# COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM

VOL. LXII

1991

## ON A COMPACTIFICATION OF THE HOMEOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE PSEUDO-ARC

### BY KAZUHIRO KAWAMURA (TSUKUBA)

1. Introduction. A continuum means a compact connected metric space. For a continuum X, H(X) denotes the space of all homeomorphisms of X with the compact-open topology. It is well known that H(X) is a completely metrizable, separable topological group. J. Kennedy [8] considered a compactification of H(X) and studied its properties when X has various types of homogeneity. In this paper we are concerned with the compact-ification  $G_P$  of the homeomorphism group of the pseudo-arc P, which is obtained by the method of Kennedy. We prove that  $G_P$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube. This is an easy consequence of a combination of the results of [2], Corollary 2, and [9], Theorem 1, but here we give a direct proof. The author wishes to thank the referee for pointing out the above reference [2]. We also prove that the remainder of H(P) in  $G_P$  contains many Hilbert cubes. It is known that H(P) contains no nondegenerate continua ([10]).

NOTATION AND BASIC DEFINITIONS 1.1. Let X be a continuum. Let  $f: X \to X$  be a map. The graph of  $f = \{(x, f(x)) | x \in X\} \subset X \times X$  is denoted by gr f.

A map  $f: X \to X$  is called a *near-homeomorphism* if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h: X \to X$  such that  $d(f, h) = \sup\{d(f(x), h(x)) | x \in X\} < \varepsilon$ .

The hyperspace C(X) is the space of all nonempty subcontinua of X with the Hausdorff metric  $d_H$ . The  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $K \in C(X)$  is denoted by  $N_{\varepsilon}(K)$ . The map  $\varphi : H(X) \to C(X \times X)$  defined by  $\varphi(f) = \operatorname{gr} f$  is an imbedding ([8], p. 43).

A compactification  $G_X$  of H(X) is defined by  $cl_{C(X \times X)} \operatorname{im} \varphi$ .

The space  $C_{\pi}(X \times X)$  is defined by  $C_{\pi}(X \times X) = \{K \in C(X \times X) | \pi_1(K) = \pi_2(K) = X\}$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the projection onto the *i*th factor (i = 1, 2).

A surjective map  $f: X \to Y$  induces  $f^*: C_{\pi}(X \times X) \to C_{\pi}(Y \times Y)$ 

defined by  $f^*(K) = (f \times f)(K)$ .

A continuum is called *arc-like* if it is represented as the limit of an inverse sequence of arcs. A continuum X is called *hereditarily indecomposable* if, for each pair A, B of subcontinua of X such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , either  $A \subset B$  or  $A \supset B$  holds.

A hereditarily indecomposable arc-like continuum is topologically unique and is called the *pseudo-arc* (denoted by P). It is known that P is the unique homogeneous arc-like continuum ([1]).

In what follows, the Hilbert cube is denoted by Q.

The following theorem is fundamental in this paper.

THEOREM 1.2 ([13]).  $G_P = C_{\pi}(P \times P).$ 

**2.**  $G_P$  is homeomorphic to Q. First we prove the following result.

THEOREM 2.1. Let X be an arc-like continuum. Then  $C_{\pi}(X \times X)$  is homeomorphic to Q.

Proof. Let  $X = \lim_{\leftarrow} (I_n, p_{n,n+1})$ , where each  $I_n$  is an arc and each  $p_{n,n+1}: I_{n+1} \to I_n$  is surjective. Let  $p_n: X_n \to I_n$  be the projection onto the *n*th factor.

Step 1. First we prove that  $C_{\pi}(X \times X) = \lim_{\leftarrow} (C_{\pi}(I_n \times I_n), p_{n,n+1}^*).$ Notice that  $p_{n,n+1}^* \circ p_{n+1}^* = p_n^*$ . So the limit of  $p_n^*$ 's,  $\lim_{\leftarrow} p_n^* : C_{\pi}(X \times X) \to \lim_{\leftarrow} (C_{\pi}(I_n \times I_n), p_{n,n+1}^*)$ , is defined.

By [6], Proposition 1.2,  $p_{n,n+1}^* : C_{\pi}(I_{n+1} \times I_{n+1}) \to C_{\pi}(I_n \times I_n)$  and  $p_n^* : C_{\pi}(X \times X) \to C_{\pi}(I_n \times I_n)$  are surjective for each n. Using this fact, we can see that  $\lim p_n^*$  is a homeomorphism.

Step 2. Next we show that if I is an arc, then  $C_{\pi}(I \times I)$  is homeomorphic to Q. It is clear that  $C_{\pi}(I \times I)$  has the following property:

(1) If K and L are subcontinua of  $I \times I$  such that  $K \subset L$  and  $K \in C_{\pi}(I \times I)$ , then  $L \in C_{\pi}(I \times I)$ .

Using (1), we can see that  $C_{\pi}(I \times I)$  is an AR in the same way as in [7], Theorem 4.4 (see also Remark, p. 29 of [7]). Using the method of [5], Lemma 4.4, we have

(2) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a map  $g : C_{\pi}(I \times I) \to C_{\pi}(I \times I)$  such that  $d(g, \mathrm{id}) < \varepsilon$  and  $\mathrm{im} g$  is a Z-set in  $C_{\pi}(I \times I)$ .

Hence  $C_{\pi}(I \times I)$  has the disjoint *n*-cell property for each *n*, so by Toruńczyk's characterization theorem [14],  $C_{\pi}(I \times I)$  is homeomorphic to Q.

Step 3.  $p_{n,n+1}^* : C_{\pi}(I_{n+1} \times I_{n+1}) \to C_{\pi}(I_n \times I_n)$  is a cell-like map. To show this, first we prove that

(3)  $p_{n,n+1}^*$  is a monotone map.

Take  $K \in C_{\pi}(I_n \times I_n)$  and let  $\Lambda_K = p_{n,n+1}^{*-1}(K)$ . For each  $A, B \in \Lambda_K$ ,  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , because  $\pi_1(A) = \pi_1(B) = \pi_2(A) = \pi_2(B) = I_{n+1}$ . So there exist order arcs  $\alpha_A$ ,  $\beta_B$  from A to  $A \cup B$  and from B to  $A \cup B$  respectively. It is easy to see that  $\alpha_A \cup \alpha_B \subset \Lambda_K$ . Hence  $\Lambda_K$  is an arcwise connected continuum.

Consider the hyperspace  $C(\Lambda_K)$  (note that  $C(\Lambda_K) \subset C(C(I_n \times I_n)))$ ). Since  $\Lambda_K$  is a continuum,  $C(\Lambda_K)$  has the trivial shape ([11], p. 180). Let  $\sigma : C(C(I_n \times I_n)) \to C(I_n \times I_n)$  be the union function defined by  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$  for each  $\mathcal{A} \in C(C(I_n \times I_n))$ .

Take any  $\mathcal{A} \in C(\Lambda_K)$ . Then  $p_{n,n+1}(\mathcal{A}) = K$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , and hence  $p_{n,n+1}^*(\sigma(\mathcal{A})) = p_{n,n+1}(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = K$ . This means  $\sigma(C(\Lambda_K)) \subset \Lambda_K$ , and it is easy to see that  $\sigma(\{A\}) = A$  for each  $A \in \Lambda_K$ . Hence  $\sigma(C(\Lambda_K)) = \Lambda_K$  and  $\sigma|C(\Lambda_K)$  is a retraction onto  $\Lambda_K$ . The trivial shape is preserved under any retraction, so  $\Lambda_K$  has the trivial shape. (See [12], Lemma 2.1, for that argument.)

 $\operatorname{Remark.}$  In fact,  $\Lambda_K$  is locally connected, and so  $C(\Lambda_K)$  and  $\Lambda_K$  are AR's.

By Steps 2 and 3, each  $p_{n,n+1}^*$  is a near-homeomorphism (see [4], pp. 105–106). Hence by [3] and Step 1,  $C_{\pi}(X \times X)$  is homeomorphic to Q.

Combining Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.1, we have

COROLLARY 2.2.  $G_P$  is homeomorphic to Q.

### **3.** The remainder of $G_P$

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a continuum. A continuous map  $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *Whitney map* if it satisfies the following conditions:

1)  $\mu(X) = 1$  and  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$ .

2) If  $A, B \in C(X)$  satisfy  $A \subsetneq B$ , then  $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ .

DEFINITION 3.2. Let X be a hereditarily indecomposable continuum, and fix a Whitney map  $\mu : C(X) \to [0, 1]$ .

1) Let p be a point of X. The order arc  $\alpha_p : [0,1] \to C(X)$  is defined by  $\alpha_p(0) = \{p\}$  and  $\mu(\alpha_p(t)) = t$  for each  $0 \le t \le 1$ . By the hereditary indecomposability of X,  $\alpha_p$  is uniquely determined ([7], (8.4), or [11], (1.61)).

2) Let  $\alpha : X \times [0,1] \to C(X)$  be the map defined by  $\alpha(p,t) = \alpha_p(t)$  for  $(p,t) \in X \times [0,1]$ . Then  $\alpha$  is continuous ([11], (1.63), pp. 113–114).

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $\varphi : H(P) \to C(P \times P)$  be the map defined in 1.1. Then im  $\varphi = \{K \in C_{\pi}(P \times P) \mid \text{for each } p \in P, \#(P \times p \cap K) = \#(p \times P \cap K) = 1\},\$ where #A denotes the cardinality of a set A. Proof. It is clear that for each  $f \in H(P)$  and for each  $p \in P$ ,  $\#(P \times p \cap \text{gr } f) = \#(p \times P \cap \text{gr } f) = 1$ . Conversely, take any  $K \in C_{\pi}(P \times P)$  such that for each  $p \in P$ ,  $\#(P \times p \cap K) = \#(p \times P \cap K) = 1$ . By Theorem 1.2,  $C_{\pi}(P \times P) = G_P$ , hence there exists a sequence  $(f_n) \subset H(P)$  such that  $\text{gr } f_n \to K$  (convergence in the Hausdorff metric). We claim that

(1)  $(f_n)$  is equicontinuous.

Suppose not. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $n \ge 1$ , there exist  $x_n, y_n \in P$  and a subsequence  $(f_{k_n})$  such that  $d(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$ and  $d(f_{k_n}(x_n), f_{k_n}(y_n)) \ge \varepsilon_0$ . We may assume that  $\lim x_n = \lim y_n = p$  and  $\lim f_{k_n}(x_n) = x$ ,  $\lim f_{k_n}(y_n) = y$ . Then  $(p, x) = \lim(x_n, f_{k_n}(x_n)) \in K$  and similarly  $(p, y) \in K$ . But  $x \neq y$ , which contradicts the hypothesis.

By (1) and the Ascoli–Arzelà theorem, the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to a continuous map f. So  $K = \operatorname{gr} f$ . Since  $\#(P \times p \cap K) = 1$ , we have  $f \in H(P)$ . This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.4. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a homotopy  $H : G_P \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G_P$  which satisfies the following conditions.

(1) H is an  $\varepsilon$ -homotopy and  $H_0 = \mathrm{id}$ .

(2) 
$$H(G_P \times (0,1]) \subset G_P - H(P).$$

Proof. Fix a Whitney map  $\mu: C(P) \to [0,1]$ . Take a small  $t_0 > 0$  such that

(3)  $0 < \operatorname{diam} A < \varepsilon$  for each  $A \in \mu^{-1}(t_0)$ .

Then  $H: G_P \times [0,1] \to G_P$  is defined by

$$H(K,t) = \bigcup \{ x \times \alpha_y(t \cdot t_0) \mid (x,y) \in K \}.$$

We prove that  $H(K,t) \in G_P$  for each (K,t). Take  $(x_n, z_n) \in H(K,t)$ and assume that  $(x_n, z_n) \to (x, z)$ . There exist  $(x_n, y_n) \in K$  such that  $(x_n, z_n) \in x_n \times \alpha_{y_n}(t \cdot t_0)$ . We may assume that  $y_n \to y$ . Then  $(x_n, y_n) \to$ (x, y) and by the continuity of  $\alpha$ ,  $(x, z) \in x \times \alpha_y(t \cdot t_0) \subset H(K, t)$ . Hence H(K,t) is compact. It is clear that H(K,t) is connected and contains K. So  $H(K,t) \in C_{\pi}(P \times P) = G_P$ . Using the continuity of  $\alpha$  again, we see that H is continuous. By (3), H is an  $\varepsilon$ -homotopy, and by Lemma 3.3, condition (2) is satisfied.

THEOREM 3.5. For each open subset U of  $G_P - H(P)$ , there exists an imbedding  $i: Q \to U$  of Q into U.

Proof. Let V be any open subset of  $G_P - H(P)$ . There exists an open subset V of  $G_P$  such that  $V \cap (G_P - H(P)) = U$ . Since H(P) is dense in  $G_P$ , we can find  $f \in H(P) \cap V$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small so that  $N_{\varepsilon}(\operatorname{gr} f) \subset V$ . Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence in P such that  $p_n \to p \in P$ . Take a sequence  $(K_n)$  of subcontinua of P such that

(1) 
$$f(p_n) \in K_n \text{ and } \dim K_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

For each  $n \ge 0$ , let  $\alpha_n : [0,1] \to C(K_n)$  be the order arc such that

(2) 
$$\alpha_n(0) = \{f(p_n)\} \text{ and } \alpha_n(1) = K_n.$$

Let  $Q' = I^{\infty}$ . We define a map  $i : Q' \to V$  by

$$i((t_n)) = \operatorname{gr} f \cup \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \{p_n\} \times \alpha_n(t_n) \quad \text{ for } (t_n)_{n \ge 0} \in Q'.$$

Then in the same way as in [7], Theorem 5.1, i is an imbedding. But  $\operatorname{im} i \cap H(P) = \{\operatorname{gr} f\}$  by Lemma 3.3, and we can take a Hilbert cube  $Q \subset Q'$  such that  $i(Q) \subset V \cap (G_P - H(P)) = U$ . This completes the proof.

Remark 3.6. H(P) has no interior points in  $G_P$  by Theorem 3.4. Therefore  $G_P - H(P)$  is not completely metrizable, and hence is not a Q-manifold.

#### REFERENCES

- R. H. Bing, A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum, Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), 729–742.
- [2] P. L. Bowers, Dense embeddings of nowhere locally compact separable metric spaces, Topology Appl. 26 (1987), 1–12.
- [3] M. Brown, Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 478–483.
- [4] T. A. Chapman, Lectures on Hilbert Cube Manifolds, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 28, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 1975.
- [5] D. W. Curtis and R. M. Schori, Hyperspaces which characterize simple homotopy type, Gen. Topology Appl. 6 (1976), 153-165.
- [6] K. Kawamura, Span zero continua and the pseudo-arc, Tsukuba J. Math. 14 (1990), 327–341.
- [7] J. L. Kelley, Hyperspaces of a continuum, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1942), 22–36.
- [8] J. Kennedy, Compactifying the space of homeomorphisms, Colloq. Math. 56 (1988), 41-58.
- J. Kennedy Phelps, Homogeneity and groups of homeomorphisms, Topology Proc. 6 (1981), 371–404.
- W. Lewis, Pseudo-arc and connectedness in homeomorphism groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 745–748.
- [11] S. B. Nadler, Hyperspaces of Sets, Marcel Dekker, 1978.
- [12] —, Induced universal maps and some hyperspaces with fixed point property, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 749–754.
- [13] M. Smith, Concerning the homeomorphisms of the pseudo-arc X as a subspace of  $C(X \times X)$ , Houston J. Math. 12 (1986), 431–440.

K. KAWAMURA

 [14] H. Toruńczyk, On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and the characterization of Qmanifolds, Fund. Math. 106 (1980), 31-40.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA TSUKUBA-CITY, IBARAKI 305 JAPAN

> Reçu par la Rédaction le 24.10.1989; en version modifiée le 30.8.1990

330