

- [14] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1962.
- [15] S. V. Kisliakov, *On the conditions of Dunford-Pettis, Pełczyński and Grothendieck*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 225 (1975), 152–155.
- [16] — unpublished.
- [17] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*, Lect. Notes in Math. 338, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [18] A. Pełczyński, *Banach spaces of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators*, Conf. board of math., SC, Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 30 (1976).
- [19] J. Stern, *Propriétés locales et ultrapuissances d'espaces de Banach*, Sémin. Maurey-Schwartz 1974–75, Exp. VII, VIII, École Polytechnique Paris.
- [20] P. Wojtaszczyk, *On projections in spaces of bounded analytic functions with applications*, Studia Math. 65 (1979), 147–173.
- [21] — *Decompositions of H^p spaces*, Duke Math. J. 46, 3 (1979), 635–644.

Received October 20, 1981

(1719)

H^p estimates for weakly strongly singular integral operators on spaces of homogeneous type

by

BENJAMIN BORDIN (Campinas)

Abstract. Let X be a normalized homogeneous space. We define “weakly strongly” singular kernel on $X \times X$, and we study the action of the “convolution” operator induced by this kernel on the atomic Hardy spaces $H^p(X)$, with $0 < p < 1$. A boundedness result is obtained. These operators are analogues of the weakly strongly operators on \mathbf{R}^n studied by C. L. Fefferman and E. M. Stein in [6].

1. Introduction. In this paper we study a generalization of convolution operators induced by weakly strongly singular integral kernels. Examples of these kernels, in the case of \mathbf{R}^n are given by

$$k(x) = |x|^{-\beta} \psi(x) \exp i|x|^\alpha,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\beta > 0$ and ψ is a C^∞ function on \mathbf{R}^n , which vanishes near zero and equals 1 outside a bounded set (see [5], page 21). The L^p theory, $1 < p < +\infty$, for operators obtained by convolution with kernels $k(x)$, has been studied by I. I. Hirschmann [7], S. Wainger [12], C. L. Fefferman [5], C. L. Fefferman and E. M. Stein [6], J. E. Björk [1] and P. Sjölin [11].

Also in [6], C. L. Fefferman and E. M. Stein obtain boundedness results for $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $1 \geq p > p_0(\alpha, \beta, n) > 1/2$. Estimates including the limiting case $p = p_0(\alpha, \beta, n)$ were obtained by R. R. Coifman in [2] when $n = 1$.

Here we consider a generalization of these kernels and the action of the induced operators on H^p spaces, $p \leq 1$, defined in terms of atoms on spaces of homogeneous type. First we define what we mean by a weakly strongly singular kernel on spaces of homogeneous type. In Theorem 3 we prove that the operator K induced by this kernel maps atoms into elements of H^p , $p \leq 1$. In the proof of this theorem we extend some techniques used by R. A. Macías and C. Segovia in [9]. The extension of the operator to the whole space H^p requires the introduction of an auxiliary operator, namely $K^\#$, acting on the space $\text{Lip}(1/p - 1)$ of classes of Lipschitz functions. This operator is an adaptation of the operator $K^\#$ considered in [9].

In Theorem 5 we show that $K^\#$ is a bounded operator from $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ into $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$. This result is used in Theorem 6 in order to prove the H^p boundedness of the weakly strongly singular integral operator on X .

2. Preliminary definitions and notations. Let X be a set and $d(x, y)$ a function defined on $X \times X$ such that:

- (i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$; and
- (iii) there exist a finite constant A such that $d(x, y) \leq A(d(x, z) + d(z, y))$.

We shall suppose that there is a measure μ such that the balls $B(x, r) = \{y: d(x, y) < r\}$ are measurable. Moreover we shall assume that there exist two positive and finite constants b_1 and b_2 such that

$$(2.1) \quad b_1 r \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq b_2 r.$$

The function $d(x, y)$ satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) shall be called a *quasi-distance* and the triple (X, d, μ) satisfying the above requirements shall be called a *normalized homogeneous space* (see [3] and [10]) and shall be denoted by X .

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a real or complex valued function on X ; square integrable on bounded subsets of X . Let $m_B(\varphi)$ be the mean value of $\varphi(x)$ on a ball B , that is to say,

$$m_B(\varphi) = \mu(B)^{-1} \int_B \varphi(x) d\mu(x).$$

We shall say that φ belongs to $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, if there exists a positive and finite constant c such that, for every ball B on X ,

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |\varphi(x) - m_B(\varphi)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \leq c \cdot \mu(B)^\alpha$$

holds. The least constant c such that (2.2) holds shall be denoted by $\|\varphi\|_\alpha^*$. We shall denote by $\bar{\varphi}$ the class of functions which differ from φ in a constant. The space of the class $\bar{\varphi}$ shall be denoted by $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$. The norm of $\bar{\varphi}$ shall be denoted by $\|\bar{\varphi}\|_\alpha^*$.

Let $0 < p \leq 1 < q \leq \infty$. A (p, q) -atom on X is a function $a(x)$ with support contained in a ball B satisfying:

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |a(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} \leq \mu(B)^{-1/p} \quad \text{if} \quad q < +\infty.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(B)^{-1/p} \quad \text{if} \quad q = \infty$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \int_X a(x) d\mu(x) = 0$$

(see [2] and [8]). A (p, q) -atom can be identified with a linear functional on $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$, by

$$\langle F, \bar{\varphi} \rangle = \int_X a(x) \varphi(x) d\mu(x)$$

and we have $\|F\| \leq 1$.

We define H^p as the subspace of all linear functionals on $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ that can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \bar{a}_i$, where $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of $(p, 2)$ -atoms and $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^p < +\infty$. The "norm" of $f \in H^p$ shall be defined as

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \inf \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|^p \right)^{1/p} : f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \bar{a}_i \right\}.$$

This is not a norm in the ordinary sense, unless $p = 1$. However, H^p with the metric defined by $\|\cdot\|_{H^p}^p$ becomes a complete metrizable topological vector space.

3. Results.

DEFINITION 1. Let $k(x, y)$ be a measurable function defined on $X \times X$. We shall say that $k(x, y)$ is a *weakly strongly singular kernel* if there exist constants $0, \gamma, \varepsilon$ satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$, $\theta/2 < \gamma < 1/2$, $1 - \theta \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ and a bounded function $\varphi_\delta(x, y)$, $\delta > 0$, which vanishes when $d(x, y) < \delta/2$ and is equal to 1 when $d(x, y) > \delta$, such that if we define q as $1/q = 1/2 + \gamma$, then the following conditions hold:

(3.1) For any ball B and $\nu > 0$ if

$$D = \{(x, y): d(x, y) > \nu\} \cap (B \times B),$$

then

$$\iint_D |k(x, y)|^q d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < +\infty,$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$.

(3.2) Let $k_\delta(x, y) = \varphi_\delta(x, y) k(x, y)$. For any function f in $L^2(X)$ with bounded support, the operator

$$K_\delta f(x) = \int_X k_\delta(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

satisfies

$$\|K_\delta f\|_2 \leq C_1 \|f\|_q,$$

where C_1 is a finite constant independent of $f(y)$ and δ .

(3.3) For any function $f(y)$ in $L^2(X)$ with bounded support we have

$$\|K_\delta f\|_2 \leq C_2 \|f\|_2,$$

where C_2 is a finite constant independent of $f(y)$ and δ .

(3.4) For any function $f(y)$ in $L^j(X)$, $j = q$ or $j = 2$, with bounded support, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} K_\delta f = Kf$ exist in $L^2(X)$.

(3.5) $k(x, y)$ vanishes if $d(x, y) > 1$. If $d(y, x_0) \leq 1$ and $d(x, x_0) > 2d(y, x_0)^{1-\theta}$, then there exists a finite constant C_3 such that

$$|k(x, y) - k(x, x_0)| \leq C_3 d(y, x_0)^\theta d(x, x_0)^{-1-\varepsilon/(1-\theta)}$$

holds.

(3.6) Let χ_R be the characteristic function of the ball $B(x_0, R)$, $R > 0$, where x_0 is an arbitrary point of X , and let K^* be the adjoint of the operator K in $L^2(X)$. Then the limit of $K^*(\chi_R)$ for R tending to infinity exist weakly in L^q on bounded sets and it is equal to a finite constant.

LEMMA 1. Let ε , θ , q and γ be the constants from Definition 1. If we define

$$\varrho = (\varepsilon + 1 - 1/q) / (\varepsilon / (1 - \theta) + 1/2),$$

then the following inequalities hold:

$$(3.7) \quad \varrho < 1 - \theta;$$

$$(3.8) \quad (2/q - 1)/(1 - \varrho) < (1 + 2\varepsilon/(1 - \theta));$$

and there exists p such that

$$(3.9) \quad 0 < p \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/p < (1/q - \varrho/2)/(1 - \varrho).$$

Moreover, if p satisfies (3.9), we have

$$(3.10) \quad 1/p < 1 + \varepsilon/(1 - \theta)$$

and the interval

$$(3.11) \quad (2/p - 1, (2/q - 1)/(1 - \varrho))$$

is not empty.

Proof. To prove (3.7) we observe that $\gamma > \theta/2$ and therefore we have $1/q > 1/2 + \theta/2$. Thus $1/q + \varepsilon + 1/2 > \varepsilon + 1 + \theta/2$, which implies $\varrho < 1 - \theta$.

The proofs of (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11) are simple and will be omitted. To prove (3.9) it is sufficient to show that $(1/q - \varrho/2)/(1 - \varrho) > 1$, i.e., $\gamma/(1 - \varrho) > 1/2$. From the expressions of ϱ and q we have

$$1 - \varrho = (2\varepsilon\theta/(1 - \theta) + \gamma) / (\varepsilon/(1 - \theta) + 1/2)$$

and since $\theta < 2\gamma$, (3.9) is proved.

THEOREM 1. Let p satisfy (3.9) and let $k(x, y)$ be a weakly strongly singular integral kernel. Let $a(x)$ be a $(p, 2)$ -atom and let $B = B(x_0, \sigma)$ be the ball containing the support of $a(x)$ such that

$$\left((\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |a(x)|^2 d\mu(x))^{1/2} \leq \mu(B)^{-1/p}, \right.$$

given in the definition of $(p, 2)$ -atom. Then we have:

(i) If $\sigma \leq 1$ and s satisfies $2/p - 1 < s < (2/q - 1)/(1 - \varrho)$, the function $M(x) = Ka(x)$ satisfies:

$$(3.12) \quad \int_X |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq c \cdot \sigma^{(2/q - 2/p)},$$

$$(3.13) \quad \int_X |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^\theta d\mu(x) \leq c \cdot \sigma^{(es + 2/q - 2/p)}$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \int_X M(x) d\mu(x) = 0$$

where c in (3.12) and (3.13) is a finite constant independent of σ .

(ii) If $\sigma > 1$, the function $M(x) = Ka(x)$ satisfies (3.14). Moreover we have:

$$(3.15) \quad \int_X |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq c \cdot \sigma^{(1 - 2/p)}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad \int_X |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^{2/p - 1 + \gamma} d\mu(x) \leq c \cdot \sigma^\gamma;$$

that is $M(x)$ is a (p, ν) molecule (see [4] and [9]).

Proof. Let us prove (i). First, we observe that since $1 < q < 2$, $a(x)$ is also a (p, q) -atom. By conditions (3.2) and (3.4) we get

$$\int_X |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq c \cdot \left[\int_B |a(x)|^q d\mu(x) \right]^{2/q} \leq c \cdot \sigma^{2/q - 2/p}.$$

This ends the proof of (3.12). Let us show (3.13). Let $B_n = B(x_0, 2A2^n \sigma^\theta)$.

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^s d\mu(x) &= \int_{B_0} |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^s d\mu(x) + \\ &+ \int_{X \sim B_0} |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^s d\mu(x) = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s > 2/p - 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, we get $s > 0$ and hence from (3.12) it follows that $I_1 \leq c \cdot \sigma^{es-2/q-2/p}$.

Let us estimate I_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_{n+1} \sim B_n} |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^s d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2A2^{n+1}\sigma^e)^s \int_{B_{n+1} \sim B_n} |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $M(x)$ and (2.5) we get that

$$M(x) = \int_X (k(x, y) - k(x, x_0)) a(y) d\mu(y)$$

and therefore from (3.5) it results that

$$\int_{B_{n+1} \sim B_n} |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq c \cdot \sigma^{2s+2-2/p} (2^n \sigma^e)^{-1-2s/(1-p)}.$$

Then, we have $I_2 \leq c \cdot \sigma^{es+2/q-2/p}$. Since this estimate for I_2 is the same that we got for I_1 , (3.13) is proved.

Let us show (3.14). By conditions (3.12) and (3.13) the function $M(x)$ is absolutely integrable on X . Thus, if χ_R is the characteristic function of the ball $B(x_0, R)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X Ka(x) d\mu(x) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(x_0, R)} Ka(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a(x) K^*(\chi_R)(x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $a(x)$ is supported on a bounded set, from (3.6) we get

$$\int_X M(x) d\mu(x) = c \cdot \int_X a(x) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

Let us prove (ii). It follows from (3.3), (3.4) and definition of $a(x)$,

that (3.15) holds. Let us show (3.16). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^{2/p-1+\nu} d\mu(x) &= \int_{B(x_0, 2A\sigma)} |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^{2/p-1+\nu} d\mu(x) + \\ &+ \int_{X \sim B(x_0, 2A\sigma)} |M(x)|^2 d(x, x_0)^{2/p-1+\nu} d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral on the right hand side is zero since $k(x, y)$ vanishes when $d(x, x_0) > 2A\sigma$ and the first integral is bounded by $c \cdot \sigma^e$. This proves (3.16). (3.14) is proved in the same way of part (i).

Next, we shall prove a decomposition theorem for $Ka(x)$. We shall need the following lemma (see [9]):

LEMMA 2. Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ with $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\sigma_j = b^j \sigma$ be such that $\sigma > 0$, $b > 1$ and j a non-negative integer. If we denote by m_j the mean value $m_j = m_{B(x_0, \sigma_j)}(\varphi)$, then the following estimates hold:

$$(3.17) \quad |m_j| \leq c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* (\sigma_j)^{1/p-1} + |m_0| \quad \text{if } p < 1;$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad |m_j| \leq c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* j + |m_0| \quad \text{if } p = 1.$$

THEOREM 2. Let p satisfy (3.9). Let $M(x)$ be a measurable function satisfying either the set of conditions (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) with $\sigma \leq 1$ or the set of conditions (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) with $\sigma > 1$. Then, for every $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$, the function $M(x)\varphi(x)$ is absolutely integrable on X . Moreover, the induced linear functional

$$F_M(\varphi) = \int_X M(x)\varphi(x) d\mu(x)$$

is well defined and bounded on $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$.

Proof. Let $B_n = B(x_0, b^n \sigma)$, where $b > 1$, $\sigma \leq 1$ and n a non-negative integer. We put $B_{-1} = \emptyset$. Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ be such that $m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi) = 0$. By Minkowski and Schwarz inequality and taking into account the definition of the Lipschitz norm of φ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_X |M(x)| |\varphi(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B_n \sim B_{n-1}} |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} (c \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* \mu(B_n)^{1/p-1} + |m_n|) \mu(B_n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By an application of (3.13) when $n \geq 1$ and (3.12) when $n = 0$ we get for all $n \geq 0$

$$(3.19) \quad \left(\int_{B_n \sim B_{n-1}} |M(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \leq c \cdot b^{-ns/2} \sigma^{1/q-1/p-(s/2)(1-p)}.$$

Then replacing this estimate in the above inequality, by Lemma 2 and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\int_X |M(x)| |\varphi(x)| d\mu(x) \leq c \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^*.$$

To obtain the last inequality for any function φ in $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ we observe that $m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi - m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi)) = 0$. Therefore since $M(x)$ is absolutely integrable on X , from $M(x)\varphi(x) = M(x)(\varphi(x) - m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi)) + M(x)m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi)$ it follows that $M(x)\varphi(x)$ is an absolutely integrable function. Moreover, from condition (3.4) we have

$$\int_X M(x)\varphi(x) d\mu(x) = \int_X M(x)(\varphi(x) - m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi)) d\mu(x).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X M(x)\varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right| &\leq \int_X |M(x)| |\varphi(x) - m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq c \|\varphi - m_{B(x_0, \sigma)}(\varphi)\|_{1/p-1}^* = c \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of the theorem we observe that the result for a measurable function $M(x)$ satisfying (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) with $\sigma > 0$ was obtained in [9]. Its proof uses techniques similar to these used for the case $\sigma \leq 1$, and will not be repeated here. Next, we will show that F_M belongs to H^p and that there exists a finite constant C independent of $M(x)$ such that $\|F_M\| \leq C$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $M(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2. Then we have:*

(i) *There exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of $(p, 2)$ -atoms and a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of real numbers satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|^p \leq C$, such that $M(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n(x)$, where C is a positive and finite constant independent of $M(x)$.*

(ii) *For every $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ the linear functional F_M induced by $M(x)$ satisfies*

$$F_M(\bar{\varphi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle a_n, \bar{\varphi} \rangle.$$

Proof. We shall prove the theorem when $M(x)$ satisfies (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) with $\sigma \leq 1$. The proof for $M(x)$ satisfying (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) with $\sigma > 1$ can be found in [9].

Let b_1 and b_2 be the two constants given in (2.1) and let $b > b_2/b_1$. Let $B_{-1} = \emptyset$ and $B_n = B(x_0, b^n \sigma)$ if n is a non-negative integer. We denote by D_n the set $B_n \setminus B_{n-1}$ and by M_n the mean value of $M(x)$ on D_n . Then

we can write

$$(3.20) \quad M(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M(x) - M_n) \chi_{D_n}(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \chi_{D_n}(x).$$

Denoting $\alpha_n(x) = (M(x) - M_n) \chi_{D_n}(x)$ and $\gamma_n = \|\alpha_n\|_2 \mu(B_n)^{1/p-1/2}$, we can see that the functions $a_n^*(x)$ defined by $a_n^*(x) = \gamma_n^{-1} \alpha_n(x)$ are $(p, 2)$ -atoms with support contained in the balls B_n . By Minkowski's inequality and (3.19) we get that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\|\alpha_n\|_2 \leq c \cdot b^{-ns/2} \sigma^{1/q-1/p-(s/2)(1-q)}.$$

Then we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_n|^p \leq C$, which shows part (i) of the theorem for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (M(x) - M_n) \chi_{D_n}(x)$. In order to show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \chi_{D_n}(x)$ also satisfies (i), let the sequence $\{t_n\}$ be defined by

$$t_n = \int_{X \setminus B_{n-1}} M(x) d\mu(x).$$

We can see that

$$(3.21) \quad t_n - t_{n+1} = \mu(D_n) M_n$$

and since $t_0 = 0$, we can write

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \chi_{D_n}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n (\mu(D_n)^{-1} \chi_{D_n}(x) - \mu(D_{n-1})^{-1} \chi_{D_{n-1}}(x)).$$

Denoting

$$\beta_n(x) = t_n (\mu(D_n)^{-1} \chi_{D_n}(x) - \mu(D_{n-1})^{-1} \chi_{D_{n-1}}(x))$$

and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n = \|\beta_n\|_2 \mu(B_n)^{1/p-1/2}$$

we can see that the functions $a_n^{**}(x)$ defined by $a_n^{**}(x) = \tilde{\gamma}_n^{-1} \beta_n(x)$ are $(p, 2)$ -atoms with support contained in the balls B_n . To finish the proof of part (i) we only need to show that there exists constant C independent of $M(x)$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_n|^p \leq C$. By Minkowski's inequality it follows that

$$\|\beta_n\|_2 \leq c |t_n| \mu(B_n)^{-1/2}.$$

Then, from (3.12) we get

$$\|\beta_n\|_2 \leq c \cdot b^{-ns/2} \sigma^{1/q-1/p-(s/2)(1-\epsilon)}.$$

Therefore, as above we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{\gamma}_n|^p \leq c$.

Let us prove (ii). First, we observe that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n F_{a_n}(\varphi)$ is finite for every $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$. From (3.20) and (3.22) we have

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_{n=0}^r \alpha_n(x) + \sum_{n=0}^r \beta_n(x) = M(x) \chi_{D_r}(x) + t_{r+1} \mu(D_r)^{-1} \chi_{D_r}(x).$$

Multiplying by $\varphi(x)$ and integrating on X we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\gamma_n \langle a_n^*, \bar{\varphi} \rangle + \tilde{\gamma}_n \langle a_n^{**}, \bar{\varphi} \rangle] \\ &= \int_{B_r} M(x) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + t_{r+1} \mu(D_r)^{-1} \int_{D_r} \varphi(x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Theorem 2 $M(x)\varphi(x)$ is absolutely integrable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\gamma_n \langle a_n^*, \bar{\varphi} \rangle + \tilde{\gamma}_n \langle a_n^{**}, \bar{\varphi} \rangle] \\ &= \int_X M(x) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left(t_{r+1} \mu(D_r)^{-1} \int_{D_r} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| t_{r+1} \mu(D_r)^{-1} \int_{D_r} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq c \cdot |t_{r+1}| \left(\|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* (b^r \sigma)^{1/p-1} + |m_r(\varphi)| \right).$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $m_0(\varphi) = 0$. Then from (3.21), Lemma 2 and Lemma 1 we get

$$|t_{r+1} \mu(D_r)^{-1} \int_{D_r} \varphi(x) d\mu(x)| \leq c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* b^{r(1/p-1/2-s/2)}.$$

That implies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(t_{r+1} \mu(D_r)^{-1} \int_{D_r} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right) = 0$$

completing the proof of part (ii).

As a consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we have that Ka induces a bounded linear functional $F_{Ka}(\bar{\varphi})$ on $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ which belongs to H^p and satisfies $\|F_{Ka}\|_{H^p} \leq c$.

Next, we will show that if $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i$ belongs to H^p , then $Kf = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i K a_i$ is well defined. With this purpose we shall study the action of the dual operator of K on the spaces $\text{Lip}(1/p-1)$.

DEFINITION 2. Let $k(x, y)$ be a weakly strongly singular integral kernel and let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$, where $0 < p \leq 1$ satisfies $1/p < (1/q - \epsilon/2)/(1-\epsilon)$. Let $x_0 \in X$, $\sigma \geq 1$ and $B = B(x_0, \sigma)$. We define $K_B^{\#}(\varphi)(y)$ as

$$K_B^{\#}(\varphi)(y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x_0, 2A\sigma)} k_{\delta}(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x),$$

where the limit is the weak- $L^{q'}$ limit on B and $k_{\delta}(x, y)$ is the function given in Definition 1.

The following lemma allows us to extend this definition to the whole space X .

LEMMA 3. Let $B^1 = B(\xi_1, \tau_1)$ and $B^2(\xi_2, \tau_2)$ be two balls in X such that $\xi_2 > \tau_1 > 1$ and $B^1 \subset B^2$. Then for every $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ we have $K_{B^1}^{\#}(\varphi)(y) = K_{B^2}^{\#}(\varphi)(y)$ almost everywhere in B^1 .

Proof. We have

$$K_{B^2}^{\#}(\varphi)(y) - K_{B^1}^{\#}(\varphi)(y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B^2 \setminus B^1} k_{\delta}(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x),$$

where $\tilde{B}^1 = B(\xi_1, 2A\tau_1)$ and $\tilde{B}^2 = B(\xi_2, 2A\tau_2)$.

We observe that if $y \in B^1$ and $x \in \tilde{B}^2 \setminus \tilde{B}^1$, then $k(x, y)$ vanishes. Therefore, we have the statement of the lemma.

This lemma shows that if $B^n = B(x_0, n)$, then $K_{B^n}^{\#}(\varphi) = K_{B^{n+1}}^{\#}(\varphi)$ almost everywhere in B^n . Then we define $K^{\#}(\varphi)$ by the condition $K^{\#}(\varphi) = K_{B^n}^{\#}(\varphi)$ almost everywhere in B^n .

LEMMA 4. Let $k(x, y)$ be a weakly strongly singular kernel and let p satisfy (3.9). Then, for every function $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$, if $y \in B(x_0, \tau)$, $0 < \tau \leq 1$, we have the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X \setminus B(x_0, 2A\tau^0)} |k(x, y) - k(x, x_0)| |\varphi(x)| d\mu(x) \\ & \leq c \cdot d(x, y_0)^{\epsilon} \left(\|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* (2A\tau^0)^{1/p-1-\epsilon(1-\theta)} + |m_{B(x_0, 2A\tau^0)}(\varphi)| (2A\tau^0)^{-\epsilon(1-\theta)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where c is a finite constant independent of φ, τ, x_0 and y .

Proof. Let $B_n = B(x_0, 2Ab^n\tau^0)$, $b > 1$. Let $y \in B(x_0, \tau)$ and let $x \notin B_0$.

Then we have $\bar{d}(x, x_0) > 2\bar{d}(y, x_0)^{1-\theta}$. Therefore from (3.5) we get

$$(3.24) \quad \int_{X \sim B_0} |k(x, y) - k(x, x_0)| |\varphi(x)| d\mu(x) \\ \leq c \cdot \bar{d}(y, x_0)^\varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2Ab^n\tau^\varepsilon)^{-1-\varepsilon(1-\theta)} (\|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* (2Ab^{n+1}\tau^\varepsilon)^{1/p} + |m_{n+1}(\varphi)| (2Ab^{n+1}\tau^\varepsilon)).$$

The proof of lemma follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 1.

LEMMA 5. Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ and let $B(x_0, \tau)$ be for $0 < \tau \leq 1$. Then for $y \in B(x_0, \tau)$ we have

$$(3.25) \quad K^\#(\varphi)(y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x_0, 2A\tau)} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + \\ + \int_{X \sim B(x_0, 2A\tau)} (k(x, y) - k(x, x_0)) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + C,$$

where the limit is the weak- L^q limit on $B(x_0, \tau)$ and C is a finite positive constant independent of y .

Proof. Let $x \in B_0 = B(x_0, 2A\sigma)$, $\sigma \geq 1$ and $y \in B(x_0, \tau)$. Then we have $\bar{d}(x, x_0) > 1$ and $\bar{d}(x, y) > 2\sigma - \tau > 1$. Therefore we get

$$(3.26) \quad K^\#(\varphi)(y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_0} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + \int_{X \sim B_0} (k(x, y) - \\ - k(x, x_0)) \varphi(x) d\mu(x).$$

Since $y \in B(x_0, \tau)$, $\tau \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\int_{B_0} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{B(x_0, 2A\tau^\varepsilon)} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + \\ + \int_{B_0 \sim B(x_0, 2A\tau^\varepsilon)} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x).$$

Substituting this equality in (3.26) we have the statement of lemma.

LEMMA 6. $K^\#(1) = \text{constant}$.

Proof. Let $B = B(x_0, \sigma)$, $\sigma \geq 1$ and let $B(R) = B(x_0, R\sigma)$ for $R > 2A$. We have from Definition 2

$$K^\#(1)(y) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(R)} k_\delta(x, y) d\mu(x)$$

for $y \in B$. Let $g \in L^2(B, \mu)$ such that $\int_B g(y) d\mu(y) = 0$. Taking into account that $1 < q < 2$ we have $g \in L^2(B, \mu)$. Therefore, we get

$$\int_X K^\#(1)(y) g(y) d\mu(y) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} (\chi_{B(R)}, Kg)$$

and hence

$$\int_X K^\#(1)(y) g(y) d\mu(y) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} (K^*(\chi_{B(R)}), g).$$

From condition (3.6) we have

$$\int_X K^\#(1)(y) g(y) d\mu(y) = c \cdot \int g(y) d\mu(y) = 0,$$

and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 4. Let p satisfy (3.9) and let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$. Then there exists a finite positive constant c , independent of φ , such that

$$\|K^\#(\varphi)\|_{1/p-1}^* \leq c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^*.$$

Proof. Let B be a ball in X with radius $\sigma \geq 1$ and let B^1 be the ball with the same center and radius $2A\sigma$. We observe that

$$K^\#(\varphi) = K^\#(\varphi - m_{B^1}(\varphi)) + K^\#(m_{B^1}(\varphi)).$$

Since $K^\#(m_{B^1}(\varphi))$ does not give any contribution to the estimate of $\|K^\#(\varphi)\|_{1/p-1}^*$, we can consider $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ such that $m_{B^1}(\varphi) = 0$. We have,

$$(3.27) \quad \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y) - m_B(K^\#(\varphi))|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq 2 \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let us estimate the integral on the right hand side of (3.27). Let $h \in L^2(B, \mu)$ such that $\|h\|_2 = 1$. Then we get

$$\left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2} \\ = \mu(B)^{-1/2} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_X h(y) \left(\int_{B^1} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y).$$

Changing the order of integration we can see that the second member of (3.27) is majorized by

$$c \cdot \mu(B)^{-1/2} \|Kh\|_{2\mu(B^1)^{1/p-1/2}} \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^*.$$

From (3.3) and since $\mu(B^1) \leq c \cdot \mu(B)$, it follows that

$$\left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2} \leq c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* \mu(B)^{1/p-1}.$$

Then we have

$$(3.28) \quad \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y) - m_B(K^\#(\varphi))|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2} \leq c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* \mu(B)^{1/p-1}$$

for every ball B with radius greater or equal to one.

We shall show that (3.28) also holds when the radius of B does not exceed one. For this purpose let B be a ball with radius $\sigma \leq 1$ and let B^2 be the ball with same center and radius $2A\sigma^2$. Let $\varphi \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ such that $m_{B^2}(\varphi) = 0$. We proceed as the first part of the proof and we obtain,

$$(3.29) \quad \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y) - m_B(K^\#(\varphi))|^2 d\mu(y) \right) \leq 2 \cdot \left(\mu(B)^{-1} \int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y)|^{q'} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/q'},$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Let $g \in L^2(B, \mu)$ be such that $\|g\|_q = 1$. We get that

$$\left(\int_B |K^\#(\varphi)(y)|^{q'} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/q'} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_B g(y) \left(\int_{B^2} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y) + \int_B g(y) \left(\int_{x \sim B^2} (k(x, y) - k(x, x_0)) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y) = I_1 + I_2.$$

We have that

$$I_1 = \int_{B^2} K g(x) (\varphi(x) - m_{B^2}(\varphi)) d\mu(x).$$

Then by Schwarz's inequality and from (3.2) we obtain

$$I_1 \leq c \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* \mu(B^2)^{1/p-1/2}.$$

Let us estimate I_2 . From Lemma 4 and Hölder's inequality we also obtain

$$I_2 \leq c \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* \mu(B^2)^{1/p-1/2}.$$

Since $1/p < (1/q - \varrho/2)/(1 - \varrho)$, we get $\sigma^{1/p-1/q} > \sigma^{(1/p-1/2)}$. Then from (2.1) we have

$$\mu(B^2)^{1/p-1/2} \leq c \cdot \sigma^{(1/p-1/2)} \leq c \cdot \sigma^{1/p-1/q} \leq c \cdot \mu(B)^{1/p-1/q}$$

and we conclude that I_1 and I_2 are majorized by $c \cdot \|\varphi\|_{1/p-1}^* \mu(B)^{1/p-1/q}$. Replacing this estimate in (3.29), it follows the proof of theorem.

Let $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$. We define $K^\#(\bar{\varphi})$ as the class of all the functions on X which differ from $K^\#(\varphi)$ in a constant.

By Lemma 3, the class $K^\#(\bar{\varphi})$ is not empty and by Lemma 6, this definition does not depend of the representative of $\bar{\varphi}$ chosen. Then under the conditions of Theorem 4 we have

THEOREM 5. *If $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$, then $K^\#(\bar{\varphi}) \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$. Moreover, there exists a finite constant c , independent of φ , such that*

$$\|K^\#(\bar{\varphi})\|_{1/p-1}^* \leq c \cdot \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{1/p-1}^*.$$

LEMMA 7. *Let $a(x)$ be a function in $L^2(X, \mu)$ with support contained in a ball $B(x_0, \tau)$, $\tau \geq 1$, such that*

$$\int_X a(x) d\mu(x) = 0.$$

Then, for every $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Lip}(1/p-1)$ we have

$$\langle Ka, \bar{\varphi} \rangle = \langle a, K^\#(\bar{\varphi}) \rangle.$$

Proof. From the linearity of the operator K and by Theorem 2, it follows that $Ka(x)\varphi(x)$ is integrable. If $x \notin B(x_0, 2A\tau)$ and $y \in B(x_0, \tau)$, we have $d(x, y) > 1$. Therefore, we get

$$\int_X Ka(x)\varphi(x) d\mu(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x_0, 2A\tau)} a(y) \left(\int_X k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y).$$

Since $a(y) \in L^2(B, \mu)$, $q < 2$, we have from (3.4) that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x_0, 2A\tau)} k_\delta(x, y) \varphi(x) d\mu(x)$$

exists weakly in L^2 on the ball $B(x_0, \tau)$. This proves the lemma.

We can now prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 6. *Let $k(x, y)$ be a weakly strongly singular integral kernel and let p satisfy (3.9). Then if $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i$ is an element of H^p , the operator $Kf = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i Ka_i$ is well defined. Moreover, K is linear and there is a finite constant C independent of f such that*

$$\|Kf\|_{H^p} \leq c \cdot \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i a_i$ in H^p . By Theorem 3, for every i , $Ka_i \in H^p$ and $\|Ka_i\|_{H^p} \leq c$. Let m and n be such that $m < n$. We get

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Ka_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i Ka_i \right\|_{H^p}^p \leq c^p \cdot \sum_{i=m+1}^n |\lambda_i|^p.$$

It follows that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i Ka_i$ converges to an element $h \in H^p$. By The-

orem 3 we have

$$\langle h, \bar{\varphi} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \langle K a_i, \bar{\varphi} \rangle$$

and by Lemma 7, we obtain $\langle h, \bar{\varphi} \rangle = \langle f, K^{\#}(\bar{\varphi}) \rangle$.

Therefore h depends only on f and does not depend on its representation as a series of multiples of $(p, 2)$ -atoms. Then $Kf = h$ is well defined, and it is easily seen that K is a linear operator from H^p into H^p . Moreover,

$$\|Kf\|_{H^p} \leq c \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

that is to say,

$$\|Kf\|_{H^p} \leq c \cdot \|f\|_{H^p},$$

which proves the theorem.

Theorem 6 can be applied to obtain boundedness results on $H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for the operators T_λ considered in [2] and [6]. For this purpose let $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ be endowed with the Lebesgue measure and the quasi-distance $d(x, y) = |x - y|^n$, where $|x - y|$ is the usual euclidean distance. We shall need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 8. Let k be an integrable function on \mathbf{R}^n such that $|\hat{k}(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|^\beta)^{-1}$ for $0 < \beta < n$, where \hat{k} is the Fourier transform of the function k and c is a finite constant. Let φ be a $C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ function which vanishes near zero and is equal to 1 outside a bounded set. Then $k_\delta(x) = \varphi(\delta^{-1}x)k(x)$ satisfies

$$|\hat{k}_\delta(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|^\beta)^{-1}.$$

Proof. We shall prove the lemma for $n \geq 2$. The case $n = 1$ is simple and will be omitted. Let ψ be a C^∞ bounded support function on \mathbf{R}^n such that $\psi + \varphi = 1$. Then, to prove the lemma it is enough to estimate $|\psi(\delta^{-1}x)\hat{k}(x)|$ that is to say we shall need to estimate $|\hat{k}(x) * \delta^n \hat{\psi}(\delta x)|$. Let $|B(x, r)|$ be the Lebesgue measure of $B(x, r)$ and let

$$M(\hat{k})(x) = \sup_{r>0} |B(x, r)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r)} |\hat{k}(y)| dy$$

the maximal function of \hat{k} .

Then there exists a finite constant c such that

$$|\hat{k}(x) * \delta^n \hat{\psi}(\delta x)| \leq c M(\hat{k})(x).$$

Let us to estimate $M(\hat{k})(x)$. Let $B(x, r)$ be such that $|x| > 2r$. We have

$$M(\hat{k})(x) \leq \sup_{r>0} |B(x, r)|^{-1} \int_{B(x, r)} |y|^{-\beta} dy.$$

Passing to the polar coordinates we obtain

$$M(\hat{k})(x) \leq cr^{-1}(|x| + r)^{n-\beta} - (|x| - r)^{n-\beta} (n - \beta)^{-1}$$

and by the mean value theorem it follows:

$$(3.30) \quad M(\hat{k})(x) \leq c|x|^{-\beta}.$$

On the other hand if $|x| \leq 2r$, we get

$$M(\hat{k})(x) \leq c \cdot r^{-n} \int_{B(0, 3r)} |y|^{-\beta} dy$$

which gives us the same estimate as (3.30). From the boundedness of \hat{k} we conclude that $M(\hat{k})(x) \leq c(1 + |x|^\beta)^{-1}$ and the lemma is proved.

The proof of next lemma follows by a straightforward computation.

LEMMA 9. Let $0 < \theta < 1$, $a' < 0$ and $\lambda = (1 - \theta)^{-1} - 1 + a'$. Let ψ be a C^∞ function such that $0 < \psi(t) < 1$, $\psi(t) = 1$ if $0 < t \leq 1/2$ and $\psi(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 1$. Let

$$\tilde{k}(x, y) = [\exp(\text{id}(x, y)^{a'/n})] d(x, y_0)^{-1-\lambda/n}.$$

Then the function $k(x, y) = \tilde{k}(x, y)\psi(d(x, y))$ satisfies

$$|k(x, y) - k(x, y_0)| \leq c \cdot d(y, y_0)^{1/n} d(x, y_0)^{-1-1/n-(1-\theta)^{-1}}$$

whenever $d(x, y_0) > 2d(y, y_0)^{1-\theta}$ if $d(y, y_0) \leq 1$.

As a consequence of these lemmas and Theorem 6 we have

PROPOSITION. Let $\lambda = (1 - \theta)^{-1} - 1 - a(1 - a)^{-1}$,

$$\gamma = (a - 1)\lambda/n + a/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = (n(1 - \theta))^{-1} - n,$$

where $0 < \theta < a < 1$ and n is the dimension of \mathbf{R}^n . Then if $n + \lambda > 0$, the operator

$$T_\lambda f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\delta < |x-y| \leq 1} f(y) |x-y|^{-n-\lambda} \cdot e^{i|x-y|^\alpha} dy,$$

where $1/a + 1/a' = 1$, satisfies

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

for those p that satisfies

$$n/n + 1 < p \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/p - 1/2 < \gamma(1/2 + \alpha + n)/(a + \gamma + n - 1/n).$$

References

- [1] J. E. Björk, L^p estimates for convolution operators defined by compactly supported distributions in \mathbf{R}^n , Math. Scand. 34 (1974), 129-136.
- [2] R. R. Coifman, A real characterization of H^p , Studia Math. 51 (1974), 267-272.

- [3] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogenes*, Lecture Notes in Math. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971.
- [4] —, — *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569–645.
- [5] C. L. Fefferman, *Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators*, Acta Math. 124 (1970), 9–36.
- [6] C. L. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, *ibid.* 129 (1972), 137–194.
- [7] I. I. Hirschman, Jr., *On multiplier transformations*, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 222–242.
- [8] R. A. Macías, *Interpolation theorems on generalized Hardy spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Washington University, Miss. 1974.
- [9] R. A. Macías and C. Segovia, *Singular integrals on generalized Lipschitz and Hardy spaces*, Studia Math. 65 (1978), 55–75.
- [10] —, — *Lipschitz functions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Adv. in Math. 33 (1979).
- [11] P. Sjölin, *L^p estimates for strongly singular convolution operators in \mathbb{R}^n* , Ark. f. Math. 14 (1976), 59–64.
- [12] S. Wainger, *Special trigonometric series in k dimensions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1965).

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA
ESTATÍSTICA E CIÊNCIA DA COMPUTAÇÃO-IMECC-
UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS
C.P. 1170-E.P. 13100, Campinas, Brazil

Received November 6, 1981

(1721)