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#### Abstract

A class of stratified posets $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is investigated and their incidence algebras $K I_{\varrho}^{*}$ are studied in connection with a class of non-shurian vector space categories. Under some assumptions on $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ we associate with $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ a bound quiver $(Q, \Omega)$ in such a way that $K I_{\varrho}^{*} \simeq K(Q, \Omega)$. We show that the fundamental group of $(Q, \Omega)$ is the free group with two free generators if $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is rib-convex. In this case the universal Galois covering of $(Q, \Omega)$ is described. If in addition $I_{\varrho}$ is three-partite a fundamental domain $I^{*+\times}$ of this covering is constructed and a functorial connection between $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ and $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)$ is given.


1. Introduction. Socle projective representations of stratified posets introduced in [S1, S2] (see Definition 2.1 below) appear in a natural way in the study of vector space categories (see [S2], [S5, Chap. 17]) and lattices over orders (see [S5, Ch. 13], [S4]). The aim of this paper is to give some tools for studying these representations for a certain class of stratified posets.

Our main points of interest are the incidence algebra $K I_{\varrho}^{*}$ over a field $K$ of a three-separate stratified poset $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ with a unique maximal element $*$ (see Definition 3.1) and the representation type of the category $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)$ of socle projective right $K I_{\varrho}^{*}$-modules. Following [S1, S2, S4] we associate with any such poset $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ a bound quiver

$$
\left(Q\left(I_{e}^{*}\right), \Omega\left(I_{e}^{*}\right)\right)
$$

in such a way that $K I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is isomorphic to the bound quiver algebra $K Q\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right) / \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)$. Under the assumption that $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is rib-convex (see Section 4) we show that the fundamental group $\Pi_{1}\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)\right)$ is a free noncommutative group with two free generators and we give an explicit description of the universal covering $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega})$ of $\left(Q\left(I_{\tilde{O}}^{*}\right), \Omega\left(I_{e}^{*}\right)\right)$. If in addition $I_{e}^{*}$ is three-partite we define, by means of ( $\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega}$ ), a simply connected [AS]

[^0]finite-dimensional three-peak algebra $K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}$ and a functor
$$
f_{+x}: \bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right) \rightarrow \bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)
$$
preserving the representation type. In the case when the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ of $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ has a preprojective component we get a simple criterion for the finite representation type of $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ (see Theorems 5.5, 5.6). In particular, we solve a problem stated in [S4, Remark 4.33].

I would like to thank Professor Daniel Simson for calling my interest to this subject and useful remarks concerning the paper.
2. Preliminaries and notation. We consider a poset $I$ with partial order $\preccurlyeq$. We suppose that $I=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and if $i \preccurlyeq j$ then $i \leq_{\mathbb{N}} j$ for $i, j \in I$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{\Delta} I:=\{(i, j): i, j \in I \text { and } i \preccurlyeq j\}, \\
& \Delta I:=\{(i, j): i, j \in I \text { and } i \prec j\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $(i, j) \in \boldsymbol{\Delta} I$ we put $[i, j]:=\{s \in I: i \preccurlyeq s \preccurlyeq j\}$ and $\langle i, j\rangle:=\{s \in I:$ $i \prec s \prec j\}$. Throughout we identify $(i, i)$ with $i$.

Definition 2.1 [S2, S4]. A stratification of $I$ is an equivalence relation $\varrho$ on $\boldsymbol{\Delta} I$ such that if $(i, j) \varrho(p, q)$ then there exists a poset isomorphism $\sigma:[i, j] \rightarrow[p, q]$ such that $(i, t) \varrho(p, \sigma(t))$ and $(t, j) \varrho(\sigma(t), q)$ for any $t \in[i, j]$. A stratified poset is a pair

$$
I_{\varrho}=(I, \varrho)
$$

where $I$ is a poset and $\varrho$ is a stratification of $I$.
We denote by $r_{\varrho}(i, j)$ the cardinality of the $\varrho$-coset of $(i, j)$, and call $(i, j)$ a rib if $r_{\varrho}(i, j)>1$ and $i \neq j$. The number $r_{\varrho}(i, j)$ is then the rib rank of the rib $(i, j)$.

The full stratified subposet $\operatorname{rsk}\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$ of $I_{\varrho}$ consisting of all beginnings and ends of ribs in $I_{\varrho}$ is called the rib skeleton of $I_{\varrho}$. We fix a decomposition

$$
\operatorname{rsk}\left(I_{\varrho}\right)=\Re_{1}+\ldots+\Re_{h}
$$

into rib-connected components with respect to the rib-equivalence relation generated by the following relation:

$$
i-j \Leftrightarrow \text { either }(i, j) \text { or }(j, i) \text { is a rib. }
$$

Fix a field $K$ and a stratified poset $I_{\varrho}$. We recall from [S4] that the $K$-algebra

$$
\begin{align*}
K I_{\varrho}=\left\{b=\left(b_{p q}\right) \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}(K): b_{p q}\right. & =0 \text { if } p \nprec q  \tag{2.2}\\
& \text { and } \left.b_{i j}=b_{p q} \text { if }(i, j) \varrho(p, q)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

is called the incidence algebra of $I_{\varrho}$.

We denote by $I^{*}=I \cup\{*\}$ the enlargement of $I$ by adjoining a unique maximal element $*$ (called the peak) and we extend trivially the relation $\varrho$ from $\boldsymbol{\Delta} I$ to $\boldsymbol{\Delta} I^{*}$.

Thus we get a right peak algebra (see [S4]) of the form

$$
K I_{\varrho}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
K I_{\varrho} & M  \tag{2.3}\\
0 & K
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left.M=\left(\begin{array}{c}
K \\
\vdots \\
K
\end{array}\right)\right\} n
$$

is a left $K I_{\varrho}$-module with respect to the usual matrix multiplication.
For a more detailed discussion of stratified posets, examples and applications the reader is referred to [ S 2$]$ and [S5, Section 17.16].

In Section 3 below we will use the notion of the fundamental group of a quiver $Q$ with a set of relations $\Omega$ ([Gr, MP]). For the convenience of the reader we briefly recall this concept. We follow [S4].

With a connected quiver $Q$ we associate its fundamental group $\Pi_{1}(Q, q)$ computed as the group of homotopy classes $[\omega]$ of walks $\omega$ in $Q$ starting and ending at the fixed point $q$. By a walk we mean a formal composition $\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}$ where $\alpha_{p}$ is an arrow of $Q$ or its formal inverse and the sink of $\alpha_{p}$ is the source of $\alpha_{p+1}$. Homotopy is the smallest equivalence relation $\approx$ (on the set of walks) such that:
(1) $1_{x} \approx 1_{x}^{-1}$ for each vertex $x$ of $Q$,
(2) $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \approx 1_{x}$ and $\alpha^{-1} \alpha \approx 1_{y}$ for each arrow $\alpha: x \rightarrow y$,
(3) if $w \approx v$ then $u w \approx u v$ and $w u^{\prime} \approx v u^{\prime}$ whenever the walks involved are composable.

By the fundamental group of a bound quiver $(Q, \Omega)$ we mean the group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)=\Pi_{1}(Q, q) / N_{\Omega} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\Omega}$ is the normal subgroup generated by the conjugacy classes $C(u, v)$ of homotopy classes $\left[w^{-1} u^{-1} v w\right.$ ] in $\Pi_{1}(Q, q)$ where $u, v$ are directed paths with a common sink and a common source, and there is a minimal relation

$$
\omega=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{t} \omega_{t} \in(\Omega), \quad \lambda_{i} \in K^{*}
$$

with $t \geq 2$ and $u=\omega_{1}, v=\omega_{2}$. Let us recall from [MP] that a relation $\omega$ of the above form is a minimal relation if for every nonempty proper subset $J \subset\{1, \ldots, t\}$ we have

$$
\sum_{j \in J} \lambda_{j} \omega_{j} \notin(\Omega) .
$$

The following maximal tree lemma is a very useful method of computing the fundamental group. Before we formulate it we recall from [S4] that by an $\Omega$-contour we mean a pair $(u, v)$ of oriented paths with a common sink and a common source such that there is a minimal relation $\omega$ of the above form with $h u g=\omega_{1}$ and $h v g=\omega_{2}$ for some oriented paths $h, g$ such that the sink of $h$ is the source of $u$ and the source of $g$ is the sink of $u$. We say that $(u, v)$ is defined with respect to the set $\Omega^{\prime} \subseteq(\Omega)$ if $\omega \in \Omega^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2.5 [S4, Remark 3.6, Lemma 3.7]. Suppose that $(Q, \Omega)$ is a bound quiver, let $T$ be a maximal tree in $Q$ and $q \in Q$.
(a) $N_{\Omega}$ is generated by the elements $C(u, v)$, where ( $u, v$ ) runs through all the $\Omega$-contours defined with respect to a fixed set of generators of the ideal ( $\Omega$ ).
(b) $\Pi_{1}(Q, q)$ is a free group generated by the elements $\widehat{\beta}=[a \beta b]$ where $\beta \in Q_{1} \backslash T_{1}$ and $a, b$ are walks in $T$ connecting $q$ with the sink and the source of $\beta$, respectively.
(c) If $(u, v)$ is an $\Omega$-contour and

$$
u=u_{0} \beta_{1} u_{1} \beta_{2} \ldots u_{s-1} \beta_{s} u_{s}, \quad v=v_{0} \gamma_{1} v_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots v_{r-1} \gamma_{r} v_{r}
$$

where $\beta_{i}, \gamma_{j} \in Q_{1} \backslash T_{1}$ and $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are oriented paths in $T$ then

$$
\widehat{\beta}_{1} \widehat{\beta}_{2} \ldots \widehat{\beta}_{s} \equiv \widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2} \ldots \widehat{\gamma}_{r}\left(\text { modulo } N_{\Omega}\right)
$$

If the fundamental group of $(Q, \Omega)$ is nontrivial we construct the universal Galois covering

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow(Q, \Omega) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $(Q, \Omega)$ in the following way (see [MP, Corollary 1.5], [Gr]).
Fix $q \in Q$. Let $W$ be the topological universal cover of $Q$, i.e. a quiver $W$ whose vertices are the homotopy classes $[\omega]$ of walks $\omega$ in $Q$ starting at a fixed point $p([\mathrm{Sp}])$. There is an arrow $(\alpha,[\omega])$ from $[\omega]$ to $[\nu]$ in $W$ if $[\nu]=[\omega \alpha]$ for an arrow $\alpha$ in $Q . N_{\Omega}$ acts on $W$ in an obvious way. We take for $\widetilde{Q}$ the orbit quiver $W / N_{\Omega}$ and for $\widetilde{\Omega}$ the set of liftings of relations in $\Omega$ from $K Q$ to $K \widetilde{Q}$. The bound quiver map $f$ is defined by

$$
f\left(N_{\Omega}(\alpha,[\omega])\right)=\alpha, \quad f\left(N_{\Omega}[\omega]\right)=\text { the sink of } \omega
$$

where $N_{\Omega}[\omega]\left(\operatorname{resp} . N_{\Omega}(\alpha,[\omega])\right)$ denotes the orbit of $[\omega]$ (resp. $(\alpha,[\omega])$ ).
The group $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$ acts naturally on $(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega})$ as a group of automorphisms. One can check that $f$ is the universal Galois covering with group $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$ (see [Gr, MP]).

## 3. Three-separate stratified posets and the associated bound

 quivers. Let us start with our main definition which extends that given in [S1, S4].Definition 3.1. A three-separate stratified poset is a stratified poset $I_{\varrho}$ such that $I$ is the disjoint union of subsets $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)}$ and the following conditions hold:
(a) There is no relation $i \prec j$, where $i \in I^{(k)}, j \in I^{(l)}$ and $k>l$.
(b) $r_{\varrho}(i, j) \leq 3$ for all $(i, j) \in \mathbf{\Delta} I$.
(c) If $(i, j) \varrho(s, t)$ and $(i, j) \neq(s, t)$ then there exist $k, l \leq 3$ such that $k \neq l, i, j \in I^{(k)}$ and $s, t \in I^{(l)}$.
(d) If $r_{\varrho}(i, j)=2$ then $i, j \notin I^{(1)}$.

We say that the decomposition $I=I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$ is a three-separation of $I_{\varrho}$.

We call a rib of rank 3 a 3 -rib and a rib of rank 2 a 2 -rib. A pair $(i, j) \in \Delta I$ is called short if $\{i, j\}=[i, j]$. In this case we write $\beta_{i j}$ instead of $(i, j)$. A pair $(i, j)$ is called 3 - $\varrho$-extremal if it is not short, $r_{\varrho}(i, j) \leq 2$ and $(i, s),(s, j)$ are 3 -ribs for all $s$ such that $i \prec s \prec j$. A pair $(i, j)$ is called $2-\varrho$-extremal if it is neither short nor 3 - $\varrho$-extremal, $r_{\varrho}(i, j)=1$ and $(i, s),(s, j)$ are ribs for all $s$ such that $i \prec s \prec j$. We say that $(i, j)$ is $\varrho$-extremal if it is either 2 - $\varrho$-extremal or 3 - $\varrho$-extremal.

Example 3.2. Let $I^{*}$ be the following poset:

| 3 |  | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\downarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| 6 |  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 2 |
| $\downarrow$ | $\nearrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| 9 |  | 7 |  | 5 |
|  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\nearrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
|  |  | 10 |  | 8 |
|  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
|  |  |  | 11 |  |
|  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

and $\varrho$ be the relation given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \varrho 2 \\
& (3,6) \varrho(4,7) \varrho(5,8) \\
& (6,9) \varrho(7,10) \varrho(8,11), \\
& (4,10) \varrho(5,11)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is a three-separate poset with three-separation $I=I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$, where

$$
I^{(1)}=\{3,6,9\}, \quad I^{(2)}=\{1,4,7,10\}, \quad I^{(3)}=\{2,5,8,11, *\} .
$$

The pairs $(3,9),(4,10)$ and $(5,11)$ are 3 - $\varrho$-extremal.

We associate with $I_{\varrho}$ the bound quiver

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. The set $\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right)_{0}$ of vertices of $Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$ is the set

$$
I / \varrho=\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}\}
$$

of the $\varrho$-cosets $\bar{q}$ of elements $q \in I$. We have the following arrows in $Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$.
(i) If $(i, j)$ is short then the $\varrho$-coset $\bar{\beta}_{i j}$ of $\beta_{i j}$ is a unique arrow from $\bar{i}$ to $\bar{j}$.
(ii) If $\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right) \in \Delta I^{(k)}$ are $3-\varrho$-extremal for $k=1,2,3, i_{1} \varrho i_{2} \varrho i_{3}, j_{1} \varrho j_{2} \varrho j_{3}$ and $r_{\varrho}\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right)=1$ for $k=1,2,3$ then we have exactly two arrows $\beta_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{*}, \beta_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{*}$ : $\bar{i}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{j}_{1}$.

If $\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right) \in \Delta I^{(k)}$ and $\left(i_{l}, j_{l}\right) \in \Delta I^{(l)}$ are 3 - $\varrho$-extremal, $i_{k} \varrho i_{l} \varrho i_{m}$, $j_{k} \varrho j_{l} \varrho j_{m},\left(i_{m}, j_{m}\right) \in \Delta I^{(m)}$ is not 3 - $\varrho$-extremal and $\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right)$ and $\left(i_{l}, j_{l}\right)$ are unrelated then we have a unique arrow $\beta_{i_{x} j_{x}}^{*}: \bar{i}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{j}_{1}$, where $x=\min (k, l)$.

If $\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right) \in \Delta I^{(k)}$ are 3 - $\varrho$-extremal for $k=1,2,3, i_{1} \varrho i_{2} \varrho i_{3}, j_{1} \varrho j_{2} \varrho j_{3}$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \varrho\left(i_{3}, j_{3}\right)$ then we have a unique arrow $\beta_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{*}: \bar{i}_{1} \rightarrow \bar{j}_{1}$.

If $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \in \Delta I^{(2)}$ and $\left(i_{3}, j_{3}\right) \in \Delta I^{(3)}$ are 2- $\varrho$-extremal, $i_{2} \varrho i_{3}$ and $j_{2} \varrho j_{3}$ then we have a unique arrow $\beta_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{*}: \bar{i}_{2} \rightarrow \bar{j}_{2}$.

A directed path $\omega$ in $Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$ is called a rib path if $\omega$ is a composition of arrows which are the $\varrho$-cosets of ribs in $I_{\varrho}$. It is called a 3 -rib path if it is a composition of the $\varrho$-cosets of 3 -ribs in $I_{\varrho}$. A path $\omega$ is called a 2 -rib path if it is not a 3 -rib path and it is a composition of $\varrho$-cosets of 3 -ribs and 2 -ribs in $I_{\varrho}$. A path $\omega$ is called a nonrib path if it is not a rib path. A nonrib path is called an $I^{(k)}$-path if it is a composition of arrows $\widetilde{\beta}_{i j}$ with $i, j \in I^{(k)}$, where $\widetilde{\beta}_{i j}$ denotes either $\bar{\beta}_{i j}$ or $\beta_{i j}^{*}$. An arrow $\bar{\beta}_{i j}$ is called 1-2-skew (resp. 2-3-skew, 1-3-skew) if $i \in I^{(1)}$ and $j \in I^{(2)}$ (resp. $i \in I^{(2)}$ and $j \in I^{(3)} ; i \in I^{(1)}$ and $\left.j \in I^{(3)}\right)$. A directed path $\omega$ in $Q$ is called 1-2-skew (resp. 2-3-skew; 1-3-skew) if $\omega$ contains a 1 -2-skew arrow (resp. contains a 2 -3-skew arrow; either contains a 1 -3-skew arrow, or contains a $1-2$-skew arrow and a $2-3$-skew arrow).

We define the set of relations $\Omega=\Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$ to consist of the following elements of the path algebra $K Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$ :
(a) $\widetilde{\beta}_{i_{1} j_{1}} \widetilde{\beta}_{i_{2} j_{2}} \ldots \widetilde{\beta}_{i_{r} j_{r}}$ if there is no sequence $\beta_{t_{0} t_{1}}, \beta_{t_{1} t_{2}}, \ldots, \beta_{t_{r-1} t_{r}}$ such that $\left(i_{k}, j_{k}\right) \varrho\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right)$ for $k=1, \ldots, r$. (Recall that $\widetilde{\beta}_{i j}$ is either $\bar{\beta}_{i j}$ or $\beta_{i j}^{*}$.)
(b) $\widetilde{\beta}_{i_{0} i_{1}} \widetilde{\beta}_{i_{1} i_{2}} \ldots \widetilde{\beta}_{i_{r} i_{r+1}}-\widetilde{\beta}_{j_{0} j_{1}} \widetilde{\beta}_{j_{1} j_{2}} \ldots \widetilde{\beta}_{j_{s} j_{s+1}}$, where $i_{0}=j_{0}, i_{r+1}=j_{s+1}$,

$$
i_{0} \prec i_{1} \prec \ldots \prec i_{r} \prec i_{r+1}, \quad j_{0} \prec j_{1} \prec \ldots \prec j_{s} \prec j_{s+1}
$$

and there exist $p, q$ such that $\left(i_{p}, i_{p+1}\right)$ and $\left(j_{q}, j_{q+1}\right)$ are not ribs.
(c) $w-u$ for all 3-rib paths (resp. 2-rib paths) $w$ and $u$ with a common sink and a common source.
(d) $w-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}$, where $w$ is a 3 -rib path, $w_{k}$ is an $I^{(k)}$-path for $k=1,2,3$ and $w, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$ have a common sink and a common source.
(e) $w-u$ for all $I^{(k)}$-paths $w, u$ with a common sink and a common source for $k=1,2,3$.
(f) $w-u_{2}-u_{3}$, where $w$ is a 2-rib path, $u_{k}$ is an $I^{(k)}$-path for $k=2,3$ and $w, u_{2}, u_{3}$ have a common sink and a common source.
(g) $w-w^{\prime}-u$ where $w$ is a 3-rib path, $w^{\prime}$ is a 2-rib path, $u$ is an $I^{(1)}$-path and $w, w^{\prime}, u$ have a common sink and a common source.

In our example we have:


$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)=\{ & \bar{\beta}_{42} \bar{\beta}_{14}, \bar{\beta}_{25} \bar{\beta}_{42}, \bar{\beta}_{14} \beta_{39}^{*}, \bar{\beta}_{25} \beta_{39}^{*}, \bar{\beta}_{10,5} \bar{\beta}_{42}, \beta_{39}^{*} \bar{\beta}_{11 *}, \\
& \beta_{39}^{*} \bar{\beta}_{10,5}, \bar{\beta}_{94} \beta_{39}^{*}, \bar{\beta}_{10,5} \beta_{39}^{*}, \bar{\beta}_{36} \bar{\beta}_{69} \bar{\beta}_{10,5}-\bar{\beta}_{42} \bar{\beta}_{25} \\
& \left.\beta_{39}^{*} \bar{\beta}_{94}-\bar{\beta}_{36} \bar{\beta}_{69} \bar{\beta}_{94}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the $K$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: K Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right) \rightarrow K I_{\varrho} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by the formulas (compare with [S4]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(\bar{i})= \begin{cases}e_{i i} & \text { if } r_{\varrho}(i)=1, \\
e_{i i}+e_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime}} & \text { if } r_{\varrho}(i)=2, i \varrho i^{\prime}, i \neq i^{\prime}, \\
e_{i i}+e_{i^{\prime} i^{\prime}}+e_{i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime \prime}} & \text { if } i \varrho i^{\prime} \varrho i^{\prime \prime}, i \neq i^{\prime} \neq i^{\prime \prime} \neq i,\end{cases} \\
& g\left(\bar{\beta}_{i j}\right)= \begin{cases}e_{i j} & \text { if } r_{\varrho}(i, j)=1, \\
e_{i j}+e_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} & \text { if } r_{\varrho}(i, j)=2,(i, j) \varrho\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \\
& \text { and }(i, j) \neq\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right), \\
e_{i j}+e_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}+e_{i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}} & \text { if }(i, j) \varrho\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \varrho\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { and } \\
& (i, j) \neq\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \neq\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq(i, j),\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
g\left(\beta_{i j}^{*}\right)=e_{i j}
$$

where $e_{i j}$ denotes the matrix with 1 in the $(i, j)$-entry and zeros elsewhere.
A connection between $\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right)$ and $I_{\varrho}$ is given by the following proposition (compare with [S4, Proposition 2.8]).

Proposition 3.5. Let $I_{\varrho}$ be a three-separate stratified poset with a threeseparation $I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$. If $\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right)$ is the bound quiver of $I_{\varrho}$ (see (3.3)) then the homomorphism $g$ of (3.4) induces a K-algebra isomorphism

$$
\bar{g}: K\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right) \rightarrow K I_{\varrho}
$$

where $K\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right)=K Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right) /\left(\Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right)$.
For the proof we will need the following technical lemma.
Lemma 3.6. Suppose $(s, t) \in \Delta I^{(k)},\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \in \Delta I^{(l)}, k \neq l$, s@s $s^{\prime}$ and t $\varrho t^{\prime}$.
(a) If $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is not 3-@-extremal and $(s, t)$ is 3- $\varrho$-extremal then there exists a sequence $s_{0} \prec s_{1} \prec \ldots \prec s_{r}$, where $s_{0}=s^{\prime}$, $s_{r}=t^{\prime}$, the pair $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ is short for any $i=0, \ldots, r-1$, and there exists $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ such that there is no relation $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right) \varrho(u, v)$ with $(u, v) \in \triangle I^{(k)}$.
(b) If $k, l \neq 1,\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is not 2 - $\varrho$-extremal and $(s, t)$ is 2 - $\varrho$-extremal then there exists a sequence $s_{0} \prec s_{1} \prec \ldots \prec s_{r}$, where $s_{0}=s^{\prime}$, $s_{r}=t^{\prime}$, the pair $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ is short for any $i=0, \ldots, r-1$, and there exists $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ such that $r_{\varrho}\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)=1$.

Proof. We will prove (a); the proof of (b) is similar. Let

$$
s_{0} \prec s_{1} \prec \ldots \prec s_{r}
$$

be a sequence such that $s_{0}=s^{\prime}, s_{r}=t^{\prime}$, the pair $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ is short for any $i=0, \ldots, r-1$, and for some $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ we have $r_{\varrho}\left(s^{\prime}, s_{i}\right)<3$ or $r_{\varrho}\left(s_{i}, t^{\prime}\right)<3$. The existence of such a sequence is obvious. Assume that for any $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ there exist $(u, v) \in \Delta I^{(k)}$ such that $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right) \varrho(u, v)$. Then it is easy to construct a sequence

$$
s_{0}^{\prime} \prec s_{1}^{\prime} \prec \ldots \prec s_{r}^{\prime}
$$

such that $s_{0}^{\prime}=s, s_{r}^{\prime}=t$ and for any $i=0, \ldots, r$ we have $s_{i}^{\prime} \varrho s_{i}$. But it follows from 3- $\varrho$-extremality of $(s, t)$ that for any $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ we have $r_{\varrho}\left(s, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)=3$ and $r_{\varrho}\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, t\right)=3$. This implies that for any $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ we have $r_{\varrho}\left(s^{\prime}, s_{i}\right)=3$ and $r_{\varrho}\left(s_{i}, t^{\prime}\right)=3$, a contradiction.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. We set $(Q, \Omega)=\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}\right)\right)$ and $R=K I_{\varrho}$. Note that the idempotents $\widehat{e}_{i}:=g(\bar{i}), i \in I^{*}$, form a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of $R$. Moreover, the matrices $\widehat{e}_{i j}$,
$i \preccurlyeq j \preccurlyeq *$, defined as follows:

$$
\widehat{e}_{i j}= \begin{cases}e_{i j} & \text { if } r_{\varrho}(i, j)=1, \\ e_{i j}+e_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}} & \text { if } r_{\varrho}(i, j)=2,(i, j) \varrho\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \\ & \text { and }(i, j) \neq\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right), \\ e_{i j}+e_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}+e_{i^{\prime \prime} j^{\prime \prime}} & \text { if }(i, j) \varrho\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \varrho\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { and } \\ & (i, j) \neq\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \neq\left(i^{\prime \prime}, j^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq(i, j)\end{cases}
$$

form a $K$-basis of $R$. We shall show that $\widehat{e}_{s t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$ for $(s, t) \in \mathbf{\Delta} I$. This is obvious if $s=t$. Assume that $s \neq t$. We proceed by induction on $m_{s t}:=|\langle s, t\rangle|$.
(1) If $m_{s t}=0$, i.e. $(s, t)$ is short then $\widehat{e}_{s t}=g\left(\bar{\beta}_{s t}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$.

Assume that $m>0$ and $\widehat{e}_{s t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$ for $(s, t) \in \Delta I$ such that $m_{s t}<m$. Suppose that $m_{s t}=m$.
(2) If $(s, t)$ is not $\varrho$-extremal then there exists $p \in\langle s, t\rangle$ such that $r_{\varrho}(s, p)=r_{\varrho}(s, t)$ or $r_{\varrho}(p, t)=r_{\varrho}(s, t)$. Then $\widehat{e}_{s t}=\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t}$ and since by the induction hypothesis $\widehat{e}_{s p}, \widehat{e}_{p t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$ we get $\widehat{e}_{s t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$.
(3) Suppose that $r_{\varrho}(s, t)=2$ and $(s, t)$ is 3 - $\varrho$-extremal. Then there exist $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in I^{(1)}$ such that $s^{\prime} \varrho s$ and $t^{\prime} \varrho t$. It is easy to see that $s^{\prime} \prec t^{\prime}$. If $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is not 3 - $\varrho$-extremal then it follows from Lemma 3.6 and (1) that $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. Indeed, we take a sequence $s_{0} \prec s_{1} \prec \ldots \prec s_{r}$ such that $s_{0}=s, s_{r}=t$, the pairs $\left(s_{j}, s_{j+1}\right)$ are short for $j=0, \ldots, r-1$ and there is no relation $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right) \varrho(u, v)$ with $u, v \in I^{(2)} \cup I^{(3)}$, for some $i=0, \ldots, r-1$. Since $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in I^{(1)}$ we get $r_{\varrho}\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)=1$ for some $i=0, \ldots, r-1$. Then

$$
\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=\widehat{e}_{s_{0} s_{1}} \widehat{e}_{s_{1} s_{2}} \ldots \widehat{e}_{s_{r-1} s_{r}}
$$

The right side of this equality belongs to $\operatorname{Im}(g)$ by (1). Thus $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$.
If $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is 3 - $\varrho$-extremal then $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=g\left(\beta_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$ as well. Since by the induction hypothesis we have $\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$, where $p \in\langle s, t\rangle$, we conclude that

$$
\widehat{e}_{s t}=\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t}-\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)
$$

(4) Suppose that $r_{\rho}(s, t)=1$ and $(s, t)$ is $3-\varrho$-extremal. Let $s \varrho s^{\prime} \varrho s^{\prime \prime}$ and tot' $\varrho t^{\prime \prime}$, where $s, t \in I^{(k)}, s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in I^{(l)}, s^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime} \in I^{(n)}$, and $k, l, n$ are pairwise different. It is easy to check that $s^{\prime} \prec t^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime \prime} \prec t^{\prime \prime}$. Consider the following cases.
(a) If both $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(s^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are 3 - $\varrho$-extremal and $k \neq 3$ then $\widehat{e}_{s t}=$ $g\left(\beta_{s t}^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. If $k=3$ then by the same argument (since $l, n \neq 3$ ) we get $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}, \widehat{e}_{s^{\prime \prime}} t^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. By the induction hypothesis for any $p \in\langle s, t\rangle$ we have

$$
\widehat{e}_{s t}+\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}+\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}}=\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)
$$

and hence we conclude that $\widehat{e}_{s t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$.
(b) Suppose that $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is 3 - $\varrho$-extremal but $\left(s^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not. If $k<l$ then $\widehat{e}_{s t}=g\left(\beta_{s t}^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. If $k>l$ then by the same reason $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. Moreover, using Lemma 3.6 and arguments similar to those used in (3) we prove that $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. Then as in (a) we conclude that $\widehat{e}_{s t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$.
(c) Suppose that $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right),\left(s^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are not 3 - $\varrho$-extremal. Then using Lemma 3.6 one can show that $e_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}+e_{s^{\prime \prime}} t^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. Then as above we get

$$
\widehat{e}_{s t}=\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t}-e_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}-e_{s^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)
$$

if $p \in\langle s, t\rangle$.
(5) Suppose that $r_{\varrho}(s, t)=1$ and $(s, t)$ is 2 - $\varrho$-extremal. Let $s \varrho s^{\prime}$ and $t \varrho t^{\prime}$, where $s, t \in I^{(k)}, s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in I^{(l)}$, and $\{k, l\}=\{1,2\}$. Then $s^{\prime} \prec t^{\prime}$ and $r_{\varrho}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=1$. It is easy to check that $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is not $3-\varrho$-extremal. If $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is 2 - $\varrho$-extremal and $k<l$ then $\widehat{e}_{s t}=g\left(\beta_{s t}^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. If $k>l$ then by the same reason $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$. Taking $p \in\langle s, t\rangle$ such that $r_{\varrho}(s, p)=2$ or $r_{\varrho}(p, t)=2$ we obtain

$$
\widehat{e}_{s t}+\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}}=\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)
$$

by the induction hypothesis and hence $\widehat{e}_{s t} \in \operatorname{Im}(g)$.
If $\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is not 2 - $\varrho$-extremal then using Lemma 3.6 we prove that $\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in$ $\operatorname{Im}(g)$. Thus again we see that

$$
\widehat{e}_{s t}=\widehat{e}_{s p} \widehat{e}_{p t}-\widehat{e}_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Im}(g) .
$$

We have shown that $g$ is an epimorphism. It is easy to check that $g(\Omega)$ $=0$. Thus $g$ induces a $K$-algebra epimorphism

$$
\bar{g}: K(Q, \Omega)=K Q /(\Omega) \rightarrow R
$$

Now we show that $\bar{g}$ is injective. It is enough to prove that for all $i, j \in I$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K} e(i)(K Q / \Omega) e(j) \leq \operatorname{dim}_{K} \widehat{e}_{i i} R \widehat{e}_{j j}
$$

where $e(i)$ denotes the idempotent corresponding to the trivial path at $\bar{i}$. As an example consider the case when $r_{\varrho}(i)=2, r_{\varrho}(j)=1$. Then $\bar{i}$ can be joined to $\bar{j}$ by paths of the following kinds:
(1) $I^{(2)}$-paths,
(2) 2 -3-skew paths,
(3) $I^{(3)}$-paths.

Paths of the same kind are equal modulo $\Omega$. Thus $e(i) K(Q, \Omega) e(j)$ has a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ consisting of paths of pairwise different kinds. Moreover, all the kinds (1)-(3) cannot appear in $\mathfrak{B}$ simultaneously. One can check that $g(\mathfrak{B})$ is a linearly independent set and the required inequality holds. The proof in the remaining cases is analogous.
4. A covering for $\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)\right)$. Suppose that $I_{\varrho}$ is a three-separate stratified poset and $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is its one-peak enlargement (see Section 2). Let

$$
I^{*}=I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}
$$

be a three-separation of $I^{*}$. Note that $* \in I^{(3)}$.
Let $(Q, \Omega)=\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)\right)$ be the bound quiver associated with $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ (see (3.3)). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}=\bar{\beta}_{p_{i} q_{i}}: \bar{p}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{q}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k_{1}, \\
& b_{i}=\bar{\beta}_{r_{i} s_{i}}: \bar{r}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{s}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k_{2}, \\
& d_{i}=\bar{\beta}_{t_{i} u_{i}}: \bar{t}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{u}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

be all the 1 -2-skew, 2 -3-skew and 1 -3-skew arrows respectively, where $p_{i} \in$ $I^{(1)}, q_{j} \in I^{(2)}, r_{i} \in I^{(2)}, s_{j} \in I^{(3)}, t_{i} \in I^{(1)}, u_{j} \in I^{(3)}$. Denote by $Q^{-}$the quiver obtained from $Q$ by removing all arrows $a_{i}, b_{i}, d_{i}$, and by $\Omega^{-}$the set of relations in $\Omega$ which do not involve skew arrows.

Let $G=\mathbb{Z} \alpha * \mathbb{Z} \beta$ be the free noncommutative group with two free generators $\alpha, \beta$. Following [S1, S4] we define a Galois covering

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow(Q, \Omega) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with group $G$ as follows.
Let $\widetilde{Q}^{(x)}=Q^{-} \times\{x\}$ for $x \in G$. We put $j^{(x)}=(j, x)$ and $\gamma_{i j}^{(x)}=\left(\gamma_{i j}, x\right)$ where $j$ is a vertex of $Q^{-}$and $\gamma_{i j}$ is an arrow in $Q^{-}$. We define $\widetilde{Q}$ to be the disjoint union of $\widetilde{Q}^{(x)}$ over all $x \in G$ connected by the edges

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
a_{i}^{(x)}: \bar{p}_{i}^{(x)} \rightarrow \bar{q}_{i}^{(\alpha x)}, & & i=1, \ldots, k_{1}, \\
b_{i}^{(x)}: \bar{r}_{i}^{(x)} \rightarrow \bar{s}_{i}^{(\beta x)}, & & i=1, \ldots, k_{2}, \\
d_{i}^{(x)}: \bar{t}_{i}^{(x)} \rightarrow \bar{u}_{i}^{(\beta \alpha x)}, & & i=1, \ldots, k_{3}
\end{array}
$$

(see Fig. 4.2). We define $f$ by setting $f\left(j^{(x)}\right)=j$ and $f\left(\gamma_{i j}^{(x)}\right)=\gamma_{i j}$. We take for $\widetilde{\Omega}$ the natural lift of $\Omega$ along $f$. The group $G$ acts on $\widetilde{Q}$ in the following way:

$$
y * j^{(x)}=j^{(y x)}, \quad y * \gamma_{i j}^{(x)}=\gamma_{i j}^{(y x)} \text { for } y \in G .
$$

We note that $f$ induces a bound quiver isomorphism

$$
(\widetilde{Q} / G, \widetilde{\Omega} / G) \simeq(Q, \Omega)
$$

In general $I_{\varrho}$ admits many different three-separations. However, it is easy to see that the isomorphism class of the covering (4.1) does not depend on the choice of the three-separation.

We are especially interested in the case when the covering (4.1) is the universal cover of ( $Q, \Omega$ ). For this purpose we need the following definition.


Fig. 4.2

Definition 4.3. We call a three-separate poset $I_{\varrho}$ a rib convex poset if the following hold.
(1) The rib skeleton $\operatorname{rsk}\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$ of $I_{\varrho}$ has exactly three rib-connected components $\Re_{1}, \Re_{2}, \Re_{3}$; we assume that $\Re_{i} \subseteq I^{(i)}$ for $i=1,2,3$.
(2) If $r_{\varrho}(i)>1$ then $i \in \operatorname{rsk}\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$.
(3) For any $(i, j) \in \triangle \Re_{k}$ for some $k$ there exists a rib path from $\bar{i}$ to $\bar{j}$.

Proposition 4.4 (compare [S4, Proposition 3.8]). Let $I_{\varrho}$ be a rib convex three-separate poset and $(Q, \Omega)=\left(Q\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right), \Omega\left(I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)\right)$ be the bound quiver associated with $I_{\varrho}^{*}($ see (3.3)).
(a) The fundamental group $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$ of $(Q, \Omega)$ is a free group with two free generators.
(b) The covering $f:(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow(Q, \Omega)$ defined in (4.1) is the universal Galois covering of $(Q, \Omega)$.

Proof. (a) Note that we can assume that the three-separation $I^{(1)}+$ $I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$ of $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{(1)}=\left\{i \in I: i \preccurlyeq x \text { for some } x \in \Re_{1}\right\} \\
& I^{(2)}=\left\{i \in I \backslash I^{(1)}: i \preccurlyeq x \text { for some } x \in \Re_{2}\right\}, \\
& I^{(3)}=I \backslash\left(I^{(1)} \cup I^{(2)}\right) \text { and } * \in I^{(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We keep the notation of skew arrows introduced above. Note that the quiver $Q^{-}$obtained from $Q$ by removing all the skew arrows has no oriented cycles and has the following property:
$\left(*_{Q^{-}}\right)$for each vertex $\bar{i} \in Q^{-}$there exists an oriented path $\omega: \bar{i} \rightarrow *$ in $Q^{-}$.
We denote by $Q^{\prime \prime}$ the full subquiver of $Q^{-}$consisting of the vertices $\bar{i}$ for $i \in I^{(3)}$, and by $Q^{\prime}$ the full subquiver of $Q^{-}$consisting of the vertices $\bar{i}$ for $i \in I^{(2)} \cup I^{(3)}$. We have quiver embeddings $Q^{\prime \prime} \subseteq Q^{\prime} \subseteq Q^{-} \subseteq Q$. Note that $Q^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}$ have the property $\left(*_{Q^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\left(*_{Q^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ respectively and they are closed under taking successors in $Q^{-}$.

First we construct a maximal tree $T^{\prime \prime} \subseteq Q^{\prime \prime}$ with the property $\left(*_{T^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ by induction on $\left|Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|$.

If $\left|Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|=2$ then we take $T^{\prime \prime}=Q^{\prime \prime}$.
Suppose that if $\left|Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|<m$ then there exists $T^{\prime \prime}$ with the required properties. Let $\left|Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|=m$ and $\bar{a}$ be a minimal element in $Q^{\prime \prime}$ (i.e. a source in $Q^{\prime \prime}$ ). Let $T_{+}^{\prime \prime}$ be the maximal tree in the quiver obtained from $Q^{\prime \prime}$ by removing the vertex $\bar{a}$. Let $\bar{\beta}_{a t}$ be an arrow in $Q^{\prime \prime}$ from $\bar{a}$ to some $\bar{t} \in T_{+}^{\prime \prime}$. Then $T^{\prime \prime}=T_{+}^{\prime \prime} \cup\{\bar{a}\} \cup\left\{\bar{\beta}_{a t}\right\}$ is a tree with the required property.

Next, just as above, by induction on $\left|Q_{0}^{\prime} \backslash Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right|$ we construct a maximal tree $T^{\prime}$ in $Q^{\prime}$ with the property $\left(*_{T^{\prime}}\right)$ and such that $T^{\prime} \cap Q^{\prime \prime}=T^{\prime \prime}$. Finally,
applying an induction on $\left|Q_{0}^{-} \backslash Q_{0}^{\prime}\right|$ we extend $T^{\prime}$ to a maximal tree $T$ in $Q^{-}$having the property $\left(*_{T}\right)$. Note that $T$ is a maximal tree in $Q$.

Suppose that $\left(Q^{-}\right)_{0}$ consists of the elements $i_{k}, k=0, \ldots, m$, where $i_{0}=\bar{\mp}$. Since $Q^{-}$has no oriented cycle, without loss of generality we can suppose that if there exists a directed path from $i_{k}$ to $i_{j}$ in $Q^{-}$then $k>j$.
(1) We show by induction on $k$ that if $b=\bar{\beta}_{s t} \in Q^{\prime \prime}$ is an arrow beginning at $i_{k}=\bar{s}$ then $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$ (we keep the notation of Lemma 2.5). For $k=0$ this is obvious. Suppose that for $k<m$ the statement is proved. Let $k=m$ and $s, t \in I^{(3)}$. If $b \in T$ then $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$. Suppose that $b \notin T$. Then there exists an arrow $\bar{\beta}_{s s_{1}}$ in $T$ such that $s_{1} \in I^{(3)}$. Consider two sequences $\beta_{s s_{1}}, \beta_{s_{1} s_{2}}, \ldots, \beta_{s_{m} *}$ and $\beta_{t t_{1}}, \beta_{t_{1} t_{2}}, \ldots, \beta_{t_{l} *}$ of short pairs in $I^{(3)}$. Then

$$
\left(b \bar{\beta}_{t t_{1}} \bar{\beta}_{t_{1} t_{2}} \ldots \bar{\beta}_{t_{l} *}, \bar{\beta}_{s s_{1}} \bar{\beta}_{s_{1} s_{2}}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{s_{m} *}\right)
$$

is an $\Omega$-contour.
Since $\bar{\beta}_{s s_{1}} \in T$ and by the induction hypothesis $\widehat{\bar{\beta}}_{t_{i} t_{i+1}}, \widehat{\bar{\beta}}_{s_{j} s_{j+1}} \in N_{\Omega}$ for $i=0, \ldots, l$ and $j=1, \ldots, m$, we get $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$. (Here we put $t_{l+1}=s_{m+1}$ $=*$ and $t_{0}=t$.)

In particular, we have shown that $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$ if $b$ is the $\varrho$-coset of a rib.
(2) Now we are going to prove that for skew arrows $b_{p}, b_{q}$ with $r_{p}, r_{q} \in \Re_{2}$ we have $\widehat{b}_{p} \equiv \widehat{b}_{q}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ). By our assumptions on $I_{\varrho}$ there exist points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l} \in \Re_{2}$ and rib paths $u_{i}, v_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$ as in the figure:

where $\bar{r}_{p_{i}}$ is the source of the 2 - 3 -skew arrow $b_{p_{i}}, p_{0}=p$ and $p_{l}=q$. Denote
 $\left(u_{i} b_{i-1} w_{i-1}, v_{i} b_{i} w_{i}\right)$ is an $\Omega$-contour for $i=1, \ldots, l$. By (1) above we get $\widehat{v}_{i}, \widehat{u}_{i}, \widehat{w}_{i} \in N_{\Omega}$, hence $\widehat{b}_{p} \widehat{b}_{q}^{-1} \in N_{\Omega}$.
(3) By induction on $k$ we shall show that if $b=\bar{\beta}_{s t} \in Q^{\prime}$ is not a skew arrow and it begins at $i_{k}=\bar{s}$ then $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$. For $k=0$ this is obvious. Suppose that for $k<m$ the statement is proved. Let $k=m$. Suppose that $b \notin T$. Let $\bar{\beta}_{s^{\prime} s_{1}}$ be an arrow in $T^{\prime} \subseteq T$ beginning at $i_{k}$ and $s^{\prime}, s_{1} \in I^{(2)} \cup I^{(3)}$.

If $s=s^{\prime} \in I^{(3)}$ then $s_{1}, t \in I^{(3)}$ and we prove the statement as in (1).
Suppose that $s=s^{\prime} \in I^{(2)}$. Then $s_{1}, t \in I^{(2)}$. Let

$$
\beta_{s_{1} s_{2}}, \ldots, \beta_{s_{m} r_{p}} \text { and } \quad \beta_{t t_{1}}, \ldots, \beta_{t_{l} r_{q}}
$$

be sequences of short pairs in $I^{(2)}$ ending at $r_{p}, r_{q} \in \Re_{2}$ whose $\varrho$-cosets are the sources of the arrows $b_{p}, b_{q}$ respectively. The sinks of these arrows are $\bar{s}_{p}, \bar{s}_{q}$. Let $u_{p}$ and $u_{q}$ be paths composed of the $\varrho$-cosets of short pairs in
$I^{(3)}$ connecting $\bar{s}_{p}$ and $\bar{s}_{q}$ with $\bar{*}$ respectively. Then

$$
\left(b \bar{\beta}_{t t_{1}}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{t_{l} r_{q}} b_{q} u_{q}, \bar{\beta}_{s^{\prime} s_{1}} \bar{\beta}_{s_{1} s_{2}}, \ldots, \bar{\beta}_{s_{m} r_{p}} b_{p} u_{p}\right)
$$

is an $\Omega$-contour and since $\bar{\beta}_{s^{\prime} s_{1}} \in T, \widehat{b}_{p} \equiv \widehat{b}_{q}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ) and by the induction hypothesis we get $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$.

Suppose now that $s \neq s^{\prime}$. Then $r_{\varrho}(s)>1$, hence $s \in \operatorname{rsk}\left(I_{\varrho}\right)$. If $s \in \Re_{2}$ then, since $b \in Q^{\prime}, t \in I^{(2)}$. We have a path $u_{p}$ composed of the $\varrho$-cosets of short pairs from $I^{(2)}$ connecting $\bar{t}$ with the source $\bar{r}_{p}$ of a skew arrow $b_{p}$ such that $r_{p} \in \Re_{2}$. From the rib convexity of $\Re_{2}$ we get the existence of a rib path $v$ from $\bar{s}$ to $\bar{r}_{p}$. Then

$$
\left(v b_{p}, b u_{p} b_{p}\right)
$$

is an $\Omega$-contour and since $\widehat{v} \in N_{\Omega}$ and by the induction hypothesis $\widehat{u}_{p} \in N_{\Omega}$, we get $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$.

If $s \in \Re_{3}$ then $s, t \in I^{(3)}$ and we prove the statement as in (1).
(4) We show that $\widehat{b}_{p} \equiv \widehat{b}_{q}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ) for any $p, q$. Note that it is enough to show that $\widehat{b}_{p} \equiv \widehat{b}_{q}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ) if $r_{p} \notin \Re_{2}, r_{q} \in \Re_{2}$ and $r_{p} \prec r_{q}$. Let $v$ be a path composed of the $\varrho$-cosets of short pairs in $I^{(2)}$ from $\bar{r}_{p}$ to $\bar{r}_{q}$, and $u_{p}, u_{q}$ be the paths in $Q^{\prime \prime}$ composed of the $\varrho$-cosets of short pairs connecting $\bar{s}_{p}$ and $\bar{s}_{q}$ with $\bar{*}$ respectively. Then ( $b_{p} u_{p}, v b_{q} u_{q}$ ) is an $\Omega$-contour and by (1) and (3) we get $\widehat{b}_{p} \widehat{b}_{q}^{-1} \in N_{\Omega}$.
(5) We show as in (2) that $\widehat{d}_{p} \equiv \widehat{d}_{r}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ) for all 1-3-skew arrows $d_{p}, d_{r}$ whose sources are the $\varrho$-cosets of elements of $\Re_{1} ; \widehat{d}_{p} \equiv \widehat{a}_{r} \widehat{b}_{q}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ) for any 1-3-skew arrow $d_{p}, 1$-2-skew arrow $a_{r}$ and 2 -3-skew arrow $b_{q}$ such that the sources of $d_{p}$ and $a_{r}$ are the $\varrho$-cosets of elements of $\Re_{1}$, and $\widehat{a}_{p} \equiv \widehat{a}_{r}$ (modulo $N_{\Omega}$ ) for any 1-2-skew paths $\widehat{a}_{p}, \widehat{a}_{r}$ whose sources are the $\varrho$-cosets of elements of $\Re_{1}$.
(6) We show as in (3) that if $b=\bar{\beta}_{s t}$ is an arrow in $Q^{-}$then $\widehat{b} \in N_{\Omega}$.
(7) We show as in (4) that

$$
\widehat{d}_{p} \equiv \widehat{d}_{r}, \quad \widehat{a}_{q} \equiv \widehat{a}_{r}, \quad \widehat{d}_{p} \equiv \widehat{a}_{r} \widehat{b}_{q}\left(\text { modulo } N_{\Omega}\right)
$$

for arbitrary $p, q, r$. Note that there exists at least one $2-3$-skew arrow and at least one 1 -3-skew arrow or 1-2-skew arrow.
(8) We show that $\widehat{\beta}_{i j}^{*} \in N_{\Omega}$ for any $i, j$ such that the arrow $\beta_{i j}^{*}$ exists. There is a rib path $u$ from $\bar{i}$ to $\bar{j}$ and a nonzero path $v$ from $\bar{j}$ to $\bar{*}$ composed of the $\varrho$-cosets of short pairs in $I^{*}$. Then $\left(u v, \beta_{i j}^{*} v\right)$ is an $\Omega$-contour and since $\widehat{u}, \widehat{v} \in N_{\Omega}$ we get $\widehat{\beta}_{i j}^{*} \in N_{\Omega}$ as well.

We have shown that $\widehat{a}_{1} N_{\Omega}, \widehat{b}_{1} N_{\Omega}$ generate the group $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$ if there exists a 1-2-skew arrow $a_{1}$, and $\widehat{d}_{1} N_{\Omega}, \widehat{b}_{1} N_{\Omega}$ generate $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$ if there exists a $1-3$-skew arrow $d_{1}$.
(9) Now we prove that $\left\{\widehat{a}_{1} N_{\Omega}, \widehat{b}_{1} N_{\Omega}\right\}$ (or $\left\{\widehat{d}_{1} N_{\Omega}, \widehat{b}_{1} N_{\Omega}\right\}$ ) is a set of free generators of $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$.

Suppose that $a_{1}$ exists. We have to show that no word of the form

$$
\kappa=\widehat{a}_{1}^{s_{1}} \widehat{b}_{1}^{t_{1}} \ldots \widehat{a}_{1}^{s_{l}} \widehat{b}_{1}^{t_{l}}
$$

such that $s_{i+1} \neq 0 \neq t_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, l-1$ or $s_{1} \neq 0$ or $t_{l} \neq 0$ belongs to $N_{\Omega}$.
Suppose that $\omega=\lambda_{1} \omega_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{m} \omega_{m}$ is a minimal relation in $\Omega$ such that $m \geq 2$. Then all the $\omega_{i}$ have a common sink and a common source. Moreover, $\omega$ is a sum of elements of the form $a_{1} b a_{2}$ where $a_{1}, a_{2} \in K Q$ and $b$ is a relation of type (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) or (g) (see (3.3)). Since $\omega$ is minimal and $m \geq 2$ we have $\omega=a_{1} b a_{2}$ where $a_{1}, a_{2} \in K Q$ are paths in $Q$ and $b$ is a relation of one of the above types. Thus the following types of $\Omega$-contours are possible:

- $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right), \quad\left(\gamma_{1} b_{i} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} b_{j} \gamma_{4}\right), \quad\left(\gamma_{1} a_{i} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} a_{j} \gamma_{4}\right)$, $\left(\gamma_{1} d_{i} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} d_{j} \gamma_{4}\right), \quad\left(\gamma_{1} d_{i} \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3} a_{j} \gamma_{4} b_{k} \gamma_{5}\right)$
(induced by relations of type (b)), and
- $\left(\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right)$,
(induced by relations of types (c) to (g)), where the $\gamma_{s}$ denote paths in $Q$ which do not contain skew arrows.

Hence we get the following types of generators of $N_{\Omega}$ :

$$
\widehat{\gamma}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{b}_{i}^{-1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2} \widehat{b}_{j} \widehat{\gamma}_{3}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{a}_{i}^{-1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2} \widehat{a}_{j} \widehat{\gamma}_{3}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{d}_{i}^{-1} \widehat{\gamma}_{2} \widehat{d}_{j} \widehat{\gamma}_{3}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{1} \widehat{d}_{i} \widehat{\gamma}_{2} \widehat{b}_{j}^{-1} \widehat{\gamma}_{3} \widehat{a}_{k}^{-1} \widehat{\gamma}_{4}
$$

where the $\widehat{\gamma}_{i}$ are elements of the free group $\Pi_{1}(Q)$ which are words without the letters $\widehat{a}_{i}, \widehat{b}_{i}, \widehat{d}_{i}$.

Consider the group homomorphism

$$
h: \Pi_{1}(Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} a * \mathbb{Z} b
$$

defined by $h\left(\widehat{\gamma}_{i}\right)=1, h\left(\widehat{a}_{i}\right)=a, h\left(\widehat{b}_{i}\right)=b, h\left(\widehat{d}_{i}\right)=a b$. Note that all the generators of $N_{\Omega}$ listed above are contained in $\operatorname{Ker}(h)$. Hence $N_{\Omega} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(h)$. If $\kappa$ is as above then $h(\kappa) \neq 1$, so $\kappa \notin N_{\Omega}$.

If there is no 1 -2-skew arrow $a_{i}$ in $Q$ then we prove in a similar way that $\left\{\widehat{d}_{1} N_{\Omega}, \widehat{b}_{1} N_{\Omega}\right\}$ freely generates $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$. This finishes the proof of (a).

The statement (b) follows from the above considerations and from the construction of the universal cover described in (2.6). Since $\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)=$ $\mathbb{Z} \alpha * \mathbb{Z} \beta$ it is easy to see that the construction in our case coincides with the construction (4.1) applied to $G=\Pi_{1}(Q, \Omega)$.
5. Three-partite posets and the associated three-peak bound quivers. In this section we discuss some special case of three-separate posets, namely the three-partite posets in the sense of Definition 5.1 below.

If $I_{1}, I_{2} \subseteq I$ are subposets then we write $I_{1}<I_{2}$ if for all $i_{1} \in I_{1}$ and $i_{2} \in I_{2}$ we have $i_{1} \prec i_{2}$. We say that $I_{1}$ is connected if it is connected with respect to the equivalence relation generated by the following relation:

$$
i \prec \succ j \Leftrightarrow \text { either } i \prec j \text { or } j \prec i \text { is a minimal relation in } I \text {. }
$$

Definition 5.1 (compare with [S4, Def. 4.1]). A three-separate poset $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ with a three-separation $I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$ and a unique maximal element * is called three-partite if
(a) $I^{(k)}$ is the disjoint union of subposets $C^{(k)}$ and $J^{(k)}$ such that $C^{(k)}$ is either empty or it is a chain

$$
C^{(k)}: c_{1}^{(k)} \rightarrow c_{2}^{(k)} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow c_{m_{k}}^{(k)}
$$

for $k=2,3, I^{(1)}<J^{(2)}<J^{(3)}$ and $C^{(2)}<C^{(3)}$.
(b) The stratified poset $I_{\varrho}$ is rib-convex.
(c) There exist connected subposets $I_{0}^{(1)} \subseteq I^{(1)}, I_{0}^{(2)} \subseteq J_{0}^{(2)} \subseteq J^{(2)}$, $I_{0}^{(3)} \subseteq J_{0}^{(3)} \subseteq J^{(3)}$ and poset isomorphisms $\sigma_{1}: I_{0}^{(1)} \rightarrow I_{0}^{(2)}, \sigma_{2}: I_{0}^{(2)} \rightarrow I_{0}^{(3)}$ and $\sigma_{3}: J_{0}^{(2)} \rightarrow J_{0}^{(3)}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\sigma_{2}$ is the restriction of $\sigma_{3}$ to $I_{0}^{(2)}$,
(ii) $r_{\varrho}(i)=3$ if and only if $i$ belongs to $I_{0}^{(k)}$ for some $k=1,2,3$, and $r_{\varrho}(i)=2$ if and only if $i$ belongs to $J_{0}^{(k)} \backslash I_{0}^{(k)}$ for some $k=2,3$,
(iii) $(i, j) \varrho\left(\sigma_{1}(i), \sigma_{1}(j)\right) \varrho\left(\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}(i), \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}(j)\right)$ provided $i \preccurlyeq j, i, j \in I_{0}^{(1)},[i, j]$ $=\{i, j\}$, and $(i, j) \varrho\left(\sigma_{3}(i), \sigma_{3}(j)\right)$ provided $i \preccurlyeq j, i, j \in J_{0}^{(2)},[i, j]=\{i, j\}$.

We visualize this notion in Fig. 5.2.
Following an idea in [S4] we associate with any three-partite stratified poset $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ a three-peak bound quiver

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}=\left(Q^{+\times}, \Omega^{+\times}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined as follows:
For the quiver $Q^{+\times}$we take the disjoint union of $Q^{-}$(see (4.1)) and two chains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{+}: c_{1}^{(3)+} \rightarrow c_{2}^{(3)+} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow c_{m_{3}}^{(3)+} \rightarrow+ \\
& C^{\times}: c_{1}^{(2) \times} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow c_{m_{2}}^{(2) \times} \rightarrow c_{1}^{(3) \times} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow c_{m_{3}}^{(3) \times} \rightarrow \times
\end{aligned}
$$

connected by the following arrows (we use the notation from Section 4):
(i) $\beta_{r_{i}+}: \bar{r}_{i} \rightarrow+$ if $r_{i}$ and $c_{m_{3}}^{(3)}$ are unrelated,
$I_{\varrho}^{*}:$


Fig. 5.2
(ii) $\beta_{p_{i} \times}: \bar{p}_{i} \rightarrow \times$ if $p_{i}$ and $c_{m_{3}}^{(3)}$ are unrelated and $\beta_{t_{i} \times}: \bar{t}_{i} \rightarrow \times$ if $t_{i}$ and $c_{m_{3}}^{(3)}$ are unrelated,
(iii) $a_{i}^{\times}: \bar{p}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{q}_{i}^{(\times)}$if $q_{i} \in C^{(2)}$,
(iv) $b_{i}^{+}: \bar{r}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{s}_{i}^{(+)}$if $s_{i} \in C^{(3)}$,
(v) $d_{i}^{\times}: \bar{t}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{u}_{i}^{(\times)}$if $u_{i} \in C^{(3)}$
(see Fig. 5.2).
For $\Omega^{+\times}$we take the set $\Omega^{-}$with the following additional relations:
(1) $w \beta_{r_{i}+}$ and $w b_{j}^{+}$if $w$ is neither a 3-rib path nor a 2-rib path nor an $I^{(2)}$-path,
(2) $w \beta_{t_{i} \times}, w \beta_{p_{i} \times}, w a_{i}^{\times}$and $w d_{i}^{\times}$if $w$ is neither a 3-rib path nor an $I^{(1)}$-path,
(3) $w u-v u^{\prime}$, where $w: \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{r}_{i}$ is a 3-rib path, a 2-rib path or an $I^{(2)}$-path, and where $v: \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{r}_{j}$ and $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ have a common sink in $C^{+}$.
(4) $w u-v u^{\prime}$, where $w: \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{p}_{i}$ is a 3-rib path or an $I^{(1)}$-path, and where $v: \bar{p} \rightarrow \bar{p}_{j}, u$ and $u^{\prime}$ have a common sink in $C^{\times}$.

Analogously to the bipartite case $[\mathrm{S} 4]$ there is an algebra isomorphism

$$
K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times} \simeq \xi \widetilde{R} \xi
$$

where $\widetilde{R}=K(\widetilde{Q}, \widetilde{\Omega})$ and

$$
\xi=\sum_{t \in Q_{0}^{(e)}} e_{t}+\sum_{t \in C^{(2)}} e_{(t, \alpha)}+\sum_{t \in C^{(3)}}\left(e_{(t, \beta)}+e_{(t, \beta \alpha)}\right)+e_{(*, \beta)}+e_{(*, \beta \alpha)}
$$

and we consider the diagram

where $\iota$ is the natural equivalence, $f_{\mathrm{sp}}$ is the covering functor (see [Ga], [S4, 4.20]), $\nu=\sum_{t} e_{t}$ where $t$ runs over the set of vertices of the union of all quivers $Q_{0}^{(\omega)}$ for $\omega$ of the form $\omega=\alpha^{s_{1}} \beta^{t_{1}} \ldots \alpha^{s_{m}} \beta^{t_{m}}$, where $s_{i}, t_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, m, L_{\xi}$ and $T_{\nu}$ are the lower and upper induction functors respectively (see $[\mathrm{S} 4, \mathrm{~S} 5]$ ), $f_{+\times}$is the composed functor $f_{\mathrm{sp}} \circ T_{\nu} \circ L_{\xi} \circ \iota$.

By the Splitting Theorem of [S3], Proposition 4.3 above, Theorem 4.19 and Remark 4.21 of [S4] we get the following.

Theorem 5.5. If $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is a three-partite stratified poset then:
(a) The functor $f_{+\times}: \bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right) \rightarrow \bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}(R)$ is exact, faithful, dense and preserves indecomposability.
(b) The category $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{Q}^{*+\times}\right)$ is of finite representation type if and only if so is the category $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}(R)$.
(c) If $K$ is an algebraically closed field then $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ is of tame (resp. wild) representation type if and only if $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}(R)$ is of tame (resp. wild) representation type.

Applying arguments similar to those used in [S4, Proposition 4.9] and Proposition 4.3 above one can prove the following.

Theorem 5.6. Let $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ be a three-partite poset and let $I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}$ be the associated three-peak bound quiver (5.3).
(a) The fundamental group $\Pi_{1}\left(I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ is trivial. If in addition every vertex of $I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}$ is separating then the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ of $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ has a preprojective component.
(b) If the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ of $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}\right)$ has a preprojective component then $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\rho}^{*}\right)$ is of finite representation type if and only if $I_{e}^{*+\times}$ contains no Weichert's critical forms (see [W]).

Let us finish with a simple corollary from the above considerations.
Corollary 5.7. If $I_{\varrho}^{*}$ is a three-partite stratified poset and $\bmod _{\mathrm{sp}}\left(K I_{\varrho}^{*}\right)$ is of finite representation type then $I_{\varrho}$ does not contain any rib.

Proof. It is easy to check that if $I_{\varrho}$ contains a rib then $I_{\varrho}^{*+\times}$ contains a subquiver of type $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{4}$ which is of infinite representation type. Thus the statement follows from Theorem 5.6 above.
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