Power moments of the error term in the approximate functional equation for $\zeta^2(s)$

by

ALEKSANDAR IVIĆ (Beograd)

Let as usual $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable, d(n) the number of divisors of n, and $\zeta(s)$ the Riemann zeta-function. One may consider (see e.g. Th. 4.2 of [3])

$$R\left(s; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) := \zeta^{2}(s) - \sum_{n \le t/(2\pi)}^{\prime} d(n)n^{-s} - \chi^{2}(s) \sum_{n \le t/(2\pi)}^{\prime} d(n)n^{s-1}$$

$$(0 \le \sigma \le 1)$$

as the error term in the approximate functional equation for $\zeta^2(s)$, where

$$\chi(s) = \zeta(s)/\zeta(1-s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin(\pi s/2) \Gamma(1-s)$$
.

In his important works [10], [11] Y. Motohashi established a very precise formula for the function $R(s; t/(2\pi))$, which connects it with

$$\Delta(x) := \sum_{n \le x}' d(n) - x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) - 1/4,$$

the error term in the classical divisor problem. Here γ is Euler's constant, and in general $\sum_{n\leq y}'$ denotes that the last term in the sum is to be halved if y is an integer. In particular, Motohashi has shown that

(1)
$$\chi(1-s)R\left(s;\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) = -\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-1/2}\Delta\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) + O(t^{-1/4}).$$

By using (1) and the author's bounds (see [2] or Ch. 13 of [3])

(2)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |\Delta(x)|^{A} dx \ll \begin{cases} T^{1+A/4+\varepsilon}, & 0 \le A \le 35/4, \\ T^{19/54+35A/108+\varepsilon}, & A \ge 35/4, \end{cases}$$

Research financed by the Mathematical Institute of Belgrade.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M06.

 $[\]it Key\ words\ and\ phrases$: Riemann zeta-function, approximate functional equation, Voronoï formula for the divisor problem.

I. Kiuchi [7] obtained the bounds

(3)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{A} dt \ll \begin{cases} T^{1-A/4+\varepsilon}, & 0 \le A \le 4, \\ 1, & A \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

Here, as usual, both f(x) = O(g(x)) and $f(x) \ll g(x)$ mean that $|f(x)| \le Cg(x)$ for $x \ge x_0$, g(x) > 0 and some C > 0. In the special case A = 2 a precise result may be obtained. Kiuchi and Matsumoto [8] obtained

(4)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{2} dt = \sqrt{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d^{2}(n)h^{2}(n)n^{-1/2} \right\} T^{1/2} + F(T)$$

with $F(T) = O(T^{1/4} \log T)$, and I. Kiuchi improved this in [6] to $F(T) = O(\log^5 T)$. In (4) the function h(n) is defined as

(5)
$$h(n) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} (y + n\pi)^{-1/2} \cos(y + \pi/4) \, dy.$$

Two integrations by parts give

$$h(n) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ -(2\pi n)^{-1/2} + (2\pi n)^{-3/2} - \frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} (y + n\pi)^{-5/2} \cos(y + \pi/4) \, dy \right\},$$

which easily yields

(6)
$$h(n) = -\frac{n^{-1/2}}{\pi} + \frac{n^{-3/2}}{2\pi^2} + O(n^{-5/2}), \quad h(n) < 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

so that the series in (4) converges, since $d(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

The object of this note is to improve (3), and at the same time to indicate how a simple proof of (4) with $F(T) = O(\log^5 T)$ may be obtained. The results are contained in the following

THEOREM. Let $A \ge 0$ be a given constant. For $0 \le A < 4$ there exists a positive constant C(A) such that

(7)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{A} dt \sim C(A)T^{1-A/4} \quad (T \to \infty).$$

Moreover, there exist effectively computable constants B > 0 and D such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

(8)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{4} dt = B \log T + D + O(T^{\varepsilon - 1/23}),$$

and for A > 4

(9)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{A} dt = D(A) + O(E(T, A)),$$

where

(10)
$$D(A) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{A} dt$$

is finite and positive, and

(11)
$$E(T,A) = \begin{cases} T^{1-A/4}, & 4 < A < 28/3, \\ T^{(4-2A)/11+\varepsilon}, & A \ge 28/3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin with the case A > 4, which is not difficult to settle. Instead of (2) we may use the bounds

$$\int_{1}^{T} |\Delta(x)|^{A} dx \ll \begin{cases} T^{1+A/4+\varepsilon}, & 0 \le A \le 28/3, \\ T^{1+7(A-2)/22+\varepsilon}, & A \ge 28/3. \end{cases}$$

This result is obtained in the same way as (2) was obtained, only instead of $\Delta(x) \ll x^{35/108+\varepsilon}$ one uses the sharper estimate $\Delta(x) \ll x^{7/22+\varepsilon}$ of Iwaniec and Mozzochi [5], e.g. in (13.71) of [3] and in the estimate that follows it. Moreover, from the proof of D. R. Heath-Brown [1] one obtains then

(12)
$$\int_{1}^{T} |\Delta(x)|^{A} dx \ll \begin{cases} T^{1+A/4}, & 0 \le A < 28/3, \\ T^{1+7(A-2)/22+\varepsilon}, & A \ge 28/3, \end{cases}$$

and in the bound for $A \ge 28/3$ one could actually replace T^{ε} by a suitable power of the logarithm. Since $|\chi(1/2 \pm it)| = 1$, it follows from (1) and (12) that

$$\int_{Y}^{2Y} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{A} dt \ll Y^{1 - A/4} + Y^{-A/2} \int_{Y}^{2Y} |\Delta(y)|^{A} dy \ll E(Y, A).$$

This easily yields (9), since both exponents of T in the definition (11) of E(T, A) are negative for A > 4.

To obtain the remaining results of the Theorem it is necessary to use the classical Voronoï formula for $\Delta(x)$ (see Ch. 3 of [3]), namely

(13)
$$\Delta(x) = (\pi\sqrt{2})^{-1}x^{1/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)n^{-3/4} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{xn} - \pi/4) + O(x^{-1/4}),$$

which in truncated form may be written as

(14)
$$\Delta(x) = (\pi\sqrt{2})^{-1}x^{1/4} \sum_{n \le N} d(n)n^{-3/4} \cos(4\pi\sqrt{xn} - \pi/4) + O(x^{\varepsilon} + x^{1/2 + \varepsilon}N^{-1/2})$$

for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, and $1 \le N \le x^C$, where C > 0 is any fixed number. The key idea, suggested by (1), is to make the connection between the functions $R(\cdot)$ and $\Delta(\cdot)$ in such a way that the appropriate analogues of (13) and (14) may by obtained for $R(\cdot)$. The relation (1) is too weak for this purpose, and we shall use the following formula which follows from Motohashi's work (e.g. pp. 74–75 of [11]):

(15)
$$\chi\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right)R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$= -\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-1/2}\Delta\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$+ (\pi\sqrt{2})^{-1}\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-1/2}\left(\frac{1}{6}\log\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{3} + 1\right)$$

$$+ (2\pi)^{-1/2}\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-1/4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}d(n)n^{-1/4}h_1(n)\cos(2\sqrt{2\pi t n} - \pi/4)$$

$$+ O(t^{-3/4}),$$

where

$$h_1(n) := \int_0^\infty (y + n\pi)^{-3/2} \cos(y - \pi/4) \, dy \ll n^{-3/2} \, .$$

Now we define

(16)
$$g(t) := t^{1/2} \chi \left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right) R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right),$$

so that g(t) is real for t real, and

(17)
$$|g(t)| = t^{1/2} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|.$$

Noting that an integration by parts gives

$$h_1(n) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi n}\right)^{1/2} + (2\pi)^{1/2}h(n),$$

where h(n) is given by (5), we deduce from (13) and (15) that

(18)
$$g(t) - (6\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6 \right)$$
$$= (2\pi t)^{1/4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)h(n)n^{-1/4} \cos(2\sqrt{2\pi t n} - \pi/4) + O(t^{-1/4}).$$

On the other hand, by using (14) and the fact that

$$\sum_{n>N} d(n)n^{-1/4}h_1(n)\cos(2\sqrt{2\pi t n} - \pi/4) \ll N^{-3/4}\log N,$$

we obtain from (15), for $1 \le N \le t^C$,

(19)
$$g(t) - (6\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6 \right)$$
$$= (2\pi t)^{1/4} \sum_{n \le N} d(n)h(n)n^{-1/4} \cos(2\sqrt{2\pi t n} - \pi/4)$$
$$+ O(t^{\varepsilon} + t^{1/2 + \varepsilon}N^{-1/2}).$$

If we now set

(20)
$$G(t) := g(t) - (6\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6 \right),$$

then the analogy between $\Delta(x)$ and G(t) is indeed striking: (13) corresponds to (18) and (14) to (19), only the scaling factors are different and $n^{-3/4}$ is replaced by

$$n^{-1/4}h(n) \sim -\pi^{-1}n^{-3/4}$$
.

Thus essentially the results on $\Delta(x)$ based only on the use of (13) and (14) have their counterparts for G(t), and through the use of (16) and (20) one can then obtain the corresponding results for $R(1/2 + it; t/(2\pi))$. To stress our point, note that the result

$$\Delta(x) - \Delta(y) \ll (x+y)^{\varepsilon} (|x-y|+1) \quad (x,y \ge 1),$$

which follows trivially from $d(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ and the definition of $\Delta(x)$, does not seem obtainable by (13) or (14). Thus we cannot infer automatically the corresponding bound

$$G(x) - G(y) \ll (x+y)^{\varepsilon}(|x-y|+1) \quad (x,y \ge 1)$$

for G(t) (or g(t)). Indeed, it is not obvious how the last bound can be proved.

After the above discussion it is easy to see why (4) holds with $F(T) = O(\log^5 T)$. Namely T. Meurman [9] proved

(21)
$$\int_{1}^{X} \Delta^{2}(x) dx = \frac{\zeta^{4}(3/2)}{6\pi^{2}\zeta(3)} X^{3/2} + R(X)$$

with $R(X) = O(X \log^5 X)$. This was obtained much earlier by K.-C. Tong [13], but Meurman's method is substantially simpler than Tong's. E. Preissmann [12] indicated how at one place in the proof a variant of Hilbert's inequality may be used to save a further log-power, so that now even $R(X) = O(X \log^4 X)$ is known. Since the works of Meurman and Preissmann use

only (13) and (14), it follows that the analogue of (21) may be obtained for G(t), and this will be

(22)
$$\int_{1}^{T} G^{2}(t) dt = A_{1}T^{3/2} + R_{1}(T), \quad R_{1}(T) = O(T \log^{4} T),$$

with the value

$$A_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d^2(n) h^2(n) n^{-1/2}.$$

From (20) and (22) one obtains

$$\int_{1}^{T} g^{2}(t) dt = \int_{1}^{T} G^{2}(t) dt + (3\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} \int_{1}^{T} G(t) \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6 \right) dt$$
$$+ (36\pi)^{-1} \int_{1}^{T} \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6 \right)^{2} dt$$
$$= A_{1}T^{3/2} + R_{2}(T),$$

say, where

(23)
$$R_2(T) = R_1(T) + O(T^{3/4} \log T) + (36\pi)^{-1} \int_1^T \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6\right)^2 dt$$

since by the first derivative test (Lemma 2.1 of [3]) one easily finds that

(24)
$$H(T) := \int_{1}^{T} G(t) \left(\log \frac{t}{2\pi} + 2\gamma + 6 \right) dt \ll T^{3/4} \log T.$$

Thus we have $R_2(T) = O(T \log^4 T)$, so that (17) and integration by parts give

$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{2} dt = 3A_{1}T^{1/2} + F(T)$$

with

(25)
$$F(T) = R_2(T)T^{-1} - R_2(1) - 3A_1 + \int_{1}^{T} R_2(t)t^{-2} dt.$$

Hence the bound $R_2(T) = O(T \log^4 T)$ gives immediately (4) with $F(T) = O(\log^5 T)$, obtained by I. Kiuchi [6], whose proof is much more involved, as it reproduces the details of the method of Meurman and Preissmann. Note also that if

$$R_1(T) = o(T \log^2 T) \quad (T \to \infty)$$

could be proved, then from (23) and (25) it would follow that

$$F(T) = \left(\frac{1}{108\pi} + o(1)\right) \log^3 T \quad (T \to \infty).$$

This would mean the appearance of a new main term in (4), and a similar observation was made by Kiuchi [6]. It may also be remarked that by the method of [4] it follows that there exist constants $B_1, B_2 > 0$ such that for $T \geq T_0$ every interval $[T, T + B_1 T^{1/2}]$ contains points t_1, t_2 such that

$$H(t_1) > B_2 t_1^{3/4} \log t_1$$
, $H(t_2) < -B_2 t_2^{3/4} \log t_2$,

where H(t) is defined by (24), and a sharp mean square formula for H(t) may be also derived. This observation coupled with the bound in (24) prompts one to state the optimistic conjecture that

(26)
$$\int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{2} dt$$

$$= 3A_{1}T^{1/2} + a_{0}\log^{3}T + a_{1}\log^{2}T + a_{2}\log T + a_{3} + O(T^{\varepsilon - 1/4})$$

holds with any $\varepsilon > 0$, and effectively computable constants a_0 , a_1 , a_2 and a_3 . We return now to the proof of the Theorem. K.-M. Tsang [14] recently proved

(27)
$$\int_{1}^{X} \Delta^{4}(x) dx = 3c_{2}(64\pi^{4})^{-1}X^{2} + O(X^{45/23+\varepsilon})$$

with

(28)
$$c_2 = \sum_{\substack{k,l,m,n=1\\k^{1/2}+l^{1/2}=m^{1/2}+n^{1/2}}}^{\infty} (klmn)^{-3/4} d(k)d(l)d(m)d(n) ,$$

which he showed to be a convergent series. Tsang's proof is entirely based on (14), hence we may follow it to derive the corresponding result for g(t), which will be

(29)
$$\int_{1}^{T} g^{4}(t) dt = \frac{3\pi}{8} c_{3} T^{2} + U(T), \quad U(T) = O(T^{45/23 + \varepsilon}),$$

where

(30)
$$c_3 := \sum_{\substack{k,l,m,n=1\\k^{1/2}+l^{1/2}=m^{1/2}+n^{1/2}}}^{\infty} (klmn)^{-1/4}h(k)h(l)h(m)h(n)d(k)d(l)d(m)d(n).$$

Since h(n) < 0 and $h(n) \ll n^{-1/2}$, one shows that c_3 is finite and positive in the same way as Tsang did for c_2 in (28). Using (17) and integrating (29) by parts we easily obtain (8) with

$$B = \frac{3\pi c_3}{4} > 0$$
, $D = 2 \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{U(t)}{t^3} dt - U(1)$.

Let now $0 \le A < 4$. From (4), (29) and Hölder's inequality for integrals it follows that

$$(31) \qquad T^{1-A/4} \ll \int\limits_{1}^{T} \left| R \left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi} \right) \right|^{A} dt \ll T^{1-A/4} \quad \left(0 \le A < 4 \right).$$

D. R. Heath-Brown [1] proved the existence of

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} X^{-1-k/4} \int_{1}^{X} |\Delta(x)|^k dx$$

for $0 \le k \le 9$ by a general method. In view of (17) and (31) this method gives, when applied to g(t), the existence of

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} T^{-1-k/4} \int_{1}^{T} |g(t)|^k dt$$

for $0 \le k < 4$. From (17) and integration by parts we deduce that

$$C(A) = \lim_{T \to \infty} T^{A/4-1} \int_{1}^{T} \left| R\left(\frac{1}{2} + it; \frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \right|^{A} dt$$

exists for $0 \le A < 4$. Since (31) holds we obtain C(A) > 0, hence (7) is proved.

References

- D. R. Heath-Brown, The distribution and moments of the error term in the Dirichlet divisor problem, Acta Arith. 60 (1992), 389–415.
- [2] A. Ivić, Large values of the error term in the divisor problem, Invent. Math. 71 (1983), 513–520.
- [3] —, The Riemann Zeta-function, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [4] —, Large values of certain number-theoretic error terms, Acta Arith. 56 (1990), 135–159.
- [5] H. Iwaniec and C. J. Mozzochi, On the divisor and circle problems, J. Number Theory 29 (1988), 60–93.
- [6] I. Kiuchi, An improvement on the mean value formula for the approximate functional equation of the square of the Riemann zeta-function, ibid., to appear.
- [7] —, Power moments of the error term for the approximate functional equation of the Riemann zeta-function, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 52 (66) (1992), in print.

- [8] I. Kiuchi and K. Matsumoto, Mean value results for the approximate functional equation of the square of the Riemann zeta-function, Acta Arith. 61 (1992), 337–345.
- [9] T. Meurman, On the mean square of the Riemann zeta-function, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 38 (1987), 337-343.
- [10] Y. Motohashi, A note on the approximate functional equation for $\zeta^2(s)$, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 59 (1983), 393–396 and II, ibid. 469–472.
- [11] —, Lectures on the Riemann-Siegel Formula, Ulam Seminar, Dept. Math., Colorado University, Boulder, 1987.
- [12] E. Preissmann, Sur la moyenne quadratique du terme de reste du problème du cercle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 306 (1988), 151–154.
- [13] K.-C. Tong, On divisor problem III, Acta Math. Sinica 6 (1956), 515–541 (in Chinese).
- [14] K.-M. Tsang, Higher power moments of $\Delta(x)$, E(t) and P(x), Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 65 (1992), 65–84.

KATEDRA MATEMATIKE RGF-A UNIVERSITETA U BEOGRADU DJUŠINA 7 11000 BEOGRAD, SERBIA (YUGOSLAVIA)

 $Received\ on\ 2.12.1992$

(2350)