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1. Introduction. There are many problems in number theory that reduce to searching for squares in specific sequences. For instance, we would like to know whether there are infinitely many squares of type $p-1$, where $p$ ranges over primes. Of particular interest is the general problem whether the difference set

$$
\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}=\{a-b ; a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}\}
$$

contains squares. Furstenberg [1] and Sárközy [3] studied the case $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}$ and gave an affirmative answer under the amazingly general condition that $\mathcal{A}$ has a positive upper density. Sárközy [3] succeeded in proving a quantitative version for thinner sets. The best result (under the weakest assumptions) so far has been established by Pintz, Steiger and Szemerédi [2] showing that $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}$ contains infinitely many squares if

$$
\mathcal{A}(x)=\#\{a \in \mathcal{A} ; a \leq x\}>c x(\log x)^{-(1 / 12) \log \log \log \log x}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute positive constant.
Various methods have been employed. Furstenberg used ergodic theory, Sárközy applied the circle method together with a combinatorial idea and Pintz, Steiger and Szemerédi introduced further combinatorial refinements.

In this work we apply a variant of the dispersion method which has a potential to give squares in considerably thinner sets.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{m}\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{n}\right)$ be finite sequences of complex numbers. In applications $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ will be considered as characteristic functions of finite sets. Our aim is to evaluate the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\sum_{m-n=l^{2}} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} l . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu_{d}(k)$ be the number of solutions to $x^{2} \equiv k(\bmod d)$. Put

$$
\chi_{c}(k)=\sum_{d \mid c} \mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \nu_{d}(k) \quad \text { and } \quad s_{C}(k)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c \leq C} \chi_{c}(k) .
$$

Theorem 1. Let $C \geq 2$ and $a_{m}=0$ for $m>M$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} s_{C}(m-n)+\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ll\left(C^{-1 / 2} M+M^{11 / 12} \log M\right)\|\mathcal{A}\|\|\mathcal{B}\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\|\mathcal{A}\|=\left(\sum\left|a_{m}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad\|\mathcal{B}\|=\left(\sum\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Remarks. Notice that $\chi_{c}(k)$ is multiplicative in $c$. If $(c, 2 k)=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{c}(k)=\left(\frac{k}{c}\right) \mu^{2}(c) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For other $c$ the formula for $\chi_{c}(k)$ is somewhat complicated but still expressed in terms of the quadratic character.

The error term $\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ can be improved a bit by employing the Fourier technique. Regarding the parameter $C$ it yields the best estimate for the error term $\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ with $C=M^{1 / 6}$, however such a choice may not be best for handling the main term. Clearly, for that matter we may want $C$ to be small depending on our knowledge of the distribution of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ in arithmetic progressions. For example, if we deal with primes $\leq M$ then we can allow $C \ll(\log M)^{A}$ in view of the Prime Number Theorem of Siegel and Walfisz.
2. Dispersion method. We set $s(k)=l$ if $k=l^{2}$ and $s(k)=0$ otherwise, so

$$
S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} s(m-n) .
$$

Therefore Theorem 1 reveals that the character sum $s_{C}(k)$ approximates $s(k)$ very well in the sense that the bilinear form

$$
S_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} s_{C}(m-n)
$$

is close to $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. To estimate the difference $\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})-$ $S_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ we shall apply the dispersion method.

Let us introduce

$$
S(n)=\sum_{m>n} a_{m} s(m-n), \quad S_{C}(n)=\sum_{m>n} a_{m} s_{C}(m-n),
$$

and

$$
S_{C}=\sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} s_{C}(m-n) .
$$

By Cauchy's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\sum_{n} b_{n}\left(S(n)-S_{C}(n)\right) \ll D^{1 / 2}\|\mathcal{B}\| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D=\sum_{n}\left|S(n)-S_{C}(n)\right|^{2}
$$

Squaring out and changing the order of summation we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\langle S, S\rangle-2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle S, S_{C}\right\rangle+\left\langle S_{C}, S_{C}\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\langle S, S\rangle=\sum_{n}|S(n)|^{2}, \quad\left\langle S, S_{C}\right\rangle=\sum_{n} S(n) \overline{S_{C}(n)}, \quad\left\langle S_{C}, S_{C}\right\rangle=\sum_{n}\left|S_{C}(n)\right|^{2},
$$

with the aim of evaluating each sum separately.
3. Evaluation of $\left\langle S_{C}, S_{C}\right\rangle$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S_{C}, S_{C}\right\rangle & =\sum_{n} \sum_{m_{1}>n} \sum_{m_{2}>n} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} s_{C}\left(m_{1}-n\right) s_{C}\left(m_{2}-n\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{c_{1}, c_{2} \leq C} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \mathcal{J}_{c_{1} c_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{J}_{c_{1} c_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\sum_{n<\min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)} \chi_{c_{1}}\left(m_{1}-n\right) \chi_{c_{2}}\left(m_{2}-n\right) .
$$

Since $\chi_{c}(k)$ is periodic in $k$ of period $c$ we split the summation over $n$ into progressions to modulus $c_{1} c_{2}$ and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{c_{1} c_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) & =\sum_{z\left(\bmod c_{1} c_{2}\right)} \chi_{c_{1}}\left(m_{1}-z\right) \chi_{c_{2}}\left(m_{2}-z\right)\left(\frac{\min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}{c_{1} c_{2}}+O(1)\right) \\
& =\frac{\min \left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)}{c_{1} c_{2}} \mathfrak{j}_{c_{1} c_{2}}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)+O\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{j}_{c_{1} c_{2}}(k)=\sum_{z\left(\bmod c_{1} c_{2}\right)} \chi_{c_{1}}(z) \chi_{c_{2}}(z-k), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which resembles the Jacobi sum. Here the error term comes from the trivial estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{z\left(\bmod c_{1} c_{2}\right)}\left|\chi_{c_{1}}(z) \chi_{c_{2}}(z-k)\right| \ll c_{1} c_{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exact evaluation of $\mathfrak{j}_{c_{1} c_{2}}(k)$ we appeal to the formula for the Ramanujan sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c}(k)=\sum_{x(\bmod c)}^{*} e\left(\frac{k x}{c}\right)=\sum_{\substack{d|c \\ d| k}} d \mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{c}(k)=\frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(\bmod c)} \sum_{y(\bmod c)}^{*} e\left(\frac{y\left(x^{2}-k\right)}{c}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{j}_{c_{1} c_{2}}(k) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{c_{1} c_{2}} \sum_{\substack{\left.x_{1} \bmod c_{1}\right) \\
x_{2}\left(\bmod c_{2}\right)}} \sum_{\substack{y_{1}\left(\bmod c_{1}\right) \\
y_{2}\left(\bmod c_{2}\right)}}^{*} \sum_{z\left(\bmod c_{1} c_{2}\right)}^{*} e\left(\frac{y_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}-z\right)}{c_{1}}-\frac{y_{2}\left(x_{2}^{2}-z+k\right)}{c_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the innermost sum vanishes unless $c_{1}=c_{2}=c$ say, and $y_{1} \equiv y_{2}(\bmod c)$, in which case we get

$$
\mathfrak{j}_{c c}(k)=\sum_{y(\bmod c)}^{*} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}(\bmod c)} \sum e\left(\frac{y\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-k\right)}{c}\right)=|G(c)|^{2} R_{c}(k),
$$

where $G(c)$ is the Gauss sum

$$
G(c)=\sum_{x(\bmod c)} e\left(\frac{x^{2}}{c}\right) .
$$

For subsequent use we recall the well-known formula

$$
|G(c)|^{2}= \begin{cases}c & \text { if } 2 \nmid c,  \tag{11}\\ 0 & \text { if } 2 \| c, \\ 2 c & \text { if } 4 \mid c\end{cases}
$$

Collecting the above evaluations we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle S_{C}, S_{C}\right\rangle  \tag{12}\\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-2}|G(c)|^{2} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) R_{c}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+O\left(C^{4}\left(\sum_{m}\left|a_{m}\right|\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

4. Evaluation of $\left\langle S, S_{C}\right\rangle$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle S, S_{C}\right\rangle & =\sum_{n} \sum_{m_{1}>n} \sum_{m_{2}>n} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} s\left(m_{1}-n\right) s_{C}\left(m_{2}-n\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c \leq C} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \mathcal{J}_{c}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{c}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n<\min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)} s\left(m_{1}-n\right) \chi_{c}\left(m_{2}-n\right) \\
& =\sum_{0<m_{1}-l^{2}<\min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)} \chi_{c}\left(l^{2}+m_{2}-m_{1}\right) l \\
& =\sum_{z(\bmod c)} \chi_{c}\left(z^{2}+m_{2}-m_{1}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{l \equiv z(\bmod c) \\
0<m_{1}-l^{2}<\min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}} l\right) \\
& =\frac{\min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}{2 c} \mathfrak{j}_{c}\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right)+O\left(c m_{1}^{1 / 2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{j}_{c}(k)=\sum_{z(\bmod c)} \chi_{c}\left(z^{2}+k\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the error term comes from the trivial estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{z(\bmod c)}\left|\chi_{c}\left(z^{2}+k\right)\right| \ll c \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10) and (13) we infer that

$$
\mathfrak{j}_{c}(k)=\frac{1}{c} \sum_{x(\bmod c)} \sum_{y(\bmod c)}^{*} \sum_{z(\bmod c)} e\left(\frac{y\left(x^{2}-z^{2}-k\right)}{c}\right)=\frac{|G(c)|^{2}}{c} R_{c}(k)
$$

Collecting the above evaluations we conclude that
(15) $\left\langle S, S_{C}\right\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-2}|G(c)|^{2} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) R_{c}\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right) \\
& +O\left(C^{2}\left(\sum_{m} m^{1 / 2}\left|a_{m}\right|\right)\left(\sum_{m}\left|a_{m}\right|\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Evaluation of $\langle S, S\rangle$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle S, S\rangle & =2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n<m_{2}<m_{1}} \sum_{m_{1}} a_{m_{2}} s\left(m_{1}-n\right) s\left(m_{2}-n\right)+\sum_{l^{2}<m} \sum_{m_{2}<m_{1}} l^{2}\left|a_{m}\right|^{2} \\
& =2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{m} \sum_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \mathcal{J}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)+O\left(\sum_{m} m^{3 / 2}\left|a_{m}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{J}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\sum_{n<m_{2}} \sum s\left(m_{1}-n\right) s\left(m_{2}-n\right)
$$

To evaluate $\mathcal{J}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ we put $n=m_{1}-l_{1}^{2}=m_{2}-l_{2}^{2}$ and then $u=l_{1}-l_{2}$, $v=l_{1}+l_{2}$. This is a one-to-one correspondence subject to the following conditions:

$$
U_{1}<u<U_{2}, \quad u v=k, \quad u \equiv v(\bmod 2)
$$

where $U_{1}=\sqrt{m_{1}}-\sqrt{m_{2}}, U_{2}=\sqrt{m_{1}-m_{2}}$ and $k=m_{1}-m_{2}$.
Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{u} \sum_{v}\left(v^{2}-u^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{U_{1}<u<U_{2} \\
k \equiv u^{2}(\bmod 2 u)}}\left(k^{2} u^{-2}-u^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{U_{1}<u<U_{2}}\left(k^{2} u^{-2}-u^{2}\right) \frac{1}{2 u} \sum_{y(\bmod 2 u)} e\left(\frac{y\left(k-u^{2}\right)}{2 u}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{2 U_{1}<c r<2 U_{2} \\
2 \mid c r}}(c r)^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{k}{c r}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{c r}{4}\right)\right] \sum_{x(\bmod c)}^{*} e\left(\frac{x k}{c}+\frac{x c r^{2}}{4}\right) \\
& =H\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)+I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

say, where $H\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ denotes the partial sum restricted by $c \leq C$ and $I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ denotes the partial sum restricted by $c>C$.

First we evaluate $H\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$. Given $c \leq C$ we sum over $r$ getting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{R_{1}<r<R_{2} \\
2 \mid(2, c) r}} r^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{k}{c r}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{c r}{4}\right)^{2}\right] e\left(\frac{x c r^{2}}{4}\right) \\
&=\sum_{\substack{\varrho(\bmod 2) \\
2 \mid(2, c) \varrho}} e\left(\frac{x c \varrho^{2}}{4}\right) \sum_{\substack{R_{1}<r<R_{2} \\
r \equiv \varrho(\bmod 2)}} r^{-1}\left[\left(\frac{k}{c r}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{c r}{4}\right)^{2}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{1}=2 U_{1} c^{-1}$ and $R_{2}=2 U_{2} c^{-1}$. The innermost sum is approximated by

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}}\left[\left(\frac{k}{c r}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{c r}{4}\right)^{2}\right] \frac{d r}{r}+O\left(\frac{m_{1}}{R_{1}}\right)=\frac{m_{2}}{4}+O\left(\frac{c m_{1}}{\sqrt{m_{1}}-\sqrt{m_{2}}}\right)
$$

and the outer sum is clearly equal to $|G(c)|^{2} c^{-1}$ (see (11)). This gives

$$
H\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-2}|G(c)|^{2} m_{2} R_{c}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{C m_{1}}{\sqrt{m_{1}}-\sqrt{m_{2}}}\right) .
$$

Now we proceed to estimate $I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ by an appeal to the large sieve inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{a(\bmod q)}^{*}\left|\sum_{m \leq M} \lambda_{m} e\left(\frac{a}{q} m\right)\right|^{2} \leq\left(Q^{2}+M\right) \sum_{m \leq M}\left|\lambda_{m}\right|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the sequence $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{m}\right)$ is supported in the interval $1 \leq$ $m \leq M$ and deduce by partial summation that

$$
\sum_{m_{2}<m_{1}} \sum_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\ll C^{-1} M(\log M)^{2} \sum_{c \leq 2 \sqrt{M}} \sum_{x(\bmod c)}^{*}\left|\sum_{m \leq M} a_{m}^{\prime} e\left(\frac{x}{c} m\right)\right|\left|\sum_{m \leq M} a_{m}^{\prime \prime} e\left(\frac{x}{c} m\right)\right|
$$

with some sequences $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left(a_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=\left(a_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $\left|a_{m}^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|a_{m}\right|$ and $\left|a_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|a_{m}\right|$. Hence by (16) the above sum is

$$
\ll C^{-1} M^{2}(\log M)^{2} \sum_{m \leq M}\left|a_{m}\right|^{2}
$$

Collecting the above results we conclude that
(17) $\langle S, S\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-2}|G(c)|^{2} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) R_{c}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \\
& +O\left(\left(C M^{3 / 2}+C^{-1} M^{2}\right)(\log M)^{2}\left(\sum_{m}\left|a_{m}\right|^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Proof of Theorem 1. Conclusion. Inserting (12), (15) and (17) to (6) we find that the main terms cancel out and we are left with the error terms giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \ll\left(C^{4} M+C^{2} M^{3 / 2}+C^{-1} M^{2}\right)(\log M)^{2}\left(\sum_{m}\left|a_{m}\right|^{2}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by (5) we get (2) with

$$
\mathcal{E}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ll\left(C^{2} M^{-1 / 2}+C M^{3 / 4}+C^{-1 / 2} M\right)(\log M)\|\mathcal{A}\|\|\mathcal{B}\| .
$$

We shall improve this result slightly by estimating the difference

$$
S_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})-S_{C_{0}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C_{0}<c \leq C} \sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} \chi_{c}(m-n) .
$$

Using the results of Section 3 and the large sieve inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|S_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})-S_{C_{0}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\|\mathcal{B}\|^{2} \sum_{n}\left|\sum_{C_{0}<c \leq C} \sum_{m>n} a_{m} \chi_{c}(m-n)\right|^{2} \\
&=\|\mathcal{B}\|^{2} \sum_{C_{0}<c_{1}, c_{2} \leq C} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \mathcal{J}_{c_{1} c_{2}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \\
&=\|\mathcal{B}\|^{2} \sum_{C_{0}<c \leq C} c^{-2}|G(c)|^{2} \sum_{m_{1}} \sum_{m_{2}} a_{m_{1}} \bar{a}_{m_{2}} \min \left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) R_{c}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+O\left(C^{4} M\|\mathcal{A}\|^{2}\|\mathcal{B}\|^{2}\right) \\
& \ll\left(C_{0}^{-1} M^{2}+C^{4} M\right)\|\mathcal{A}\|^{2}\|\mathcal{B}\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=S_{C_{0}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \\
& \quad+O\left(\left[\left(C^{2} M^{1 / 2}+C M^{3 / 4}+C^{-1 / 2} M\right) \log M+C_{0}^{-1 / 2} M\right]\|\mathcal{A}\|\|\mathcal{B}\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $C=M^{1 / 6}$ and get (2) with (3).
7. Further assumptions and results. Our goal is to give a more accessible expression for the main term $S_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ in Theorem 1. To this end we impose local conditions on the distribution of squares in the difference set. Suppose the sequences $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ satisfy the asymptotic law

$$
\sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n} \nu_{d}(m-n)=\omega(d) \sum_{m>n} a_{m} b_{n}+r_{d}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})
$$

where $r_{d}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is considered as an error term and $\omega(d)$ is a multiplicative function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(s)=\zeta^{-1}(s) \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \omega(d) d^{-s} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is holomorphic and bounded in $\operatorname{Re} s \geq-1 / 2$. We obtain

$$
S_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c \leq C}\left(\sum_{d \mid c} \omega(d) \mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)\right) \sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} a_{m} b_{n}+\mathcal{F}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\right| \leq \sum_{d \leq C} C d^{-1}\left|r_{d}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})\right| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by contour integration we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c \leq C}\left(\sum_{d \mid c} \omega(d)\right. & \left.\mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{1 / 2-i T}^{1 / 2+i T} Z(s) C^{s} \frac{d s}{s}+O\left(T^{-1} C^{1 / 2} \log C\right) \\
& =Z(0)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-1 / 2-i T}^{-1 / 2+i T} Z(s) C^{s} \frac{d s}{s}+O\left(T^{-1} C^{1 / 2} \log C\right) \\
& =Z(0)+O\left(C^{-1 / 2} \log T+T^{-1} C^{1 / 2} \log C\right) \\
& =Z(0)+O\left(C^{-1 / 2} \log C\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by taking $T=C$. Hence we conclude the following
Theorem 2. Under the above conditions we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\frac{1}{2} Z(0) \sum_{m>n} \sum_{m} & a_{m} b_{n}+\mathcal{F}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \\
& +O\left(M C^{-1 / 2} \log C+M^{11 / 12} \log M\right)\|\mathcal{A}\|\|\mathcal{B}\|
\end{aligned}
$$

8. An application. To illustrate the asymptotic formula of Theorem 2 we consider the sequences $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}=(\Lambda(n))$, the von Mangoldt function. By the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis we get

$$
\sum_{n<m \leq M} \sum_{n \leq} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(n) \nu_{d}(m-n)=\omega(d) \sum_{n<m \leq M} \sum_{m} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(n)+r_{d}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})
$$

where

$$
\omega(d)=\frac{1}{\varphi^{2}(d)} \sum_{\alpha, \beta(\bmod d)}^{*} \sum_{d}^{*} \nu_{d}(\alpha-\beta)=1
$$

and

$$
r_{d}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ll\left(d M^{3 / 2}+d^{2} M\right)(\log M)^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{F}_{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ll\left(C^{2} M^{3 / 2}+C^{3} M\right)(\log M)^{2}
$$

Corollary. We have

$$
\sum_{n<m \leq M} \sum_{M} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(n) s(m-n)=\frac{1}{4} M^{2}+O\left(M^{23 / 12} \log M\right)
$$

Remark. Assuming no Riemann hypothesis one gets the above asymptotics with the error term $O\left(M^{2}(\log M)^{-A}\right)$ for any $A>0$, and the implied constant depending on $A$.
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