- [2] N. A. Fava, Weak type inequalities for product operators, ibid. 42 (1972), 271-288.
- [3] M. de Guzmán, Differentiation of Integrals in Rn, Springer-Verlag, Vol. 481.
- [4] E. Stein, Note on the class L(log+L), Studia Math. 32 (1969), 305-310,

Received March 16, 1982 Revised version June 1, 1982

(1744)

On a theorem of Lebow and Mlak for several commuting operators

by

J. JANAS (Kraków)

Abstract. A result of Mlak concerning the spectral radius of an operator in a Hilbert space is extended to several commuting operators.

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Denote by L(H) the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators in H. For an n-tuple of pairwise commuting operators T_1,\ldots,T_n with the Taylor joint spectrum $\sigma(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ contained in the open unit ball $B\subset C^n$ $(B=\{z\in C^n,\ |z|<1\})$ we denote by

$$M(\xi,T) = I - \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i T_i, \quad \xi \in \partial B$$

the topological boundary of B.

Note that $M(\xi,T)$ is invertible for every $\xi\in\partial B$ (by the spectral mapping theorem for $\sigma(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$).

The operator-valued function $M(\xi,T)^{-n}$ plays the role of the Fredholm resolvent for the above system T_1,\ldots,T_n . In fact, it is easy to prove that for every function f holomorphic in B and continuous in \overline{B} (the closure) we have the equality

$$f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \int_{\partial B} M(\xi, T)^{-n} f(\xi) \, \Omega(\xi),$$

where $\Omega(\xi)$ is the (n-1,n) differential form given explicitly by Henkin; see [6] for the definition.

Let us recall some definitions and notations. Denote by $U=\{z\in C,\ |z|<1\}$ the open unit disc. For $p\geqslant 1$ and $\alpha\geqslant 0$ let

$$A^{p,a} = \left\{ f, \, f \colon \, U {\rightarrow} C \text{ is holomorphic and } \int\limits_{U} |f|^p (1-|z|^2)^a dx \, dy < + \infty \right\}.$$

For $f \in A^{p,a}$ let $||f||_{p,a}^p = \int\limits_U |f|^p (1-|z|^2)^a dx dy$. The space $A^{p,a}$ is called the *Bergman space* and has been investigated in detail by Horowitz [2], [3]

Let σ be the surface Lebesgue measure on ∂B . We define the Hardy space

$$H^p(B) = \Big\{f,\,f\colon\, B \to C \text{ is holomorphic and } \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int\limits_{\partial B} |f(rz)|^p \, d\sigma(z) < \infty \Big\}.$$

By using the polar coordinates it is easy to check the following

PROPOSITION 1. We have $g \in H^p(B)$ iff for every $\lambda \in \partial B$ the function $g_{\lambda}(w) = g(\lambda_1 w, \ldots, \lambda_n w), w \in U$, belongs to $A^{p,n-2}, n \geqslant 2$.

Now let us recall the Hardy inequality. Let $h \in H^1(U)$ and $h(z) = \sum_{k \geqslant 0} e_k z^k$; then $\sum_k |e_k| k^{-1} < +\infty$, see [1]. Now we shall give an analoguous inequality for $g \in A^{1,n-2}$.

Proposition 2. If $g \in A^{1,n-2}$ $(n \ge 2)$ and $g(z) = \sum\limits_k a_k z^k$, then $\sum\limits_k |a_k| k^{-n} < +\infty$.

Proof. Denote n-2=a. Following the proof of the Hardy inequality given in [1] we first assume that $a_k \ge 0$, $\forall k$. Now

$$\mathrm{Im} g(re^{i heta}) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m r^m \sin m heta, \quad 0\leqslant r<1.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} a_m r^m \leqslant (1/2) \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta \, .$$

Multiply both sides of the last inequality by $(1-r^2)^a r$ and integrate over (0,1). Then we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} a_m \int\limits_0^1 r^m (1-r^2)^a r dr \leqslant (1/2) \|g\|_{1,\alpha}.$$

But

$$\int\limits_0^1 r^m \, (1-r^2)^a r dr \geqslant C_n m^{1-n} \quad \text{ for a certain } C_n \text{ and } m=1,\,2\,,\,\dots$$

Thus

$$C_n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m m^{-n} \leqslant (1/2) \|g\|_{1,a}.$$

If $g \in A^{1,a}$ is arbitrary, then by Theorem 1 of [3] there exist $g_1, g_2 \in A^{2,a}$ such that $g = g_1g_2$ and

$$||g_1||_{2,a}||g_2||_{2,a} \leqslant D_a||g||_{1,a}$$

where D_{σ} does not depend on g. Let

$$g_1(z) = \sum_k b_k z^k, \quad g_2(z) = \sum_k c_k z^k.$$

Then

$$G_1 = \sum_k |b_k| z^k, \quad G_2 = \sum_k |c_k| z^k.$$

also belong to $A^{2,a}$ and $\|g_s\|_{2,a}=\|G_s\|_{2,a},\ s=1,2.$ Put $H=G_1G_2.$ Then $H\in A^{1,a}.$ But

$$H = \sum_{k} \widetilde{a}_k z^k, \quad \widetilde{a}_k \geqslant 0 \quad ext{ and } \quad |a_k| \leqslant \widetilde{a}_k, \quad oldsymbol{ec{\gamma}}_k.$$

Applying (*) to H we have

$$\sum_k |a_k| k^{-n} \leqslant \sum_k \tilde{a}_k k^{-n} \leqslant C_n ||H||_{1,\alpha}.$$

Since

$$||H||_{1,a} \leqslant ||G_1||_{2,a} ||G_2||_{2,a} = ||g_1||_{2,a} ||g_2||_{2,a} \leqslant D_a ||g||_{1,a}$$

and so the proof is complete.

Before we proceed further let us recall the above-mentioned result of Mlak [5]. Let T be an operator in a complex Hilbert space H. Assume that $((I-zT)^{-1}x,y),z\in U$, belongs to $H^1(U)$ for every $x,y\in H$. Then r(T)<1, where r(T) is the spectral radius of T. Mlak's result extends an earlier theorem of Lebow [4]. Here is a generalization of Mlak's theorem to higher dimensions. Let T_1,\ldots,T_n be a system of commuting operators in H. First of all note that the following extension of the above result is obvious.

If
$$(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (I - z_i T_i)^{-1} x, y) \in H^1(U^n)$$
, then $r(T_i) < 1, i = 1, ..., n$.

This is immediate by Mlak's theorem. But we also have the following generalization:

PROPOSITION 3. Let T_1, \ldots, T_n be a commuting system of operators in a complex Hilbert space $H, n \ge 2$. Assume that $(M(\bar{z}, T)^{-n}x, y) \in H^1(B)$ for every $x, y \in H$. Then

$$\sigma(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\subset B$$
,

where $\sigma(T_1, ..., T_n)$ denotes the Taylor joint spectrum of $T_1, ..., T_n$. Proof. By Proposition 1 we have

$$(M(\bar{z},T)^{-n}x,y) \in H^1(B) \Leftrightarrow \forall \xi \in \partial B, (M(\xi,wT)^{-n}x,y) \in A^{1,n-2}.$$

But it is clear that

$$\sigma(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\subset B\Leftrightarrow \forall \xi\in\partial B,\ r\left(\sum_i\xi_iT_i\right)<1.$$

Fix $\xi \in \sigma B$ and let $T_{\xi} = \sum_{i} \xi_{i} T_{i}$. We know that $((I - w T_{\xi})^{-n} x, y) \in A^{1, n-2}$. Suppose that there exists a $\lambda \in \partial U$ and $\overline{\lambda} \in \sigma(T_{\xi})$. Put $S_{\xi} = \overline{\lambda} T_{\xi}$. We have $1 \in \sigma(S_{\xi})$ and $((I - w S_{\xi})^{-n} x, y) \in A^{1, n-2}$. Since

$$\left((I-wS_{\xi})^{-n}x,y\right)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\binom{n+k-1}{n-1}(wS_{\xi})^kx,y\right).$$

applying Proposition 2 we can write

$$\left| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{s} k^{-n} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} S_{\xi}^{k} x, y \right) \right| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \left(k^{-n} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} S_{\xi}^{k} x, y \right) \right|$$

$$\leqslant M_{x,y} < + \infty.$$

Hence the sequence of operators

$$R_s = \sum_{k=1}^s k^{-n} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} S_z^k$$

is bounded in norm, i.e., $||R_s|| < M < +\infty$, s = 1, 2, ...

Denote by $\mathscr P$ the Banach algebra generated by S_{ε} and I. Then there exists a $\eta \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathscr P$ such that $\eta(S_{\varepsilon}) = 1$. Hence $|\eta(R_s)| \leqslant \|R_s\| < M$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots$

But on the other hand

$$\eta(R_s) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} k^{-n} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} \eta(S_{\xi})^k = \sum_{k=1}^{s} k^{-n} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} \\
> \sum_{k=1}^{s} k^{-n} k^{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} k^{-1}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots$$

This contradiction completes the proof.

Remark 1. It is clear that the same result also holds for an *n*-tuple of commuting operators in a complex Banach space.

Remark 2. Proposition 3 can be extended to more general domains in C^n , as a result of certain integral formulas, but this will be shown in another paper.

Note added in proof. Applying a method of Nikolski we have extended the above result to a more general context (to appear in Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.).

References

- [1] K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, New York 1962.
- [2] C. Horowitz, Zeros of functions in the Bergman spaces, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 693-710.
- Factorization theorems for functions in the Bergman spaces, ibid. 44 (1977), 201-213.
- [4] A. Lebow, Spectral radius of an absolutely continuous operator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 206 (1973).
- [5] W. Mlak, On a theorem of Lebow, Ann. Polon. Math. 35, 1 (1977), 107-109.
- [6] B. W. Szabat, Introduction to complex analysis, Warsaw 1974 (in Polish).

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN 31-027 Kraków, Solskiego 30

Received June 3, 1982 (1765)