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#### Abstract

Let $a<0, \Omega=\mathbb{C}-(-\infty, a]$ and $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. We consider the class $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$ of functions $f$ which are univalent, harmonic and sense preserving with $f(U)=\Omega$ and satisfy $f(0)=0, f_{z}(0)>0$ and $f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$. We describe the closure $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$ of $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$ and determine the extreme points of $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$.


1. Introduction. Let $S_{H}$ be the class of functions $f$ which are univalent sense preserving harmonic mappings of the unit disk $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and satisfy $f(0)=0$ and $f_{z}(0)>0$. Let $F$ and $G$ be analytic in $U$ with $F(0)=G(0)=0$ and $\operatorname{Re} f(z)=\operatorname{Re} F(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im} f(z)=\operatorname{Re} G(z)$ for $z$ in $U$. Then $h=(F+i G) / 2$ and $g=(F-i G) / 2$ are analytic in $U$ and $f=h+\bar{g}$. $f$ is locally one-to-one and sense preserving if and only if $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $z$ in $U$ [3]. If $h(z)=a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, a_{1}>0$, and $g(z)=b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ for $z$ in $U$, it follows that $\left|b_{1}\right|<a_{1}$ and hence $a_{1} f-\bar{b}_{1} \bar{f}$ also belongs to $S_{H}$. Thus, consideration is often restricted to the subclass $S_{H}^{0}$ of $S_{H}$ consisting of those functions in $S_{H}$ with $f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$.

Since harmonic mappings are not essentially determined by their image domains, various authors have studied subclasses of $S_{H}$, consisting of functions mapping $U$ onto a specific simply connected domain $D$. In particular, Hengartner and Schober [5] considered the case of $D$ being a strip, Abu-Muhanna and Schober [1] considered the case of $D$ being a wedge or a half plane. Cima and the author [2] also considered the case of $D$ being a strip.

In this paper we will study the case of $D$ being the plane $\mathbb{C}$ slit along a ray pointing at the origin. This type of domain is often extremal for certain problems over classes of functions mapping $U$ onto domains that are starlike or convex in one direction. Also, Hengartner and Schober [6] considered the case of $D$ being the plane $\mathbb{C}$ slit along the interval $(-\infty, 0]$. They studied these mappings as they related to minimal surfaces. Our purpose is to study

[^0]extreme points and to use the knowledge of extreme points to solve some extremal problems.

Let $a<0$ and $\Omega=\mathbb{C}-(-\infty, a] . S_{H}(U, \Omega)$ is the class of functions $f$ which are univalent sense preserving harmonic maps with $f(U)=\Omega$ and satisfy $f(0)=0, f_{z}(0)>0$ and $f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$.

In the sequel $F$ and $G$ will be functions analytic in $U$ with $F(0)=$ $G(0)=0$ and $\operatorname{Re} f(z)=\operatorname{Re} F(z)$ and $\operatorname{Re} G(z)=\operatorname{Im} f(z)$ for $z$ in $U$. If $h=(F+i G) / 2$ and $g=(F-i G) / 2$ then $f=h+\bar{g}$ and $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $z$ in $U$.
2. The class $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of functions $P(z)$ which are analytic in $U$ with $P(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} P(z)>0$ for $z$ in $U$.

Lemma 1. If $P(z)$ is in $\mathcal{P}$, then

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-1} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta \leq-\frac{1}{6} .
$$

Proof.

$$
\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-1} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{-(1-t)}{(1+t)^{3}} \operatorname{Re} P(-t) d t
$$

However, it is well known that

$$
\frac{1-t}{1+t} \leq \operatorname{Re} P(-t) \leq \frac{1+t}{1-t} .
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{-1}{(1+t)^{2}} d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{-(1-t)}{(1+t)^{3}} \operatorname{Re} P(-t) d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{-(1-t)^{2}}{(1+t)^{4}} d t
$$

and the lemma follows.
We now let $\mathcal{F}$ be the class of functions $f$ which have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z)=\frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-1} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta}\left[\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta\right. &  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left.+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is in $\mathcal{P}$.

THEOREM 1. If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $f$ is harmonic, sense preserving and univalent in $U$ and $f(U)$ is convex in the direction of the real axis with $f(U) \subset \Omega$.

Proof. Let $f=h+\bar{g}=\operatorname{Re} F+i \operatorname{Re} G$. Then with

$$
A=a / \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-1}\left[(1+\zeta) /(1-\zeta)^{3}\right] P(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

we have

$$
F(z)=A \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta \quad \text { and } \quad G(z)=-\frac{i A z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{F^{\prime}(z)-i G^{\prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)+i G^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{P(z)-1}{P(z)+1}
$$

it follows that $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $z$ in $U$. Thus $f$ is locally one-to-one and sense preserving in $U$. Also

$$
h(z)-g(z)=i G(z)=\frac{A z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

is convex in the direction of the real axis. By a theorem of Clunie and Sheil-Small [3, Theorem 5.3], $f$ is univalent and $f(U)$ is convex in the direction of the real axis.

Moreover, $f(z)$ is real if and only if $z$ is real. Since $A>0$ and $\operatorname{Re} P(z)>0$ it follows that $f(r)=\operatorname{Re} F(r)$ is increasing on $(-1,1)$ and bounded on $(-1,0)$. Thus $\lim _{r \rightarrow-1+} f(r)$ exists and equals $a$. Moreover, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r)=$ $+\infty$. Thus $f(U)$ omits the interval $(-\infty, a]$. Therefore $f(U) \subset \Omega$.

The next theorem up to translation is contained in [6]. However, for the sake of completeness and since our point of view is somewhat different, we include a proof here.

Theorem 2. $S_{H}(U, \Omega) \subset \mathcal{F}$.
Proof. Let $f$ be in $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$. Since $\Omega$ is convex in the direction of the real axis, by a result of Clunie and Sheil-Small, $h-g=i G$ is univalent and convex in the direction of the real axis.

Let $h(z)=a_{1} z+a_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, a_{1}>0$, and $g(z)=b_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ Then $G(z)=-i(h(z)-g(z))=-a_{1} i z+\ldots$ Since $f(U)=\Omega, \operatorname{Re} G(z)=\operatorname{Im} f(z)$ is 0 on the boundary of $U$. Since $G$ is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, it follows that $G(U)$ is $\mathbb{C}$ slit along one or two infinite rays along the imaginary axis. Thus $G(z) /\left(-a_{1} i\right)$ maps $U$ onto $\mathbb{C}$ slit along one or two infinite rays along the real axis. However, $G(z) /\left(-a_{1} i\right)$ is a member of the class $S$ of functions $f$ analytic and univalent in $U$ and normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Making use of subordination arguments, it follows
that $G(z) /\left(-a_{1} i\right)$ has the form

$$
\frac{G(z)}{-a_{1} i}=\frac{z}{1+c z+z^{2}}, \quad-2 \leq c \leq 2
$$

and hence $\operatorname{Im} f(r)=\operatorname{Re} G(r)=0$ for $-1<r<1$. Since $f_{z}(0)>0$, the function $f$ is increasing on $(-1,1)$, so that $\lim _{r \rightarrow-1^{+}} f(r)=a$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r)=+\infty$.

Now if $f=h+\bar{g}$, then $h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}=i G^{\prime}$ and

$$
\frac{h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}}{h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}}=\frac{1+g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}}{1-g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}}
$$

Since $\left|g^{\prime}(z) / h^{\prime}(z)\right|<1$ for $z$ in $U$, it follows that

$$
\frac{h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}}{h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}}=P
$$

where $P$ is in $\mathcal{P}$. Thus $h^{\prime}+g^{\prime}=\left(h^{\prime}-g^{\prime}\right) P=i G^{\prime} P$, and

$$
F(z)=h(z)+g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} i G^{\prime}(\zeta) P(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

Now suppose $G(z)=-a_{1} i z /\left(1+c z+z^{2}\right),-2<c \leq 2$. Then

$$
F(z)=a_{1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1-\zeta^{2}}{\left(1+c \zeta+\zeta^{2}\right)^{2}} P(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

If $0<r<1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(r)=\operatorname{Re} f(r)=\operatorname{Re} F(r) & =a_{1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1-t^{2}}{\left(1+c t+t^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{Re} P(t) d t \\
& \leq a_{1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)(1+t)}{\left(1+c t+t^{2}\right)^{2}(1-t)} d t \\
& =a_{1} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(1+t)^{2}}{\left(1+c t+t^{2}\right)^{2}} d t \leq M
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $M$, since $-2<c \leq 2$. However, this is impossible since $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} f(r)=+\infty$.

Thus the only possibility is that $G(z)=-a_{1} i z /(1-z)^{2}$, and

$$
F(z)=a_{1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

Thus,

$$
a=\lim _{r \rightarrow-1^{+}} f(r)=a_{1} \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-1} \frac{1+\zeta}{(1-\zeta)^{3}} P(\zeta) d \zeta
$$

and the theorem follows.
Theorem 3. $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}=\mathcal{F}$.
Proof. Let $f(z)$ have the form (1.1), and let $r_{n}$ be a sequence with $0<r_{n}<1$ and $\lim r_{n}=1$. Let $P_{n}(z)=P\left(r_{n} z\right)$, and denote by $f_{n}(z)$ the function obtained from (1.1) by replacing $P(z)$ by $P_{n}(z)$. We claim that $f_{n}$ is in $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$. To see this, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =a / \operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{-1}\left[(1+\zeta) /(1-\zeta)^{3}\right] P_{n}(\zeta) d \zeta, \\
F_{n}(z) & =A \int_{0}^{z}\left[(1+\zeta) /(1-\zeta)^{3}\right] P_{n}(\zeta) d \zeta .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists $\delta>0$ so that we may write for $|z-1|<\delta$,

$$
P_{n}(z)=P_{n}(1)+P_{n}^{\prime}(1)(z-1)+\frac{P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)}{2}(z-1)^{2}+\ldots
$$

Then, for $|z-1|<\delta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}^{\prime}(z) & =A \frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{3}} P_{n}(z) \\
& =A\left[\frac{-2 P_{n}(1)}{(z-1)^{3}}-\frac{2 P_{n}^{\prime}(1)+P_{n}(1)}{(z-1)^{2}}-\frac{P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)+P_{n}^{\prime}(1)}{z-1}+\ldots\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D=\{z:|z-1|<\delta\}-\{z: 1 \leq z \leq 1+\delta\}$. If $1-\delta<c<1$, then for $z$ in $D$

$$
F_{n}(z)-F_{n}(c)=\int_{c}^{z} F_{n}^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta,
$$

where the path of integration is in $D$. This gives, for $z$ in $D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}(z)=A\left[\frac{2 P_{n}(1)}{(z-1)^{2}}+\right. & \frac{2 P_{n}^{\prime}(1)+P_{n}(1)}{z-1} \\
& \left.-\left(P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)+P_{n}^{\prime}(1)\right) \log (z-1)+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j}(z-1)^{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j}(z-1)^{j}$ converges for $|z-1|<\delta$ and $\log (z-1)=\ln |z-1|+$ $i \arg (z-1), 0<\arg (z-1)<2 \pi$. That is, for $z$ in $D, F_{n}$ has the form

$$
F_{n}(z)=A\left[\frac{c}{(z-1)^{2}}+\frac{d}{(z-1)}+e \log (z-1)+q(z)\right]
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} c=2 \operatorname{Re} P_{n}(1)>0$ and $q(z)$ is analytic at $z=1$. Thus, for $z$ in $D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} f_{n}(z)= & \operatorname{Re} F_{n}(z) \\
=A[ & \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{c}{(z-1)^{2}}+\frac{d}{(z-1)}\right)+\operatorname{Re}(e) \ln |z-1| \\
& -\operatorname{Im}(e) \arg (z-1)+\operatorname{Re} q(z)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to prove that $f_{n}$ cannot have a nonreal finite cluster point at $z=1$. To see this, suppose $z_{j}=1+t_{j} e^{i \theta_{j}}$ is in $U$ with $t_{j}>0$ and $\lim t_{j}=0$ and is such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{z_{j}}{\left(1-z_{j}\right)^{2}}\right]=l
$$

where $l$ is finite and $l \neq 0$. Then

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\left(\sin 2 \theta_{j}+t_{j} \sin \theta_{j}\right)}{t_{j}^{2}}=l \neq 0
$$

This implies that $\left(\sin 2 \theta_{j}+t_{j} \sin \theta_{j}\right)$ approaches 0 , which in turn implies that $\sin 2 \theta_{j}$ approaches 0 . Thus $e^{-2 i \theta_{j}}$ approaches $\pm 1$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Re}\left(c e^{-i 2 \theta_{j}}\right)$ approaches $\pm \operatorname{Re} c \neq 0$. It now follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Re} f_{n}\left(z_{j}\right)\right|=\left\lvert\, \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(c e^{-i 2 \theta_{j}}\right)+t_{j} \operatorname{Re}\left(d e^{-i \theta_{j}}\right)+\operatorname{Re}(e) t_{j}^{2} \ln \left(t_{j}\right)}{t_{j}^{2}}\right. \\
\quad-(\operatorname{Im} e) \arg \left(z_{j}-1\right)+\operatorname{Re} q\left(z_{j}\right) \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

approaches $+\infty$ as $n$ approaches $+\infty$. Thus $f_{n}$ has no finite nonreal cluster points at $z=1$. At all other points of $|z|=1$, the finite cluster points of $f_{n}$ are real. Since $f_{n}(U) \subset \Omega$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow-1} f_{n}(r)=a$, it follows that $f_{n}(U)=\Omega$.

Thus $f_{n}$ is in $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$ and hence $f$ is in $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under uniform limits on compact subsets of $U$, it follows that $\mathcal{F}=\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$.
3. Extreme points of $\mathcal{F}$. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then it is known [4] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{1+\eta z}{1-\eta z} d \mu(\eta) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a probability measure on $X=\{\eta:|\eta|=1\}$. Thus if $f$ is in $\mathcal{F}$,
there is a probability measure $\mu$ on $X$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z)=\frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} \int_{|\eta|=1} k(-1, \eta) d \mu(\eta)}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \times\left[\operatorname{Re} \int_{|\eta|=1} k(z, \eta) d \mu(\eta)+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
k(z, \eta) & =\int_{0}^{z} \frac{(1+\zeta)(1+\eta \zeta)}{(1-\zeta)^{3}(1-\eta \zeta)} d \zeta  \tag{3.3}\\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{2 \eta(1+\eta)}{(1-\eta)^{3}} \log \left(\frac{1-\eta z}{1-z}\right)-\frac{\left(1+4 \eta-\eta^{2}\right) z}{(1-\eta)^{2}(1-z)} \\
\frac{(1+\eta)\left(2 z-z^{2}\right)}{(1-\eta)(1-z)^{2}}, \quad \eta \neq 1 \\
\frac{z+\frac{1}{3} z^{3}}{(1-z)^{3}}, \quad \eta=1\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

The extreme points of $\mathcal{F}$ are easily obtained by making use of a property of a nonlinear homeomorphism observed by Szapiel [7].

Lemma 2 [7]. Suppose $X$ is a convex linear Hausdorff space, $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is homogeneous, $c \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $A$ is a compact convex subset of $\phi^{-1}(c)$. Let $\psi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be affine continuous with $0 \notin \psi(A)$ and let $B=\{a / \psi(a): a \in A\}$. Then

1) $B$ is compact convex,
2) the map $a \rightarrow a / \psi(a)$ is a homeomorphism of $A$ onto $B$,
3) $E B=\{a / \psi(a): a \in E A\}$, where $E D$ means the set of all extreme points of $D$.

Proof. For the sake of completeness we include the proof as communicated to me by the referee. We observe that if $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are in $A$ and $a_{1} / \psi\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{2} / \psi\left(a_{2}\right)$, then $c / \psi\left(a_{1}\right)=\phi\left(a_{1} / \psi\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=\phi\left(a_{2} / \psi\left(a_{2}\right)\right)=$ $c / \psi\left(a_{2}\right)$. Thus $\psi\left(a_{1}\right)=\psi\left(a_{2}\right)$ and hence $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Next we note that $\left(\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}\right) / \psi\left(\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}\right)=\mu_{1} a_{1} / \psi\left(a_{1}\right)+\mu_{2} a_{2} / \psi\left(a_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}=\lambda_{j} \psi\left(a_{j}\right) / \psi\left(\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}\right), \quad j=1,2 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $\lambda_{j}>0$ with $j=1,2$, and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1$ then $\mu_{j}>0$ with $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}=1$. If $\mu_{j}>0, j=1,2$, with $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}=1$, we seek $\lambda_{j}>0, j=1,2$, with $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1$ such that equation (3.4) is satisfied. It is easily verified that $\lambda_{1}=\mu_{1} \psi\left(a_{2}\right) /\left[\mu_{1} \psi\left(a_{2}\right)+\mu_{2} \psi\left(a_{1}\right)\right]$ and $\lambda_{2}=\mu_{2} \psi\left(a_{1}\right) /\left[\mu_{1} \psi\left(a_{2}\right)+\mu_{2} \psi\left(a_{1}\right)\right]$ satisfy the requirements. Thus $B$ is convex.

Next suppose $a \notin E A$. Then $a=\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}$ with $\lambda_{j}>0, j=1,2$, $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1$ and $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$. Thus

$$
\frac{a}{\psi(a)}=\frac{\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}}{\psi\left(\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}\right)}=\mu_{1} \frac{a_{1}}{\psi\left(a_{1}\right)}+\mu_{2} \frac{a_{2}}{\psi\left(a_{2}\right)}
$$

So $a / \psi(a) \notin E B$.
Conversely, suppose $a / \psi(a) \notin E B$. Then there exist $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$ in $A$ and $\mu_{j}>0, j=1,2$, with $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}=1$ so that

$$
\frac{a}{\psi(a)}=\mu_{1} \frac{a_{1}}{\psi\left(a_{1}\right)}+\mu_{2} \frac{a_{2}}{\psi\left(a_{2}\right)}=\frac{\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}}{\psi\left(\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}\right)}
$$

Since the map $a \rightarrow a / \psi(a)$ is a homeomorphism, it follows that $a=\lambda_{1} a_{1}+$ $\lambda_{2} a_{2}$ and hence $a \notin E A$. Therefore $E B=\{a / \psi(a): a \in E A\}$.

We apply Lemma 2 with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{P}(z)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\int_{0}^{z}(1+\zeta)(1-\zeta)^{-3} P(\zeta) d \zeta\right]+i \operatorname{Im}\left[z(1-z)^{-2}\right] \\
& A=\left\{Q_{P}: P \in \mathcal{P}\right), \phi(f)=f_{z}(0), c=1, \psi\left(Q_{P}\right)=Q_{P}(-1) / a
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathcal{F}=B$ is convex and $E B=\{f(z) / f(-1): f \in E A\}$. However, the map $Q_{P} \rightarrow P$ is a linear homeomorphism between $A$ and $\mathcal{P}$. Since $E \mathcal{P}=\{(1+\mu z) /(1-\mu z):|\mu|=1\}[4]$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4. The extreme points of $\mathcal{F}$ are

$$
f_{\eta}(z)=\frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)}\left[\operatorname{Re} k(z, \eta)+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right], \quad|\eta|=1
$$

4. The mapping properties of extreme points. If $\eta=e^{i \beta}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} k(z, \eta)= & \frac{\cot (\beta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)} \arg \left(\frac{1-e^{i \beta} z}{1-z}\right) \\
& -\cot (\beta / 2) \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)} \operatorname{Re} \frac{z}{1-z}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
f_{\eta}(z)=\frac{a}{\frac{(\beta / 2) \cot (\beta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)}}\left[\operatorname{Re} k(z, \eta)+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]
$$

Suppose $\eta=e^{i \beta}, 0<\beta<\pi$. If $\zeta$ is on the open arc of the unit circle going from 1 to $\eta$ to -1 to $\bar{\eta}$ in the counterclockwise direction, then $\arg (1-\eta \zeta) /(1-\zeta)=\beta / 2$. For these $\zeta$,

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f_{\eta}(z)=a
$$

If $\zeta$ is on the open arc from $\bar{\eta}$ to 1 , then $\arg (1-\eta \zeta) /(1-\zeta)=\beta / 2-\pi$ and we obtain

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f_{\eta}(z)=a-\frac{\pi a \cot (\beta / 2)}{(\beta / 2) \cot (\beta / 2)-1}=b<a .
$$

The cluster set of $\arg (1-\eta z) /(1-z)$ at $\bar{\eta}$ is the interval $[\beta / 2-\pi, \beta / 2]$. Thus, the cluster set of $f_{\eta}(z)$ at $\bar{\eta}$ is the interval $[b, a]$.

We now use a technique similar to that used in Example 5.4 of [3]. Let $(1+z) /(1-z)=u+i v$ and note

$$
\begin{aligned}
z /(1-z) & =\frac{1}{2}[(1+z) /(1-z)-1] \\
((1+z) /(1-z))^{2} & =4 z /(1-z)^{2}+1, \\
(1-\eta z) /(1-z) & =[(1-\eta) / 2](1+z) /(1-z)+(1+\eta) / 2, \\
\operatorname{Im}\left[z /(1-z)^{2}\right] & =\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Im}(u+i v)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these observations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\eta}(z)= & \frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\cot (\beta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)} \arg \left[\left(1+e^{i \beta}\right)(1+v \tan (\beta / 2)-i u \tan (\beta / 2))\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{u v}{2} \cot (\beta / 2)+\frac{u-1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)}+i \frac{u v}{2}\right]=x+i y .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u v=2 c, u>0$, then $y=a c / \operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x= & \frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\cot (\beta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)} \arg \left[\left(1+e^{i \beta}\right)\left(1+\frac{2 c \tan (\beta / 2)}{u}-i u \tan (\beta / 2)\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-c \cot (\beta / 2)+\frac{u-1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose that $c>0$. Then $\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} x=+\infty$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} x= & \frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\cot (\beta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)} \arg \left(1+e^{i \beta}\right)-c \cot (\beta / 2)-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)}\right] \\
= & a-\frac{c a \cot (\beta / 2)}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

A calculation gives $d x / d u>0$ for any $c$. Thus $x$ is increasing. Thus if $c>0, x$ takes on all values in the interval $(a-c a \cot (\beta / 2) / \operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta),+\infty)$.

It follows that $f_{\eta}(z)$ covers the horizontal line segment $y=a c / \operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)$, with left end point on the line $y=-[\tan (\beta / 2)](x-a)$.

By the same reasoning, if $c<0, f_{\eta}(z)$ covers the horizontal line segment $y=a c / \operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)$ with left end point on the line $y=-[\tan (\beta / 2)](x-b)$.

If $c=0$, $u v=0$. Since $u>0$, it follows that $v=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x= & \frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)} \\
& \times\left[\frac{\cot (\beta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)} \arg \left[\left(1+e^{i \beta}\right)(1-i u \tan (\beta / 2))\right]+\frac{u-1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\beta / 2)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0} x=a \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} x=+\infty
$$

Since $x$ is increasing, $f_{\eta}$ covers the interval $(a,+\infty)$ on the real axis and no other part of the real axis. It follows that $f_{\eta}(z)$ maps $U$ onto the domain given by the shaded region in Figure 4.1.


Fig. 4.1


Fig. 4.2
Similarly if $-\pi<\beta<0, f_{\eta}(z)$ maps $U$ onto the domain pictured in Figure 4.2, where

$$
d=a+\frac{a \pi \cot (\beta / 2)}{(\beta / 2) \cot (\beta / 2)-1}<a .
$$

5. Applications. In this section we will use our knowledge of extreme points to solve some extremal problems on $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$.

Lemma 3. If $|\eta|=1$, then

$$
|\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)| \geq|\operatorname{Re} k(-1,1)|=1 / 6
$$

Proof.

$$
k(-1, \eta)= \begin{cases}\frac{2 \eta(1+\eta)}{(1-\eta)^{3}} \log \left(\frac{1+\eta}{2}\right)+\frac{1+4 \eta-\eta^{2}}{2(1-\eta)^{2}}-\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1+\eta}{1-\eta}, & \eta \neq 1 \\ -1 / 6, & \eta=1\end{cases}
$$

If $\eta=e^{i \theta}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left(-1, e^{i \theta}\right)= & \frac{2 e^{i \theta}}{\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}} \log \left(\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1+4 e^{i \theta}-e^{i 2 \theta}}{2\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}}-\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}} \\
= & \frac{2}{\left(e^{-i \theta / 2}-e^{i \theta / 2}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{e^{-i \theta / 2}+e^{i \theta / 2}}{e^{-i \theta / 2}-e^{i \theta / 2}} \log \left(\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{e^{-i \theta}+4-e^{i \theta}}{2\left(e^{-i \theta / 2}-e^{i \theta / 2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{e^{-i \theta / 2}+e^{i \theta / 2}}{e^{-i \theta / 2}-e^{i \theta / 2}} \\
= & \frac{-i \cos (\theta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{3}(\theta / 2)} \log \left(\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{2}\right)-\frac{2-i \sin \theta}{4 \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)}-\frac{3 i \cos (\theta / 2)}{4 \sin (\theta / 2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} k\left(-1, e^{i \theta}\right) & =\frac{\cos (\theta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{3}(\theta / 2)} \arg \left(\frac{1+e^{i \theta}}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)} \\
& =\frac{(\theta / 2) \cos (\theta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{3}(\theta / 2)}-\frac{1}{2 \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)} \\
& =\frac{(\theta / 2) \cos (\theta / 2)-\sin (\theta / 2)}{2 \sin ^{3}(\theta / 2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $q(\theta)=[(\theta / 2) \cos (\theta / 2)-\sin (\theta / 2)] /\left[2 \sin ^{3}(\theta / 2)\right]$ if $\theta \neq 0$ and $q(0)=$ $-1 / 6$. We want to find the maximum of $q(\theta)$ in $[-\pi, \pi]$, or equivalently the maximum of $h(\phi)$ in $[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$, where $h(\phi)=(\phi \cos \phi-\sin \phi) /\left(2 \sin ^{3} \phi\right)$ for $\phi \neq 0$ and $h(0)=-1 / 6$. Since $h(-\phi)=h(\phi)$, we need to consider $h(\phi)$ in $[0, \pi / 2]$. Let $g(\phi)=6 \phi \cos \phi-6 \sin \phi+2 \sin ^{3} \phi$ for $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi / 2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(\phi) & =-6 \phi \sin \phi+6 \sin ^{2} \phi \cos \phi \\
& =3 \sin \phi(\sin 2 \phi-2 \phi) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $g(\phi) \leq g(0)=0$. It follows that $h(\phi) \leq-1 / 6$ in $[0, \pi / 2]$ and thus
$q(\theta) \leq-1 / 6$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Therefore

$$
|\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)|=|q(\theta)| \geq 1 / 6=|\operatorname{Re} k(-1,1)| .
$$

Theorem 5. Let $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ be in $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$. If $h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|a_{n}\right| & \leq(n+1)(2 n+1)|a|,  \tag{5.1}\\
\left|b_{n}\right| & \leq(n-1)(2 n-1)|a|,  \tag{5.2}\\
\left|\left|a_{n}\right|-\left|b_{n}\right|\right| & \leq\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right| \leq 6|a| n . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equality is attained in (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) for all $n$ by

$$
f(z)=-6 a\left[\operatorname{Re} \frac{z+\frac{1}{3} z^{3}}{(1-z)^{3}}+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right] .
$$

Proof. We need only prove (5.1)-(5.3) for the extreme points of $\overline{S_{H}(U, \Omega)}$. Let $f_{\eta}(z)=A\left[\operatorname{Re} k(z, \eta)+i \operatorname{Im} z /(1-z)^{2}\right], A=a / \operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)$. In our notation $F(z)=A k(z, \eta)$ and $G(z)=-A i z /(1-z)^{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(z)=\frac{F(z)+i G(z)}{2}=\frac{A}{2}\left[k(z, \eta)+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \\
& g(z)=\frac{F(z)-i G(z)}{2}=\frac{A}{2}\left[k(z, \eta)-\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $\eta \neq 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z)=\frac{A}{2}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 \eta(1+\eta)\left(1-\eta^{n}\right)}{(1-\eta)^{3} n}\right. & z^{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+4 \eta-\eta^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}} z^{n} \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)(1+\eta)}{1-\eta} z^{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n z^{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{n}= & \frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{2 \eta(1+\eta)\left(1-\eta^{n}\right)}{n(1-\eta)^{3}}-\frac{1+4 \eta-\eta^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}}+\frac{(n+1)(1+\eta)}{1-\eta}+n\right]  \tag{5.4}\\
= & \frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{2 \eta(1+\eta)\left(1+\eta+\eta^{2}+\ldots+\eta^{n-1}\right)}{n(1-\eta)^{2}}-\frac{1+4 \eta-\eta^{2}}{(1-\eta)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{(n+1)(1+\eta)}{1-\eta}+n\right] \\
= & \frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{n^{2}+(2-4 n) \eta+\left(4-n^{2}\right) \eta^{2}+4 \eta^{3}+\ldots+4 \eta^{n}+2 \eta^{n+1}}{n(1-\eta)^{2}}+n\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{(1-\eta)^{2}\left(n^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2(n-k)^{2} \eta^{k}\right)}{n(1-\eta)^{2}}+n\right] \\
& =A\left[\frac{n^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-k)^{2} \eta^{k}}{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $n \geq 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{n^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 2(n-k)^{2} \eta^{k}}{n}-n\right]=A\left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-k)^{2} \eta^{k}}{n}\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (5.4) and (5.5) also hold for $\eta=1$.
Now by Lemma 3

$$
|A|=\frac{|a|}{|\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)|} \leq \frac{|a|}{|\operatorname{Re} k(-1,1)|}=6|a|
$$

Thus from (5.4) and (5.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{n}\right| & \leq 6|a| \frac{n^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-k)^{2}}{n}=\frac{6|a|}{n} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \\
& =(n+1)(2 n+1)|a|
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality when $\eta=1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{n}\right| & \leq \frac{6|a|}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-k)^{2}=\frac{6|a|}{n} \cdot \frac{(n-1) n(2 n-1)}{6} \\
& =(n-1)(2 n-1)|a|
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality for $\eta=1$.
To obtain (5.3) we note that for an extreme point $f_{\eta}(z)$ we have $a_{n}-b_{n}=$ $A n$.

Theorem 6. If $f=h+\bar{g}$ is in $S_{H}(U, \Omega)$, then

$$
\left|f_{z}(z)\right|=\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{6|a|}{1-|z|}\left|\frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{3}}\right| \leq \frac{6(1+|z|)|a|}{(1-|z|)^{4}}
$$

Equality occurs for $z$ real and positive and

$$
f(z)=-6 a\left[\operatorname{Re} \frac{z+\frac{1}{3} z^{3}}{(1-z)^{3}}+i \operatorname{Im} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right]
$$

Proof. We need only consider extreme points $f_{\eta}(z)$. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z) & =\frac{A}{2}\left[k(z, \eta)+\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{2 \eta(1+\eta)}{(1-\eta)^{3}} \log \left(\frac{1-\eta z}{1-z}\right)-\frac{4 \eta}{(1-\eta)^{2}} \cdot \frac{z}{1-z}+\frac{2}{1-\eta} \cdot \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

After straightforward computations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{a}{\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)} \cdot \frac{(1+z)}{(1-z)^{3}(1-\eta z)} \\
\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| & =\frac{|a|}{|\operatorname{Re} k(-1, \eta)||1-\eta z|}\left|\frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{3}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{6|a|}{1-|z|}\left|\frac{1+z}{(1-z)^{3}}\right| \leq \frac{6|a|(1+|z|)}{(1-|z|)^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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