

- [3] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators* (in Russian), Nauka, Moskva 1978.
- [4] J. L. Lions, *Some remarks on variational inequalities*, Proc. Internat. Conf. Functional Analysis and Related Topics (Tokyo 1969), Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo 1970, 269–282.
- [5] L. Maligranda, *Interpolation of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces*, Thesis, University of A. Mickiewicz, Poznań 1979 (in Polish).
- [6] —, *Banach's problem 87 of the Scottish Book*, *The Scottish Book* edited by D. Mauldin, Birkhäuser-Verlag, 1981, 161–170.
- [7] J. Peetre, *Interpolation of Lipschitz operators and metric spaces*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 12 (1970), 325–334.
- [8] L. Tartar, *Interpolation non linéaire et régularité*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 9 (1972), 469–489.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
POZNAŃ, POLAND

Received September 30, 1982

(1805)

Removability of ideals in commutative Banach algebras

by

VLADIMÍR MÜLLER (Praha)

Abstract. A countable family of removable ideals in a commutative Banach algebra is removable.

Introduction. Let A be a commutative Banach algebra with unit. An ideal I in A is called *removable* if there exists a superalgebra $B \supset A$ (i.e., B is a commutative Banach algebra with unit and there is an isometric unit preserving isomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$) such that I is not contained in a proper ideal in B . A family $\{I_j\}_{j \in J}$ is called *removable* if there is a superalgebra $B \supset A$ such that I_j is not contained in a proper ideal in B for every $j \in J$.

These notion were introduced by R. Arens [1] where also the following question was raised: Is every (every finite) family of removable ideals removable?

In general the answer is negative as was shown by B. Bollobás [2]. He presented an example of an uncountable family of removable ideals which is not removable. There was also shown that we can adjoin inverses to any countable family of elements of A which are not permanently singular (i.e., which are not topological divisors of zero).

Removable ideals were further studied, e.g. in [4] and [5].

For finite families the answer to the question of R. Arens is affirmative. This was shown in [3] as a consequence of the characterization of non-removable ideals: an ideal I is non-removable if and only if it consists of joint topological divisors of zero (i.e., for every $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ there exists a sequence $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset A$, $\|z_k\| = 1$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \|z_k x_i\| = 0$).

The aim of this paper is to fill the gap, namely to consider the countable case (see also Problem 3 of [4]). We show that any countable family of removable ideals is removable.

THEOREM 1. Let A be a commutative Banach algebra with unit, let p_1, p_2, \dots be positive integers and K_1, K_2, \dots positive real numbers such that $2 \leq p_l \leq l+1$, $K_l^{p_l} \leq l$, $p_l^{p_1} \leq 4 \cdot l$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots$) (these conditions are only technical). Let $u_{rs} \in A$, $\|u_{rs}\| = 1$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, 1 \leq s \leq p_r$) and

$$(1) \quad \|x\| \leq K_r \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} \|u_{rs} x\| \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, x \in A).$$

Then there exist a superalgebra $B \supset A$ and elements $b_{rs} \in B$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots, 1 \leq s \leq p_r$) such that $\sum_{s=1}^{p_r} u_{rs} b_{rs} = 1$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots$).

Proof. Denote by N the set of non-negative integers, and $T = \{(r, s), r = 1, 2, \dots, 1 \leq s \leq p_r\}$, $D = \{k: T \rightarrow N, k((r, s)) \neq 0 \text{ only for finite number of } (r, s) \in T\}$. For $k, j \in D$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $(r, s) \in T$ denote $k_{rs} = k((r, s))$, $|k|_l = \sum_{r=1}^{p_l} k_{rl}$ and $(k+j) \in D$, $(k+j)_{rs} = k_{rs} + j_{rs}$. We write $k \leq j$ if $k_{rs} \leq j_{rs}$ ($(r, s) \in T$). Put $R_l = 2^{2^{l+6}}$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots$).

Let V be the l^1 algebra over A and adjoined elements b_{rs} ($(r, s) \in T$) such that $\|b_{rs}\|_V = R_r$, i.e., the elements of V are of the form $y = \sum_{k \in D} a_k b^k$, such that $\|y\|_V = \sum_{k \in D} \|a_k\|_A R^{|k|}$, where $a_k \in A$ ($k \in D$), b^k stands for $\prod_{(r,s) \in T} b_{rs}^{k_{rs}}$ and $R^{|k|} = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} R_l^{|k|_l}$ (all products are finite).

Multiplication in V is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{i \in D} a_i b^i\right) \left(\sum_{j \in D} a_j b^j\right) = \sum_{k \in D} b^k \left(\sum_{i+j=k} a_i a_j\right).$$

Then V is a commutative Banach algebra, $A \subset V$. Let I be the closed ideal in V generated by the elements $z_r = 1 - \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} u_{rs} b_{rs}$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots$). Write $B = V/I$.

Obviously, $\bar{1} = \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} \bar{u}_{rs} \bar{b}_{rs}$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots$), where $\bar{v} = v + I \in B$ for $v \in V$. It is sufficient to prove that A is a subalgebra of B , i.e., $\|a\|_A = \|\bar{a}\|_B = \inf_{v \in I} \|a + v\|_V$

for each $a \in A$. Putting $v = 0$, we get $\|a\|_A \geq \|\bar{a}\|_B$. Finite sums of the form $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z_l \sum_{i \in D} a_i^{(l)} b^i$ are dense in I , so we are to prove that $\|a\|_A \leq \|a + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z_l (\sum_{i \in D} a_i^{(l)} b^i)\|_V$ ($a, a_i^{(l)} \in A$ and both sums are finite). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z_l \sum_{i \in D} a_i^{(l)} b^i\|_V &= \|a + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in D} (1 - \sum_{s=1}^{p_r} u_{rs} b_{rs}) a_i^{(l)} b^i\|_V \\ &= \|a + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{(l)} + \sum_{\substack{i \in D \\ l \neq 0}} b^i f_i\|_V = \|a + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{(l)}\|_A + \sum_{i \neq 0} \|f_i\|_A R^{|i|} \\ &\geq \|a\|_A - \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{(l)} \right\| + \sum_{i \neq 0} \|f_i\|_A R^{|i|}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_i = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{(l)} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq p_l \\ i_{lt} \neq 0}} a_j^{(l)} u_{lt} \quad \text{and} \quad j_{lt} = i_{lt} - 1, \quad j_{rs} = i_{rs},$$

for $(r, s) \neq (l, t)$ (j depends on l and t).

It is sufficient to prove $\left\| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{(l)} \right\|_A \leq \sum_{i \neq 0} \|f_i\|_A R^{|i|}$ (all sums are finite).

Suppose the contrary. Let $a_i^{(l)} \in A$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$, $i \in D$. Suppose that only finite number of them is non-zero, $\left\| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a^{(l)} \right\|_A = 1$ and $\sum_{i \neq 0} \|f_i\|_A R^{|i|} < 1$. In particular, $\|f_i\|_A < R^{-|i|} = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} R_l^{-|i|_l}$ ($i \in D$, $i \neq 0$) and $a_i^{(l)} = 0$ if either $l > l_0$ or $|i|_r \neq 0$ for some $r > l_0$.

In the rest of the proof we are going to prove that this leads to a contradiction. The proof is divided into seven parts.

I. First we need some results of [3]. For $c \in N$, $c \geq 1$ and $k \in N^n$ ($n \geq 1$), write

$$\alpha_{c,k} = \binom{|k|+c-1}{c-1} \frac{|k|!}{k_1! \dots k_n!},$$

where $|k| = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. The following lemmas were proved in [3] (see Lemmas 3 and 4):

LEMMA 1.1. $\sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\substack{k \in N^n \\ |k| \leq l}} \alpha_{c,k} \leq 8^d n^d$ ($d = 1, 2, \dots$).

LEMMA 1.2. $\alpha_{c,k} = \sum_{j \leq k} \alpha_{c-1,j} \cdot \alpha_{1,k-j}$ ($c \geq 2$, $k \in N^n$), where, for $j, k \in N^n$, $j \leq k$ means that $j_i \leq k_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $(k-j) \in N^n$ is defined by $(k-j)_i = k_i - j_i$.

To apply these results to our situation, write

$$\begin{aligned} o_{ls}(i, j) &= (i_{l,1} - j_{l,1}, \dots, i_{l,s-1} - j_{l,s-1}, i_{l,s+1} - j_{l,s+1}, \dots, i_{l,p_l} - j_{l,p_l}) \in N^{p_l-1} \\ &\quad (l \in \{1, 2, \dots\}, 1 \leq s \leq p_l, i, j \in D, i_{lt} \geq j_{lt} \text{ for } t \neq s). \end{aligned}$$

II. It will be convenient to consider linear combinations of $a_i^{(l)}$'s as formal expressions. From this reason we introduce the following notations:

Let W be the free additive group with generators $\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^j$ ($i, j \in D$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}$). (Here we consider $\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^j$ as one symbol; there is no multiplication in W).

Define the additive mapping $P: W \rightarrow A$ by $P(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^j) = a_i^{(l)} u^j$. Let $I: W \rightarrow W$

be the identical mapping. Define further the following additive mappings acting in W :

Let $i, k \in D, k \geq i, l, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}, d \in N$. Put

$$H_{md}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \begin{cases} \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i} & \text{if } |\hat{i}|_m = d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi_{lm}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \hat{a}_i^{(m)} \hat{u}^{k-i}, \quad \pi_{lm}(\hat{a}_i^{(r)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = 0 \quad \text{for } r \neq l,$$

$$F_{lm}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \sum_{j \in M_{l,m}} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j},$$

where

$$(2) \quad M_{l,m} = \{j = \{j_{rs}\} \in D, \text{ there exist } t, 1 \leq t \leq p_m, j_{mt} = i_{mt} - 1, j_{rs} = i_{rs} \text{ for } (r, s) \neq (m, t)\},$$

$$F_{lm}(\hat{a}_i^{(r)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = 0 \text{ for } r \neq l \text{ and, for } 1 \leq s \leq p_l, k_{ls} \geq i_{ls} + |\hat{i}|_l + 1,$$

$$G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \sum_{j \in J_1} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \cdot \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j},$$

where

$$(3) \quad J_1 = \{j = \{j_{rs}\} \in D, j_{rt} = i_{rt} \text{ for } r \neq l, j_{lt} \leq i_{lt} \text{ for } t \neq s \text{ and } |\hat{j}|_l = |\hat{i}|_l + 1\}$$

and $\alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)}$ are the numbers defined in part I.

We put $G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(r)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = 0$ if either $r \neq l$ or $k_{ls} < i_{ls} + |\hat{i}|_l + 1$.

LEMMA 2. Let $m, m', l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}, d, d' \in N$. Then

$$(a) \quad H_{md} H_{md'} = H_{md'} H_{md},$$

$$(b) \quad H_{md} \pi_{lm'} = \pi_{lm'} H_{md},$$

$$(c) \quad F_{lm} F_{lm'} = F_{lm'} F_{lm},$$

$$(d) \quad F_{mm'} \pi_{lm} = \pi_{lm} F_{mm'},$$

$$(e) \quad H_{md} G_{ls} = G_{ls} H_{md} \quad (l \neq m, 1 \leq s \leq p_l),$$

$$(f) \quad F_{lm} G_{ls} = G_{ls} F_{lm} \quad (l \neq m, 1 \leq s \leq p_l),$$

$$(g) \quad F_{lm} H_{m'd} = H_{m'd} F_{lm} \quad (l \neq m, m \neq m'),$$

$$(h) \quad F_{ll} G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i} \quad (1 \leq s \leq p_l, i, k \in D, k \geq i, k_{ls} \geq i_{ls} + |\hat{i}|_l + 1).$$

Proof. Relations (a)–(g) easily follow from the definitions.

(h) We have

$$F_{ll} G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \sum_{j \in J_1} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \cdot \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} \sum_{j' \in M_{j,l}} \hat{a}_{j'}^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j'},$$

where

$$\alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} = \frac{(j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1)!}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq p_l \\ t \neq s}} (i_{lt} - j_{lt})!},$$

the index sets $J_1, M_{j,l}$ are defined in (2) and (3). Let $j' \in M_{j,l}$, where $j \in J_1$.

Then $|j'|_l = |j|_l - 1 = |\hat{i}|_l, j'_{lt} \leq j_{lt} \leq i_{lt}$ for $t \neq s$. For such a $j', j' \neq i$, the coefficient at $\hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j'}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls}} \frac{(j'_{ls} - i_{ls})!}{\prod_{t \neq s} (i_{lt} - j'_{lt})!} + \sum_{\substack{t \neq s \\ j_{lt} < i_{lt}}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \frac{(j'_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1)!}{\prod_{t' \neq l, s} (i_{lt'} - j'_{lt'})! (i_{lt} - j'_{lt} - 1)!} \\ & = (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls}} \frac{(j'_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1)!}{\prod_{t' \neq s} (i_{lt'} - j'_{lt'})!} [j'_{ls} - i_{ls} - \sum_{\substack{t \neq s \\ j_{lt} < i_{lt}}} (i_{lt} - j_{lt})] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (h) since one can easily see that for $j' = i$ the corresponding coefficient is equal to 1.

III. Define

$$(4) \quad \hat{f}_i \hat{u}^j = \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} \hat{a}_i^{(m)} \hat{u}^j - \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} F_{mm} \hat{a}_i^{(m)} \hat{u}^j \quad (i, j \in D)$$

so that $P(\hat{f}_i \hat{u}^j) = f_i u^j$.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $i, k \in D, k \geq i, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}, 1 \leq s \leq p_l, k_{ls} \geq i_{ls} + |\hat{i}|_l + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i} &= - \sum_{j \in J_1} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{f}_j \hat{u}^{k-j} + G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) + \\ &+ \sum_{l' \neq l} \pi_{ll'} (I - F_{ll'}) G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (4) and the definition of G_{ls} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J_1} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{f}_j \hat{u}^{k-j} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} \pi_{lm} G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) - \sum_{j \in J_1} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} \pi_{lm} F_{lm}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) \\ &= G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) + \sum_{l' \neq l} \pi_{ll'} G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) - \\ &- \sum_{m \neq l} \pi_{lm} F_{lm} G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) - F_{ll} G_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 now follows from Lemma 2.1 (h).

Define now $Z_{ls}: W \rightarrow W$ ($l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}, 1 \leq s \leq p_l$) by $Z_{ls}(\hat{v}) = G_{ls} \hat{v} + \sum_{m \neq l} \pi_{lm} (I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v} + \sum_{m \neq l} \pi_{mm} \hat{v}$ ($\hat{v} \in W$). Then we can rewrite Lemma 3.1 as follows:

$$\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i} = Z_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) - \sum_{j \in J_1} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - 1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{f}_j \hat{u}^{k-j};$$

hence

$$\text{COROLLARY 3.2. } \|P[\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i} - Z_{ls}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i})]\| \leq \sum_{j \in J_1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} R_l^{-|i|_l - 1}.$$

Remark 3.3. If $|i|_l = 0$, then $\sum_{j \in J_1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(ij)} = 1$ and there is only R_l^{-1} on the right-hand side.

IV. LEMMA 4.1. Let $k, i \in D$, $k \geq i$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}$, $1 \leq s \leq p_l$, $c \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $k_{ls} \geq i_{ls} + |i|_l + c$. Then

$$G_{ls}^c(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) = \sum_{j \in J_{c,i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j},$$

where $J_{c,i} = \{j = \{j_{rt}\} \in D, j_{rt} = i_{rt} (r \neq l), j_{lt} \leq i_{lt} (t \neq s) \text{ and } |j|_l = |i|_l + c\}$ (G_{ls}^c is the c -th power of G_{ls}).

Proof. For $c = 1$, the statement of Lemma 4.1 is the definition of G_{ls} . Suppose that the statement is true for some c . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ls}^{c+1}(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) &= G_{ls} \left[\sum_{j \in J_{c,i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j \in J_{c,i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} \sum_{j' \in J_{1,j}} (-1)^{j'_{ls} - j_{ls} - 1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(jj')} \hat{a}_{j'}^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j'} \\ &= \sum_{j'' \in D} \beta_{j''} \hat{a}_{j''}^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j''} \end{aligned}$$

for some integers $\beta_{j''}$. Obviously, $\beta_{j''} = 0$ for $j'' \notin J_{c+1,i}$. If $j'' \in J_{c+1,i}$, then

$$\beta_{j''} = \sum_{j \in J} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} (-1)^{j'_{ls} - j_{ls} - 1} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(jj'')},$$

where

$$J = \{j = \{j_{rs}\} \in D, j_{rt} = j'_{rt} (r \neq l), i_{lt} \geq j_{lt} \geq j'_{lt} (t \neq s) \text{ and } |j|_l + 1 = |j'|_l\}.$$

By Lemma 1.2 we have

$$\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} \alpha_{1, o_{ls}(jj'')} = \alpha_{c+1, o_{ls}(ij'')} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{j''} = (-1)^{j'_{ls} - i_{ls} - c - 1} \alpha_{c+1, o_{ls}(ij'')}.$$

This finishes the induction step.

LEMMA 4.2. Let i, k, l, s, c be as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ls}^c(\hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}) &= \sum_{j \in J_{c,i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j} + \\ &+ \sum_{m \neq l} \sum_{c'=1}^c \sum_{j \in J_{c',i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c'} \alpha_{c', o_{ls}(ij)} (I - F_{mm}) (\hat{a}_j^{(m)} \hat{u}^{k-j}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\hat{v} = \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}$ we can easily prove by induction on c that

$$Z_{ls}^c \hat{v} = G_{ls}^c \hat{v} + \sum_{m \neq l} \pi_{lm} (I - F_{lm}) \sum_{c'=1}^c G_{lm}^{c'} \hat{v}$$

and the statement follows from the previous lemma and Lemma 2.1 (f).

LEMMA 4.3. Let i, k, l, s, c be as above. Then, for $\hat{v} = \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}$,

$$Z_{ls}^c \hat{v} - \hat{v} = \sum_{c'=1}^c \sum_{j \in J_{c',i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c'} \alpha_{c', o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j}.$$

Proof. Substitute $\hat{f}_j \hat{u}^{k-j} = \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} (I - F_{mm}) (\hat{a}_j^{(m)} \hat{u}^{k-j})$ into the right-hand side. Comparing with Lemma 4.2 it is sufficient to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J_{c,i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c} \alpha_{c, o_{ls}(ij)} \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j} - \hat{v} \\ = \sum_{c'=1}^c \sum_{j \in J_{c',i}} (-1)^{j_{ls} - i_{ls} - c'} \alpha_{c', o_{ls}(ij)} (I - F_{ll}) \hat{a}_j^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-j}; \end{aligned}$$

in other words,

$$(G_{ls}^c - I) \hat{v} = \sum_{c'=1}^c (I - F_{ll}) G_{ls}^{c'} \hat{v}.$$

This follows from Lemma 2.1 (h).

COROLLARY 4.4. Let i, k, l, s, c be as above. Then, for $\hat{v} = \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i}$,

$$1^\circ \|P(\hat{v} - Z_{ls}^c \hat{v})\| \leq \sum_{c'=1}^c \sum_{j \in J_{c',i}} \alpha_{c', o_{ls}(ij)} R_l^{-|i|_l - c'}.$$

2° If $|i|_l \neq 0$, $c = |i|_l$, then

$$\|P(\hat{v} - Z_{ls}^c \hat{v})\| \leq 8^{|i|_l} (p_1 - 1)^{|i|_l} R_l^{-|i|_l - 1}.$$

Proof. 1° follows from the estimate $\|P(\hat{f}_j \hat{u}^{k-j})\| = \|f_j \hat{u}^{k-j}\| \leq \|f_j\| < R_l^{-|i|_l}$.

2° follows from Lemma 1.1.

V. Let $k \in D$, $d \in N$, $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\hat{v} \in W$,

$$(5) \quad \hat{v} = \sum_{l=1}^{l_0} \hat{v}^{(l)}, \quad \hat{v}^{(l)} = \sum_{i \leq k} \gamma_i^{(l)} \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^{k-i},$$

where $\gamma_i^{(l)}$'s are integers. Then $\deg_m \hat{v} = d$ ($\deg_m \hat{v} \leq d$) means $|i|_m = d$ ($|i|_m \leq d$) whenever $\gamma_i^{(l)} \neq 0$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}$.

LEMMA 5.1. Let $k \in D$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}$, $1 \leq s \leq p_l$, $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l_0} \in N$. Let $\hat{v} \in W$ be of form (5), $k_{ls} \geq i_{ls} + |i|_l + 1$ whenever $\gamma_i^{(l)} \neq 0$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

1° $\deg_m \hat{v} \leq n_m$, $\deg_m \hat{v}^{(m)} = n_m$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, l_0$), $\deg_m \hat{v} = 0$ ($m > l_0$);

2° $(I - F_{mm}) \hat{v}^{(m)} = H_{m', n_m'} \hat{v}^{(m)}$, i.e., $\deg_{m'} (I - F_{mm}) \hat{v}^{(m)} = n_{m'}$ for every $m \neq m'$;

3° $H_{m, n_m} \hat{v}^{(m')} = \pi_{m m'} H_{m', n_{m'}} \hat{v}^{(m)}$ ($1 \leq m, m' \leq l_0$) (i.e., the parts of $\hat{v}^{(m)}$ and $\hat{v}^{(m')}$ with maximal both \deg_m and $\deg_{m'}$ are equal up to the upper index).

Put $\hat{w} = Z_{ls} \hat{v}$, i.e., $\hat{w} = \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} \hat{w}^{(m)}$, $\hat{w}^{(l)} = G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}$, $\hat{w}^{(m)} = \hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}$ for $m \neq l$.

Then \hat{w} satisfies conditions 1°–3° of this lemma with n_l replaced by $n_l + 1$ (n_m does not change for $m \neq l$).

Proof. 1° $\deg_l \hat{w}^{(l)} = \deg_l G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} = n_l + 1$ by the definition of G_{ls} . For $m \neq l$ we have $\deg_m \hat{v}^{(m)} = n_m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_m \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} &= \deg_m G_{ls}(I - F_{lm}) \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= \deg_m G_{ls} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} = \deg_m H_{m,n_m} G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} = n_m \end{aligned}$$

by property 2° and Lemma 2.1.

The rest of 1° is clear.

2° (a) Let $m, m' \neq l, m \neq m'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I - F_{mm'}) \hat{w}^{(m)} &= (I - F_{mm'}) [\hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}] \\ &= H_{m',n_m'} \hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm'}) (I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= H_{m',n_m'} \hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} H_{m',n_m'} \hat{v}^{(l)} = H_{m',n_m'} \hat{w}^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $m \neq l$. Then

$$(I - F_{lm}) \hat{w}^{(l)} = (I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} = G_{ls} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} = H_{m,n_m} G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} = H_{m,n_m} \hat{w}^{(l)}.$$

(c) Let $m \neq l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I - F_{ml}) \hat{w}^{(m)} &= (I - F_{ml}) [\hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}] \\ &= H_{l,n_l} \hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{ll}) (I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= H_{l,n_l} \hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) (G_{ls} - I) \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= \pi_{lm} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} + \pi_{lm}(G_{ls} - I) H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= \pi_{lm} G_{ls} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{l,n_l+1} \hat{w}^{(m)} &= H_{l,n_l+1} [\hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}] \\ &= \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) H_{l,n_l+1} G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} = \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= \pi_{lm} G_{ls} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

(we used the fact that G_{ls} increases \deg_l).

3° (a) $m \neq m', m, m' \neq l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{mm'} H_{m',n_m'} \hat{w}^{(m)} &= \pi_{mm'} H_{m',n_m'} [\hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}] \\ &= H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(m')} + \pi_{mm'} \pi_{lm} G_{ls} H_{m',n_m'} (I - F_{lm}) \hat{v}^{(l)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(m')} + \pi_{lm} G_{ls} H_{m',n_m'} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= H_{m,n_m} [\hat{v}^{(m')} + \pi_{lm'} G_{ls} H_{m',n_m'} \hat{v}^{(l)}] = H_{m,n_m} \hat{w}^{(m')}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $m \neq l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{ml} H_{l,n_l+1} \hat{w}^{(m)} &= \pi_{ml} H_{l,n_l+1} [\hat{v}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)}] \\ &= \pi_{ml} \pi_{lm} H_{l,n_l+1} G_{ls} (I - F_{lm}) \hat{v}^{(l)} = H_{l,n_l+1} G_{ls} H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} \\ &= H_{m,n_m} G_{ls} \hat{v}^{(l)} = H_{m,n_m} \hat{w}^{(l)}. \end{aligned}$$

VI. For $\hat{v} \in W$, $\hat{v} = \sum_{l=1}^{l_0} \hat{v}^{(l)}$, $\hat{v}^{(l)} = \sum_{i \in D} \gamma_{li}^{(l)} \hat{a}_i^{(l)} \hat{u}^i$ write $|\hat{v}| = \max_l \sum_{i \in D} |\gamma_{li}^{(l)}|$.

Further, let, for $q \geq 1$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l_0} \in N$, $s_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l_0}} = \max \|P\hat{v}\|$, where the maximum is taken over all elements $\hat{v} \in W$ of form (5) satisfying conditions 1°–3° of Lemma 5.1 (with the numbers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l_0} and with some $k \in D$, $k \geq i$ whenever $\gamma_{li}^{(l)} \neq 0$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_0\}$) such that $|\hat{v}| \leq q$.

LEMMA 6.1. We have

$$s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 0, n_l+1, \dots, n_{l_0}} \leq K_l p_l [q R_l^{-1} + s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1, n_l+1, \dots, n_{l_0}}].$$

Proof. Let $\hat{v} \in W$, $|\hat{v}| \leq q$ satisfies conditions 1°–3° of Lemma 5.1 with the numbers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{l-1}, 0, n_l+1, \dots, n_{l_0}$ and with some $k \in D$. Then, by (1),

$$\|P\hat{v}\| \leq K_l \sum_{i=1}^{p_l} \|(P\hat{v})u_i\| \leq K_l \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{p_l} (\|P[\hat{v}\hat{u}_i - Z_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i)]\| + \|PZ_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i)\|),$$

where $\|P[\hat{v}\hat{u}_i - Z_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i)]\| \leq q R_l^{-1}$ by Remark 3.3 and $Z_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i)$ satisfies conditions 1°–3° of Lemma 5.1 with the numbers $n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 1, n_l+1, \dots, n_{l_0}$. It remains to prove that $|Z_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i)| \leq 2q$. Since

$$Z_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i) = G_u(\hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i) + \sum_{m \neq l} [\hat{v}^{(m)} \hat{u}_i + \pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_{ls}(\hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i)],$$

$$|G_u \hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i| \leq |\hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i| \leq |\hat{v}|$$

and, for $m \neq l$, $|\hat{v}^{(m)}| \leq |\hat{v}|$,

$$|\pi_{lm}(I - F_{lm}) G_u \hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i| = |G_u H_{m,n_m} \hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i| \leq |G_u \hat{v}^{(l)} \hat{u}_i| \leq |\hat{v}|,$$

we conclude that $|Z_u(\hat{v}\hat{u}_i)| \leq 2|\hat{v}| \leq 2q$.

LEMMA 6.2.

$$s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l_0}}^{(q)} \leq K_l^{2n_l p_l} p_l^{2n_l p_l} [q 8^{n_l} (p_l - 1)^{n_l} R_l^{-n_l - 1} + s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 2n_l, n_l+1, \dots, n_{l_0}}^{(2q 8^{n_l} (p_l - 1)^{n_l})}].$$

Proof. Let $\hat{v} \in W$ satisfy 1°–3° of Lemma 5.1 with the numbers n_1, \dots, n_{l_0} . Then, using (1) repeatedly, we infer

$$\|P\hat{v}\| \leq K_l^{2n_l p_l} \sum \| (P\hat{v}) u_{i_1}^{e_1} u_{i_2}^{e_2} \dots u_{i_{p_l}}^{e_{p_l}} \| \frac{(2n_l p_l)!}{e_1! \dots e_{p_l}!},$$

where the sum is taken over all e_1, \dots, e_{p_l} with $\sum_{i=1}^{p_l} e_i = 2n_l p_l$. It follows from this that $e_s \geq 2n_l$ for some s , $1 \leq s \leq p_l$, in each of $p_l^{2n_l p_l}$ summands and

$$\| (P\hat{v}) u_{i_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_{p_l}}^{e_{p_l}} \| \leq \| (P\hat{v}) u_{i_s}^{2n_l} \| = \| P\hat{w} \| \leq \| PZ_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w} \| + \| P(\hat{w} - Z_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}) \|,$$

where $\hat{w} = \hat{v} u_{i_s}^{2n_l}$. Further, $\| P(\hat{w} - Z_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}) \| \leq q \cdot 8^{n_l} (p_l - 1)^{n_l} R_l^{-n_l - 1}$ by Corollary 4.4 and $Z_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}$ satisfies conditions 1°–3° of Lemma 5.1 for $n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 2n_l, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_{l_0}$. We have to estimate $|Z_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w} &= G_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}^{(l)} + \sum_{m \neq l} [\hat{w}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm} (I - F_{lm}) \sum_{c=1}^{n_l} G_{i_s}^c \hat{w}^{(l)}] \\ &= G_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}^{(l)} + \sum_{m \neq l} [\hat{w}^{(m)} + \pi_{lm} H_{m, n_m} \sum_{c=1}^{n_l} G_{i_s}^c \hat{w}^{(l)}], \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{w}^{(m)} = \pi_{mm} \hat{w}$ is the part of \hat{w} with the upper index m . Thus

$$|Z_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}| \leq |\hat{w}| + \sum_{c=1}^{n_l} |G_{i_s}^c \hat{w}| \leq q + 8^{n_l} (p_l - 1)^{n_l} q \leq 2 \cdot 8^{n_l} (p_l - 1)^{n_l} q.$$

Hence $\|PZ_{i_s}^{n_l} \hat{w}\| \leq s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 2n_l, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_{l_0}}^{(2q \cdot 8^{n_l} (p_l - 1)^{n_l})}$.

$$\text{COROLLARY 6.3. } s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l_0}}^{(q)} \leq 4^{4n_l} l^{4n_l} [q 8^{n_l} l^{n_l} R_l^{-n_l - 1} + s_{n_1, \dots, n_{l-1}, 2n_l, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_{l_0}}^{(2q \cdot 8^{n_l} l^{n_l})}].$$

VII. Define $h_r = s_{2^{r-1}, 2^{r-2}, \dots, 2, 1, 0, \dots, 0}^{(q_r)}$ for $0 \leq r \leq l_0$ and $h_r = s_{2^{r-1}, 2^{r-2}, \dots, 2^{r-l_0}}^{(q_r)}$ for $r > l_0$, where $q_r = 2^{2^{r+3}}$.

LEMMA 7.1. $h_r \leq 2^{2^{r+4}} \cdot h_{r+1} + 2^{2^{r+5}} [R_1^{-2^{r-1}-1} + R_2^{-2^{r-2}-1} + \dots + R_r^{-2} + R_{r+1}^{-1}]$.

Proof. This estimate can easily be proved by using Lemmas 6.2 and 6.1 repeatedly (we also use the estimate $1 \cdot 2^{1/2} \cdot 3^{1/4} \dots r^{(1/2)^{r-1}} \leq 8$ for every r).

$$\text{COROLLARY 7.2. } h_r \leq 2^{2^{r+4}} h_{r+1} + 2^{-2^{r+5}}.$$

Proof. Substitute $R_l = 2^{2^{l+6}}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. By induction we get $h_0 \leq 2^{-2^5} + 2^{-2^6} + \dots \leq 2^{-31}$ (as $h_r = 0$ for $r > l_0$). On the other hand, $h_0 = s_{0, \dots, 0}^{(2^8)}$ = $\max \|P\hat{w}\|$, where $\hat{w} \in W$ satisfies conditions 1°–3° of Lemma 5.1 (with n_1

$\dots = n_{l_0} = 0$ and $|\hat{w}| \leq 2^8$). Put $\hat{w} = \sum_{l=1}^{l_0} a_0^{(l)}$. Clearly, $h_0 \geq \|P\hat{w}\| = \|\sum_{l=1}^{l_0} a_0^{(l)}\| = 1$, a contradiction.

THEOREM 2. A countable family of removable ideals in a commutative Banach algebra with unit is removable.

Proof. Let A be a commutative Banach algebra with unit, I_1, I_2, \dots removable ideals in A . Then, for $r = 1, 2, \dots$, there exist a positive integer p_r , a positive constant K_r , and elements $u_{r1}, u_{r2}, \dots, u_{rp_r} \in I_r$ such that $\|x\| \leq K_r \sum_{i=1}^{p_r} \|xu_{ri}\|$ ($x \in A$). We may assume without loss of generality that the conditions $2 \leq p_r \leq r+1$, $K_r^{p_r} \leq r$ and $p_r^{p_r} \leq 4r$ are satisfied for each r . Then, by Theorem 1, $\sum_{i=1}^{p_r} u_{ri} b_{ri} = 1$ in some $B \supset A$. This means that I_r is removed in B for $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

References

- [1] R. Arens, *Extensions of Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 1–16.
- [2] B. Bollobás, *Adjoining inverses to commutative Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 181 (1973), 165–179.
- [3] V. Müller, *Non-removable ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, Studia Math. 74 (1982), 97–104.
- [4] W. Zelazko, *On some classes of ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, Rend. Mat. Fis. Milano 48.
- [5] —, *A characterization of LC-non-removable ideals in commutative Banach algebras* (in preparation).

Received October 5, 1982

(1811)