae. 20, 3 (1979), 475-481.
- [3] W. Żelazko, Banach algebras, Elsevier-PWN, 1973.
- [4] On some classes of ideals in commutative Banach algebras, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano 48 (1978), 51-58.
- [5] A domination theorem in function algebras, Colloq. Math. 44 (1981), 317-321.
- [6] On domination and separation of ideals in commutative Banach algebras, Studia Math. 71 (1981), 179-189.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE ČSAV ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1

> Received October 18, 1979 (1582) Revised version July 17, 1980