

106. *Contributions à la théorie des corps et des polynômes cyclotomiques*, Ark. mat. 5 (1963), 153–192. [MR 29#96].
107. *Thoralf Skolem in memoriam*, Acta math. 110 (1963), i–xi, 300. [MR 27#2389].
108. *Sur une propriété des unités d'un corps algébrique*, Ark. mat. 5 (1964), 343–356. [MR 32#7542].
109. *Sur quelques catégories d'équations diophantiennes résolubles par des identités*, Acta Arith. 9 (1964), 227–235. [MR 30#57].
110. *Sur les représentations de l'unité par les formes binaires biquadratiques du premier rang*, Ark. mat. 5 (1965), 477–521. [MR 32#7497].
111. *Contributions à la théorie des modules et des anneaux algébriques*, Ark. mat. 6 (1965), 161–178. [MR 36#136].
112. *Quelques résultats sur les diviseurs fixes de l'index des nombres entiers d'un corps algébrique*, Ark. mat. 6 (1965), 269–289. [MR 33#5608].
113. *Sur quelques propriétés arithmétiques des formes binaires à coefficients entiers*, Ark. mat. 7 (1967), 241–248. [MR 36#3724].
114. *Sur les discriminants des nombres algébriques*, Ark. mat. 7 (1967), 265–282. [MR 38#5744].
115. *Remarques sur les formes à plusieurs variables décomposables en facteurs linéaires*, Ark. mat. 7 (1967), 313–329. [MR 38#4407].
116. *Sur les unités dans les corps biquadratiques primitifs du premier rang*, Ark. mat. 7 (1968), 359–394. [MR 39#5511].
117. *Quelques propriétés des nombres algébriques du quatrième degré*, Ark. mat. 7 (1968), 517–525. [MR 39#1428].
118. *Sur les diviseurs premiers des polynômes*, Acta Arith. 15 (1969), 235–244. [MR 40#1368].
119. *Remarques sur une catégorie d'équations diophantiennes à deux indéterminées*, Ark. mat. 8 (1969), 49–62. [MR 41#3391].
120. *Quelques problèmes relatifs aux unités algébriques*, Ark. mat. 8 (1969), 115–127. [MR 42#3053].
121. *Sur un type particulier d'unités algébriques*, Ark. mat. 8 (1969), 163–184. [MR 42#3064].
122. *Remarques sur une classe d'équations indéterminées* (Includes errata to papers 26, 27 and 29), Ark. mat. 8 (1970), 199–215. [MR 42#5902].
123. *Über die Lösbarkeit gewisser diophantischer Gleichungen zweiten Grades*, Arch. Mat. 21 (1970), 487–489. [MR 43#154].
124. *Sur la résolubilité d'une équation cubique à deux indéterminées*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh., Trondheim 1970, No 8, 8 pp. [MR 45#3318].
125. *Sur une catégorie d'équations diophantiennes insolubles dans un corps réel*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh., Trondheim 1971, No 4, 5 pp. [MR 45#3318].
126. *Sur la solubilité en nombres entiers des équations du second degré à deux indéterminées*, Acta Arith. 18 (1971), 105–114. [MR 44#5273].
127. *Über die Darstellung der Zahlen ± 1 als die Summe von zwei Quadraten in algebraischen Zahlkörpern*, Arch. Math. 23 (1972), 25–29. [MR 46#7192].
128. *Sur quelques équations diophantiennes de degré supérieur à plusieurs variables*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh., Trondheim 1972, No 5, 6 pp. [MR 47#1740].
129. *Sur la représentabilité de zéro par certaines formes quadratiques*, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh., Trondheim 1972, No 6, 7 pp. [MR 46#7162].
130. *Sur la résolubilité de l'équation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$ dans un corps quadratique*, Acta Arith. 21 (1972), 35–43. [MR 46#1702].
131. *Sur la représentation de zéro par une somme de carrés dans un corps algébrique*, Acta Arith. 24 (1973), 379–383. [MR 48#8379].

Some metric properties of subsequences

by

PIERRE LIARDET (Marseille)

1. Introduction

1.1. Notations and definitions. Metrical properties about independence of subsequences of given sequences in a compact metrizable space X are investigated. The set of X -valued sequences is identified with the compact product space $X^{\mathbb{N}}$. If μ is a Borel probability measure on X , we denote by μ_{∞} the infinite product measure induced by μ on $X^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let u be an X -valued sequence and let t be a non-negative integer. Then $u^{(t)}$ denotes the X^t -valued sequence given by

$$u^{(t)}(n) := (u(n), u(n+1), \dots, u(n+t-1)).$$

Let \mathcal{U} be a finite family of sequences $u: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X_u$ where all X_u , $u \in \mathcal{U}$, are compact metrizable spaces. We recall [16] that \mathcal{U} is said to be *statistically independent* if for all continuous functions $f_u: X_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$, one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \left(\prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} f_u(u(n)) \right) \right) - \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} f_u(u(n)) \right) \right] = 0.$$

The family \mathcal{U} is said to be *completely statistically independent* if $\mathcal{U}^{(t)} := \{u^{(t)}; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is statistically independent for all positive integers t . Now a family of sequences in compact metrizable spaces will be said *independent* (resp. *completely independent*) if the corresponding property holds for all finite sub-families.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of N -valued sequences $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(n) = +\infty$.

DEFINITION 1.(a) A sequence $u: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ is called \mathcal{F} -*independent* if the family

$$\mathcal{G}(u, \mathcal{F}) := \{u \circ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is statistically independent.

(b) The sequence u is said to be \mathcal{F} -*independent at rank t* if the family $\{(u \circ \sigma)^{(t)}; \sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is statistically independent.

(c) If u is \mathcal{F} -independent at rank t for all positive integers t then u is said to be *completely \mathcal{F} -independent*.

From now on, μ denotes a given Borel probability measure on X . Classically the X -valued sequence u is said to be μ -uniformly distributed if for all continuous functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, one has

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} f(u_n) = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx).$$

Recall that a family \mathcal{U} of X -valued sequences u is *equi- μ -uniformly distributed* [9] if the limit in (1) holds uniformly in $u \in \mathcal{U}$.

DEFINITION 2. The sequence u is called (\mathcal{F}, μ) -independently distributed if the sequences $u \circ \sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, are μ -(uniformly) distributed and statistically independent.

Now we introduce the notion of sparse family [4] and regular sequence.

DEFINITION 3. A sequence $\sigma: N \rightarrow N$ is called *regular* if there is a subset A of N with asymptotical density 1, such that $\sigma|_A$ is one-to-one.

DEFINITION 4. A family \mathcal{F} of sequences of positive integers is called *sparse* if, for all $(\sigma, \tau) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$, one has

$$\sigma \neq \tau \Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1/N) \text{card} \{n \in N; n < N \text{ and } \sigma(n) = \tau(n)\} = 0.$$

1.2. Examples. The following standard families are sparse and regular.

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau := \{\tau_k; k \in N\}, \text{ with } \tau_k(n) := n + k,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_\pi := \{\pi_l; l \in N^*\}, \text{ with } \pi_l(n) := ln,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau, \pi} := \{\tau_k \circ \pi_l; k \in N \text{ and } l \in N^*\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_p := \{n \rightarrow p(n); p \text{ non-constant real polynomial with } p(N) \subset N\}.$$

Notice that by definition the sequence $u: N \rightarrow X$ is (\mathcal{F}, μ) -independently distributed if and only if u is completely μ -uniformly distributed (cf. [9], p. 204). The corresponding notions where \mathcal{F}_τ is replaced by \mathcal{F}_π or \mathcal{F}_p were studied by J. Coquet [1]. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' be different families among the above ones. The existence of sequences u which are (\mathcal{F}, μ) -independently distributed and such that the family $\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{F}')$ is equi- μ -uniformly distributed is investigated in [4]. It is well known that μ_x -almost all sequences u are (\mathcal{F}, μ) -independently distributed and if μ is not a Dirac measure, for any such sequence u , the family $\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{F})$ is never equi- μ -uniformly distributed. The same assertion holds with \mathcal{F}_π . The set

$$\mathcal{U}(X, \mu, \mathcal{F}) := \{u \in X^N; \mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{F}) \text{ is equi-}\mu\text{-uniformly distributed}\}$$

for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\tau$ is not empty and one has (see [9])

$$(2) \quad \mu_\infty(\mathcal{U}(X, \mu, \mathcal{F})) = 0.$$

Due to [4] (Theorem 4), the same properties hold if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\pi$. In the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \pi}$ and more generally for any family \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F} \circ \sigma \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \pi}$, the set $\mathcal{U}(X, \mu, \mathcal{F})$ is empty ([4], Theorem 6).

We quote a last example. Let $\sigma_k: N \rightarrow N$, $k \in N$, be strictly increasing sequences with disjoint images, then the family $\mathcal{S} := \{\sigma_k; k \in N\}$ is a sparse family of regular sequences. Remark that we also have (2) for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S}$.

1.3. In Part 2 one first proves that μ_∞ -almost all sequences are (\mathcal{F}, μ) -independently distributed whenever \mathcal{F} is a countable sparse family of regular sequences and we discuss some consequences. Despite of (2) there are sparse families \mathcal{S} of regular sequences such that there exist sequences u in $\mathcal{U}(X, \mu, \mathcal{S})$ which are also (\mathcal{S}, μ) -independently distributed. In Part 3 we study the (\mathcal{F}_p, λ) -independent distribution of $n \rightarrow \theta^n \bmod 1$ where λ is the Lebesgue measure on the one dimensional torus T identified with $[0, 1[$.

The last part is devoted to the construction of a *sparse family* \mathfrak{S} which turns any countable family of sequences into a family of independent sequences. Moreover, for each σ in \mathfrak{S} and each μ -well-distributed sequence u , the sequence $u \circ \sigma$ is μ -well-distributed too. In terms of our notation, that means we have:

$$\forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(X, \mu, \mathcal{F}): u \circ \sigma \in \mathcal{U}(X, \mu, \mathcal{F}_\tau).$$

The set \mathfrak{S} derives from the dyadic expansion of integers. If $s(\cdot)$ denotes the sum of digits to base two then all sequences $n \rightarrow s(\sigma(n))$ are 2-additive and are also continuous generalized Morse sequences introduced by M. Keane [7]. Moreover, using previous results ([8], [14]), we prove that the spectral measures of these sequences are mutually singular.

2. \mathcal{F} -Independent sequences

2.1. THEOREM 1. Let \mathcal{F} be a countable sparse family of regular sequences of integers and let μ be a Borel probability measure on X , then μ_∞ -almost all sequences u are \mathcal{F} -independent, each $u \circ \sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, being μ -distributed.

Proof. Let f_1, \dots, f_h be in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ satisfying $\int f_i d\mu = 0$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq h$ and $(f_i | f_j)_\mu = \delta_{ij}$ for all $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, h\}^2$, where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ or 1 according as $i \neq j$ or $i = j$. Let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in N^*}$ be an indexing of \mathcal{F} by N^* and let A be a subset of N satisfying:

$$(\forall (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, h\}^2) (i \neq j \Rightarrow \forall n \in A, \sigma_i(n) \neq \sigma_j(n)),$$

and such that each $\sigma_{i|A}$ is one-to-one. The set A can be chosen of asymptotical density one, in other words:

$$A(N) := \text{card}(A \cap [0, N]) \sim N, \quad N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= \int_{X^N} \left| \frac{1}{A(N)} \sum_{n < N, n \in A} f_1(u(\sigma_1(n))) \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(n))) \right|^2 \mu_x(du) \\ &= \frac{1}{(A(N))^2} \sum_{\substack{m, n < N \\ m, n \in A}} \int_{X^N} f_1(u(\sigma_1(m))) \overline{f_1(u(\sigma_1(n)))} \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(m))) \overline{f_h(u(\sigma_h(n)))} \mu_x(du). \end{aligned}$$

If n belongs to A , all the sets $E_n := \{\sigma_1(n), \dots, \sigma_h(n)\}$ have h elements. From Fubini's theorem and the choice of f_i , the corresponding term in the sum is zero whenever $E_m \neq E_n$.

If n and m belong to A , $E_m = E_n$ implies the existence of a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, h\}$ such that for all $k \leq h$ one has, say, $\sigma_k(m) = \sigma_{\pi(k)}(n) = l_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{X^N} f_1(u(\sigma_1(m))) \overline{f_1(u(\sigma_1(n)))} \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(m))) \overline{f_h(u(\sigma_h(n)))} \mu_x(du) \\ &= \int_{X^N} f_1(u(l_1)) \overline{f_{\pi(1)}(u(l_1))} \dots f_h(u(l_h)) \overline{f_{\pi(h)}(u(l_h))} \mu_x(du) = \prod_{k=1}^h (f_k | f_{\pi(k)}), \end{aligned}$$

a product which vanishes if $\pi \neq \text{id}$ and equals 1 if $\pi = \text{id}$. The last case corresponds to $m = n$ if m and n belong to A . Hence we obtain $S_N = 1/A(N)$.

For N large enough, $A(N) \geq \frac{1}{2}N$, thus $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} S_{N^2} < +\infty$ and from the Fatou-Karo lemma, for μ_{∞} -almost every u , one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A(N^2)} \left| \sum_{\substack{n < N^2 \\ n \in A}} f_1(u(\sigma_1(n))) \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(n))) \right| = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n < N^2} f_1(u(\sigma_1(n))) \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(n))) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^h \|f_k\|_{\infty} \right) \frac{N^2 - A(N^2)}{N^2} + \frac{1}{A(N^2)} \left| \sum_{\substack{n < N^2 \\ n \in A}} f_1(u(\sigma_1(n))) \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(n))) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider

$$\theta(N) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} f_1(u(\sigma_1(n))) \dots f_h(u(\sigma_h(n))),$$

then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \theta(N^2) = 0$, μ_{∞} -a.e. and thus $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \theta(N) = 0$, μ_{∞} -a.e. We conclude by remarking that an at most countable family of f_k satisfying the previous conditions exists which spans, with the constant functions, a \mathbb{C} -vector space dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$. ■

2.2. THEOREM 2. Let $\sigma_k: N \rightarrow N$, $k \in N$, be strictly increasing sequences with disjoint images. Let \mathcal{S} be the family $\{\sigma_k: k \in N\}$ and let μ be a Borel probability measure on X . Then there exists a sequence $u: N \rightarrow X$ such that the family $\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S})$ is both statistically independent and equi- μ -distributed.

Proof. There is no loss of generality if we assume that the family $E := \{\sigma_k(N); k \in N\}$ forms a partition of N . Let $(q_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of integers such that if we define

$$M_k := \sum_{m < k} q_m m^m,$$

then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^k / M_k = 0.$$

We denote by I_k the interval $[M_k, M_{k+1}[$ and for any integer n in I_k let \tilde{n} be the remainder in the euclidian division of $n - M_k$ by k^k . We write

$$\sum_{j < k} e_j(\tilde{n}) k^j, \quad 0 \leq e_j(\tilde{n}) < k,$$

the expansion of \tilde{n} to base k . Now we consider any μ -distributed sequence w and we define the family of X -valued sequences v_j , $j \in N$, by

$$v_j(n) := \begin{cases} w(e_j(\tilde{n})) & \text{if } n \in I_k \text{ and } j < k; \\ w(e_{k-1}(\tilde{n})) & \text{if } n \in I_k \text{ and } j \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we take the sequence $u: N \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$u(m) := v_j(n) \Leftrightarrow m = \sigma_j(n).$$

We claim that u has the required properties. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function and assume that $|f|$ is bounded by 1. For simplicity, we write ω instead of $\int f d\mu$ and for all integers $k > 0$, we write ω_k instead of $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{m < k} f(w(m))$. Note that $|\omega| \leq 1$ and $|\omega_k| \leq 1$. By construction we have

$$\sum_{M_k \leq n < M_k + ck^k} f(v_j(n)) = ck^k \omega_k,$$

for all integers $j \geq 0$ and $c \in \{0, \dots, q_k - 1\}$. Now let N , r and a be positive integers satisfying the following inequalities:

$$M_r + ar^r \leq N < M_r + (a+1)r^r \quad \text{and} \quad a < q_r.$$

Then we have

$$\left| \sum_{n < N} f(v_j(n)) - \sum_{k < r} q_k k^k \omega_k \right| \leq r^r.$$

But $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_k = \omega$ so that $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} M_K^{-1} \sum_{k < K} q_k k^k \omega_k = \omega$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$; there exists an integer L , independent of j , such that we have both

$$k^k / M_k \leq \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega_k - \omega| \leq \varepsilon/4$$

for all $k \geq L$. A straightforward computation gives for all $N \geq M_L$

$$\left| \sum_{n < N} f(v_j(n)) - N\omega \right| \leq 2r^r + \left| \sum_{k < r} q_k k^k (\omega_k - \omega) \right| \leq (\varepsilon/2) M_r + 2M_L + (\varepsilon/4) M_r.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \sum_{n < N} f(v_j(n)) - N\omega \right| \leq \varepsilon N$$

holds for all $j \geq 0$ and all $N \geq \max\{8M_L \varepsilon^{-1}, M_L\}$. We have thus established that the family $\mathcal{E}(u, \mathcal{S}) (= \{v_j; j \in \mathbb{N}\})$ is equi- μ -distributed. It remains to prove that u is \mathcal{S} -independent. For all positive integers J and all continuous functions $f_j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq j < J$, we easily verify that

$$\sum_{M_k \leq n < M_k + ck^k} \left(\prod_{j < J} f_j(v_j(n)) \right) = ck^{k-J} \prod_{j < J} \left(\sum_{m < k} f_j(w(m)) \right)$$

whenever $k \geq J$ and $c < q_k$. But this is the crucial step which yields the desired result. We leave the details to the reader. ■

2.3. Remarks.

1. Different notions of regular sequences can be defined which ensure the conclusion of Theorem 1. We only quote two such definitions which are independent.

The mapping $\sigma: N \rightarrow N$ is called *D-regular* if $\bar{d}(B_k) = 0$ where $\bar{d}(B_k)$ is the upper asymptotical density of $B_k := \{n \in N; \text{card}\{\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(n))\} \geq k\}$.

The second notion will be useful in the next section. The mapping $\sigma: N \rightarrow N$ is called *M-regular* if the series $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta(N) N^{-3}$ converges, where

$$\Delta(N) := \text{card}\{(n, m) \in N^2; m < N, n < N, \sigma(m) = \sigma(n)\}.$$

For $\alpha > 2$, the sequence σ_α given by $\sigma_\alpha(n) := [(\log n)^\alpha]$ is *M-regular* but not *D-regular* ($[\cdot]$, as usual, denotes the integer part). Let $(\alpha_k)_k$ be a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers > 2 and write σ_k instead of σ_{α_k} . Then the family $\{\sigma_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is sparse and *M-regular* (but also regular).

Now let A be the subset of N subjected to:

$$\text{card}\{n < N; n \in A\} = [N/\sqrt{\log N}] \quad \text{for } N \geq 2.$$

The sequence defined by $\sigma(n) = 0$ if $n \in A$ and $\sigma(n) = n$ if $n \notin A$ is *D-regular*, regular but not *M-regular*.

2. Taking $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_r$, we see that the conclusion of Theorem 1 fails if \mathcal{F} -independence is replaced by \mathcal{F} -independence at rank $t > 1$. But for "very well sparse" families, Theorem 1 could be strengthened. We do not examine this problem in detail but only claim that if $\mathcal{G} := \{\sigma \circ \tau_k; \sigma \in \mathcal{F}, k < t\}$ is a sparse family of regular sequences, μ_∞ -almost every sequence u is \mathcal{F} -independent at rank t , each $u \circ \sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, being μ -distributed. In particular:

COROLLARY 1. Let μ be a Borel measure on X . For μ_∞ -almost every sequence, the family of sequences $\{u \circ \sigma; \sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\pi\}$ is completely statistically independent, each of the $u \circ \sigma$ ($\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_\pi$) being completely μ -distributed.

2.4. We mention two other consequences of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 2. Let \mathcal{F} be a countable sparse family of regular sequences and let q be a natural integer ≥ 2 . Then there is a \mathcal{F} -independent sequence $u: N \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, each sequence $u \circ \sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, being uniformly distributed mod q .

In fact, from Theorem 1, almost all sequences with respect to the infinite equidistributed measure has the required property. Moreover, such a sequence u can be given using an explicit construction when \mathcal{F} is asymptotically ordered, that is, totally ordered by means of the relation

$$\sigma \leq \tau \Leftrightarrow \exists N, \forall n \geq N: \sigma(n) < \tau(n).$$

We refer to [15] for definition and characterization of normal sets. The following result extends Theorem 4 in [1], its proof is similar and makes use of the preceding corollary.

COROLLARY 3. Let \mathcal{F} be an asymptotically ordered countable sparse family of regular non-decreasing sequences of positive integers. For all normal subsets A of \mathbb{R}^* there is a sequence Λ of real numbers such that:

- (i) If $x \in A$ then $x\Lambda$ is \mathcal{F} -independent, each $x\Lambda \circ \sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, being uniformly distributed mod 1.
- (ii) If $x \notin A$ then $x\Lambda$ is not uniformly distributed mod 1.

3. Subsequences of θ^n

3.1. Let θ be a real number > 1 . It is well known [9] that, for almost every real number x , the sequence $n \rightarrow x\theta^n$ is uniformly distributed mod 1, and even completely uniformly distributed mod 1 if θ is a transcendental number. This means that such sequences are \mathcal{F}_t -independent. In fact, from Corollary 4.3, page 35, [9], we can derive:

PROPOSITION 1. If $\theta > 1$ is transcendental, the sequence $n \rightarrow x\theta^n$ is \mathcal{F}_p -independent (\mathcal{F}_p is the family of non-constant polynomial functions p such that $p(N) \subset N$) for almost every real number x , each of the sequences $n \rightarrow x\theta^{p(n)}$, $p \in \mathcal{F}_p$, being uniformly distributed mod 1.

The complete proof is left to the reader, we only quote that if p_1, \dots, p_s are different polynomials in \mathcal{F}_p and $(a_1, \dots, a_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s \setminus \{0, \dots, 0\}$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(n \geq N, m \geq N, n \neq m) \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=1}^s a_k \theta^{p_k(n)} - \sum_{k=1}^s a_k \theta^{p_k(m)} \right| \geq \delta.$$

H. Niederreiter and R. Tichy proved [12] that for any sequence $n \rightarrow a_n$ of distinct positive integers, for almost every $\theta > 1$ the sequence $n \rightarrow \theta^{a_n}$ is completely uniformly distributed (see also [13] for a more general result). Let us consider now all the polynomial sequences simultaneously.

THEOREM 3. For almost every real number $\theta > 1$, the sequence $n \rightarrow \theta^n$ is \mathcal{F}_p -independent (the corresponding sequence $n \rightarrow \theta^{p(n)}$, $p \in \mathcal{F}_p$, being uniformly distributed mod 1).

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.

3.2.1. We note that θ is necessarily transcendental, which we assume from now on. Let p_1, \dots, p_s be different elements of \mathcal{F}_p . We assume that

$$0 < p_1(n) < \dots < p_s(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq N_0.$$

Let a_1, \dots, a_s be rational integers with $a_s > 0$. We set

$$u_n(\theta) := \sum_{k=1}^s a_k \theta^{p_k(n)}$$

so that

$$u'_m(\theta) - u'_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^s a_k (p_k(m) \theta^{p_k(m)-1} - p_k(n) \theta^{p_k(n)-1})$$

and

$$u''_m(\theta) - u''_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^s a_k (p_k(m)(p_k(m)-1) \theta^{p_k(m)-2} - p_k(n)(p_k(n)-1) \theta^{p_k(n)-2}).$$

Let t be the element of $\{1, \dots, s\}$ defined by $t := \inf\{j; \forall k \geq j, \deg(p_s - p_k) = 0\}$, and let $\delta_k = p_s - p_k$ for $k \geq t$ ($\delta_k \in \mathbb{N}^*$). We denote by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l$ the possible roots ≥ 1 of the polynomial

$$Q(\theta) = \sum_{t \leq k \leq s} a_k \theta^{\delta_t - \delta_k}.$$

We may assume that $a_t \neq 0$. Fix $\mu > 0$ and choose $\gamma > 0$ such that $|Q(\theta)| \geq \mu$ provided that

$$(3) \quad \theta \geq 1 + \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad |\theta - \alpha_j| \geq \gamma \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, l\}.$$

Let E_μ be the set of all numbers θ satisfying (3). We will verify that:

$$(4) \quad \exists \lambda > 0, \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2:$$

$$(m > n \geq N_1) \Rightarrow (|u'_m(\theta) - u'_n(\theta)| \geq \lambda, \forall \theta \in E_\mu),$$

and

$$(5) \quad \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2:$$

$$(m > n \geq N_2) \Rightarrow ((u''_m(\theta) - u''_n(\theta)) \text{ has a constant sign on each interval included in } E_\mu).$$

3.2.2. Verification of (4). One has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \leq k \leq s} a_k (p_k(m) \theta^{p_k(m)-1} - p_k(n) \theta^{p_k(n)-1}) \\ &= Q(\theta) \theta^{-1-\delta_t} (p_s(m) \theta^{p_s(m)} - p_s(n) \theta^{p_s(n)}) - \left(\sum_{t \leq k \leq s} a_k \delta_k \theta^{-1-\delta_k} \right) (\theta^{p_s(m)} - \theta^{p_s(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$u'_m(\theta) - u'_n(\theta) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$$

with

$$\Sigma_1 := Q(\theta) \theta^{-\delta_t} (p_s(m) \theta^{p_s(m)-1} - p_s(n) \theta^{p_s(n)-1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 := & - \left(\sum_{t \leq k \leq s} a_k \delta_k \theta^{-1-\delta_k} \right) (\theta^{p_s(m)} - \theta^{p_s(n)}) \\ & + \sum_{k < t} a_k (p_k(m) \theta^{p_k(m)-1} - p_k(n) \theta^{p_k(n)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(6) \quad |\Sigma_2| \leq \theta^{p_s(m)-1} \sum_{t \leq k \leq s} |a_k \delta_k| + \sum_{k < t} |a_k| p_k(m) \theta^{p_k(m)-1} \leq K_2 \theta^{p_s(m)-1}$$

for sufficiently large m . On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} p_s(m) \theta^{p_s(m)-1} - p_s(n) \theta^{p_s(n)-1} &\geq p_s(m) (\theta^{p_s(m)-1} - \theta^{p_s(m)-1-1}) \\ &\geq p_s(m) \theta^{p_s(m)-1} (1 - 1/\theta) \end{aligned}$$

and since $|Q(\theta)| \geq \mu$ and $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} Q(\theta) \theta^{-\delta_t} = a_t \neq 0$,

$$(7) \quad |\Sigma_1| \geq K_1 p_s(m) \theta^{p_s(m)-1}$$

where K_1 depends on γ . From (6) and (7) we derive (4).

3.2.3. Verification of (5) and conclusion. The map $u''_m - u''_n$ is continuous, thus we only have to give a lower bound for $|u''_m(\theta) - u''_n(\theta)|$. The calculation is as above.

From Koksma's theorem (Theorem 4.3, p. 34, [9]), the sequence $n \rightarrow e(u_n(\theta))$ has a zero mean-value for almost every $\theta \in E_\mu$. Since $]1, +\infty[= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_{1/n}$, this is true for almost every $\theta > 1$. This finishes the proof. ■

3.3. Remarks.

1. The family \mathcal{F}_p could be replaced by a sparse family \mathcal{F} of one-to-one sequences such that:

$$(\forall \sigma \in \mathcal{F}, \forall \tau \in \mathcal{F}) (\sigma \neq \tau \Rightarrow \sigma - \tau \text{ is monotonic}).$$

In the proof, we take $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ in \mathcal{F} such that $0 < \sigma_1(n) < \dots < \sigma_s(n)$ for $n \geq N$ and $t := \inf\{j; \forall k \geq j, \sigma_j - \sigma_k \text{ is bounded}\}$.

2. Theorem 3 can be generalized to sequences $n \rightarrow \sum_{r=1}^R x_r(\theta_r)^n = V(n)$ where x_1, \dots, x_R are fixed real numbers different from 0. For almost every $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R\} \in]1, +\infty[^R$, the corresponding sequence V is \mathcal{F}_p -independent and for all $p \in \mathcal{F}_p$, the subsequences $V \circ p$ are uniformly distributed mod 1.

4. Construction of independent sequences

4.1. Construction. Let E be an infinite part of N . Let $\theta: N \rightarrow E$ be the increasing one-to-one mapping of N onto E and let $\sigma_E: N \rightarrow N$ be given by

$$(*) \quad \sigma_E(n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\theta(k)}(n) 2^k,$$

whenever $n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_r(n) 2^r$ is the binary expansion of n . According to the definition of Gel'fond [5] the sequence σ_E is 2-additive.

Now let $\{E_j; j \in N^*\}$ be a partition of N into infinite subsets E_j and let $\theta_j: N \rightarrow E_j$ be the increasing bijection of N onto E_j . We write σ_j instead of σ_{E_j} .

PROPOSITION 2. *The set $\mathfrak{S} := \{\sigma_j; j \in N^*\}$ is a sparse family of M -regular sequences.*

Proof. Let σ be the sequence $(*)$ derived from the increasing one-to-one mapping θ of N onto an infinite part E of N and set $\tau(x) := \text{card}([0, x] \cap E)$. By definition the equality $\sigma(n) = \sigma(m)$ holds if and only if one has $\varepsilon_{\theta(k)}(n) = \varepsilon_{\theta(k)}(m)$ for all integers k . Hence, for $N \geq 1$ and $x = \text{Log } N / \text{Log } 2$ one gets $\Delta(N) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\tau(x)} \cdot 2^{x - \tau(x)} \leq 2N$ so that the series $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \Delta(N) N^{-3}$ converges and σ is M -regular. Notice that σ is not D -regular. Now let σ' and θ' be given as above but $E \cap E' = \emptyset$. The equality $\sigma(n) = \sigma'(n)$ means that $\varepsilon_{\theta(k)}(n) = \varepsilon_{\theta'(k)}(n)$

for all integers k . Consider $\tau'(x) := \text{card}([0, x] \cap E')$ and choose $x = \frac{\text{Log } N}{\text{Log } 2}$.

Let z be an integer such that

$$(8) \quad z = \sigma(n) = \sigma'(n)$$

for an integer $n < N$. Then at least $\tau(x) + \tau'(x)$ digits of n are fixed. Hence, the number of solutions n of (8) is at most $2^{x - \tau(x) - \tau'(x)}$. Assume that $\tau(x) \leq \tau'(x)$, then the number of different z is $\text{card}\{\sigma(\{0, \dots, N-1\})\} \leq 2^{\tau(x)}$. Therefore, the number of n such that $\sigma(n) = \sigma'(n)$ and $n < N$, is at most $2^{x - \tau(x)}$. Due to this we get

$$\text{card}\{n < N; \sigma(n) = \sigma'(n)\} \leq N 2^{-\tau(x)}$$

with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tau(x) = +\infty$ and the proof is complete. ■

We now quote two simple lemmata:

LEMMA 1. *Let $\Omega_i := \{0, 1, \dots, 2^i - 1\}$ be endowed with the equiprobability λ_i and let X_j be the restriction of σ_j to Ω_i . Then the random variables X_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$ are independent and equidistributed.*

Proof. Let t_j be the number of elements in $\Omega_i \cap E_j$ so that $X_j(\Omega_i) = \Omega_{t_j}$. For any m_j in Ω_{t_j} , an easy computation gives

$$\lambda_i(\{X_j = m_j\}) = 2^{-t_j}.$$

But the events $\{X_j = m_j\}$ are independent because of the disjointness of the sets E_j . ■

The proof of the next lemma is straightforward and we leave the details to the reader.

LEMMA 2. *Let $n \rightarrow x_n$ be a complex valued sequence and let $n \rightarrow a_n$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that $a_n \in O(n)$. Then*

$$\sum_{n < N} x_n \in o(N) \Rightarrow \sum_{n < N} x_{n+a_n} \in o(N).$$

4.2. Universal properties. We first give a universal property of a topological nature satisfied by all sequences σ_E whenever E is an infinite part of N . After that, we prove metrical properties of the above family \mathfrak{S} .

THEOREM 4. *Let μ be a Borel probability measure on X and let $\sigma (= \sigma_E)$ be any sequence defined by $(*)$, the set E being an infinite part of N . Then for all μ -well-distributed sequences $u: N \rightarrow X$ the sequence $u \circ \sigma$ is also μ -well-distributed.*

Proof. Let $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous map such that $\int g d\mu = 0$. We have to prove that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{s \in N} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} g(u \circ \sigma(n+s)) \right| \right) = 0.$$

By assumption, there exists a sequence $(\varepsilon_r)_{r \geq 0}$ of non-negative real numbers ε_r such that

$$(10) \quad \forall s \in N: \left| \sum_{n < 2^r} g(u(n+s)) \right| \leq \varepsilon_r 2^r \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_r = 0.$$

Notice that (10) is equivalent to the μ -well-distribution of u . We may assume $|g(\cdot)| \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and choose r such that $\varepsilon_r \leq \varepsilon/2$ for $r' := \text{card}(E \cap [0, r])$. Let N, t be positive integers and define integers a and b by the inequalities

$$(a-1)2^r \leq t < a2^r \quad \text{and} \quad b2^r \leq N+t < (b+1)2^r.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{t \leq n < N+t} g(u \circ \sigma(n)) \right| &\leq 2^{r+1} + \sum_{a \leq n < b} \left| \sum_{0 \leq m < 2^r} g(u(\sigma(n2^r) + \sigma(m))) \right| \\ &\leq 2^{r+1} + \sum_{a \leq n < b} (2^{r-r'} \left| \sum_{0 \leq m' < 2^{r'}} g(u(\sigma(n2^r) + \sigma(m'))) \right|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \sum_{t \leq n < N+t} g(u \circ \sigma(n)) \right| \leq 2^{r+1} + (b-a)2^r \varepsilon_r \leq \left(\frac{2}{b-a} + \varepsilon_r \right) N.$$

Now for $N \geq 2^r(2+4/\varepsilon)$ we have $(b-a) \geq 4/\varepsilon$ so that we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{t \leq n < N+t} g(u \circ \sigma(n)) \right| \leq \varepsilon N. \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 5. Let $(X_j)_{j \geq 0}$ be a sequence of compact metrizable spaces. For each $j > 0$, let μ_j be a Borel probability measure on X_j and let $u_j: N \rightarrow X_j$ be a μ_j -distributed sequence. Then the family $\mathcal{U}_\infty := \{u_j \circ \sigma_j; j \in N^*\}$ is statistically independent, each of the sequence $u_j \circ \sigma_j$ being μ_j -distributed.

Proof. From the definition, we have to show that, given an integer $d \geq 1$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{C}(X_j)$ for all $j \leq d$, if we put

$$\omega := \prod_{j=1}^d \int_{X_j} f_j d\mu_j \quad \text{and} \quad G(n) := \prod_{j=1}^d f_j(u_j \circ \sigma_j(n)),$$

then

$$\omega = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1/N) \sum_{n < N} G(n).$$

If $N = \sum_{r=0}^v a_r 2^r$ is the dyadic expansion of N , with $a_v \neq 0$, we put $N_c = \sum_{c \leq r \leq v} a_r 2^r$ for $c \leq v$. Moreover, let t_j be the counting function of $\theta_j(N)$, i.e.:

$$t_j(m) = \text{card}\{r < m; r \in \theta_j(N)\}.$$

Fixing $\varrho \in N^*$, $\varrho < v$, we have

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n < N} G(n) = \sum_{n < 2^v} G(n) + \sum_{c=v-\varrho}^{v-1} \left(\sum_{N_{c+1} \leq n < N_c} G(n) \right) + O(N \cdot 2^{-\varrho})$$

because G is bounded. On the other hand, due to Lemma 1, we get

$$\sum_{n < 2^v} G(n) = 2^{(v - \sum_{j=1}^d t_j(v))} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\sum_{m_j < 2^{t_j(v)}} f_j(u_j(m_j)) \right).$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$; the hypothesis concerning u_j leads to

$$(12) \quad \left| \sum_{n < 2^v} G(n) - \omega 2^v \right| \leq \varepsilon 2^v$$

for v (i.e. for N) sufficiently large.

In the same way, if $a_c \neq 0$, and $c \geq v - \varrho$:

$$\sum_{N_{c+1} \leq n < N_c} G(n) = \sum_{n < 2^c} G(N_{c+1} + n) = \sum_{n < 2^c} \prod_{j=1}^d f_j(u_j(\sigma_j(N_{c+1}) + \sigma_j(n)))$$

because $\sigma_j(N_{c+1} + n) = \sigma_j(N_{c+1}) + \sigma_j(n)$ for all $n < 2^c$.

As above, we get

$$(13) \quad \sum_{N_{c+1} \leq n < N_c} G(n) = 2^{(c - \sum_{j=1}^d t_j(c))} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(\sum_{m_j < 2^{t_j(c)}} f_j(u_j(m_j + \sigma_j(N_{c+1}))) \right).$$

But one has

$$\frac{\sigma_j(N_{c+1})}{2^{t_j(c)}} \leq \frac{\sigma_j(N)}{2^{t_j(v-\varrho)}} \leq \frac{\sigma_j(1+2+\dots+2^v)}{2^{t_j(v-\varrho)}} \leq \frac{1+2+\dots+2^{t_j(v+1)}}{2^{t_j(v-\varrho)}} < 2^{e+2},$$

so that

$$(14) \quad \sigma_j(N_{c+1}) \leq 2^{e+2} 2^{t_j(c)}.$$

Now, ϱ being fixed, we then derive from (13), (14) and Lemma 2 that:

$$(15) \quad \left| \sum_{N_{c+1} \leq n < N_c} G(n) - \omega 2^c \right| \leq \varepsilon 2^c$$

for c (i.e. for N) large enough. Joining (11) to (12) and (15), we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{n < N} G(n) - \omega N \right| \leq \varepsilon N + O(N \cdot 2^{-\varrho})$$

for sufficiently large N . Thus

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} |(1/N) \sum_{n < N} G(n) - \omega| \leq C \cdot 2^{-\varrho}$$

where C is an absolute constant and ϱ is arbitrary. Therefore ω is the mean value of G . \blacksquare

THEOREM 6. Let \mathcal{U} be a family of sequences $u: N \rightarrow X_u$ where X_u denotes a compact metric space. Assume that each sequence u is μ_u -distributed with respect to a Borel measure μ_u on X_u . Let $\sigma (= \sigma_E)$ be any sequence defined by (*) (E being infinite). If \mathcal{U} is statistically independent then the family $\mathcal{U} \circ \sigma := \{u \circ \sigma; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is also statistically independent.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathcal{U} is finite. For each u in \mathcal{U} , let $g_u: X_u \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous and set

$$\tilde{\omega} := \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \int g_u d\mu_u \quad \text{and} \quad G(n) := \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} g_u(u \circ \sigma(n)).$$

By Theorem 5 the sequence $u \circ \sigma$ is also μ_u -distributed in X_u , hence we have to show that

$$\tilde{\omega} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1/N) \sum_{n < N} G(n).$$

Let $N = \sum_{r=0}^v a_r 2^r$ be the dyadic expansion of N . Use N_c and ϱ as in the proof of Theorem 5 and let $t(\cdot)$ be the counting map of E . To estimate $\sum_{n < N} G(n)$ we start from equality (11). By Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{n < 2^v} G(n) = 2^{v-t(v)} \sum_{m < 2^{t(v)}} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} g_u(u(m)).$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$; by assumption on \mathcal{U} there is v_0 such that

$$\left| 2^{-t(v)} \sum_{m < 2^{t(v)}} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} g_u(u(m)) - \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left(2^{-t(v)} \sum_{m < 2^{t(v)}} g_u(u(m)) \right) \right| \leq \varepsilon/2$$

whenever $v \geq v_0$. But we may choose $v_1 \geq v_0$ such that for all $M \geq 2^{t(v_1)}$ one has

$$\left| \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m < M} g_u(u(m)) \right) - \tilde{\omega} \right| \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

Therefore,

$$(16) \quad \left| \sum_{n < 2^v} G(n) - \tilde{\omega} 2^v \right| \leq \varepsilon 2^v$$

whenever $v \geq v_1$.

Now we consider the sum $\Sigma_c := \sum_{N_{c+1} \leq n < N_c} G(n)$, with $a_c \neq 0$. As above we get

$$\Sigma_c = 2^{c-t(c)} \sum_{m < 2^{t(c)}} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} g_u(u(\sigma(N_{c+1}) + m)).$$

On the other hand, we have by assumption $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} (1/M) \sum_{m < M} \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} g_u(u(m)) = \tilde{\omega}$ and since inequality (14) holds, it follows from Lemma 2 that we also have $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-c} \Sigma_c = \tilde{\omega}$. Therefore, there exists $v_2 (\geq v_1 - \varrho)$ such that $c \geq v_2$ implies

$$(17) \quad |\Sigma_c - 2^c \tilde{\omega}| \leq \varepsilon 2^c.$$

Using (11), (16) and (17) we derive a constant (which only depends on the functions g_u , $u \in \mathcal{U}$) such that

$$\left| \sum_{n < N} G(n) - \tilde{\omega} N \right| \leq \varepsilon N + CN \cdot 2^{-\varrho}$$

for sufficiently large N . Since ϱ is arbitrary, the desired result follows. ■

4.3. Spectral properties. Recall that $s(\cdot)$ denotes the sum of digits to base two. Let E be any nonempty subset of N , let θ be the increasing counting map of E and let $\chi_E: N \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ be the 2-multiplicative sequence defined by $\chi_E(n) := (-1)^{s(\sigma_E(n))}$ where σ_E is still given by (*). Now, we endow N with the group law \oplus corresponding to the addition to base two without carry. Let $\varepsilon_k(n)$ be the k th digit in the dyadic expansion of n . By definition, for all integers n and m one has

$$\varepsilon_k(n \oplus m) \equiv \varepsilon_k(n) + \varepsilon_k(m) \pmod{2}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we remark that χ_E is a character on (N, \oplus) . Conversely, for any character χ on (N, \oplus) (also called Walsh character) one has $\chi = \chi_E$ where $E := \{t \in N; \chi(2^t) = -1\}$. Clearly, χ_E is periodical whenever E is finite. Spectral properties of χ_E was studied by M. Mendès France [11] and dynamical point of view was first investigated by S. Kakutani [6] in order to give examples of minimal uniquely ergodic discrete symbolic systems. More results and generalizations are due to M. Keane [7], [8]. From now on, we recognize any Walsh character χ as a generalized Morse sequence (to base two) in the terminology of Keane. To see this, we assume familiarity with [7] but change the 0's to +1's and the 1's to -1's. Thus we have

$$(\chi(n))_{n \geq 0} = b^{(0)} \times b^{(1)} \times b^{(2)} \times \dots$$

where $b^{(k)} := (+1, -1)$ for $k \in E$ otherwise $b^{(k)} := (+1, +1)$.

Recall that the Borel measure λ_E on the torus $T = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is said to be the spectral measure of χ_E if the Fourier transform $\hat{\lambda}_E$ is the correlation function of χ_E , that is to say:

$$(18) \quad \hat{\lambda}_E(k) := \int_T e^{2\pi i k t} \lambda_E(dt) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{n < N \\ n+k \geq 0}} \chi_E(n+k) \overline{\chi_E(n)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From basic results [3], the spectral measure λ_E exists and is given by the weak-limit

$$\lambda_E(dt) = \ast\text{-}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \chi_E(n) e^{-2inn|t|^2} h(dt) \right|.$$

The 2-multiplicativity leads to the product formula

$$(19) \quad \lambda_E(dt) = \ast\text{-}\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{k < K} (1 + \chi_E(2^k) \cos 2^{k+1} \pi t) \right) h(dt).$$

It is known that λ_E is singular with respect to the Haar measure. Moreover λ_E is continuous if E is infinite. If E is finite then χ_E is periodic with period 2^T where $T = 1 + \text{Max } E$ and λ_E corresponds to the Haar measure of the finite sub-group of T generated by 2^{-T} . Now, we shall say that E is *thick* if there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\forall m \geq 0, \quad E \cap [m, m + K] \neq \emptyset.$$

THEOREM 7. *Let E and E' be thick subsets of N . Then the spectral measures λ_E and $\lambda_{E'}$ are equivalent or mutually singular. Moreover the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) λ_E and $\lambda_{E'}$ are equivalent ($\lambda_E \sim \lambda_{E'}$).
- (ii) The symmetric difference $E \Delta E'$ is finite.
- (iii) The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\hat{\lambda}_E(2^k) - \hat{\lambda}_{E'}(2^k)|^2$ converges.
- (iv) $\hat{\lambda}_E(2^k) = \hat{\lambda}_{E'}(2^k)$ for sufficiently large k .

Proof. It is known from [8], Lemma, that either λ_E and $\lambda_{E'}$ are mutually singular or $\lambda_E \sim \lambda_{E'}$. Now we compute $\hat{\lambda}(2^k)$ from (18). The product $\chi_E(n) \chi_E(n+2^k)$ is constant, equal to $\chi_E(2^k) \dots \chi_E(2^{k+s})$ on the arithmetical progressions $A_k(0) := \{n \in N; \varepsilon_k(n) = 0\}$ for $s = 0$ and

$$A_k(s) := \{n \in N; \varepsilon_k(n) = 1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k+s-1}(n) = 1, \varepsilon_{k+s}(n) = 0\}$$

for all $s \geq 1$. Hence,

$$\hat{\lambda}_E(2^k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_E(2^k) \dots \chi_E(2^{k+s})}{2^s}.$$

Put $\varepsilon_E^{(k)}(s) := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \chi_E(2^k) \dots \chi_E(2^{k+s}))$ such that $\varepsilon_E^{(k)}(s) \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$(20) \quad 1 + \hat{\lambda}_E(2^k) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_E^{(k)}(s) 2^{-s}.$$

Notice that $\varepsilon_E^{(k)}(s)$ takes the values 0 and 1 infinitely often.

Obviously (iv) implies (iii). Assume property (iii) and use (20). Since E and E' are thick, then for each integer $S \geq 0$ there exists $K \geq 0$ such that the equalities $\varepsilon_E^{(k)}(s) = \varepsilon_{E'}^{(k)}(s)$ hold for all $k \geq K$ and all $s = 0, 1, \dots, S$. In particular, this implies $\chi_E(2^k) = \chi_{E'}(2^k)$ (and consequently $\hat{\lambda}_E(2^k) = \hat{\lambda}_{E'}(2^k)$) for $k \geq K$. Therefore (iii) implies (ii) and (iv).

Assume (ii) (recall that $E \cap E'$ is infinite). Since λ_E and $\lambda_{E'}$ are continuous, formula (19) gives easily

$$\lambda_{E'}(dt) = \prod_{m \in E \setminus E'} (\tan(2^m \pi t))^2 \prod_{n \in E' \setminus E} (\cotan(2^n \pi t))^2 \cdot \lambda_E(dt)$$

so that property (i) holds. It remains to prove that (i) implies (iii). We may derive this implication from [14], Lemma 4, using the sequence $n \rightarrow X_n$ of complex random variables given on T by $X_n(t) := e^{i\pi 2^{n+1}t}$ (such that the expectation of X_n with respect to λ is $\hat{\lambda}_E(2^n)$). ■

4.4. Remark. The above construction to base 2 is typical but it also holds to base $g > 2$ and Theorems 4, 5, 6 remain valid in this case.

References

- [1] J. Coquet, *Type de répartition complète des suites*, Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse 2 (1980), 137–155.
- [2] — *Sur certaines suites uniformément équiréparties modulo 1*, Acta Arith. 36 (1980), 157–162.
- [3] J. Coquet, T. Kamae and M. Mendès France, *Sur la mesure spectrale de certaines suites arithmétiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 105 (1977), 369–384.
- [4] J. Coquet and P. Liardet, *Répartitions uniformes des suites et indépendance statistique*, Compos. Math. 51 (1984), 215–236.
- [5] A. O. Gel'fond, *Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données*, Acta Arith. 13 (1968), 259–265.
- [6] S. Kakutani, *Ergodic theory of shift transformations*, Proc. V Berk. Sym. II (1967), 405–414.
- [7] M. Keane, *Generalized Morse sequences*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 10 (1968), 335–353.
- [8] — *Strong mixing g -measures*, Invent. Math. 16 (1972), 309–324.
- [9] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley-Interscience, 1974.
- [10] P. Liardet, *Propriétés harmoniques de la numération suivant J. Coquet*, Pub. math. Orsay 88–02, Journées SMF-CNRS, Colloque “Jean Coquet”, CIRM, 23–27 sept. 1985, p. 1–35.
- [11] M. Mendès France, *Nombres normaux, applications aux fonctions pseudo-aléatoires*, J. Anal. Math. Jérusalem 20 (1967), 1–56.
- [12] H. Niederreiter and R. F. Tichy, *Solution of a problem of Knuth on complete uniform distribution of sequences*, Mathematika 32 (1985), 26–32.
- [13] — *Metric theorems on uniform distribution and approximation theory*, Soc. Math. France, Astérisque 147–148 (1987), 319–323.
- [14] M. Queffélec, *Mesures spectrales associées à certaines suites arithmétiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 107 (1979), 385–421.
- [15] G. Rauzy, *Caractérisation des ensembles normaux*, ibid. 98 (1970), 401–414.
- [16] — *Propriétés statistiques de suites arithmétiques*, P.U.F. Collection Sup. le Mathématicien 15 (1976).

UNIVERSITÉ DE PROVENCE
UNITÉ ASSOCIÉE CNRS N°225
3, Place Victor Hugo
F 13331 Marseille Cédex 3, France

Received on 12.8.1988

(1857)