

elements in  $A$  possessing small powers. Or equivalently, for the classes of locally convex or  $B_0$ -algebras, the permanent radical of an algebra is the set of all its elements on which operate all formal power series.

Remark. The above characterization shows that the concept of permanent radical in the classes  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$  or  $\mathcal{B}_0$  has an absolute character (cf. Definition 1.2). This is not true for such classes as  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , or  $\mathcal{B}$ . In fact, in these classes we have  $\text{rad}_{\mathcal{M}} A = \text{rad} A$ , what follows from the fact that for  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  its radical is given by

$$\text{rad} A = \bigcap_a \{x \in A : \lim_n \|x^n\|_a^{1/n} = 0\},$$

where the intersection is taken with respect to all continuous seminorms on  $A$  satisfying relation (4).

3.5. COROLLARY. If  $A$  is a Banach algebra then its  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ -permanent radical, or  $\mathcal{B}_0$ -permanent radical coincides with the set of all its nilpotent elements, and equals to  $\text{rad}_{\mathcal{T}} A$ .

Let us remark (cf. remarks at the end of Section 2), that if  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\text{rad} A$  contains elements of arbitrarily high orders, then the set  $\text{rad}_{\mathcal{B}_0} A$  is a non-closed ideal in  $A$ . This was, in fact, known to Rolewicz, who used it in [1] to the construction of a  $B_0$ -algebra possessing a non-closed radical.

We do not know whether Theorem 3.4 is true for the class of all topological algebras.

PROBLEM. Let  $A$  be a topological algebra. Does the ideal  $I_s(A)$  of all elements of  $A$  possessing small powers coincide with the  $\mathcal{T}$ -permanent radical  $\text{rad}_{\mathcal{T}} A$  of  $A$ ?

#### References

- [1] S. Rolewicz, *Some remarks on radicals in commutative  $B_0$ -algebras*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 15 (1967), 153–155.
- [2] — *Topological Linear Spaces*, Monografie Matematyczne, vol 56, Warszawa 1972.
- [3] W. Żelazko, *Selected topics in topological algebras*, Aarhus University Lecture Notes No 31 (1971).
- [4] — *A characterization of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}$ -non-removable ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 87 (1980), 241–247.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN  
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Received October 12, 1981

(1714)

## Holomorphic functional calculus and quotient Banach algebras

by

L. WAELBROECK (Bruxelles)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative associative Banach algebra with unit, and  $\alpha$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  with a Banach norm stronger than the norm induced by that of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$ . We define  $\text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ . We construct a homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(\text{sp } \bar{a}, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$ , mapping  $z_i$  onto  $\bar{a}_i$ , and unit on unit. This relative holomorphic functional calculus ( $\mathcal{A} \bmod \alpha$ ) generalizes classical holomorphic functional calculus (where  $\alpha = 0$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra, which is commutative, associative, and with unit. Let  $\alpha$  be a Banach ideal. Let  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$ , or, if you prefer  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where of course  $a_i \in \bar{a}_i$ . The spectrum  $\text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ , i.e.  $\text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is the set of  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\sum_1^n (\bar{a}_i - s_i) \mathcal{A}/\alpha \neq \mathcal{A}/\alpha,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_1^n (a_i - s_i) \mathcal{A} + \alpha \neq \mathcal{A}.$$

Let now  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $U \ni \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ , let

$$\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A})/\mathcal{O}(U, \alpha).$$

Call 1 the constant function on  $U$ , equal to 1, and  $z_i$  the holomorphic mapping  $z_i: (s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow s_i$ . We shall construct a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$$

which maps  $z_i$  on  $\bar{a}_i$ , 1 onto 1. This homomorphism is induced by a continuous linear mapping  $\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which maps  $\mathcal{O}(U, \alpha)$  into  $\alpha$ . If  $U$  is a schlicht domain of holomorphy, the homomorphism above is unique.

This is the first of two papers. In the second paper, we shall prove that every ideal of a quasi-Banach algebra has at least one quasi-Banach

structure. The results above can be stretched, give homomorphisms  $\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/a$  mapping  $z_i$  on  $\bar{a}_i$ , and 1 on 1.

As a corollary, a Šilov theorem can be proved, if  $a$  is an ideal (non-closed), whose hull,

$$\text{Hull } a = X_1 \cup X_2$$

is not connected,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  compact, disjoint, then

$$a = a_1 \cap a_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Hull } a_1 = X_1, \quad \text{Hull } a_2 = X_2.$$

And an Arens and Calderón theorem can be proved (where  $a$  is any ideal, and  $\mathcal{A}$  is pseudo-Banach).

The main results of this paper were proved a long time ago, they were published in a memoir [1]. The setting there is much more general that it is here. The memoir is difficult to read, and now out of print.

The reader knows that the unit of  $\mathcal{C}$ , of  $\mathcal{A}$ , of  $\mathcal{A}/a$ , the constant function equal to 1, to the unit of  $\mathcal{A}$ , of  $\mathcal{A}/a$ , etc. should all have different notations. And the reader knows that this author denotes all these objects by 1.

**1. Quotient Banach spaces, algebras, and some cohomology.** Before beginning the main dish of this paper, we give a couple of entrées. Not all textbooks use quotient Banach spaces, or quotient Banach algebras. Nor do they all use homology, or cohomology taking values in a Banach space modulo a Banach subspace, or a Banach algebra modulo a Banach ideal. The results here are elementary.

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$  be a Banach space. Let  $F$  be a subspace of  $E$ , with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_F$  which is a Banach norm on  $F$  and is stronger on  $F$  than  $\|\cdot\|_E$ , i.e.

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0: \forall x \in F \quad \|x\|_F \geq \varepsilon \|x\|_E.$$

Observe that two Banach norms on  $F$ , both stronger than  $\|\cdot\|_E$ , are equivalent because of the closed graph theorem.  $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$  is a Banach subspace of  $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ ;  $E/F$  is a quotient Banach space ([2], [3], [4]).

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$  be an associative, commutative Banach algebra with a unit. (These conditions can be weakened, but in this paper, we shall only consider such algebras.) Let  $a$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a Banach subspace of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Call  $n_a$  the norm of  $a$ . Multiplication  $(\mathcal{A} \times a) \rightarrow a$  has a separately closed graph, i.e. is separately continuous, i.e. is joint continuous  $\mathcal{A} \times a \rightarrow a$ . Let

$$\|x\|_a = \sup \{n_a(a \cdot x) \mid \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\}.$$

Then  $\|\cdot\|_a$  is equivalent to  $n_a$  and  $\|a \cdot x\|_a \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|x\|_a$ ;  $(a, \|\cdot\|_a)$  is a Banach ideal of  $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ ;  $\mathcal{A}/a$  is a quotient Banach algebra.

Let now  $E$  be a Banach space. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open. Let  $\Omega(U, E)$  be the alternating forms of  $d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n$  with coefficients of class  $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}$  on  $U$  taking its values in  $E$ , and  $\Omega_c(U, E)$  be the set of elements of  $\Omega(U, E)$  whose coefficients have compact supports. Of course

$$\Omega(U, E) = \bigoplus_1^n \Omega^i(U, E) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_c(U, E) = \bigoplus_1^n \Omega_c^i(U, E),$$

where  $\Omega^i(U, E)$  (resp.  $\Omega_c^i(U, E)$ ) have for elements the homogeneous forms of degree  $i$  and belong to  $\Omega(U, E)$  (resp.  $\Omega_c(U, E)$ ).

Let  $F$  be a Banach subspace of  $E$ ;  $\Omega(U, F) \subseteq \Omega(U, E)$  and  $\Omega_c(U, F) \subseteq \Omega_c(U, E)$ . And

$$\Omega(U, E/F) = \Omega(U, E)/\Omega(U, F), \quad \Omega_c(U, E/F) = \Omega_c(U, E)/\Omega_c(U, F).$$

Finally,  $\bar{\partial}$  is a linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(U, E) &\rightarrow \Omega(U, E), & \Omega(U, F) &\rightarrow \Omega(U, F), & \Omega_c(U, E) &\rightarrow \Omega_c(U, E) \\ & & & & & \text{and} \quad \Omega_c(U, F) \rightarrow \Omega_c(U, F). \end{aligned}$$

And  $\bar{\partial}$  induces linear mappings

$$\Omega(U, E/F) \rightarrow \Omega(U, E/F) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_c(U, E/F) \rightarrow \Omega_c(U, E/F)$$

which we call again  $\bar{\partial}$ . In all cases, we see that  $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ .

DEFINITION 1.

$$Z(U, E/F) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}, \Omega(U, E/F)), \quad Z_c(U, E/F) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}, \Omega_c(U, E/F)),$$

$$B(U, E/F) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}, \Omega(U, E/F))$$

$$\text{and} \quad B_c(U, E/F) = \text{Im}(\bar{\partial}, \Omega_c(U, E/F)).$$

With the above notations,

$$H^*(U, E/F) = Z(U, E/F)/B(U, E/F),$$

$$H_c^*(U, E/F) = Z_c(U, E/F)/B_c(U, E/F).$$

What must I add?

$$\Omega(U, E/F) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Omega^i(U, E/F), \quad \Omega_c(U, E/F) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Omega_c^i(U, E/F),$$

$\bar{\partial}$  maps  $\Omega^i$  into  $\Omega^{i+1}$  and  $\Omega_c^i$  into  $\Omega_c^{i+1}$ ;  $Z^i, Z_c^i$  are the kernels of  $\bar{\partial}$ , or better  $\bar{\partial}_i$  on  $\Omega^i, \Omega_c^i$ , while  $B^i, B_c^i$  are the images of  $\bar{\partial}^{i-1}, \bar{\partial}_c^{i-1}$ ;  $H^i = Z/B^i$ ,  $H_c^i = Z_c^i/B_c^i$ ; finally

$$H^*(U, E/F) = \bigoplus H^i(U, E/F), \quad H_c^*(U, E/F) = \bigoplus H_c^i(U, E/F).$$

It is also clear that  $H^*$  and  $H_c^*$  are isomorphic to  $Z_1/B_1$  and  $Z_{1c}/B_{1c}$  where

$$Z_1(U, E \bmod F) = \bar{\partial}^{-1}(\Omega(U, F)) \cap \Omega(U, E),$$

$$B_1(U, E \bmod F) = \bar{\partial}\Omega(U, E) + \Omega(U, F)$$

and similarly for  $Z_{1c}(U, E \bmod F)$  and  $B_{1c}(U, E \bmod F)$ .

Now, look at the mapping  $\Omega_c(U, E) \rightarrow E$  defined by the integration

$$\omega \mapsto \int_U \omega \wedge ds_1 \wedge \dots \wedge ds_n.$$

The mapping maps  $\Omega_c(U, F)$  into  $F$  and  $\bar{\partial}\Omega_c(U, E)$  onto 0.

DEFINITION 2.  $I: H_c^n(U, E/F) \rightarrow E/F$  is induced by the mapping

$$\omega \mapsto \int \omega \wedge ds_1 \wedge \dots \wedge ds_n, \quad Z_{1c}^n(U, E \bmod F) \rightarrow E.$$

A couple of more remarks. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\alpha$  a (two sided) Banach ideal. Then  $\Omega(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  is an algebra and  $\Omega_c(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  is an ideal.

PROPOSITION 1.  $H^*(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  is an algebra, and  $H_c^*(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  is a module on  $H^*(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$ .

Let  $U, V$  be open in  $C^n, C^m$ . Direct multiplication  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$  maps  $\Omega(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \times \Omega(V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  into  $\Omega(U \times V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  and  $\Omega_c(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \times \Omega_c(V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  into  $\Omega_c(U \times V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$ .

DEFINITION 3. Direct multiplication

$$H^*(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \times H^*(V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \rightarrow H^*(U \times V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$$

and

$$H_c^*(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \times H_c^*(V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) \rightarrow H_c^*(U \times V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$$

are the bilinear mappings induced by  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \rightarrow \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ .

Still another definition must be given. Let  $U \subseteq C^n$  be open, let  $E/F$  be a quotient Banach space and  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$  be a quotient Banach algebra.

DEFINITION 4.

$$\mathcal{O}(U, E/F) = \mathcal{O}(U, E)/\mathcal{O}(U, F) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A})/\mathcal{O}(U, \alpha).$$

$\mathcal{O}(U, E/F)$  is a vector space,  $\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  is an algebra, both depend functorially on  $E/F$  and  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$  [5].

2. Let  $\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|$  be an associative and commutative Banach algebra with a unit. Let  $\alpha, \|\cdot\|_\alpha$  be a Banach ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  elements of the equivalence classes,  $a_i \in \bar{a}_i$ .

DEFINITION 5. The spectrum  $\text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$  of  $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ , equal to the spectrum of  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  modulo  $\alpha$ ,  $\text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , is the set of  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C^n$

such that

$$\sum_1^n (\bar{a}_i - s_i) \mathcal{A} / \alpha = \mathcal{A} / \alpha, \quad \sum_1^n (a_i - s_i) \mathcal{A} + \alpha = \mathcal{A}.$$

Of course,  $\text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is compact, non empty (if  $\alpha$  is a proper ideal). If  $\text{Hull } \alpha$  is the set of maximal ideals which contain  $\alpha$ , if  $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$  is the Gelfand transform of  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  the structure space of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$  is the image of  $\text{Hull } \alpha$  by  $(\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_n)$ .

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $C^n$  which contains  $\text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Functions  $u_1, \dots, u_n, v, y$  exist on  $C^n$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_n, y$  are of class  $C_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued,  $y$  having compact support in  $U$ , while  $v$  is of class  $C_\infty$ ,  $\alpha$ -valued, and

$$1 = \sum_1^n (a_i - s_i) u_i(s) + v(s) + y(s)$$

for all  $s \in C^n$ .

Note that  $v$  is  $\alpha$ -valued, and of class  $C_\infty$  as an  $\alpha$ -valued function.

The proof is nearly classical. Let  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in C^n \setminus \text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Elements  $u_{1s}, \dots, u_{ns}, v_s$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , resp.  $\alpha$  exist such that

$$1 = \sum_1^n (a_i - s_i) u_{is} + v_s.$$

If  $s'$  is near to  $s$ ,

$$1 = \sum_1^n (a_i - s'_i) u_{is}(s') + v_s(s')$$

with

$$u_{is}(s') = u_{is} \cdot \left(1 - \sum_1^n (s'_i - s_i) a_{is}\right)^{-1}, \quad v_s(s') = v_s \cdot \left(1 - \sum_1^n (s'_i - s_i) a_{is}\right)^{-1}.$$

These functions are of class  $C_\infty$ , respectively  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued and  $\alpha$ -valued.

Let also

$$u_{i,\infty}(s') = -\bar{s}'_i \left(|s'|^2 - \sum \bar{s}'_i a_i\right)^{-1}$$

and  $v_\infty(s') = 0$  when  $s'$  is near to infinity.

A partition of unity of class  $C_\infty$  yields the functions  $u_i(s), v(s), y(s)$  announced in Proposition 2.

DEFINITION 6. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $U$  an open subset of  $C^n$ ,  $U \supseteq \text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then  $D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  will be the set of  $(u_1, \dots, u_n, v, y)$  where  $u_i, y$  are of class  $C_\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued,  $y$  of compact support

in  $U$ , and  $v$  is of class  $C_\infty$ ,  $\alpha$ -valued, where  $1 = \sum_i (a_i - s_i)u_i(s) + v(s) + y(s)$ .

Proposition 2 shows that  $D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is not empty.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $(u, v, y)$  and  $(u', v', y')$  belong to  $D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then

$$u'_i = u_i + \sum_j \varphi_{ij}(a_j - s_j) + \psi_i + \eta_i,$$

$$v' = v - \sum_j \psi_j(a_j - s_j) - \eta,$$

$$y' = y - \sum_j \eta_j(a_j - s_j) + \eta,$$

where  $\varphi_{ij} = -\varphi_{ji}$  and  $\eta_i$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued of class  $C_\infty$ ;  $\psi_i, \eta$  are  $\alpha$ -valued of class  $C_\infty$ ;  $\eta_i, \eta$  have compact supports in  $U$ . Also, let  $(u, v, y) \in D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , let  $\varphi_{ij}, \psi_i, \eta_i, \eta$  be functions such as above and let  $(u', v', y')$  determined as above. Then  $(u', v', y') \in D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

It is clear that  $(u', v', y') \in D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  if  $(u, v, y) \in D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ; and  $(u', v', y')$  is obtained from  $(u, v, y)$  by the relations above. In the other direction, let  $(u, v, y)$  and  $(u', v', y')$  belong to  $D_U(a)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u'_i - u_i &= u'_i \left( \sum (a_j - s_j)u_j + v + y \right) - \left( \sum (a_j - s_j)u'_j + v' + y' \right) u_i \\ &= \sum (a_j - s_j) (u'_i u_j - u'_j u_i) + (u'_i v - v' u_i) + (u'_i y - y' u_i), \\ v' - v &= v' \left( \sum (a_j - s_j)u_j + v + y \right) - \left( \sum (a_j - s_j)u'_j + v' + y' \right) v \\ &= \sum (a_j - s_j) (v' u_j - u'_j v) + 0 + (v' y - y' v), \\ y' - y &= y' \left( \sum (a_j - s_j)u_j + v + y \right) - \left( \sum (a_j - s_j)u'_j + v' + y' \right) y \\ &= \sum (a_j - s_j) (y' u_j - u'_j y) + (y' v - v' y) + 0. \end{aligned}$$

We let

$$\varphi_{ij} = u'_i u_j - u'_j u_i, \quad \psi_i = u'_i v - v' u_i, \quad \eta_i = u'_i y - y' u_i, \quad \eta = v' y - y' v$$

and Proposition 3 is proved.

This proposition can be rewritten

PROPOSITION 3'. Let  $(u, v, y)$  and  $(u', v', y')$  be elements of  $D_U(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . We can find a finite chain of  $(u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}, y^{(r)})$  of elements of  $D_U(a)$  with

$$(u^{(0)}, v^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) = (u, v, y), \quad (u^{(N)}, v^{(N)}, y^{(N)}) = (u', v', y'),$$

and for all  $r$  ( $0 \leq r < N$ ) we take a step from  $(u^{(r)}, v^{(r)}, y^{(r)})$  to  $(u^{(r+1)}, v^{(r+1)}, y^{(r+1)})$  of one of the following forms

$$\text{A: } u_i^{(r+1)} = u_i^{(r)} + (a_j - s_j)\varphi, \quad u_j^{(r+1)} = u_j^{(r)} - (a_i - s_i)\varphi, \\ u_k^{(r+1)} = u_k^{(r)} \quad (k \neq i \text{ and } k \neq j), \quad v' = v \quad \text{and} \quad y' = y,$$

$$\text{B: } u_i^{(r+1)} = u_i^{(r)} + \eta, \quad y^{(r+1)} = y^{(r)} - (a_i - s_i)\eta, \\ u_j^{(r+1)} = u_j^{(r)} \quad (j \neq i), \quad v^{(r+1)} = v^{(r)},$$

$$\text{C: } u_i^{(r+1)} = u_i^{(r)} + \psi_i, \quad v^{(r+1)} = v^{(r)} - \sum (a_j - s_j)\psi_j - \eta', \quad y^{(r+1)} = y^{(r)} + \eta',$$

where  $\varphi, \eta$  are of class  $C_\infty$  as  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued,  $\psi_i, \eta'$  are of class  $C_\infty$  as  $\alpha$ -valued, and where  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  have compact support in  $U$ .

3. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $U$  an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $\text{sp}_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Let  $(u, v, y) \in D_U(a)$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0$ .

PROPOSITION 4. The class of  $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology of

$$\frac{(n+k)!}{k!} y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n,$$

with compact supports in  $U$  and values in  $\mathcal{A}$  modulo  $\alpha$  does not depend on  $k$ , nor on  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ , but only on  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

This means that

$$\frac{(n+k')!}{k'!} y^{k'} \bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_n - \frac{(n+k)!}{k!} y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n = \bar{\partial} Y + Z,$$

where  $Y \in \Omega_c^{n-1}(U, \mathcal{A})$  and  $Z \in \Omega_c^n(U, \alpha)$ .

DEFINITION 7.  $\tau_U(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in H_c^n(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  is the class of cohomology of

$$\frac{(n+k)!}{k!} y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n$$

described in Proposition 4.

(a) Consider first the case where  $(u, v, y) = (u', v', y')$  and where  $k' = k-1, k' > 0$ . Let

$$\tilde{\omega} = \sum (-1)^{i-1} u_i \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_{i-1} \wedge \partial u_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n.$$

It is clear that

$$\bar{\partial} \tilde{\omega} = n \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n.$$

Also

$$1 = \sum (a_i - s_i) u_i + v + y.$$

Differentiating

$$0 = \sum (a_i - s_i) \bar{\partial} u_i + \bar{\partial} v + \bar{\partial} y.$$

Of course

$$(a_i - s_i) \bar{\partial} u_i \wedge \bar{\omega} = \sum (a_i - s_i) u_i \cdot \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n.$$

In this relation we replace  $\sum (a_i - s_i) \bar{\partial} u_i$  and  $\sum (a_i - s_i) u_i$  by  $-\bar{\partial} v - \bar{\partial} y$  and  $1 - v - y$ , respectively. Transposing, changing signs, we see that

$$\bar{\partial} y \wedge \bar{\omega} = (y - 1) \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n + \psi_1,$$

where  $\psi_1$  is  $\alpha$ -valued of class  $C_\infty$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}(y^k \bar{\omega}) &= k y^{k-1} \bar{\partial} y \wedge \bar{\omega} + n y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \\ &= (n+k) y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n - k y^{k-1} \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n + \psi_2, \end{aligned}$$

where again  $\psi_2$  is  $\alpha$ -valued, of class  $C_\infty$  and with compact support in  $U$ . Multiplying this relation by  $(n+k-1)!/k!$ , we obtain the result when  $(u, v, y) = (u', v', y')$ .

(b) Assume that  $k = k'$ . Consider

$$y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n - y'^k \bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_n.$$

Proposition 3' shows that it is sufficient to prove that the expression is equal to  $\bar{\partial} \theta + \psi$  with  $\theta, \psi$  forms of class  $C_\infty$ , respectively  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued and  $\alpha$ -valued, both with compact support in  $U$ , when we go from  $(u, v, y)$  to  $(u', v', y')$  by one of the steps A, B, C.

Steps C are trivial,  $\theta = 0$  even. Steps A are not much more difficult. Assume for example that

$$u'_1 = u_1 + (a_2 - s_2) \varphi, \quad u'_2 = u_2 - (a_1 - s_1) \varphi,$$

and  $u'_3 = u_3, \dots, u'_n = u_n, v' = v, y' = y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y^k \bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_n - y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \\ &= y^k ((a_2 - s_2) \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 - \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge (a_1 - s_1) \bar{\partial} \varphi) \wedge \bar{\partial} u_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \bar{\partial}(y^{k+1}) \wedge \bar{\partial} \varphi \wedge \bar{\partial} u_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We must still consider a step B, say  $u'_1 = u_1 - \eta, y' = y + (a_1 - s_1) \eta, u'_2 = u_2, \dots, u'_n = u_n, v' = v$ . We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} y'^k \bar{\partial} u'_1 - y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 &= \sum_1^k \binom{k}{k'} (a_1 - s_1)^{k-k'} \eta^{k-k'} y^{k'} \bar{\partial} u_1 - \\ &\quad - \sum_0^k \binom{k}{k'} (a_1 - s_1)^{k-k'} \eta^{k-k'} y^{k'} \bar{\partial} \eta \\ &= \sum_0^{k-1} \frac{k!}{k'!(k-k'+1)!} (a_1 - s_1)^{k-k'} \eta_{k'} - (a_1 - s_1)^k \eta^k \bar{\partial} \eta \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\eta_{k'} = k' (a_1 - s_1) \eta^{k-k'+1} y^{k'-1} \bar{\partial} u_1 - (k - k' + 1) \eta^{k-k'} y^{k'} \bar{\partial} \eta.$$

We look at  $\eta_{k'} \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n$ , keeping in mind the fact that

$$(a_1 - s_1) \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n = -\bar{\partial} y \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n + \psi_1,$$

where  $\psi_1$  is an  $\alpha$ -valued form of class  $C_\infty$  with compact support in  $U$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{k'} \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n &= -k' \eta^{k-k'+1} y^{k'-1} \bar{\partial} y \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n - \\ &\quad - (k - k' + 1) \eta^{k-k'} y^{k'} \bar{\partial} \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n + \psi_2 \\ &= -\bar{\partial}(\eta^{k-k'+1} y^{k'}) \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n + \psi_2 \end{aligned}$$

so

$$y^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n - y'^k \bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_n = \bar{\partial} \theta + \psi.$$

**4. PROPOSITION 5.** Let  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$ ; let  $U \supseteq \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$  and  $V \supseteq \text{sp}(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m)$  be open in  $C^n, C^m$ , respectively. Then

$$\tau_{U \times V}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n, \bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m) = \tau_U(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) \wedge \tau_V(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m).$$

Look at  $a_i \in \bar{a}_i, b_j \in \bar{b}_j$ , then  $(u, v, y) \in D_U(\alpha), (u', v', y') \in D_V(\beta)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n (a_i - s_i) u_i(s) + v(s) + y(s) &= 1, \\ \sum_1^m (b_j - t_j) u'_j(t) + v'(t) + y'(t) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(u, yu', v + yv', yy') \in D_{U \times V}(a, b)$ , i.e.

$$\sum (a_i - s_i) u_i(s) + \sum (b_j - t_j) y(s) u'_j(t) + (v(s) + y(s) v'(t)) + y(s) y'(t) = 1.$$

The class of cohomology  $\tau_{U \times V}(a, b)$  contains the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(n+m+k)!}{k!} (yy')^k \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \wedge \bar{\partial} (yu'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} (yu'_m) \\ &= \frac{(n+m+k)!}{k!} y^{m+k} \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \wedge y'^k \bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_m \end{aligned}$$

the equation being valid because  $\bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \wedge \bar{\partial} y = 0$  since it is of degree  $n+1$  and involves only  $\bar{d}s_1, \dots, \bar{d}s_n$ .

The proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION 6. Let again  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}/a$ , and  $U \subseteq C^n$ ,  $U \supseteq \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} I(\tau_U(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)) = \bar{1}$$

where  $\bar{1}$  is the unit of  $\mathcal{A}/a$ .

Of course,  $I(\tau_U(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)) = I(\tau_C(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n))$  and this is the product of  $n$  factors, each equal to  $I(\tau_C(a_i))$ . Let  $u$  be of class  $C_\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued, and such that  $1 - (a_1 - s_1)u_1$  has compact support in  $C$ . Then

$$I(\tau_C(\bar{a}_1)) = \frac{(1+k)!}{k!} \int y^k \bar{\partial} u \wedge ds = 2\pi i \text{ mod } a.$$

DEFINITION 8. Let  $U \supseteq \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a)$ . Then

$$f[\bar{a}] = f[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n] = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} I(f \cdot \tau_U(\bar{a})).$$

We have already shown that  $f[\bar{a}] \equiv 1 \text{ mod } a$  if  $f$  is the constant function 1. Let  $z_i: (s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow s_i$  be the  $i$ th coordinate.

PROPOSITION 7.  $f[\bar{a}] = 0$  if  $f$  belongs to the ideal generated by  $(z_1 - \bar{a}_1, \dots, z_n - \bar{a}_n)$  in  $\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a)$ .

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & (z_1 - \bar{a}_1) y^{n+k} \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \\ &= - \frac{1}{n+k+1} \bar{\partial} y^{n+k+1} \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n - \bar{\partial} v \wedge \bar{\partial} u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n \end{aligned}$$

so  $(z_1 - \bar{a}_1)\tau_U(a) = 0$  and similarly  $(z_i - \bar{a}_i)\tau_U(\bar{a}) = 0$ . Let  $f = \sum (z_i - \bar{a}_i)g_i$  with  $g_i \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a)$ . Then  $f \cdot \tau_U(\bar{a}) = 0$  and therefore  $f[\bar{a}] = 0$ .

Let  $U, V$  be open in  $C^n, C^m$ , respectively. Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a)$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{O}(V, \mathcal{A}/a)$ . Then the  $f \times g$  maps  $(s, t)$  onto  $(f(s), g(t))$ .

LEMMA 1. Under the usual hypotheses,

$$f \times g[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] = f[\bar{a}]g[\bar{b}].$$

This is clear. We want to prove that

PROPOSITION 8. Let  $U \subseteq C^n$  be open,  $U \supseteq \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ . The linear mapping  $\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/a$  is multiplicative, i.e. it is a morphism of algebras. To prove Proposition 8, we shall use Lemma 1 above, and

LEMMA 2. Let  $T: C^n \rightarrow C^m$  be linear, let  $\bar{a} \in (\mathcal{A}/a)^n$  and  $b = Ta \in (\mathcal{A}/a)^m$ . Let  $U$  be open in  $C^n$ ,  $V$  open in  $C^m$ , with  $U \supseteq \text{sp} \bar{a}$ ,  $V \supseteq \text{sp} \bar{b}$ ,  $TU \subseteq V$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ , then

$$g[T\bar{a}] = (g \circ T)[\bar{a}]$$

Remember that  $\text{sp} \bar{b} = T \text{sp} \bar{a}$ .

Every linear mapping is the composition of an invertible mapping, a projection, an injection, and again an invertible mapping. It is sufficient to prove the lemma in the three following cases:

(a)  $T: C^n \rightarrow C^n$  is invertible.

(b)  $T: C^n \rightarrow C^{n-1}$  maps  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  onto  $(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$ .

(c)  $T: C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}$  maps  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  onto  $(s_1, \dots, s_n, 0)$ .

So, (a), let  $T$  be invertible, let  $b_i = (Ta)_i = \sum T_{ij}a_j$ , and  $S = T^{-1}$ . Let also  ${}^tS$  be the transpose of  $S$ . We assume that

$$\sum (a_i - s_i)u_i(s) + v(s) + y(s) = 1,$$

i.e.

$$\sum (b_i - Ts_i)({}^tSu)_i(s) + v(s) + y(s) = 1$$

or again, with  $t_i = (Ts)_i$

$$\sum (b_i - t_i){}^tSu(St) + v(St) + y(St) = 1.$$

We let  $u' = {}^tS(uS)$ ,  $y' = yS$ . The kernel  $\bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_n$  evaluated at  $t = Ts$  will be the product of  $\bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n$  by

$$\det {}^tS \cdot \det \bar{S} = |\det S|^2.$$

One factor,  $\det S$ , comes from the replacement of

$$\bar{\partial} u'_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u'_n \quad \text{by} \quad \bar{\partial} u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} u_n$$

the other factor  $\det \bar{S}$  comes from the change of variables; remember that  $\bar{\partial}$  involves complex conjugation. Apply the formulae for the change of variables under multiple integrals.



Next, (b),  $T$  maps  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  onto  $(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$  and  $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$  onto  $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{n-1})$ . We have  $T \operatorname{sp} \bar{a} = \operatorname{sp} T \bar{a}$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{O}(\operatorname{sp} T \bar{a})$ ,  $g \circ T$  is the direct product  $g \times 1$  of  $g$  by the constant function, equal to 1, and depending only on  $s_n$ . And  $g \circ T[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n] = g[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{n-1}] \cdot 1[\bar{a}_n] = g[T \bar{a}]$  as required.

Finally, (c), we must consider  $T: (s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow (s_1, \dots, s_n, 0)$ , and we compare  $\bar{a} \in (\mathcal{A}/\alpha)^n$  and  $(\bar{a}, 0) \in (\mathcal{A}/\alpha)^{n+1}$ . We notice that  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, 0) = \operatorname{sp} \bar{a} \times \{0\}$ .

Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  containing  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, 0)$ , and assume first that every connected component of  $V \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\})$  meets  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, 0)$ . A function  $g \in \mathcal{O}(V)$  can be written

$$\begin{aligned} g(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) &= g(z_1, \dots, z_n, 0) + z_{n+1} h(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}), \\ &= g \circ T(z) \times 1(z_{n+1}) + z_{n+1} h(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $1(z_{n+1})$  is a function of one variable,  $z_{n+1}$ , constant and equal to 1, and

$$h(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) = \frac{g(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) - g(z_1, \dots, z_n, 0)}{z_{n+1}}.$$

The function  $h$  is holomorphic on  $V$ ,

$$g[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n, 0] = g \circ T[\bar{a}] \cdot 1 + z_{n+1}(0) \cdot h[\bar{a}] = g \circ T[\bar{a}]$$

( $z_{n+1}(0)$  is the value of  $z_{n+1}$  at the origin).

If not all connected components of  $V \cap \mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}$  meet  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, 0)$ , we let  $X$  be the union of the components of  $V \cap \mathbb{C}^n \times \{0\}$  which do not meet  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, 0)$  and  $V_1 = V \setminus X$ . Then  $V_1$  is open, contained in  $V$ , contains  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, 0)$ ,  $h$  is holomorphic on  $V_1$ , we can define  $h[\bar{a}, 0]$ . As above  $g[\bar{a}, 0] = g \circ T[\bar{a}]$ .

Proof of Proposition 8 is now easy. Let  $f, g$  belong to  $\mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$ . Then  $f \times g(s, t) = f(s)g(t)$  and  $f \times g[\bar{a}, \bar{a}] = f[\bar{a}]g[\bar{a}]$ . On the other hand, consider  $T: s \rightarrow (s, s)$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , then

$$f \times g(s, s) = f \times g(Ts) = f \cdot g(s)$$

hence

$$f[\bar{a}]g[\bar{a}] = f \times g[\bar{a}, \bar{a}] = f \times g[T\bar{a}] = f \cdot g[\bar{a}].$$

Let  $U$  be open in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $U \ni \operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  be elements of  $\mathcal{O}(U) = \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $g_1[\bar{a}], \dots, g_m[\bar{a}]$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}/\alpha$ . The spectral mapping theorem shows that

$$\operatorname{sp}(g[\bar{a}]) = g(\operatorname{sp} \bar{a})$$

and

$$\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, g[\bar{a}]) = \{z, g(z) \mid z \in \operatorname{sp} \bar{a}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 9. Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$  be open,  $V \ni g(U)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(V, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$ ; then  $f \circ g \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/\alpha)$  and

$$f[g[\bar{a}]] = f \circ g[\bar{a}].$$

Consider the function  $F(z, y) = f \circ g(z) - f(y)$ . This function is holomorphic on a neighbourhood of  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, g[\bar{a}])$  and vanishes on  $\{(z, u(z)) \mid z \in U\}$ . Next, the functions

$$G_i(z, y) = \frac{F(z, y_1, \dots, y_i, g_{i+1}, \dots, g_m) - F(z, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, g_i, \dots, g_m)}{y_i - g_i(z)}$$

are holomorphic on a neighbourhood of  $\operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}, g[\bar{a}])$ , so  $G_i[\bar{a}, g[\bar{a}]$  is defined and

$$f[g[\bar{a}]] - f \circ g[\bar{a}] = F[\bar{a}, g[\bar{a}]] = \sum (g_i[\bar{a}] - g_i[\bar{a}]) G_i[\bar{a}, g[\bar{a}]] = 0$$

so Proposition 9 is proved.

5. Let  $q: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$  be the quotient mapping  $a_i \rightarrow \bar{a}_i = a_i + \alpha$ .

DEFINITION 9. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be open. A linear mapping  $u: \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$  lifts if a continuous linear mapping  $u_1: \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  exists such that  $u = q \circ u_1$ .

It is clear that the mapping  $f \rightarrow f[\bar{a}]$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$  lifts (Definition 8).

PROPOSITION 10. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be a (schlicht) domain of holomorphy,  $U \ni \operatorname{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ . Only one homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$  exists, which lifts, and maps  $z_i$  on  $\bar{a}_i$  and unit on unit.

Let  $\sigma: \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$  be the mapping  $f \rightarrow f[\bar{a}]$  and  $\sigma_1: \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  a lifting, i.e.  $\sigma = q \circ \sigma_1$ . Let  $\tau: \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\alpha$  be another homomorphism, which lifts, i.e.  $\tau = q \circ \tau_1$ , and maps  $z_i$  on  $\bar{a}_i$  and unit on unit.

$\mathcal{O}(U) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(U) = \mathcal{O}(U \times U)$ , where  $\hat{\otimes}$  is the completed projective tensor product.  $\sigma_1 \otimes \tau_1$  maps  $\mathcal{O}(U) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(U)$  into  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ , multiplications maps  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ , the composition  $\mathcal{O}(U \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  will be called  $\varrho_1$ , and  $\varrho = q \circ \varrho_1$ .

Of course,  $(f, g) \rightarrow \sigma_1(f) \sigma_1(g) - \sigma_1(f \cdot g)$  and  $(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow \tau_1(\varphi) \tau_1(\psi) - \tau_1(\varphi \cdot \psi)$  are bilinear and continuous  $\mathcal{O}(U) \times \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \alpha$  (because of the closed graph and Banach–Steinhaus theorems). Look at  $F = \sum \lambda_n f_n \otimes g_n$  and  $G = \sum \mu_m g_m \otimes \varphi_m$  elements of  $\mathcal{O}(U \times U)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1(F) \varrho_1(G) - \varrho_1(F \cdot G) &= \sum \lambda_n \mu_m [\sigma_1(f_n) \tau_1(g_m) \sigma_1(g_m) \tau_1(\varphi_m) - \sigma_1(f_n \cdot g_m) \tau_1(\varphi_m \cdot \psi_m)] \\ &= \sum \lambda_n \mu_m [\sigma_1(f_n) \sigma_1(g_m) - \sigma_1(f_n g_m)] \tau_1(\varphi_n) \tau_1(\psi_m) + \\ &\quad + \sum \lambda_n \mu_m \sigma_1(f_n g_m) [\tau_1(\varphi_n) \tau_1(\psi_m) - \tau_1(\varphi_n \cdot \psi_m)] \in \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\varrho = \varrho \circ \varrho_1: \mathcal{O}(U \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/a$  is a homomorphism. This mapping maps  $z_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes z_i$  onto 0.

$U$  is a domain of holomorphy. The restriction to the diagonal  $\mathcal{O}(U \times U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$  is surjective; its kernel is also generated by the  $z_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes z_i$ . The quotient is  $\mathcal{O}(U)$ ,  $\varrho(1 \otimes f) = \varrho(f \otimes 1) = \tau(f) = \sigma(f)$ . And Proposition 10 is proved.

#### References

- [1] L. Waelbroeck, *Étude spectrale des algèbres complètes*, Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci. Mém. Coll. in 8° 2 31 (1960), N° 7.
- [2] — *Quotient Banach spaces*, in: *Spectral theory*, Banach Center Publications 8 (1982), 553–562.
- [3] — *Quotient Banach spaces; multilinear theory*, *ibid.*, 563–571.
- [4] — *The Taylor spectrum and quotient Banach spaces*, *ibid.*, 573–578.
- [5] — *Fonctions à valeurs dans les quotients banachiques*, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci.

Received October 13, 1981

(1716)

### Quasi-Banach algebras, ideals, and holomorphic functional calculus

by

L. WAELBROECK (Bruxelles)

**Abstract.** Quasi-Banach algebras are unions  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup \mathcal{A}_i$  of Banach algebras. Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  to be commutative. All ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  turn out to be unions  $a = \bigcup a_{ij}$  of Banach ideals. Results about relative holomorphic functional can be stretched, are applicable to quasi-Banach algebras modulo general ideals.

As application, we have a Šilov result. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative, associative general quasi-Banach algebra with unit, and  $a$  a general ideal. Assume that the hull,  $\text{Hull } a$  is not connected.  $\text{Hull } a = X_0 \cup X_1$ . An  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  then exists, idempotent modulo  $a$ ,  $e^2 - e \in a$ , whose Gelfand transform  $\hat{e}$  vanishes on  $X_0$  and is equal to 1 on  $X_1$ . Or, if you prefer  $a = a_0 \cap a_1$  where  $\text{Hull } a_0 = X_0$ ,  $\text{Hull } a_1 = X_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative Banach, or quasi-Banach algebra with unit. Every ideal  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  has at least one quasi-Banach structure. Inductive limits allow us to stretch the holomorphic functional calculus from quotient Banach algebras to quotient quasi-Banach algebras. We define  $f[a]$  when  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}/a$ ,  $U \supseteq \text{sp}(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathcal{A}/a)$ .

As application, let  $a$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose hull is not connected,  $\text{Hull } a = X_0 \cup X_1$  with  $X_0, X_1$  compact and disjoint. Then  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  exists which is idempotent modulo  $a$ , i.e.  $e^2 - e \in a$ , and whose Gelfand transform  $\hat{e}$  vanishes on  $X_0$  and is equal to 1 on  $X_1$ . Ideals  $a_0, a_1$  can be found, with  $\text{Hull } a_0 = X_0$ ,  $\text{Hull } a_1 = X_1$ , and  $a = a_0 \cap a_1$ . The ideal  $a$  is not assumed closed, the result generalises Šilov's, which applies when  $a$  is closed [4].

This paper originated from a discussion about  $\mathcal{A}(D)$ , the algebra of continuous functions on  $D = \{z \mid |z| \leq 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  which are holomorphic on the interior. Primary ideals are those whose hull has a single element. Those whose hull lies in the interior of  $D$  are closed. What can we say about ideals whose hull is finite and contained in  $\overset{\circ}{D}$ ? We see that these ideals are the intersections of closed primary ideals, so they are closed.

Arens's and Calderón's result [2] can also be generalised. Let  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}/a$ . Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  be open,  $F = F(z_1, \dots, z_n, y) \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ ,  $f \in C(\text{Hull } a)$ , with for all  $m \in \text{Hull } a$ ,  $(\hat{a}(m), f(m)) \in V$ , and again for all  $m \in \text{Hull } a$ ,

$$F(\hat{a}(m), f(m)) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(\hat{a}(m), f(m)) \neq 0.$$