

ON THE DISJOINT $(0, n)$ -CELLS PROPERTY FOR
HOMOGENEOUS ANR'S

BY

PAWEŁ KRUPSKI (WROCLAW)

A metric space (X, ϱ) satisfies the disjoint $(0, n)$ -cells property provided for each point $x \in X$, any map f of the n -cell B^n into X and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a point $y \in X$ and a map $g : B^n \rightarrow X$ such that $\varrho(x, y) < \varepsilon$, $\widehat{\varrho}(f, g) < \varepsilon$ and $y \notin g(B^n)$. It is proved that each homogeneous locally compact ANR of dimension > 2 has the disjoint $(0, 2)$ -cells property. If $\dim X = n > 0$, X has the disjoint $(0, n-1)$ -cells property and X is a locally compact LC^{n-1} -space then local homologies satisfy $H_k(X, X-x) = 0$ for $k < n$ and $H_n(X, X-x) \neq 0$.

0. Introduction. All spaces in the paper are assumed to be metric separable and all mappings are continuous. A space X is said to be *homogeneous* if for each couple of points $x, y \in X$ there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(x) = y$. Function spaces are endowed with the compact-open topology. In particular, if Y is locally compact and ϱ is a metric in X , then the space X^Y is metrizable by the metric $\widehat{\varrho}$ defined as follows: represent Y as the union $Y = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m$, where C_m is compact and $C_m \subset \text{int } C_{m+1}$ for each m ; for $f, g \in X^Y$ put $\varrho_m(f, g) = \min\{1/m, \sup\{\varrho(f(y), g(y)) : y \in C_m\}\}$ and $\widehat{\varrho}(f, g) = \sup\{\varrho_m(f, g) : m = 1, 2, \dots\}$. We will say that *maps* $f \in X^Y$ *approximate a given map* $g \in X^Y$ if $\widehat{\varrho}(f, g)$ can be made as small as we wish. Two maps $f, g \in X^Y$ are said to be ε -close if $\varrho(f(y), g(y)) < \varepsilon$ for each $y \in Y$. As usual, $B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$, $S^{n-1} = \partial B^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$, $I = [0, 1]$, B^0 means a one-point space.

The *disjoint (n, m) -cells property* of a space X , denoted by $D(n, m)$, is defined as follows: for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and any two mappings $f : B^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : B^m \rightarrow X$ there exist mappings $f' : B^n \rightarrow X$ and $g' : B^m \rightarrow X$ such that $\widehat{\varrho}(f, f') < \varepsilon$, $\widehat{\varrho}(g, g') < \varepsilon$ and $f'(B^n) \cap g'(B^m) = \emptyset$. Obviously $D(n, m) \Rightarrow D(n', m')$ for $n' \leq n$, $m' \leq m$. The properties $D(n, m)$ for $n = m > 1$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54F35, 55M15, 54C55, 57P05.

Key words and phrases: LC^n -space, absolute neighborhood retract, homogeneous space, disjoint cells property, generalized manifold.

are crucial in recognizing manifolds among ANR's of (finite or infinite) dimensions > 4 (see [5] as a general reference). The Bing–Borsuk conjecture [1] says that every n -dimensional ($n > 2$) locally compact homogeneous ANR is a manifold or at least a generalized manifold. So far, it is not even known whether homogeneous ANR-spaces of dimension > 4 must contain a 2-cell (the property $D(2, 2)$ would imply that).

This paper is concerned with the properties $D(0, n)$ which play a role in recognizing generalized manifolds. The property $D(0, 0)$ of a space X just means that X is dense in itself. A space X satisfies $D(0, 1)$ if and only if X contains no free arcs, i.e. each arc is nowhere dense in X (note that each map $f : I \rightarrow X$ can be approximated by a map $g : I \rightarrow X$ whose image is a finite union of small arcs in $f(I)$; thus $g(I)$ is nowhere dense in X and $D(0, 1)$ follows). For a homogeneous locally compact ANR X we have $X \in D(0, 1)$ if and only if $\dim X > 1$. Indeed, if $\dim X > 1$, then by the homogeneity arcs are nowhere dense in X ; if $\dim X = 1$, then X is a one-manifold [1, Theorem 6.1], hence it contains free arcs. Nontrivial problems start with $n > 1$. Therefore, henceforth, we always assume $n > 1$ when dealing with $D(0, n)$. The main result is that each homogeneous locally compact ANR of dimension > 2 has $D(0, 2)$. For such spaces X we also present an easy-to-follow argument that $D(0, n)$ implies $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for $k \leq n$ (all homology groups are singular with integer coefficients). The latter result was first established in [10] with a heavy use of algebraic topology. Actually, we show a more natural stronger version for LC^n -spaces and homotopy groups. Moreover, if $\dim X = n$ and $X \in D(0, n - 1)$, then $H_n(X, X - \{x\}) \neq 0$. This generalizes a theorem announced by Łysko [8].

The author thanks T. Januszkiewicz for several improvements.

1. Auxiliary results. The book [9] is a good reference for basic theory of ANR's. For convenience we recall here three facts about ANR's and their counterparts for LC^n -spaces.

(1.1) *Open subsets of an ANR (LC^n -space) are again ANR's (LC^n -spaces).*

(1.2) *If X is an ANR (LC^n -space), $\varepsilon > 0$ and f is a map from a compact space Y (with $\dim Y \leq n$, resp.) into X , then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if another map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is δ -close to f , then f and g are ε -homotopic.*

(1.3) *The small homotopy extension property, which means the homotopy extension property where all homotopies involved are limited by an arbitrarily fixed number $\varepsilon > 0$ (and come from spaces of dimension at most n in case of LC^n -spaces).*

We are going to use the following Effros theorem.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *If X is a homogeneous locally compact space with metric ϱ , $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\varrho(x, a) < \delta$, then there is a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $h(a) = x$ and $\widehat{\varrho}(h, \text{id}_X) < \varepsilon$ (the number δ is called an Effros δ for ε and a).*

REMARK. Effros' theorem has usually been formulated for compact spaces. However, its proof, as that in [3, p. 584], runs unchanged for locally compact spaces X due to the fact that the group of all selfhomeomorphisms of X is a Borel subset of X^X [6].

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Suppose X is a homogeneous locally compact ANR with metric ϱ , $U \subset X$ is an open neighborhood of a point x with compact closure, $0 < \varepsilon < \varrho(x, X - U)$ and $K = X - N_\varepsilon(X - U)$ where $N_\varepsilon(X - U)$ denotes the open ε -ball around $X - U$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $\varrho(x, y) < \delta$, $y \in U$, then there is a mapping $g : U \rightarrow U$ which is ε -close to the identity id_U on U , $g|_K$ is a homeomorphism and $g(y) = x$.*

PROOF. Represent X as the union of compact subsets C_m such that $C_m \subset \text{int } C_{m+1}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. There exists n such that $U \subset C_n$. Since U is an ANR, there is a positive number $\eta < \min\{\varepsilon, 1/n\}$ such that if a mapping $f : K \rightarrow U$ is η -close to id_K , then f is ε -homotopic to id_K in U . Consider an Effros' δ for η and x . Take $y \in U$ with $\varrho(x, y) < \delta$. By Proposition 1.4 there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(y) = x$ and $\widehat{\varrho}(h, \text{id}_X) < \eta$. By the definition of $\widehat{\varrho}$, $h|_K$ is η -close to id_K . It follows from (1.3) that $h|_K$ extends to a mapping $g : U \rightarrow U$ which is ε -close to id_U . ■

Let us recall the notion of a Cantor manifold. A locally compact n -dimensional space is called a *Cantor manifold* if no subset of dimension less than $n - 1$ separates it. If the space is infinite-dimensional, then it is called a *Cantor manifold* if no finite-dimensional subset separates it. A locally compact locally connected space is a *local Cantor manifold* if each connected open subset is a Cantor manifold of the same dimension.

The following theorem was stated in [7] (see also [6]).

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Any locally compact locally connected homogeneous space is a local Cantor manifold.*

On the other hand, one can recognize local Cantor manifolds by means of local homology groups.

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let X be a locally compact locally connected space and $n > 1$. If $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in X$ and $k < n$, then $\dim X \geq n$. In the case where $\dim X = n$ at each point, X is a local Cantor manifold.*

PROOF. Let U be an open connected subset of X . From [4, Lemma 2.1] and the excision we have $H_1(U, U - A) = 0$ for each closed subset A of X whose dimension is less than $n - 1$. This means that $U - A$ is connected.

But if $\dim X < n$, then X contains a basis of open sets with boundaries of dimension less than $n - 1$. Therefore $\dim X \geq n$. The second part of the proposition now easily follows. ■

Recall that a subset A of X is called *locally k -coconnected* (k -LCC) if for each $a \in A$ any neighborhood U of a contains a neighborhood V of a such that each map of S^k into $V - A$ can be extended to a map of B^{k+1} into $U - A$. The condition LCC^n means k -LCC for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

PROPOSITION 1.8 [7]. *If X is a homogeneous locally compact space, then X has the property $D(0, n)$ if and only if the following condition $D^*(0, n)$ holds: for each point $x \in X$ any mapping $f : B^n \rightarrow X$ can be approximated by mappings with images omitting x . If X is an LC^n -space of dimension greater than 1, then $D^*(0, n)$ is equivalent to a singleton $\{x\}$ being LCC^{n-1} for each $x \in X$.*

REMARK. Condition $D^*(0, n)$ follows from $D(0, n)$ by Proposition 1.4. The second part of the above proposition was formulated in [7] under superfluous assumptions that X be a compact ANR and $\dim X > 2$, but the proof runs for an LC^n -space X ; the assumption $\dim X > 2$ was used there to derive $D(0, 1)$ from $D(1, 1)$ but, as we have seen in the previous section, $D(0, 1)$ is a consequence of $\dim X > 1$.

We add the following nice description of the property $D(0, n)$.

PROPOSITION 1.9. *If X is a homogeneous locally compact space, then X has $D(0, n)$ if and only if the set of all mappings of B^n into X with nowhere dense images is dense in the mapping space X^{B^n} .*

PROOF. Suppose X satisfies $D(0, n)$. Let $\{d_1, d_2, \dots\}$ be a countable dense subset of X and $D_m = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$. It easily follows from Proposition 1.8 that, given any finite subset A of X , each mapping of B^n into X can be approximated by mappings omitting A . Hence the set \mathcal{F}_m of all mappings of B^n into $X - D_m$ is open and dense in X^{B^n} . Now, the set $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_m$ consists of mappings with nowhere dense images and is dense in X^{B^n} by the Baire Category Theorem. The proof of the converse implication is left to the reader. ■

2. Main results

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Assume a locally compact LC^n -space X satisfies $D^*(0, n)$, $n > 1$. If U is an open nonempty subset of X , $x \in X$ and $z \in U - \{x\}$, then the inclusion-induced homomorphism i_* between the k -th homotopy groups $\pi_k(U - \{x\}, z)$ and $\pi_k(U, z)$ is an isomorphism for $0 < k < n$ and it is an epimorphism for $k = n$.*

Proof. Recall that $D(0, n) \Rightarrow D(0, k)$ for $k \leq n$. To show that i_* is one-to-one for $0 < k < n$ take two maps f and g of the cube I^k into $U - \{x\}$ which are joined by a homotopy $H : I^k \times I \rightarrow U$ such that $H(\partial I^k \times I) = \{z\}$. By $D^*(0, n)$, H is approximated, arbitrarily closely, by a map $H' : I^k \times I \rightarrow U - \{x\}$. If H' is close enough to H , then by (1.1)–(1.3) the map $H|_{\partial I^{k+1}}$ has an extension $\bar{H} : I^k \times I \rightarrow U - \{x\}$. Hence f and g represent the same element of $\pi_k(U - \{x\}, z)$. To prove that i_* is onto for $0 < k \leq n$ let $f : I^k \rightarrow U$ be a map such that $f(\partial I^k) = \{z\}$. Then f is approximated by a map $f' : I^k \rightarrow U - \{x\}$ (property $D^*(0, n)$). Set $K = I^k \times \{0\} \cup \partial I^k \times I$ and consider the map $H : K \rightarrow U$ defined by $H(p, 0) = f(p)$ for $p \in I^k$ and $H(\partial I^k \times I) = \{z\}$. It follows from (1.1)–(1.3) that if f' is close enough to f , then there is a small homotopy $G : I^k \times I \rightarrow U$, where $G(p, 0) = f(p)$, $G(p, 1) = f'(p)$, such that $G|_K$ is homotopic to H in U . Thus H extends to a homotopy $\bar{H} : I^k \times I \rightarrow U$ which approximates G . Then the map g defined by $g(p) = \bar{H}(p, 1)$ approximates f' , so we can assume that g maps I^k into $U - \{x\}$. Moreover, the homotopy \bar{H} joins f and g and $\bar{H}(\partial I^k \times I) = \{z\}$. ■

Remark. That the fundamental groups $\pi_1(U - \{x\}, z)$ and $\pi_1(U, z)$ are isomorphic follows also from [5, Proposition 3, p. 144].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $i_* : \pi_k(U - \{x\}) \rightarrow \pi_k(U)$ is a monomorphism for each $x \in X$ and each U from a basis \mathcal{U} of open connected subsets of an LC^n -space X ($0 < k < n$), then $\{x\}$ is k -LCC.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U\}$. Suppose W is an open neighborhood of x . Choose $U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0 \subset W$ such that $U_i \in \mathcal{U}_x$ and any map from an at most n -dimensional space into U_{i+1} is homotopic in U_i to a constant map, $i = 0, 1$. Fix a point s of the sphere S^k and consider a map $f : (S^k, s) \rightarrow (U_2 - \{x\}, f(s))$.

This map is homotopic in U_1 to a constant map g . Since U_1 is arcwise connected, we can assume that $g(S^k) = f(s)$. Suppose $H : S^k \times I \rightarrow U_1$ is a homotopy such that $H(p, 0) = f(p)$, $H(p, 1) = f(s)$. Put $K = S^k \times \{0, 1\} \cup \{s\} \times I$ and define $G : K \rightarrow U_2$ by $G(p, 0) = f(p)$ for $p \in S^k$ and $G(z) = f(s)$ elsewhere. Then G and $H|_K$ are homotopic in U_0 . So G extends to a mapping $\bar{G} : S^k \times I \rightarrow U_0$. This means that f represents the identity element in the group $\pi_k(U_0, f(s))$, hence in $\pi_k(U_0 - \{x\}, f(s))$ as well. It follows that f admits an extension $\bar{f} : B^{k+1} \rightarrow U_0 - \{x\}$. ■

The next theorem is a consequence of Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 1.8.

THEOREM 2.3. *If X is a homogeneous locally compact LC^n -space of dimension greater than 1, then X satisfies $D(0, n)$, $n > 1$, if and only if for each basis (equivalently, there exists a basis) \mathcal{U} of open connected subsets of X and for any $x \in X$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ the inclusion $i : U - \{x\} \subset U$ is an n -equivalence (in the sense of [11]).*

From the Whitehead theorem [11], excision and exactness properties and from Proposition 1.7 we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Suppose X is a homogeneous locally compact LC^n -space satisfying $D(0, n)$, $n > 1$. Then $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in X$ and $k \leq n$. Moreover, $\dim X > n$.*

Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 1.7 imply

THEOREM 2.5. *Let X be an n -dimensional homogeneous locally compact LC^{n-1} -space satisfying $D(0, n - 1)$, $n > 2$. Then*

(a) $\pi_k(U, U - \{x\}) = 0$ for $k < n$ and for each open connected nonempty $U \subset X$, but, in case that $X \in ANR$, for all sufficiently small open connected neighborhoods V of x we have $\pi_n(V, V - \{x\}) \neq 0$;

(b) $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for $k < n$ and $H_n(X, X - \{x\}) \neq 0$.

THEOREM 2.6. *If X is a homogeneous locally compact ANR of dimension > 2 , then X satisfies $D(0, 2)$.*

Proof. We will prove that $\{p\} \in LCC^1$ for arbitrary $p \in X$ (Proposition 1.8). To this end let U be an open subset of X containing p and V be an open neighborhood of p which is contractible in U . We can assume that U is connected and its closure is compact.

Suppose first that $f : S^1 \rightarrow V - \{p\}$ has one-dimensional image and let $F_0 : B^2 \rightarrow U$ be an extension of f . Take a point $q \in U - F_0(B^2)$ and an arc A in $U - f(S^1)$ joining p and q . Such an arc exists because X is a local Cantor manifold (Proposition 1.6). Define $M = \{x \in A : \text{there exists a mapping } F : B^2 \rightarrow U - \{x\} \text{ such that } F|S^1 = f\}$. We are going to show that M is closed. Suppose $x \in \text{cl } M$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}\varrho(x, X - U)$ and ε satisfy the condition that if a map $f' : S^1 \rightarrow U - \{x\}$ is ε -close to f , then f' is homotopic to f in the ANR $U - \{x\}$. Take a point $y \in M$ such that $\varrho(x, y) < \delta$ where δ is a number as in Proposition 1.5. Let $F : B^2 \rightarrow U - \{y\}$ be an extension of f . If g is a map guaranteed by Proposition 1.5, then gF maps B^2 into $U - \{x\}$ and $gF|S^1 = gf$ is homotopic to f in $U - \{x\}$. It follows from the homotopy extension property for $U - \{x\}$ that f has an extension $F_1 : B^2 \rightarrow U - \{x\}$. That means that $x \in M$. The set M is evidently nonempty and open in A , hence $M = A$. We have shown that $p \in M$ which means that the condition LCC^1 is satisfied by mappings with one-dimensional images.

In the general case any mapping $f : S^1 \rightarrow V - \{p\}$ can be approximated by mappings $f' : S^1 \rightarrow V - \{p\}$ with one-dimensional images ($f'(S^1)$ can be viewed as a finite union of small arcs in $f(S^1)$; details of this standard procedure are left to the reader). If f' is sufficiently close to f , then the

two mappings are homotopic in $U - \{p\}$. Since f' extends to a mapping $F : B^2 \rightarrow U - \{p\}$, so does f by the homotopy extension property for $U - \{p\}$. ■

The three-dimensional case calls special attention.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let X be a homogeneous locally compact ANR. If $\dim X > 2$, then $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for any $x \in X$ and $k < 3$. If $\dim X = 3$, then $H_3(X, X - \{x\}) \neq 0$.*

The author does not know whether a homogeneous locally compact ANR of dimension greater than $n > 2$ must satisfy $D(0, n)$.

3. Final remarks. Let us recall property Δ of Borsuk [2]: a space X has *property $\Delta(n)$* if for every point $x \in X$ every neighborhood U of x contains a neighborhood V of x such that each compact nonempty set $A \subset V$ of dimension at most $n - 1$ is contractible in a subset of U of dimension at most $\dim A + 1$; *property Δ* means $\Delta(n)$ for every n . If X is a locally compact ANR satisfying $\Delta(n)$ and K is a compact space of dimension at most n , then the set of mappings $f : K \rightarrow X$ with $\dim f(K) \leq \dim K$ is dense in X^K (see the proof of [2, (2.1), p. 164]). It follows that $\Delta(n)$ implies $D(0, n)$ for locally compact ANR's of dimension greater than n at each point. Thus Theorem 2.5 generalizes the following result announced in [8] (unfortunately, its proof has never been published): if X is an n -dimensional compact homogeneous ANR which satisfies condition Δ , then $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for $k < n$ and $H_n(X, X - \{x\}) \neq 0$.

Each local Cantor manifold X of dimension at least three has $D(1, 1)$ (see the proof of [4, Proposition 2.2]). If X is, additionally, an LC^1 -space, then $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has $D(1, 2)$ and $X \times \mathbb{R}^2$ has $D(2, 2)$ [4]. When $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has $D(2, 2)$ is, however, a deeper question. One of central problems on generalized manifolds is to learn whether their products with the real line \mathbb{R} are genuine manifolds. It is thus important to be able to detect $D(2, 2)$ for such products of dimension at least five. It follows from a characterization of $D(1, 2)$ in [4] that each ANR X of dimension at least four which is a local Cantor manifold satisfying $\Delta(2)$ has $D(1, 2)$, hence the product $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has $D(2, 2)$. Propositions 1.6 and 1.7 show possible applications of this remark.

OBSERVATION 3.1. *Let X be a locally compact ANR of dimension at least four satisfying $\Delta(2)$. If X is either homogeneous or a generalized manifold, then X has $D(1, 2)$ and $X \times \mathbb{R}$ has $D(2, 2)$.*

The above observation improves [10, Corollary 5.5] and restates (a correct part of) [10, Theorem 4.6].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. H. Bing and K. Borsuk, *Some remarks concerning topologically homogeneous spaces*, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 100–111.
- [2] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, PWN–Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1967.
- [3] J. J. Charatonik and T. Maćkowiak, *Around Effros' theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 298 (1986), 579–602.
- [4] R. J. Daverman, *Detecting the disjoint disks property*, Pacific J. Math. 93 (1981), 277–298.
- [5] —, *Decompositions of Manifolds*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1986.
- [6] P. Krupski, *Homogeneity and Cantor manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), 1135–1142.
- [7] —, *Recent results on homogeneous curves and ANR's*, Topology Proc. 16 (1991), 109–118.
- [8] J. M. Lysko, *On homogeneous ANR-spaces*, in: Proc. Internat. Conf. on Geometric Topology, PWN–Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, 1980, 305–306.
- [9] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [10] W. J. R. Mitchell, *General position properties of ANR's*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 92 (1982), 451–466.
- [11] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW
PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4
50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22.2.1993