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## JACOBI OPERATOR FOR LEAF GEODESICS

BY
PAWEŁ G. W ALCZAK (ŁÓDŹ)
Introduction. In [Wa2], while studying the geodesic flow of a foliation, we introduced the notion of Jacobi fields along geodesics on the leaves of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of a Riemannian manifold $M$. Jacobi fields occur as variation fields while varying a leaf geodesic $c$ among leaf geodesics. They satisfy the equation

$$
J Y=0
$$

where $J$ is a second order differential operator acting in the space of vector fields along $c$ (see (16) in Section 4). The Jacobi operator $J$ depends on the curvature of $M$ as well as on the second fundamental form $B$ of $\mathcal{F}$. In the trivial case, $\mathcal{F}=\{M\}, J$ reduces to the classical Jacobi operator studied in Riemannian geometry [Kl].

In this article, we show that $J$ plays a role in the second variational formula for the arclength $\mathcal{L}$ and energy $\mathcal{E}$ of leaf curves (Section 4). Since leaf geodesics appear to be critical for $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ for some variations only (Section 3 ), we have to distinguish a suitable class of variations called admissible here (Section 4). We collect a number of properties of the operator $J$ (Section 5) acting particularly on the tangent space $T_{c} \Omega$ of the space $\Omega$ of all the leaf curves. (The space $T_{c} \Omega$ is described in Section 2.) Some particular cases are considered in Section 6. The results lead to some consequences relating geometry and topology of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ (Propositions 2 and 9$)$.

Further development of the variational theory is obstructed in general by the possibility of non-existence of admissible variations for some variation fields (see Proposition 4 and the Remark following it). The problem could be overcome by suitable assumptions on the exterior geometry of $\mathcal{F}$.

1. Notation. Throughout the paper $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection on an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M, g), R$ is its curvature tensor and $K$ is the sectional curvature of $M . \mathcal{F}$ is a $p$-dimensional foliation of
$M, v=v^{\top}+v^{\perp}$ is the decomposition of a vector $v$ into the parts tangent and orthogonal to $\mathcal{F} . \quad \nabla^{\top}$ is the connection in $T \mathcal{F}$, the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{F}$, induced by $\nabla$ and the orthogonal projection. $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the analogous connection in $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}$, the orthogonal complement of $T \mathcal{F}$. All the connections in different tensor bundles induced by $\nabla, \nabla^{\top}$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are denoted, maybe abusively, by $\nabla$.
$A$ (resp., $A^{\perp}$ ) is the Weingarten operator of $\mathcal{F}$ (resp., of the orthogonal distribution $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}$ ), defined by $A^{Y} X=-\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\top}$ (resp., $A^{\perp X} Y=$ $-\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)^{\perp}$ ) for $X$ tangent and $Y$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$. Similarly, $B$ and $B^{\perp}$ are the second fundamental tensors of $\mathcal{F}$ and $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}:\langle B(U, V), X\rangle=\left\langle A^{X} U, V\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle B^{\perp}(X, Y), U\right\rangle=\left\langle A^{\perp U} X, Y\right\rangle$ for $U$ and $V$ tangent to $\mathcal{F}$, and $X$ and $Y$ orthogonal to it. In other words, $B(U, V)=\left(\nabla_{U} V\right)^{\perp}$ and $B^{\perp}(X, Y)=$ $\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\top}$. Note that the form $B$ is symmetric while $B^{\perp}$ in general is not.
2. Space of curves. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Denote by $\Omega$ the space of piecewise smooth curves $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ tangent to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. We equip $\Omega$ with the uniform $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-topology induced by $g$ and the Sasaki metric $g_{S}$ on $T \mathcal{F}$. In this way, $\Omega$ becomes a metric space with the distance function $d_{\Omega}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} d\left(c_{1}(t), c_{2}(t)\right)+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} d_{S}\left(\dot{c}_{1}(t), \dot{c}_{2}(t)\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the distance function on $(M, g)$ and $d_{S}$ the distance function on $\left(T \mathcal{F}, g_{S}\right)$, and the supremum in the second term is taken over all the $t$ 's for which $\dot{c}_{1}(t)$ and $\dot{c}_{2}(t)$ do exist.

A curve in $\Omega$ is meant to be a continuous map $V:[0,1] \times(a, b) \rightarrow M$ such that $V(\cdot, s) \in \Omega$ for all $s$ in $(a, b)$ and there exist numbers $0=t_{0}<$ $t_{1}<\ldots<t_{k}=1$ for which $V \mid\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right] \times(a, b), i=1, \ldots, k-1$, are smooth. If $s_{0} \in(a, b)$ and $c=V\left(\cdot, s_{0}\right)$, then $V$ is called an $\mathcal{F}$-variation of $c$.

The tangent space $T_{c} \Omega(c \in \Omega)$ is considered to be the space of all variation fields corresponding to all the $\mathcal{F}$-variations of $c . T_{c} \Omega$ consists of continuous piecewise smooth vector fields along $c$. Obviously, $T_{c} \Omega$ is a vector space containing all the fields tangent to $\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 1. $Z \in T_{c} \Omega$ if and only if $Z^{\perp \cdot}=-A^{\perp \dot{c}} Z^{\perp}$.
Here and in the sequel, the upper dot denotes the covariant differentiation in the bundle $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}$ in the direction of $c$.

Proof. Let $V:[0,1] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be a smooth $\mathcal{F}$-variation of $c=$ $V(\cdot, 0)$ and let $Z=V_{*}(d / d s)(\cdot, 0)$ be the variation field. Assume that $Z$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$. Let $X=V_{*}(d / d t)$ and $Y=V_{*}(d / d s)$ be fields along $V$ so that $Z=Y(\cdot, 0)$. Since the fields $d / d t$ and $d / d s$ commute, and the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ on $M$ is torsion free, we have $\nabla_{d / d s} X=\nabla_{d / d t} Y$
and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\cdot}=\left(\nabla_{d / d t} Y\right)^{\perp}=\left(\nabla_{d / d t} X\right)^{\perp}=-A^{\perp \dot{c}} Z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, assume that $Z$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ and satisfies (2). Consider a chart $x$ on $M$ distinguished by $\mathcal{F}$ and such that $x(c(t))=(t, 0, \ldots, 0)$ for any $t$. (This can be done for any short piece of any curve $c \in \Omega$ for which $\dot{c} \neq 0$, so it is sufficient to consider curves of this form.) Take an $(n-1)$ dimensional $(n=\operatorname{dim} M)$ ball $B(\varepsilon)$ centered at the origin and extend $Z$ along $\{0\} \times B(\varepsilon)$ keeping it orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$. For any $u \in B(\varepsilon)$ there exists a unique solution $Y_{u}$ along the curve $t \mapsto(t, u)$ of $Y^{*}=-A^{\perp(d / d t)} Y$ satisfying the initial condition $Y_{u}(0, u)=Z(0, u)$. The field $Y$ defined by all the fields $Y_{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
[d / d t, Y]^{\perp}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0,1] \times B(\varepsilon)$. Let $\left(\varphi_{s}\right)$ be a local flow of $Y$ in a neighbourhood of $[0,1] \times$ $\{0\}$. The map $V:[0,1] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni(t, s) \mapsto \varphi_{s}(c(t))$ is a variation of $c$, $V_{*}(d / d s)=Z$ along $c$ and $V(\cdot, s)$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ for any $s$ because of (3).

Remark. For any leaf curve $c:[0,1] \rightarrow L$ the linear isomorphism

$$
Z_{c(0)}^{\perp} \mathcal{F} \ni v \mapsto Z_{v}(1) \in T_{c(1)}^{\perp} \mathcal{F},
$$

where $Z_{v}$ is the unique solution of (2) satisfying the initial condition $Z_{v}(0)=$ $v$, represents the linear holonomy $h_{c}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ along $c$. In particular, $Z_{v}(1)$ depends only on the homotopy class of $c$.

In fact, if $H:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow L$ is a homotopy satisfying $H(0, s)=x$ and $H(1, s)=y$ for all $s$ and some $x$ and $y$ in $L, Z$ is a vector field along $H$ perpendicular to $\mathcal{F}, X=H_{*}(d / d t), Y=H_{*}(d / d s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \frac{\perp}{X} Z=-A^{\perp X} Z \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W=\nabla_{Y}^{\perp} Z$ and $f=\|W\|^{2}$, then for any $s \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d f}{d t}=\langle\nabla \stackrel{\perp}{X} W, W\rangle= & \langle R(X, Y) Z, W\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{Y}^{\perp} \nabla \stackrel{\perp}{X} Z, W\right\rangle  \tag{5}\\
& -\left\langle B\left(A^{Z} X, Y\right), W\right\rangle+\left\langle B\left(X, A^{Z} Y\right), W\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Ranjan's formula (*) ([Ra], p. 87) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle R(X, Y) Z, W\rangle= & \left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y} B^{\perp}\right)(Z, W), X\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{X} B^{\perp}\right)(Z, W), Y\right\rangle  \tag{6}\\
& -\left\langle A^{Z} Y, A^{W} X\right\rangle+\left\langle A^{Z} X, A^{W} Y\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle A^{\perp X} A^{\perp Y} Z, W\right\rangle+\left\langle A^{\perp Y} A^{\perp X} Z, W\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

The formulae (4)-(6) together with the obvious relations between $A$ and $B$ ( $A^{\perp}$ and $B^{\perp}$, resp.) and their covariant derivatives imply that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d f}{d t}=\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle A^{\perp Y} Z, W\right\rangle
$$

Therefore,

$$
f(1, s)-f(0, s)=\left\langle A^{\perp Y} Z, W\right\rangle(1, s)-\left\langle A^{\perp Y} Z, W\right\rangle(0, s)=0
$$

because $Y(0, s)=0$ and $Y(1, s)=0$ for all $s$. If $Z(0, s)=v$ for all $s$, then $f(0, s)=0, f(1, s)=0$ and $Z(1, s)$ is constant on the interval $[0,1]$.
3. First variational formula. The arclength $\mathcal{L}$ and the energy $\mathcal{E}$ are continuous functionals on $\Omega$ given, as usual, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(c)=\int_{0}^{1}\|\dot{c}(t)\| d t \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{E}(c)=\int_{0}^{1}\|\dot{c}(t)\|^{2} d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are differentiable in the sense that if $V$ is a smooth variation, then the functions $s \mapsto \mathcal{E}(V(\cdot, s))$ and $s \mapsto \mathcal{L}(V(\cdot, s))$ are differentiable provided, in the second case, that the curves $V(\cdot, s)$ are regular.

Let $V:[0,1] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ be a smooth $\mathcal{F}$-variation of a leaf curve $c=V(\cdot, 0)$ parametrized proportionally to arclength ( $\|\dot{c}\| \equiv$ const.). Let $\mathcal{L}(s)=\mathcal{L}(V(\cdot, s)), X=V_{*}(d / d t)$ and $Y=V_{*}(d / d s)$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} X, X\right\rangle(t, s)}{\|X(t, s)\|} d t=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} Y, X\right\rangle(t, s)}{\|X(t, s)\|} d t  \tag{8}\\
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{l} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle d t
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1}{l}\left(\left.\langle\dot{c}, Y\rangle\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Y^{\top}, \dot{c}^{\prime \top}\right\rangle d t-\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Y^{\perp}, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\right\rangle d t\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the length of $c$.
A similar formula holds for piecewise smooth curves and $\mathcal{F}$-variations. One has to consider the integrals over the intervals $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right], 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots$ $\ldots<t_{k}=1$, for which both $c$ and $V$ are differentiable.

In the same way,

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(s)=2 \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} Y, X\right\rangle(t, s) d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(0)=2 l \cdot L^{\prime}(0), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}(s)=\mathcal{E}(V(\cdot, s))$.
From (8) and (9) it follows that any leaf curve $c$ which is to minimize either arclength or energy for $\mathcal{F}$-variations $V$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(0) \perp \dot{c}(0) \quad \text { and } \quad Y(1) \perp \dot{c}(1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

should be a leaf geodesic. In this case, the variation formula (9) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{1}{l} \int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Y^{\perp}, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\right\rangle d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, a leaf geodesic $c$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ (equivalently, of $\mathcal{E}$ ) for all the $\mathcal{F}$-variations $V$ for which the variation field $Y$ satisfies (11) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left\langle Y^{\perp}, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\right\rangle d t=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proposition below is a simple application of the above considerations.
Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversely oriented codimension-one foliation of a manifold $M$. Let $X$ be a non-vanishing vector field transverse to $\mathcal{F}$. Assume that there exists a Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ for which $X \perp \mathcal{F}$ and the scalar fundamental form $h$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is positive. Then any leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ admits at most one closed trajectory of $X$ intersecting it.

Proof. Assume that a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ intersects two closed trajectories $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ of $X$. The subspace $\widehat{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ consisting of all the leaf curves joining $T_{1}$ to $T_{2}$ is non-void and there exists a leaf geodesic $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ for which $\mathcal{L} \mid \widehat{\Omega}$ attains its minimum. There exists a positive function $f$ for which the field $Y=f \cdot X \circ c$ belongs to $T_{c} \Omega$, and an $\mathcal{F}$-variation $V$ for which the variation field equals $Y$. For this variation

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(t)\|X(c(t))\| h(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)) d t=0
$$

Since $h(v, v)>0$ for $v \neq 0$, the last equality implies that $\dot{c}(t)=0$ for any $t$. Therefore, $c(0)=c(1) \in T_{1} \cap T_{2}$ and $T_{1}=T_{2}$.
4. Admissible variations and second variational formula. Assume that $V:[0, b] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ is a smooth $\mathcal{F}$-variation of a leaf geodesic $c:[0, b] \rightarrow M$ for which the variation field $Y$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(0, \cdot) \perp \mathcal{F}, \quad Y(b, \cdot) \perp \mathcal{F}, \quad \int_{0}^{b}\left\langle Y^{\perp}, B(X, X)\right\rangle(t, \cdot) d t \equiv 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, $X=V_{*}(d / d t) . \mathcal{F}$-variations satisfying (14) are said to be admissible here.

Proposition 3. For any admissible variation $V$ of a normal leaf geodesic c one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle J Y, Y\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}\right)(t, 0) d t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(16)

$$
J Z=-Z^{\prime \prime}+R(\dot{c}, Z) \dot{c}+\left(\nabla_{Z} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c})+2 B\left(Z^{\prime \top}, \dot{c}\right)
$$

for any vector field $Z$ along c. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(0)=2 \int_{0}^{b}\langle J Y, Y\rangle(t, 0) d t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential operator $J$ defined by (16) is called the Jacobi operator here. It appeared in [Wa2], where the variations of leaf geodesics among leaf geodesics were considered. Some properties of $J$ are studied in the next section.

Proof. From (8) we get

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(s)=\int_{0}^{b}\|X\|^{-3}\left(\frac{d}{d s}\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} Y, X\right\rangle\|X\|^{2}-\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} Y, X\right\rangle^{2}\right)(t, s) d t
$$

and
(18) $\quad \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} \nabla_{d / d t} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle+\left\|Y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}\right)(t) d t$.

Since the fields $d / d s$ and $d / d t$ commute,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} \nabla_{d / d t} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle=\langle R(Y, \dot{c}) Y, \dot{c}\rangle+\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} \nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} \nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle= \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\right\rangle \\
&= \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle-\frac{d}{d s}\langle Y, B(X, X)\rangle \\
&+\left\langle Y,\left(\nabla_{Y} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c})+2 B\left(Y^{\prime \top}, \dot{c}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \int_{0}^{b} \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle d t=\left.\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle\right|_{0} ^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{b} \frac{d}{d s}\langle Y, B(X, X)\rangle d t=\frac{d}{d s} \int_{0}^{b}\langle Y, B(X, X)\rangle d t=0
$$

because of (14). It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)= & \int_{0}^{b}\left(\left\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}+\left(\nabla_{Y} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c})+2 B\left(\dot{c}, Y^{\prime \top}\right), Y\right\rangle\right.  \tag{20}\\
& \left.+\left\|Y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}\right) d t+\left.\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, \dot{c}\right\rangle\right|_{0} ^{b}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|Y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle Y, Y^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, Y\right\rangle,  \tag{21}\\
\int_{0}^{b} \frac{d}{d t}\left\langle Y, Y^{\prime}\right\rangle d t=\left.\left\langle Y, Y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|_{0} ^{b} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{d / d t} Y, Y\right\rangle+\left.\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} Y, X\right\rangle\right|_{0} ^{b}=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\langle X, Y\rangle\right|_{0} ^{b}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formulae (20)-(23) yield (15).
Corollary 1. If an admissible variation $V$ is geodesic, then

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0
$$

Proof. If all the curves $V(\cdot, s)$ are leaf geodesics, then the variation field $Y$ is Jacobi, i.e. it satisfies the Jacobi equation $J Y=0$. For a Jacobi field $Y$ along a leaf geodesic $c$ one has $\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle \equiv$ const ([Wa2], Lemma 1). Also, $\langle Y, \dot{c}\rangle^{\prime}=\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle+\langle Y, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\rangle$ and if $Y(t) \perp \mathcal{F}$ for $t=0$ and $t=b$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{b}\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle d t=-\int_{0}^{b}\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\rangle d t .
$$

If $Y$ comes from an admissible variation, then

$$
\int_{0}^{b}\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2} d t=\left(\int_{0}^{b}\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle d t\right)^{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{b}\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\rangle d t\right)^{2}=0
$$

Now, we shall show the existence of admissible variations with prescribed variation fields. To this end we need the following elementary fact.

Lemma 1. If $f:[0, b] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $\int_{0}^{b} f(t, 0) d t=0$ and $f(t, 0) \neq 0$ for some $t$, then there exists a smooth function $\lambda:[0, b] \times(-\eta, \eta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(0<\eta<\varepsilon)$ for which $\lambda(t, 0)=t, \lambda(0, s)=0$, $\lambda(b, s)=b, \partial \lambda / \partial t>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}\left(\lambda(\cdot, s)^{-1}(u), s\right) f(u, s) d u=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s$ and $t$.
Proof. We shall find a piecewise linear function $\lambda$ satisfying all the conditions. It could be made smooth by a procedure analogous to that of the proof of Lemma 2 of [Wa1], for example.

First, we can find $d \in(0, b)$ and $\eta \in(0, \varepsilon)$ such that $\int_{0}^{d} f(t, s) d t \neq 0$, for example

$$
\int_{0}^{d} f(t, s) d t>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{d}^{b} f(t, s) d t<0
$$

for all $s \in(-\eta, \eta)$. Let

$$
\lambda_{c}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{d}{c} t & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq c \\ \frac{b-d}{b-c}(t-c) & \text { if } c \leq t \leq d\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
I(s, c)=\int_{0}^{b} \lambda_{c}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{c}^{-1}(u)\right) f(u, s) d u=\frac{d}{c} \int_{0}^{d} f(u, s) d u+\frac{b-d}{b-c} \int_{d}^{b} f(u, s) d u
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\partial I}{\partial c}<0, \quad \lim _{c \rightarrow 0^{+}} I(s, c)=+\infty, \quad \lim _{c \rightarrow b^{-}} I(s, c)=-\infty
$$

so for any $s$ there exists a unique $c_{s}$ such that $I\left(s, c_{s}\right)=0$. Obviously, $c_{0}=d$. The function $\lambda$ given by $\lambda(t, s)=\lambda_{c_{s}}(t)$ satisfies all the conditions of the lemma.

Proposition 4. Assume that $Z \in T_{c} \Omega$ is a vector field orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ and such that

$$
\int_{0}^{b}\langle Z, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\rangle d t=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\langle Z, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\rangle(t) \neq 0
$$

for some $t$. There exists an admissible $\mathcal{F}$-variation $V:[0, b] \times(-\eta, \eta) \rightarrow M$ for which $Z$ is the normal component of the variation field.

Proof. Take any $\mathcal{F}$-variation $W:[0, b] \times(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ for which $Z(t)=$ $W_{*}(d / d s)(t, 0)(0 \leq t \leq b)$. Apply Lemma 1 to the function

$$
f=\left\langle W_{*}(d / d s), B\left(W_{*}(d / d t), W_{*}(d / d t)\right)\right\rangle
$$

Let

$$
V(t, s)=W(\lambda(t, s), s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq b,-\eta<s<\eta,
$$

where $\lambda$ is any function satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1 . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial s} W_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)+W_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} W_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (25) shows that the normal component of $V_{*}(\partial / \partial s)$ equals $Z$ along c. Formulae (25) and (26) together with (24) show that the variation $V$ is admissible.

Remark. (i) Note that the tangent component of the variation field constructed in the course of the proof above is of the form $f \cdot \dot{c}$, where $f:[0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f(0)=f(b)=0$.
(ii) The assumption $\langle Z, B(\dot{c}, \dot{c})\rangle(t) \neq 0$ is essential here. If, for example, $\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}=1, \mathcal{F}$ is transversely oriented and totally umbilical, $B=\lambda g \otimes N$ for a unit field $N$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ and a function $\lambda: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, L$ is an isolated totally geodesic leaf, $\lambda$ is strictly positive in $U \backslash L$ for some neighbourhood $U$ of $L$ and $c:[0, b] \rightarrow L$ is a geodesic, then there are no non-trivial transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ admissible variations of $c$ in spite of the identity $B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) \equiv 0$.
5. Properties of the Jacobi operator. Consider the operator $J$ defined by (16) for a normal leaf geodesic $c:[0, b] \rightarrow L$. Clearly, $J$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and maps the space of vector fields along $c$ into itself. Its kernel is of dimension $2 n$ while the intersection $T_{c} \Omega \cap \operatorname{ker} J$ of dimension $n+p$. It consists of Jacobi fields (in the sense of [Wa2]) obtained by varying $c$ among leaf geodesics.

Proposition 5. Let $X=Y+Z$ satisfy $J X=0, Y^{\perp}=0$ and $Z^{\top}=0$. Then $X \in T_{c} \Omega$ if and only if

$$
Z^{\cdot}(0)=-A^{\perp \dot{c}(0)} Z(0)
$$

Proof. The "only if" part of the statement follows immediately from Proposition 1. To prove the "if" part put

$$
\zeta=Z-A^{\perp \dot{c}} Z
$$

From Proposition 1 again it follows that it is sufficient to show that $\zeta$ satisfies an ODE of the form

$$
\zeta=\Lambda \zeta
$$

$\Lambda$ being a linear operator on the space of vector fields along $c$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$.

Take any vector field $N=N^{\perp}$ along $c$. From the definitions of $\zeta, A$ and $A^{\perp}$ it follows easily that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\zeta^{\cdot}, N\right\rangle= & \left\langle X^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle+\left\langle B\left(A^{Z} \dot{c}, \dot{c}\right), N\right\rangle  \tag{27}\\
& -\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\dot{c}} B^{\perp}\right)(Z, N), \dot{c}\right\rangle-\left\langle B^{\perp}\left(Z^{\cdot}, N\right), \dot{c}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Ranjan's structure equation ([Ra], p. 87) in our notation reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle R(\dot{c}, Z) \dot{c}, N\rangle= & \left\langle B\left(A^{Z} \dot{c}, \dot{c}\right), N\right\rangle+\left\langle B^{\perp}\left(A^{\perp \dot{c}} Z, N\right), \dot{c}\right\rangle  \tag{28}\\
& -\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Z} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), N\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\dot{c}} B^{\perp}\right)(Z, N), \dot{c}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

We also have the Codazzi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, N\rangle=\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\dot{c}} B\right)(Y, \dot{c}), N\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), N\right\rangle \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, N\right\rangle & =\left\langle B\left(Y^{\prime \top}, \dot{c}\right), N\right\rangle+\left\langle B(Y, \dot{c})^{\cdot}, N\right\rangle  \tag{30}\\
& =\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\dot{c}} B\right)(Y, \dot{c}), N\right\rangle+2\left\langle B\left(Y^{\prime \top}, \dot{c}\right), N\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

Now, $J X=0$ together with (27)-(30) yield

$$
\left\langle\zeta^{\bullet}, N\right\rangle=-\left\langle B^{\perp}(\zeta, N), \dot{c}\right\rangle
$$

This shows that $\zeta$ satisfies the required ODE with $\Lambda=-\left\langle B^{\perp}(\cdot, N), \dot{c}\right\rangle$.
Proposition 6. If $Y \in T_{c} \Omega$, then
(i) $(J Y)^{\perp}=0$,
(ii) $J Y=J_{L} Y$ if $Y^{\perp}=0$,
(iii) $\langle J Y, X\rangle=\langle R(\dot{c}, X) \dot{c}, Y\rangle+\left\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), A^{\perp X} Y\right\rangle-\left\langle A^{\perp \dot{c}} Y, B(\dot{c}, X)\right\rangle-$ $\left\langle Y^{\prime}, X\right\rangle^{\prime}$ if $Y^{\top}=0, X^{\perp}=0$ and $X$ is $\nabla^{\top}$-parallel along $c$.

Here, $J_{L}$ denotes the standard Jacobi operator on the leaf $L$ [Kl]: If $Z^{\perp}=0$, then $J_{L} Z=-\nabla_{\dot{c}}^{\top} \nabla_{\dot{c}}^{\top} Z+R_{L}(\dot{c}, Z) \dot{c}$ with $R_{L}$ being the curvature tensor on $L$.

Proof. (i) Assume first that $Y$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ and take a $\nabla^{\perp}$-parallel section $X$ of $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}$ along $c$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B\left(Y^{\prime \top}, \dot{c}\right), X\right\rangle=-\left\langle B\left(A^{Y} \dot{c}, \dot{c}\right), X\right\rangle \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime}=\left(Y^{\cdot}-A^{Y} \dot{c}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(A^{\perp \dot{c}} Y+A^{Y} \dot{c}\right\rangle^{\prime} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last formula implies
(33) $\left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, X\right\rangle=-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\dot{c}} B^{\perp}\right)(Y, X), \dot{c}\right\rangle+\left\langle B^{\perp}\left(A^{\perp \dot{c}} Y, X\right), \dot{c}\right\rangle+\left\langle A^{Y} \dot{c}, A^{X} \dot{c}\right\rangle$.

Substitution of (31), (33) and (28) (where one has to replace $Z$ by $Y$ and $N$ by $X$ ) to (16) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle J Y, X\rangle=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Y$ is tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ and $X$ is, as before, orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ and satisfies $X^{*}=0$, then (34) follows immediately from (16) and the Codazzi equation

$$
\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, X\rangle=\left\langle\left(\nabla_{\dot{c}} B\right)(Y, \dot{c}), X\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), X\right\rangle .
$$

(ii) The Gauss equation

$$
\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, X\rangle=\left\langle R_{L}(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, X\right\rangle+\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), B(X, Y)\rangle-\langle B(\dot{c}, X), B(\dot{c}, Y)\rangle
$$

implies that if $X^{\perp}=0$ and $X$ is $\nabla^{\perp}$-parallel along $c$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle J Y, X\rangle= & \left\langle R_{L}(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, X\right\rangle+\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), B(X, Y)\rangle-\langle B(\dot{c}, X), B(\dot{c}, Y)\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), X\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, X\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, X\right\rangle=\left\langle Y^{\prime \top \prime}, X\right\rangle+\left\langle Y^{\prime \perp^{\prime}}, X\right\rangle=\left\langle Y^{\prime \top \prime \top}, X\right\rangle+\left\langle B(\dot{c}, Y)^{\prime}, X\right\rangle
$$

and $\left\langle B(\dot{c}, Y)^{\prime}, X\right\rangle=-\langle B(\dot{c}, Y), B(\dot{c}, X)\rangle$, we get

$$
\langle J Y, X\rangle=\left\langle J_{L} Y, X\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y} B\right)(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), X\right\rangle-\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), B(X, Y)\rangle=\left\langle J_{L} Y, X\right\rangle
$$

because for any vector fields $U, V$ and $W$ tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(\nabla_{U} B\right)(V, V), W\right\rangle & =\left\langle\nabla_{U} B(V, V), W\right\rangle=-\left\langle B(V, V), \nabla_{U} W\right\rangle  \tag{35}\\
& =-\langle B(V, V), B(U, W)\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) The desired formula follows easily from (16) and (32).

Corollary 2. If $X=Z+f \cdot \dot{c}\left(Z^{\top}=0, f(0)=f(b)=0\right)$ is the variation field of an admissible variation $V$ of a normal leaf geodesic $c:[0, b] \rightarrow L$, then the variational formula (15) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left\{f^{\prime}\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle-\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle^{2}\right\} d t \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The last proposition implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle J X, X\rangle=f\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle^{\prime}-f f^{\prime \prime} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle=f^{\prime}-\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (37) and (38) into (15) and integrating by parts we get (36).
Corollary 3. Assume that $c$ is a leaf geodesic minimizing arclength for all the admissible variations. If $Z$ is the variation field of an admissible variation and $Z$ is the orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$, then

$$
\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle \equiv 0
$$

If $c$ admits $q=\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}$ admissible variations with variation fields $Z_{1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, Z_{q}$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$ and linearly independent at a point, then $c$ is an $M$-geodesic contained in a leaf.

Proof. If $c$ minimizes arclength, then $\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ for all the admissible variations of $c$. The formula (36) with $f \equiv 0$ implies that

$$
\int_{0}^{b}\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle^{2} d t \leq 0
$$

This holds if and only if $\langle B(\dot{c}, \dot{c}), Z\rangle \equiv 0$.
The second part of the statement follows from the first one and Proposition 1 which implies that the fields $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{q}$ are linearly independent everywhere.

## 6. Some particular cases

6.1. Totally geodesic foliations. If $\mathcal{F}$ is totally geodesic $(B \equiv 0)$, then any variation of a leaf geodesic for which the variation field is perpendicular to $\mathcal{F}$ at the ends of the geodesic is admissible. Take any geodesic $c:[0, b] \rightarrow$ $L$ and any field $Y \in T_{c} \Omega$ such that $Y^{\top}(0)=0$ and $Y^{\top}(b)=0$. From Proposition 6 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle J Y, Y\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}= & \left\langle J Y^{\top}, Y^{\top}\right\rangle+\left\langle J Y^{\perp}, Y^{\top}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\top \prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2} \\
= & \left\langle R\left(\dot{c}, Y^{\top}\right) \dot{c}, Y^{\top}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\top \prime \prime}, Y^{\top}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle R\left(\dot{c}, Y^{\perp}\right) \dot{c}, Y^{\perp}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\perp \prime \prime}, Y^{\top}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\top \prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2} \\
= & \left\langle R\left(\dot{c}, Y^{\top}\right) \dot{c}, Y^{\top}\right\rangle+\left\|Y^{\top \prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle Y^{\top \prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}-\left\langle Y^{\top}, Y^{\top \prime}\right\rangle^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating over $[0, b]$ we get, from (15),

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle R(\dot{c}, Z) \dot{c}, Z\rangle+\left\|Z_{\perp}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right) d t
$$

where $Z=Y^{\top}$ and $Z_{\perp}^{\prime}$ is the component of $Z^{\prime}$ orthogonal to $c$. The last formula coincides with that for the second variation of arclength on $L$. Therefore, the classical results of Riemannian geometry imply the following.

Proposition 7. If $\mathcal{F}$ is totally geodesic, then a geodesic $c:[0, b] \rightarrow L$ minimizes arclength for all admissible variations if and only if there are no Jacobi fields $Z$ along $c$ tangent to $L$ and satisfying $Z(0)=0$ and $Z(t)=0$ for some $t \in(0, b)$.
6.2. Riemannian foliations. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Riemannian foliation for which the Riemannian structure of $M$ is bundle-like [Re]. In this case, $\mathcal{F}$ is given locally by a Riemannian submersion of an open subset of $M$ onto another Riemannian manifold. The following fact is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.3 of [Es].

Lemma 2. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the foliation by the fibres of a Riemannian submersion $f: M \rightarrow N, c:[0, b] \rightarrow M$ is a curve tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ and $Z$ is a vector field along $c$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$, then $Z \in T_{c} \Omega$ if and only if $f_{*} \circ Z \equiv$ const.

Now, let $c:[0, b] \in L$ be a leaf curve and $Z \in T_{c} \Omega$ a vector field orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(s, t)=\exp ^{M}(s Z(t)) \quad \text { for } s \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text { and } t \in[0, b] . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. For any $s, V(s, \cdot)$ is a leaf curve.
Proof. It suffices to consider $\mathcal{F}$ given by the fibres of a Riemannian submersion $f: M \rightarrow N$.

Let $v \in T N$ be a vector such that $f_{*}(Z(t))=v$ for any $t$ (Lemma 2). Let $\gamma:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow N$ be a geodesic satisfying $\dot{\gamma}(0)=v$. Since horizontal
(i.e. orthogonal to the fibres) lifts of $N$-geodesics are $M$-geodesics, we have $f(V(s, t))=\gamma(s)$ for all $s$ and $t$. In particular, the maps $t \mapsto f(V(\cdot, t))$ are constant.

For the variation given by (39), the variational formula (15) is much simpler. Also, since $\nabla_{d / d s} Y \equiv 0$ (we keep the notation of the proof of Proposition 3) we do not need the assumption of $V$ being admissible. (Actually, in general it is not: the derivative

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d s} \int_{0}^{b}\langle B(X, X), Y\rangle d t & =\int_{0}^{b}\left\langle\nabla_{d / d s} \nabla_{d / d t} X, Y\right\rangle d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle R(Y, X) X, Y\rangle-\left\|\nabla_{d / d t} Y\right\|^{2}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

need not vanish.)
Proposition 8. For the variation $V$ given by (39) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, Y\rangle+\left\|Y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle Y^{\prime}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}\right) d t \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(0)=2 \int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, Y\rangle+\left\|Y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right) d t \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The first formula follows immediately from (18) and (19) because $\nabla_{d / d s} Y \equiv 0$ in our case. The second formula could be obtained in a similar way.

Remark. Since $Y$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}$, the formulae (40) and (41) could be written in the form
(42) $\quad \mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, Y\rangle+\left\|A^{\perp \dot{c}} Y\right\|^{2}+\left\|A^{Y}(\dot{c})\right\|^{2}-\left\langle A^{Y} \dot{c}, \dot{c}\right\rangle^{2}\right) d t$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(\langle R(\dot{c}, Y) \dot{c}, Y\rangle+\left\|A^{\perp \dot{c}} Y\right\|^{2}+\left\|A^{Y}(\dot{c})\right\|^{2}\right) d t \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result gives an application of the last formula. We use the following notation:

$$
\|A\|(x)=\sup \left\{\left\|A^{v} w\right\| \mid v \in T_{x}^{\perp} \mathcal{F}, w \in T_{x} \mathcal{F},\|v\|=\|w\|=1\right\}
$$

and

$$
K_{\min }(x)=\min \left\{K_{M}(v \wedge w) \mid v \in T_{x}^{\perp} \mathcal{F}, w \in T_{x} \mathcal{F}\right\} .
$$

The norm $\left\|A^{\perp}\right\|$ is defined similarly to that of $A$. The argument in the proof is analogous to that of Proposition 2.

Proposition 9. Assume that the inequality

$$
\|A\|^{2}+\left\|A^{\perp}\right\|^{2}<K_{\min }
$$

holds along a leaf $L$ of a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Then the bundle $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}$ admits at most one closed integral manifold of dimension $q=\operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}$ intersecting $L$.

Proof. Assume that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are two closed integral manifolds of $T^{\perp} \mathcal{F}$ such that $L \cap T_{1}, L \cap T_{2} \neq \emptyset$. The space $\Omega_{0}$ of leaf curves $\gamma:[0, b] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) \in T_{1}$ and $\gamma(b) \in T_{2}$ is non-empty and the functional $\mathcal{E} \mid \Omega_{0}$ (as well as $\mathcal{L} \mid \Omega_{0}$ ) attains its minimum for some curve $c$. From (9) it follows that $c$ is a leaf geodesic. Let $V$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-variation of $c$ of the form (39). From (43) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\int_{0}^{b}\left(-K_{M}(\dot{c} \wedge Z)\|Z\|^{2}+\left\|A^{\perp \dot{c}} Z\right\|^{2}+\left\|A^{Z} \dot{c}\right\|^{2}\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{b}\left(\left\|A^{\perp}\right\|^{2}(c(t))+\|A\|^{2}(c(t))-K_{\min }(c(t))\right) d t<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Contradiction.
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