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1. Introduction. The well known theorems of Dirichlet and Kronecker in the theory of diophantine approximation have been generalized in many directions. We mention here a result of Heath-Brown [6]. Let $\alpha$ be irrational. Then there are infinitely many pairs $n, m$ of square-free numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n \alpha-m|<n^{\varepsilon-2 / 3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and throughout $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrarily small but positive real number. In particular, writing $\|\gamma\|$ for the distance of $\gamma$ to the nearest integer we deduce from (1) that $\|\alpha n\|<n^{\varepsilon-2 / 3}$ has infinitely many square-free solutions.

In the present paper we investigate simultaneous approximations by square-free numbers. For a given set of real numbers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ we wish to prove that $\left\|\alpha_{1} n\right\|, \ldots,\left\|\alpha_{s} n\right\|$ are all small for infinitely many square-free integers $n$. This is certainly not possible without a further hypothesis on $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$. It is obviously necessary that whenever $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{s}$ are integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{s} l_{j} \alpha_{j}=\frac{u}{v} \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad(u, v)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $v$ must be square-free. A set of real numbers satisfying this condition will be called weakly compatible.

TheOrem 1. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ be a set of weakly compatible algebraic numbers such that $1, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ span a linear space of dimension $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then for any $A<1 / d(d-1)$ there are infinitely many square-free numbers $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\alpha_{j} n\right\|<n^{-A} \quad(j=1, \ldots, s) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $d=2$, any $A<2 / 3$ is admissible.

A similar result with square-free numbers replaced by primes has been established recently by Harman [5], improving on results of Balog and Friedlander [2]. In the case of primes, the range for $A$ is shorter by a factor 2 (even worse when $d=2$ ), and a stronger compatibility condition is required. Balog and Friedlander called a set $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ of real numbers compatible if (2) implies that $v=1$.

The question arises whether weak compatibility is sufficient to prove a result of the form (3). It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty, \mu^{2}(n)=1} \max _{1 \leq j \leq s}\left\|\alpha_{j} n\right\|=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ form a weakly compatible set. The next theorem, which sharpens Theorem 2 of Harman [5], shows that (4) is best possible.

TheOrem 2. Let $f(n)$ be any function tending to zero as $n$ tends to infinity. Then there are uncountably many pairs of real numbers $\alpha, \beta$ such that $1, \alpha, \beta$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max (\|\alpha n\|,\|\beta n\|)<f(n) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most finitely many square-free solutions $n$.
Next we state a more general version of Theorem 1.
THEOREM 3. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ be a weakly compatible set of real numbers contained in a linear space of dimension $d$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by $1, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{d-1}$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\sup \left\{\gamma: \liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{\gamma} \min _{0<| | \leq N}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{j} l_{j}\right\|=0\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $A<((d-1)(r+1))^{-1}$, there are infinitely many solutions to (3) in square-free integers $n$.

By Schmidt's theorem on linear forms with algebraic coefficients ([7], Theorem 7C) Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1, at least when $d \geq 3$. Subject to a stronger hypothesis it is also possible to prove an inhomogeneous version of Theorem 3, with the $n$ restricted to arithmetic progressions.

THEOREM 4. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ be a set of real numbers such that $1, \alpha_{1}$, $\ldots, \alpha_{s}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and define $r$ as in Theorem 3 (note that now $d=s+1)$. Let real numbers $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{s}$ be given. Suppose that $g$, $G$ are integers with $(g, G)$ square-free. Then for any $A<(s(r+1))^{-1}$ there are infinitely many square-free integers $n \equiv g \bmod G$ with

$$
\max \left\|n \alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}\right\|<n^{-A}
$$

These results should be compared with Theorems 3 and 4 of Harman [5].

Our main task is proving Theorem 4 . We begin with a lemma on exponential sums in $\S 2$ which is then used in $\S 3$ to establish Theorem 4. We deduce Theorem 3 from Theorem 4 in $\S 4$, and then prove Theorem 1 in the special case $d=2$. Finally, we prove Theorem 2; our method closely follows that of [5], Theorem 2.
2. An exponential sum. In this section we suppose that $N$ is a large real number, $D \leq N^{1 / 2}$, and we write $M=N d^{-2}$ throughout. Moreover, $G$ is a fixed natural number. We shall be concerned with the exponential sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S(\alpha, D)=\sum_{d \sim D} \sum_{h=1}^{G}\left|\sum_{m \sim M} e\left(\left(\alpha+\frac{h}{G}\right) d^{2} m\right)\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the notation $x \sim X$ indicates the condition $X<x \leq 2 X$.
Lemma 1. Suppose $1 \leq B \leq N^{1 / 3-\varepsilon}$. Then either $S \leq N B^{-1} \log ^{2} N$, or there exists a natural number $q$ such that

$$
q \leq N^{\varepsilon} \min \left(D^{2}, B\right), \quad\|q \alpha\| \leq B N^{\varepsilon-1}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $S \geq N B^{-1} \log ^{2} N$. Then for some $h$ with $1 \leq h \leq$ $G$ we must have $S_{h} \geq G^{-1} N B^{-1} \log ^{2} N$; here $S_{h}$ denotes the contribution to (7) with a fixed $h$.

First suppose that $D \leq B^{1 / 2}$. We put $X=G^{-1} N^{1-\varepsilon} B^{-1}$. By Dirichlet's theorem, there is a natural number $t$ and an integer $b$ with $t \leq X$ and $|t(\alpha+h / G)-b| \leq X^{-1}$. Now, by a standard argument,

$$
N B^{-1} \log ^{2} N \ll S_{h} \ll \sum_{d \sim D}\left\|\left(\alpha+\frac{h}{G}\right) d^{2}\right\|^{-1}
$$

If we had $t>G^{-1} D^{2} N^{\varepsilon}$ then $4 D^{2} t^{-2}<(2 t)^{-1}$, and hence the right hand side here would be bounded by

$$
\ll \sum_{d \sim D}\left\|\frac{b d^{2}}{t}\right\|^{-1} \ll t \log N \ll X \log N .
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence $t \leq G^{-1} N^{\varepsilon} D^{2}$, and the lemma follows with $q=t G$.

Now suppose that $B^{1 / 2}<D \leq G B$, and pick $t$ and $b$ as before. By Lemma 3.2 of Baker [1],

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{h} & \ll \sum_{d \sim D} \min \left(M,\left\|\left(\alpha+\frac{h}{G}\right) d^{2}\right\|^{-1}\right) \\
& \ll \sum_{u \leq 4 D^{2}} \min \left(N D^{-2},\left\|\left(\alpha+\frac{h}{G}\right) u\right\|^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ll\left(N D^{-2}+t\right)\left(D^{2} t^{-1}+1\right) \log N
$$

We immediately deduce that $t \leq G^{-1} N^{\varepsilon} B$ whenever $S_{h} \gg N B^{-1} \log ^{2} N$, and the proof is completed as before.

If $D>G B$ we may use the trivial bound $S \leq G N D^{-1} \leq N B^{-1}$ to complete the proof of the lemma.
3. Proof of Theorem 4. When $s=1$ Theorem 4 can be proved by a simple adjustment of the argument used to establish Theorem 2 of Harman [4]. When $s \geq 2$ we prove Theorem 4 by contradiction. Observe that for $(g, G)$ square-free the interval $[N, 2 N]$ contains $\gg N$ square-free numbers $n \equiv g \bmod G$. Now put $L=(2 N)^{A}$ and suppose that there are no square-free solutions to

$$
\left\|\alpha_{1} n\right\|<L^{-1}, \quad \ldots, \quad\left\|\alpha_{s} n\right\|<L^{-1}
$$

with $n \sim N$ and $n \equiv g \bmod G$. Then, writing

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(\boldsymbol{k})=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{j} k_{j}  \tag{8}\\
T(\alpha)=\sum_{n \sim N, n \equiv g \bmod G} \mu^{2}(n) e(\alpha n)
\end{gather*}
$$

a familiar argument shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0<\mid} \mid \leq L N^{\varepsilon} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the identity

$$
\mu^{2}(n)=\sum_{d^{2} \mid n} \mu(d)
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(\alpha) & =\frac{1}{G} \sum_{n \sim N} \sum_{d^{2} \mid n} \mu(d) e(\alpha n) \sum_{h=1}^{G} e\left(\frac{h(n-g)}{G}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{G} \sum_{h=1}^{G} e\left(-\frac{h g}{G}\right) \sum_{d \leq N^{1 / 2}} \mu(d) \sum_{m \sim N d^{-2}} e\left(\left(\alpha+\frac{h}{G}\right) d^{2} m\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By a splitting up argument and (10) there is a $D$ with $1 \leq D \leq N^{1 / 2}$ and

$$
\left.\sum_{d \sim D} \sum_{h=1}^{G} \sum_{0<1}\left|\leq L N^{\varepsilon}\right| \sum_{m \sim N d^{-2}} e\left(\left(\phi(\boldsymbol{k})+\frac{h}{G}\right) m d^{2}\right) \right\rvert\, \gg N(\log N)^{-1}
$$

Using the notation from the previous section we can rewrite this as

$$
\sum_{0<\mid} S(\phi(\boldsymbol{k}), D) \gg N(\log N)^{-1}
$$

Another splitting up argument shows that there is a $K \ll\left(L N^{\varepsilon}\right)^{s}$ and a set of points $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{s}$ with $|\mathcal{K}|=K$, such that $|\boldsymbol{k}| \leq L N^{\varepsilon}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\phi(\boldsymbol{k}), D) \gg N K^{-1}(\log N)^{-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{K}$. Note that for $s \geq 2$ we have $L^{s}<N^{1 / 3-2 s \varepsilon}$. Moreover, the left hand side of (11) is $\ll N D^{-1}$ by a trivial estimate. This shows that $K \gg D(\log N)^{-1}$. We use Lemma 1 to infer that for any $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a natural number $q=q(\boldsymbol{k})$ such that $q \ll N^{\varepsilon} \min \left(D^{2}, K\right)$ and

$$
\|q(\boldsymbol{k}) \phi(\boldsymbol{k})\| \ll N^{\varepsilon-1} K
$$

Now $q \phi(\boldsymbol{k})=\phi(q \boldsymbol{k})$. By a familiar divisor argument we deduce that there are $\gg K N^{-\varepsilon}$ points $\boldsymbol{n}$ in the region $|\boldsymbol{n}| \leq K L N^{2 \varepsilon}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi(\boldsymbol{n})\| \ll N^{\varepsilon-1} K \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the pigeon hole principle we find an $\boldsymbol{n}$ satisfying (12) with

$$
|\boldsymbol{n}| \ll K^{1-1 / s} L N^{2 \varepsilon}
$$

By the definition of $r$ in Theorems 3 and 4, we must have

$$
\|\phi(\boldsymbol{n})\| \gg\left(K^{1-1 / s} L N^{2 \varepsilon}\right)^{-r-\varepsilon}
$$

so that by (12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(K^{1-1 / s} L N^{2 \varepsilon}\right)^{-r-\varepsilon} \ll N^{\varepsilon-1} K \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $K \ll L^{s} N^{s \varepsilon}$. Now (13) produces a contradiction if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small.
4. Proof of Theorem 3. In view of Theorem 4 we may suppose that $s \geq d$. There are integers $D \geq 1, a_{i j}, k_{i}$ such that

$$
D \alpha_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} a_{i j} \alpha_{j}+k_{i}, \quad i=d, \ldots, s
$$

Let $t_{d}, \ldots, t_{s}$ be integers; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(\alpha_{d} t_{d}+\ldots+\alpha_{s} t_{s}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{j}\left(\sum_{i=d}^{s} a_{i j} t_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=d}^{s} t_{i} k_{i} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ are weakly compatible, it is clear from (14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{h}, \sum_{i=d}^{s} a_{i, 1} t_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=d}^{s} a_{i, d-1} t_{i}\right) \mid p \sum_{i=d}^{s} t_{i} k_{i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any prime $p$ having $p^{h} \| D$. By Lemma 3 of Harman [5] we may infer from (15) that there is a solution in integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{d-1}$ to the set of congruences

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} a_{i j} b_{j} \equiv p k_{i} \bmod p^{h}, \quad i=d, \ldots, s
$$

Now let $g$ be an integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \equiv p \bmod p^{h} \quad \text { for all } p^{h} \| D \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem there are integers $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{d-1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} a_{i j} b_{j} \equiv g k_{i} \bmod D, \quad i=d, \ldots, s \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) we see that $(g, D)$ is square-free. According to Theorem 4, there are infinitely many square-free numbers $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{n \alpha_{j}+b_{j}}{D}\right\|<n^{-A-\varepsilon} \quad(1 \leq j \leq d-1) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv g \bmod D \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

providing $A$ is as in Theorem 3 and $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. We see at once that

$$
\left\|n \alpha_{j}\right\|<D n^{-A-\varepsilon}<n^{-A} \quad(1 \leq j \leq d-1)
$$

for all large $n$ satisfying (18) and (19). Now let $d \leq i \leq s$. From (17)-(19), any such $n$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|n \alpha_{i}\right\| & =\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} n a_{i j} \alpha_{j} D^{-1}+n k_{i} D^{-1}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} a_{i j}\left(\frac{n \alpha_{j}+b_{j}}{D}\right)+\frac{1}{D}\left(n k_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} a_{i j} b_{j}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left|a_{i j}\right|\left\|\frac{n a_{j}+b_{j}}{D}\right\|<n^{-A}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
We can now sketch a proof of (4). If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{s}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ then the exponential sum estimates in $\S \S 2-3$ are readily modified to show that $T(\phi(\boldsymbol{k}))=o(N)$ for any $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{s}, \boldsymbol{k} \neq 0$. Thus the vectors $\left(\alpha_{1} n, \ldots, \alpha_{s} n\right)$ with $n \equiv g \bmod G, \mu^{2}(n)=1$, are uniformly distributed in the $s$-dimensional unit cube, providing we have chosen $g$ and $G$ with
$(g, G)$ square-free. This establishes (4). The general case then follows by the argument used to prove Theorem 3.
5. Theorem 1 when $d=2$. Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 3 when $d \geq 3$. When $d=2$, however, Theorems 4 and 3 yield an admissible range $A<1 / 2$ only. We now show how to enlarge this range to $A<2 / 3$. A careful inspection of the work in the previous section shows that all what is required is (18) with (19) when $d=2$ and $A<2 / 3$. We simplify the notation from $\S 4$ to $\alpha_{1}=\alpha, b_{1}=b$. Hence it remains to prove:

Proposition. Suppose that $b, g, D$ are fixed integers with $(g, D)$ squarefree, and $\alpha$ irrational. Then there are infinitely many square-free numbers $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{n \alpha+b}{D}\right\|<n^{\varepsilon-2 / 3}, \quad n \equiv g \bmod D . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (20) is equivalent to $|n \alpha+b+t D|<D n^{\varepsilon-2 / 3}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence it suffices to show that there are infinitely many pairs $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n \alpha-m|<n^{\varepsilon-2 / 3}, \quad \mu^{2}(n)=1, \quad n \equiv g \bmod D, \quad m \equiv b \bmod D . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be established by closely following the argument from $\S 3$ of HeathBrown [6]. We may suppose that $\alpha>0$. Let $a / q$ be any convergent to $\alpha$ so that $|q \alpha-a|<q^{-1}$. Let $0<\theta<2 / 3$ and define

$$
\begin{gathered}
N=q^{2 /(1+\theta)}, \quad L=N q^{-1}(\log q)^{-1}, \\
\mathcal{S}=\left\{(l, m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: 1 \leq l \leq L, n \sim N, n \equiv g \bmod D,\right. \\
m \equiv b \bmod D, a n-q m=l\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $(l, m, n) \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $|n \alpha-m| \leq 8 n^{-\theta}$ so that it suffices to bound

$$
R=\sum_{(l, m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} \mu^{2}(n)
$$

from below. Let $z=\log q$ and $P$ be the product of all primes not exceeding $z$. Then define

$$
f(n)=\sum_{d^{2}|n, d| P} \mu(d) .
$$

As in Heath-Brown [6, (13)] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \geq A-\sum_{p>z} C_{p} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\sum_{(l, m, n) \in \mathcal{S}} f(n), \quad C_{p}=\sum_{(l, m, n) \in \mathcal{S}, p^{2} \mid n} 1 .
$$

Note that the $C_{p}$ defined in Heath-Brown [6] are no smaller than our $C_{p}$ so that we may quote the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p>z} C_{p} \ll \frac{N L}{q \log \log q} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

from [6], p. 344. It now suffices to show that $A \gg N L q^{-1}$.
We begin the evaluation of $A$ by writing $n=e^{2} v$ and obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A=\sum_{e \mid P} \mu(e) \#\left\{(l, m, v) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: 1 \leq l \leq L, v \sim N e^{-2}, m \equiv b \bmod D\right. \\
\left.e^{2} v \equiv g \bmod D, a e^{2} v-q m=l\right\}
\end{array}
$$

Here we put $m=b+m^{\prime} D$ to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\sum_{e \mid P} \mu(e) \#\left\{\left(l, m^{\prime}, n\right): q b<l \leq L+q b, v \sim N e^{-2},\right. \\
& =\sum_{\delta \mid D} \sum_{\substack{e \mid P \\
\left(e^{2}, D\right)=\delta}} \mu(e) A_{e}, \quad \text { say } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $e^{2} v \equiv g \bmod D$ gives $\delta \mid g$, otherwise $A_{e}=0$. In particular, $\delta \mid(D, g)$ which implies that $\delta$ is square-free whence $\delta \mid e$. The congruence reduces to

$$
\delta^{-1} e^{2} v \equiv g \delta^{-1} \bmod D \delta^{-1}
$$

This fixes a certain congruence class, $g^{\prime} \bmod D \delta^{-1}$ say, in which $v$ must lie. We write $v=g^{\prime}+u D \delta^{-1}$ and find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{e}= & \#\left\{(l, m, u): q b<l \leq L+q b, g^{\prime}+u D \delta^{-1} \sim N e^{-2}\right. \\
& \left.a e^{2}\left(g^{\prime}+u D \delta^{-1}\right)-q D m=l\right\} \\
= & \#\left\{(l, u): q b-a e^{2} g^{\prime}<l \leq L+q b-a e^{2} g^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.N e^{-2}-g^{\prime}<D \delta^{-1} u \leq 2 N e^{-2}-g^{\prime}, a e^{2} D \delta^{-1} u \equiv l \bmod q D\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now write $\Delta=\left(a e^{2} D \delta^{-1}, q D\right)$; then $\Delta \mid l$. We set $l=\Delta k$ and deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{e}= & \#\left\{(k, u):\left(q b-a e^{2} g^{\prime}\right) \Delta^{-1}<k \leq\left(L+q b-a e^{2} g^{\prime}\right) \Delta^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\delta}{D}\left(N e^{-2}-g^{\prime}\right)<u \leq \frac{\delta}{D}\left(2 N e^{-2}-g^{\prime}\right), \frac{a e^{2} D}{\delta \Delta} u \equiv k \bmod \frac{q D}{\Delta}\right\} \\
= & \left(\frac{L}{\Delta}+O(1)\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{D} N \frac{\Delta}{q D e^{2}}+O(1)\right)=\frac{L N \delta}{q e^{2} D^{2}}+O\left(L+\frac{N}{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of $e \mid P$ is $O\left(q^{\varepsilon}\right)$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{L N}{q D^{2}} \sum_{\delta \mid(D, g)} \sum_{\substack{e \mid P \\\left(e^{2}, D\right)=\delta}} \mu(e) \frac{\delta}{e^{2}}+O\left(L q^{\varepsilon}+N q^{\varepsilon-1}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error term is $o\left(L N q^{-1}\right)$ as $q$ tends to infinity. Recall that the summation conditions imply that $\delta \mid e$. Write $e=\delta d$; then

$$
\sum_{\delta \mid(D, g)} \sum_{\substack{e \mid P \\\left(e^{2}, D\right)=\delta}} \mu(e) \frac{\delta}{e^{2}}=\sum_{\delta \mid(D, g)} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{d \mid P \delta^{-1} \\\left(d^{2} \delta, D \delta^{-1}\right)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2}} .
$$

The summation condition gives $(d, \delta)=1$. The previous line now becomes

$$
\sum_{\substack{\delta \mid(D, g) \\\left(\delta, D \delta^{-1}\right)=1}} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{d \mid P \delta^{-1} \\\left(d, D \delta^{-1}\right)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^{2}}=\prod_{\substack{\pi \mid(D, g) \\ \pi^{2} \nmid D}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \leq z \\ p \nmid D}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right) .
$$

Here $p$ and $\pi$ denote primes. As $z \rightarrow \infty$ this product converges to a positive number $c=c(g, D)$. The Proposition follows from (22)-(24).
6. Proof of Theorem 2. We use standard notation and results on continued fractions. For definitions and proofs we refer to Hardy and Wright [3], Chapter 10. We shall determine uncountably many sequences $\left(a_{j}\right),\left(b_{j}\right)$ of natural numbers such that (5) can have at most a finite number of solutions if $\alpha, \beta$ are given by

$$
\alpha=\left[1, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right], \quad \beta=\left[1, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right] .
$$

We write

$$
\left[1, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right]=\frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}}, \quad\left[1, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}\right]=\frac{r_{t}}{s_{t}} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{t}}{q_{t}}\right|<\frac{1}{q_{t} q_{t+1}}, \quad\left|\beta-\frac{r_{t}}{s_{t}}\right|<\frac{1}{s_{t} s_{t+1}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{0}=s_{0}=1, \quad q_{1}=a_{1}, \quad s_{1}=b_{1}  \tag{26}\\
q_{t}=a_{t} q_{t-1}+q_{t-2}, \quad s_{t}=b_{t} s_{t-1}+s_{t-2} \quad(t \geq 2) .
\end{gather*}
$$

As in [5] it suffices to prove the theorem for functions $f$ which are nonincreasing and satisfy $f(n)<1 / 2$ for all $n$.

Let $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)$ be an arbitrary sequence of zeros and ones. Let $a_{1}$ be the smallest integer with $a_{1} \geq 2, f\left(a_{1}\right)<1 / 4$. For $j \geq 1$ let $b_{j}$ be the least integer with

$$
b_{j} \geq 2 q_{j}+\varepsilon_{j}, \quad f\left(b_{j}-\varepsilon_{j}\right)<\left(4 q_{j}\right)^{-1}
$$

and, for $j \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j} s_{j-1} \equiv-s_{j-2} \bmod p_{j}^{2} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p_{j}$ is the least prime exceeding $s_{j-1}$.
For $j \geq 2$, let $a_{j}$ be the smallest integer satisfying the conditions

$$
\text { (28) } \quad a_{j} \geq 2 s_{j-1}, \quad f\left(a_{j}\right)<\left(4 s_{j-1}\right)^{-1}, \quad a_{j} q_{j-1} \equiv-q_{j-2} \bmod P_{j}^{2} \text {. }
$$

Here $P_{j}$ is the least prime exceeding $q_{j-1}$.
For $j \geq 2$ this gives, by (26), $s_{j}>2 q_{j} s_{j-1}$ and $q_{j}>q_{j-1} s_{j-1}$, and in particular, $s_{j} \geq 2 q_{j}$ and $q_{j} \geq 2 s_{-1} j$.

Now let $n$ be a square-free number with $s_{j} / 2<n \leq q_{j+1} / 2$ for some $j \geq 2$. By (28) and (26) we have $q_{j} \nmid n$. Hence, by (25),
$\|n \alpha\| \geq\left|\left|\frac{n p_{j}}{q_{j}}\right|\right|-n\left|\alpha-\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}\right| \geq \frac{1}{q_{j}}-\frac{n}{q_{j} q_{j+1}} \geq \frac{1}{2 q_{j}}>f\left(b_{j}\right) \geq f\left(s_{j} / 2\right) \geq f(n)$.
A similar argument shows that $\|n \beta\|>f(n)$ whenever $n$ is square-free and lies in the range $q_{j} / 2<n \leq s_{j} / 2$ for some $j \geq 3$. This shows that any square-free solution to (5) has $n \leq q_{3} / 2$. Of course, different choices of $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)$ produce different numbers $(\alpha, \beta)$. As on p. 412 of Harman [5] it can be shown that $1, \alpha, \beta$ are linearly independent over the rationals.
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