

- [13] A. Schmidt, *Diophantine approximation of complex numbers*, Acta Math. 134 (1975), 1–85.
 [14] —, *Diophantine approximation of complex numbers*, in *Classical Quantum Models and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 92, Dekker, New York 1984, 353–377.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS DESIGN
 UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, CLEAR LAKE
 Houston, Texas 77058-1057, USA

Received on 22.1.1988
 and in revised form on 3.3.1989

(1778)

Bounds for the degrees in the membership test for a polynomial ideal

by

FRANCESCO AMOROSO (Pisa)

0. Introduction. Let $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_m)$ be an m -tuple of polynomials in $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let r be a positive integer. We define $D(Q, r)$ as the first integer such that for any polynomial P of degree $\leq r$ which belongs to the ideal generated by Q_1, \dots, Q_m there exist polynomials A_1, \dots, A_m such that

$$P = A_1 Q_1 + \dots + A_m Q_m \quad \text{and} \quad \max_i \deg A_i \leq D.$$

Let $d = \max_i \deg Q_i$; a well-known result of G. Hermann [H] shows that

$$D(Q, r) < 2(2d)^{2^{n-1}} + r.$$

Moreover, E. Mayr and A. Meyer [M-M] prove that this doubly exponential growth is in general unavoidable. In spite of this, a recent result of W. D. Brownawell [B] suggests the possibility that, under suitable “smoothness” hypotheses, a polynomial bound for the growth of $D(Q, r)$ is available. In fact, W. D. Brownawell shows that in the Nullstellensatz case (i.e. $r = 0$) we have the upper bound

$$D(Q, 0) \leq \max_i \deg Q_i \leq \min\{n, m\}(nd^{\min\{n, m\}} + d).$$

Let I be the ideal of $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the polynomials Q_i ; let \bar{I} be the homogeneous ideal of $C[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ generated by the homogenizations ${}^h Q_i$ of the polynomials Q_i . We denote by V the affine variety $\{Q_1 = \dots = Q_m = 0\}$ and by \bar{V} the projective variety $\{{}^h Q_1 = \dots = {}^h Q_m = 0\}$.

In the present paper, using some ideas of W. D. Brownawell and a powerful result from several complex variables developed by H. Skoda, we prove the following result:

THEOREM 1. *If V is a smooth affine variety of dimension $n-m$ and I is the ideal of V , then*

$$D(Q, r) \leq (m-1)d + (n-1)m^{n-m}(d-1)^{n-m}d^m + r.$$

If \bar{V} is a smooth projective variety of dimension $n-m$ and \bar{I} is the ideal of \bar{V} , then

$$D(Q, r) \leq (m-1)(2r-1)+r.$$

1. Lower bounds for $\max_i |Q_i(x)|$. Let $y \in C^s$. We denote by $\|y\|$ the euclidean norm of y and by $\|y\|_*$ the euclidean norm of $(1, y) \in C^{s+1}$. Let

$$JQ(x) = \max_{A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, |A|=m} \left| \text{Det} \left(\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ j \in A}} \right|.$$

Our strategy for the proof of Theorem 1 will be to use the main result of H. Skoda ([S], Theorem 1). To do so we shall have to check the convergence of

$$(1) \quad \int_{C^n} |P(x)|^2 \|Q(x)\|^{-2ap-2} \|x\|_*^{-2K} d\lambda$$

where $p = \min\{m-1, n\}$. Hence it will be necessary to find some lower bounds for $\|Q(x)\|$. Let us consider the following hypotheses on Q_1, \dots, Q_m :

$$(H_1) \quad \omega \in V \Rightarrow \text{rank Jac}(Q_i)(\omega) = m,$$

$$(H_2) \quad \omega' \in \bar{V} \Rightarrow \text{rank Jac}({}^h Q_i)(\omega') = m.$$

We observe that (H_1) holds if and only if V is a smooth affine variety of codimension m and I is the ideal of V . Likewise (H_2) holds if and only if \bar{V} is a smooth projective variety of codimension m and \bar{I} is the ideal of \bar{V} . Notice that under one of the hypotheses (H_i) we have $m \leq n$, hence $p = m-1$. In what follows we assume $m \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$, since otherwise the assertion of Theorem 1 is obvious. We shall denote by c_1, c_2, \dots, c_6 positive constants depending only on Q_1, \dots, Q_m .

LEMMA 1. *There exists a positive constant c_1 with the following properties. Suppose*

$$\|Q(x)\| < c_1 \|x\|_*^{-T}.$$

Then:

(i) *If (H_1) holds and $T \geq (n-1)m^{n-m}(d-1)^{n-m}d^m - 1$, we have*

$$JQ(x) \geq c_1 \|x\|_*^{-[(n-1)m^{n-m}(d-1)^{n-m}d^m - 1]}.$$

(ii) *If (H_2) holds and $T \geq (m-1)(d-1)$, we have*

$$JQ(x) \geq c_1 \|x\|_*^{-1}.$$

Proof. (i) Let $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$ be the determinants of the $m \times m$ minors of $\text{Jac}(Q)$. The polynomials $Q_1, \dots, Q_m, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$ have no common zero in C^n . By Lemma 2, p. 438 of [M-W], we can find a regular sequence P_1, \dots, P_s in $(Q_1, \dots, Q_m, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N)$ such that:

- (a) P_1, \dots, P_s have no common zero in C^n ;
- (b) $\max_{j=1, \dots, s} |P_j(x)| \leq c_2 \max(\|Q(x)\|, JQ(x))$;

(c) $\deg P_i \leq d$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\deg P_i \leq m(d-1)$ for $i = m+1, \dots, s$.

Proposition 8 of [B] ensures

$$\max_{j=1, \dots, s} |P_j(x)| \geq c_3 \|x\|_*^{-t}, \quad t = [(n-1)m^{n-m}(d-1)^{n-m}d^m - 1].$$

Hence, if $\|Q(x)\| < (c_3/c_2) \|x\|_*^{-t}$ we have $JQ(x) > (c_3/c_2) \|x\|_*^{-t}$.

(ii) Let $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$ be the determinants of the $m \times m$ minors of $\text{Jac}({}^h Q)$. The polynomials ${}^h Q_1, \dots, {}^h Q_m, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$ have no common zero in $P(C^n)$. Hence by the compactness of P^n there exists a positive constant c_4 such that

$$\max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{|Q_i(x)|}{\|x\|_*^{\deg Q_i}}, \max_{1 \leq h \leq N} \frac{|\Delta_h(1, x)|}{\|x\|_*^{\deg \Delta_h}} \right\} \geq c_4.$$

If $\|Q(x)\| < c_4 \|x\|_*^{-1}$, we can find a minor Δ of $\text{Jac}({}^h Q)$ such that

$$|\Delta(1, x)| \geq c_4.$$

If $\partial/\partial x_0$ does not appear in Δ we have $JQ(x) > c_4$. Assume

$$\Delta = \text{Det} \left(\frac{\partial {}^h Q_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ j=0, \dots, m-1}}.$$

Using Euler's formula we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1, x) &= \text{Det} \left((\deg Q_i \cdot Q_i(x))_{i=1, \dots, m}, \left(\frac{\partial {}^h Q_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ j=1, \dots, m-1}} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{l=1}^n x_l \text{Det} \left(\left(\frac{\partial {}^h Q_i}{\partial x_l} \right)_{i=1, \dots, m}, \left(\frac{\partial {}^h Q_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{\substack{i=1, \dots, m \\ j=1, \dots, m-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\Delta(1, x)| \leq c_5 (\|Q(x)\| \|x\|_*^{(m-1)(d-1)} + \|x\|_* JQ(x)).$$

Thus, for $\|Q(x)\| < (c_4/(c_5+1)) \|x\|_*^{-(m-1)(d-1)}$ we find $JQ(x) > (c_4/(c_5+1)) \times \|x\|_*^{-1}$. Lemma 1 is proved with $c_1 = \min\{c_3/c_2, c_4, c_4/(c_5+1)\}$. ■

Let $B = L = (n-1)m^{n-m}d^n$ if (H_1) holds and let $B = (n-1)(d-1)$, $L = 1$ if (H_2) holds. Let us define

$$\Omega = \{x \in C^n : \|Q(x)\| < c_1 \|x\|_*^{-B}\}.$$

By Lemma 1 for any $x \in \Omega$ we have $JQ(x) \geq c_1 \|x\|_*^{-L}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. The results of the previous section allow us to check the convergence of (1).

PROPOSITION 1. *The integral*

$$\int_{C^n} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \|x\|_*^{-2K} d\lambda$$

converges for

$$(2) \quad \gamma < m, \quad K > n + \max(\gamma B, L-m).$$

Proof. For $K > \gamma B + n$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \|x\|_*^{-2K} d\lambda \leq \int_{C^n} \|x\|_*^{-2[K-\gamma B]} d\lambda < +\infty.$$

Let A_1, \dots, A_n be as in the proof of Lemma 1(i). For $h = 1, \dots, n$ let

$$A_h = \{x \in \Omega : |A_h(x)| \geq c_1 \|x\|_*^{-L}\}.$$

It is enough to show that

$$\int_{A_h} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \|x\|_*^{-2K} d\lambda$$

converges for γ and K as in (2) and $h = 1, \dots, n$. Let $h = 1$, say, and consider

$$f: C^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \mathbb{R}^{2(n-m)}$$

defined by

$$f(x) = (\Re Q_1(x), \Im Q_1(x), \dots, \Re Q_m(x), \Im Q_m(x), \Re x_{m+1}, \Im x_{m+1}, \dots, \Re x_n, \Im x_n).$$

For any $x \in A_1$ we have

$$(3) \quad |\text{Det Jac } f(x)| \geq c_1^2 \|x\|_*^{-2L}.$$

If $(y, w) \in f(A_1)$ the fiber $f^{-1}(y, w)$ is finite and of cardinality $\leq \deg(\mathcal{I}) \leq d^m$: in fact, if $W = \{Q(x) - y = x_{m+1} - w_1 = \dots = x_n - w_{n-m} = 0\}$, by inequality (3) we have

$$\dim_x W \leq \dim \Theta_{x,w} \leq 0$$

for any $x \in f^{-1}(y, w)$; hence no component of W of dimension greater than zero intersects A_1 .

Thus, using Theorem 3.2.3 on p. 243 of [F] (with $u(x) = \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \times \|x\|_*^{-2K+2L} \chi_{A_1}$ where χ_{A_1} is the characteristic function of A_1) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_1} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \|x\|_*^{-2K} d\lambda(x) &\leq c_6 \int_{A_1} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \|x\|_*^{-2K+2L} |\text{Det Jac } f(x)| d\lambda(x) \\ &= c_6 \int_{f(A_1)} \left[\sum_{f(x)=(y,w)} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\gamma} \|x\|_*^{-2K+2L} \right] d\lambda(y, w) \\ &\leq d^m c_6 \left[\int_{\|y\| \leq c_1} \|y\|^{-2\gamma} d\lambda(y) \right] \left[\int_{C^{n-m}} \|w\|_*^{-2K+2L} d\lambda(w) \right] < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma < m$ and $K - L > n - m$. ■

For the reader's convenience, we combine the main result of H. Skoda ([S], Theorem 1) and its application to polynomial ideals due to W. D. Brownawell (see [B], p. 590) into the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2. Let Q_1, \dots, Q_m, P be polynomials in $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\max_i \deg Q_i = d$ and $\deg P = r$. If (1) converges for some $K > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$,

then there exist $A_1, \dots, A_m \in C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$(4) \quad P = A_1 Q_1 + \dots + A_m Q_m$$

and

$$\max_i \deg A_i < \alpha(m-1)d + K - n.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $P \in (Q_1, \dots, Q_m)$ with degree $\leq r$; we can find m polynomials $B_1, \dots, B_m \in C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with degree $\leq \delta = D(Q, r)$ such that $P = B_1 Q_1 + \dots + B_m Q_m$. Hence

$$|P(x)| \leq c_7 \|x\|_*^\delta \|Q(x)\|$$

where c_7 is some positive constant depending only on Q_1, \dots, Q_m, P . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C^n} |P(x)|^2 \|Q(x)\|^{-2\alpha(m-1)-2} \|x\|_*^{-2K} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{C^n} |P(x)|^{2(\alpha-1)m} \|Q(x)\|^{-2\alpha(m-1)-2} \|x\|_*^{-2[K-(1-(\alpha-1)m)r]} d\lambda \\ &\leq c_7^{2(\alpha-1)m} \int_{C^n} \|Q(x)\|^{-2(m+1-\alpha)} \|x\|_*^{-2[K-(\alpha-1)\delta m-(1-(\alpha-1)m)r]} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, the last integral converges for

$$K > (\alpha-1)\delta m + r[1-(\alpha-1)m] + n + \max((m+1-\alpha)B, L-m).$$

Proposition 2 ensures the existence of polynomials A_1, \dots, A_m such that (1) holds, with

$$\begin{aligned} \max_i \deg A_i &\leq (\alpha-1)\delta m + r[1-(\alpha-1)m] \\ &\quad + \max((m+1-\alpha)B, L-m) + \alpha(m-1)d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\alpha \downarrow 1$,

$$\delta \leq r + (m-1)d + \max(mB, L-m)$$

and the assertion follows easily. ■

Remark. Using the new version of Proposition 8 of [B] which has been announced at the Ulm 1987 Journées Arithmétiques we can improve our result to give, under the assumption (H_1) , the better bound

$$D(Q, r) \leq (m-1)d + m^{n-m}(d-1)^{n-m}d^m + r.$$

Addendum. While revising this paper taking into account the referee's notes, I have had the opportunity of reading a manuscript of C. A. Berenstein and A. Yger (see [B-Y]) in which these authors propose a result stronger than mine and available under the only assumption that the ideal (Q_1, \dots, Q_m) has codimension m .

References

- [B] W. D. Brownawell, *Bounds for the degrees in the Nullstellensatz*, Ann. of Math. 126 (1987), 577–591.
- [B-Y] C. A. Berenstein and A. Yger, *Bounds for the degrees in the division problem*, manuscript, 1988.
- [F] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer, Berlin 1969.
- [H] G. Hermann, *Die Frage der endlich vielen Schritte in der Theorie der Polynomideale*, Math. Ann. 95 (1926), 736–788.
- [M-M] E. Mayr and A. Meyer, *The complexity of the word problems for commutative semigroups and polynomial ideals*, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982), 305–329.
- [M-W] D. W. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Fields of large transcendence degree generated by values of elliptic functions*, Invent. Math. 72 (1983), 407–464.
- [S] H. Skoda, *Applications des techniques L^2 à la théorie des idéaux d'une algèbre de fonctions holomorphes avec poids*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 5 (1972), 545–579.

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7
56100 Pisa, Italy

Received on 6.4.1988
and in revised form on 6.3.1989

(1809)

**Une nouvelle mesure d'indépendance algébrique
pour $(\alpha^\beta, \alpha^{\beta^2})$**

par

G. DIAZ (Saint-Etienne)

1. Introduction, théorème. Soit α un nombre algébrique non nul, pour lequel on a choisi une détermination du logarithme, $\log \alpha$, que l'on suppose non nulle; et soit β un nombre algébrique de degré 3. En 1948, A. O. Gel'fond a démontré l'indépendance algébrique des nombres $\alpha^\beta, \alpha^{\beta^2}$; on s'intéresse ici à leurs mesures d'indépendance algébrique.

Soit K un corps de nombres. Pour un polynôme $P \in K[X_1, X_2]$ on note $d(P)$ son degré total, $H(P)$ sa hauteur naïve, $h(P)$ sa hauteur logarithmique (voir [P2], § I.3, pour la définition et les propriétés de h); les mesures $\log H$ et h sont équivalentes si on se limite à des polynômes à coefficients dans \mathbb{Z} .

La première mesure d'indépendance algébrique de $(\alpha^\beta, \alpha^{\beta^2})$ est obtenue en 1950 par A. O. Gel'fond et N. I. Fel'dman (voir [G-F]):

Pour tout $\varepsilon > 0$, il existe $t(\varepsilon) > 0$ tel que pour tout polynôme $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ vérifiant $d(P) + \log H(P) > t(\varepsilon)$ on ait

$$\log |P(\alpha^\beta, \alpha^{\beta^2})| > -\exp((d(P) + \log H(P))^{4+\varepsilon}).$$

Ce résultat est amélioré en 1979 par W. D. Brownawell (voir [B], théorème 1) qui remplace dans la minoration ci-dessus $(d(P) + \log H(P))^{4+\varepsilon}$ par $cd(P)^3(d(P) + \log H(P))$. Puis nous avons montré en 1987 en utilisant un critère de P. Philippon ([P1], théorème 2) que l'on peut remplacer $(d(P) + \log H(P))^{4+\varepsilon}$ par $(d(P) + \log H(P))^{2+\varepsilon}$ (voir [D1]).

Ce critère utilisait comme mesure d'un polynôme P la taille $d(P) + h(P)$ et ne permettait pas de séparer degré et hauteur dans les mesures d'indépendance algébrique. Il a été récemment amélioré par P. Philippon et E. M. Jabbouri (voir [J]) et cette nouvelle version permet de démontrer le résultat suivant.

THÉORÈME. Soient α, β deux nombres algébriques ($\alpha \neq 0, \log \alpha \neq 0, \beta$ de degré 3). Il existe deux réels positifs c_1, c_2 ne dépendant que des données α, β tels que pour tout polynôme $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ on ait

$$\log |P(\alpha^\beta, \alpha^{\beta^2})| \geq -c_1 \exp[c_2 d(P)(d(P) + \log H(P))].$$