



## Weighted estimates for commutators of linear operators

by

JOSEFINA ALVAREZ, RICHARD J. BAGBY, DOUGLAS S. KURTZ and ÇARLOS PÉREZ (Las Cruces, N.Mex.)

Abstract. We study boundedness properties of commutators of general linear operators with real-valued BMO functions on weighted  $L^p$  spaces. We then derive applications to particular important operators, such as Calderón–Zygmund type operators, pseudo-differential operators, multipliers, rough singular integrals and maximal type operators.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to study boundedness properties of commutators of real-valued BMO functions with general linear operators on weighted  $L^p$  spaces. Indeed, we will give a general result, Theorem 2.13, from which we will derive applications to particular important operators, such as Calderón–Zygmund type operators, pseudo-differential operators, multipliers, rough singular integrals, and maximal type operators.

More specifically, given a linear operator T acting on functions and given a function b, we define formally the commutator [b,T] as

$$[b,T]f = bT(f) - T(bf).$$

The first results on this commutator were obtained by Coifman, Rochberg, and Weiss [8] in their study of certain factorization theorems for generalized Hardy spaces. They showed that if T is a classical singular integral operator with smooth kernel and  $b \in BMO$ , then the commutator [b, T] is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for  $1 . They also showed that the condition <math>b \in BMO$  is necessary when  $T = R_j$ , the jth Riesz transform in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ .

The proof they gave of the sufficient condition is based on a delicate  $\operatorname{good}-\lambda$  inequality involving several auxiliary operators. Some years later, J. O. Strömberg [20] provided a much simpler proof using the sharp maximal operator of C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. Coifman, Rochberg, and Weiss outlined in the same paper a different approach, which is less direct but

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B20, 42B25, 46E40, 46G10, 35S05, 42B30. Key words and phrases: bounded mean oscillation, singular integrals, maximal functions, weighted inequalities.

196

shows the close relationship with the existence of weighted inequalities for the operator T. Roughly speaking, their idea is that an appropriate weighted inequality for T provides an unweighted inequality for [b,T] if  $b\in BMO$ . In this paper, we exploit that idea further to obtain one and two weighted inequalities for [b,T].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we state and prove the main result, with the aid of several auxiliary results. In Section 3, we show the broad use of these results by considering various applications of interest.

The notation we use is standard. We will write  $f:A\to B$  to denote a function defined on A with values in B, with no assumption of continuity. Given Banach spaces A and B,  $\mathcal{L}(A,B)$  will be the space of continuous linear operators  $T:A\to B$  with operator norm ||T||. Given p with  $1\leq p\leq \infty$ , p' will satisfy 1/p+1/p'=1.

2. Main result. A nonnegative, locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *weight*. We will consider weights which satisfy the following conditions.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $1 \le p < \infty$ . A weight w satisfies the  $A_p$  condition,  $w \in A_p$ , if there is a constant C > 0 so that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int\limits_{Q}w(x)\,dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int\limits_{Q}w(x)^{1-p'}\,dx\right)^{p-1}\leq C\,,\quad \text{ for } 1< p<\infty\,,$$

or

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) dx \le C \operatorname{ess inf} w, \quad \text{for } p = 1,$$

for all cubes Q in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The smallest such C is called the  $A_p$  norm of w. We set  $A_{\infty} = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p$ .

For further information about  $A_p$  weights, we refer the reader to [15].

DEFINITION 2.2. We say that a collection of couples of weights W is stable if  $(w, v) \in W$  implies that there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(w^{1+\varepsilon}, v^{1+\varepsilon}) \in W$ .

We will also use stable collections of single weights by considering the pair (w,w). Thus, it follows from well-known results about  $A_p$  weights that the  $A_p$  spaces are stable for  $1 \le p \le \infty$ . Moreover, the  $A_p$  spaces defined with respect to other bases form stable classes of weights. Similarly, for a fixed p, the collection of weights  $u(x) = w(x)|x|^a$ , where  $w \in A_p$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , is a stable class of weights.

Let  $1 . Consider the class <math>W^p$  of pairs of weights (w, v) such that

$$\sup_{Q} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^{r} dx \right)^{1/pr} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} v(x)^{(1-p')r} dx \right)^{1/p'r} \leq C,$$

for some  $1 < r < \infty$ . The class  $W^p$  is stable [26].

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of real-valued, Lebesgue measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $L_0^\infty$  be the subspace of those functions in  $\mathcal{M}$  that are essentially bounded and have compact support. We assume that T is a linear operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ , with a domain of definition which contains every compactly supported function in a fixed  $L^p$  space,  $p < \infty$ . Given a real-valued function  $b \in \text{BMO}$ , we can define a family of linear operators  $\{T_z: L_0^\infty \to \mathcal{M}: z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < r(b)\}$ , where

$$T_z f = e^{zb} T(e^{-zb} f) .$$

Our first result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $1 , <math>1 < q \le \infty$ , and let T be as above. Suppose that W is a stable class of pairs of weights. Assume that

(2.4) 
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^{p}(v), L^{p}(w)) \quad \text{for all } (w, v) \in W,$$
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^{p}(u), L^{p}(u)) \quad \text{for all } u \in A_{q}.$$

Then, for each real-valued function  $b \in BMO$  and each pair  $(w, v) \in W$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$ , which also depends on p and q, such that

$$T_z \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(v), L^p(w))$$

for each  $|z| < \delta$ . Moreover,  $\sup_{|z| < \delta} ||T_z|| < \infty$ .

Proof. Fix  $b \in BMO$ . There is a  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $e^{\gamma b} \in A_q$  (see, e.g., [15]). Thus, by (2.4),

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(e^{\gamma b}), L^p(e^{\gamma b})),$$

with norm  $M_1$ . In fact,  $\gamma$  and  $M_1$  depend on the BMO norm of b. Since  $b \in BMO$  implies that  $rb \in BMO$  for  $|r| \leq 1$  with a smaller BMO norm, we see that

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(e^{tb}), L^p(e^{tb})) \quad \text{for } |t| \leq \gamma,$$

with norm bounded by  $M_1$ .

Fix  $(w, v) \in W$ . Since W is stable, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(w^{1+\varepsilon}, v^{1+\varepsilon}) \in W$ . By (2.4),

(2.6) 
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(v^{1+\varepsilon}), L^p(w^{1+\varepsilon})),$$

with norm  $M_2$ .

Given  $z = \alpha + i\beta$ , the operator  $T_z$  will belong to  $\mathcal{L}(L^p(v), L^p(w))$  provided that

(2.7) 
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(ve^{\alpha pb}), L^p(we^{\alpha pb})).$$

Let  $\delta = \gamma \varepsilon/p(1+\varepsilon)$  and suppose that  $|z| < \delta$ . Then  $|\alpha| < \gamma \varepsilon/p(1+\varepsilon)$  or  $|\alpha|p(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon < \gamma$ . From (2.5), we obtain

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(e^{\alpha pb(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}), L^p(e^{\alpha pb(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}))$$
.

Applying Stein's interpolation theorem [29] to this last result and (2.6) yields (2.7) with a norm bounded by  $\max\{M_1, M_2\}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

We would like to point out that if q=1, Theorem 2.3 is still true if we assume that  $b\in VMO$ , the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation. The comment applies to the later results in this section.

We will need a vector-valued version of Theorem 2.3 to obtain certain applications. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a Banach space with norm  $\| \|_{\mathbf{A}}$  and u a weight. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , define the Banach space  $L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(u)$  to be the set of strongly measurable functions  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbf{A}$  such that  $\int \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^p u(x) \, dx < \infty$ . We will use  $L^\infty_0(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B})$  to denote the vector-valued analogs of the spaces considered above. Repeating the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following vector-valued result.

THEOREM 2.8. Let **A** and **B** be Banach spaces and suppose that  $T: L_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B})$  is a linear operator. Let  $1 , <math>1 < q \le \infty$ , and let W be a stable class of weights. Suppose that

(2.9) 
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^{p}(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^{p}(w)) \quad \text{for all } (w, v) \in W, \\ T \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^{p}(u), L_{\mathbf{B}}^{p}(u)) \quad \text{for all } u \in A_{q}.$$

Then, for each real-valued function  $b \in BMO$  and each pair  $(w, v) \in W$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$ , which also depends on p and q, such that

$$T_z \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^p(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w))$$

for each  $|z| < \delta$ . Moreover,  $\sup_{|z| < \delta} ||T_z|| < \infty$ .

We would like to show that the mapping  $z \to T_z$ , with values in  $\mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^p(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w))$ , is analytic near z=0, in order to identify the coefficients in its Taylor expansion with the iterated commutators of T and b. When  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbb{C}$ , we are able to prove the analyticity of this map using a characterization stated in [21]. The proof relies on selecting appropriate dense sets in  $L^p(v)$  and the dual of  $L^p(w)$ . We are unable to extend this proof to the vector-valued case without imposing some conditions on the space  $\mathbf{B}$ , such as the Radon-Nikodym condition. However, it is still possible to show the boundedness of the iterated commutators without proving the

analyticity of the map  $z \to T_z$ . The rest of this section is devoted to showing this alternative proof. Let  $D_{\eta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \eta\}$ .

LEMMA 2.10. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be Banach spaces. Let  $1 , <math>1 < q \le \infty$ , and let W be a stable class of pairs of weights. Suppose that  $T: L_0^\infty(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B})$  is a linear operator which satisfies conditions (2.9). Then, for each real-valued function  $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$  and each pair  $(w,v) \in W$ , there is an  $\eta > 0$ , which also depends on p and q, such that for each  $f \in L_0^\infty(\mathbf{A})$ , the map  $z \to T_z(f)$  is continuous from  $D_\eta$  into  $L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w)$ .

Proof. We need to find an  $\eta > 0$  such that for  $z \in D_{\eta}$  and  $\{z_n\} \subset D_{\eta}$ , if  $z_n \to z$  then  $\|(T_{z_n} - T_z)f\|_{L^p_{\mathbf{n}}(w)} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Write

$$T_{z_n}f - T_zf = e^{z_nb}T((e^{-z_nb} - e^{-zb})f) + (e^{z_nb} - e^{zb})T(e^{-zb}f) = I + II.$$

Consider the  $L_{\mathbf{R}}^{p}(w)$ -norm of I. Let  $\alpha_{n} = \operatorname{Re}(z_{n})$ . We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||I(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}^p w(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||e^{z_n b(x)} T((e^{-z_n b} - e^{-z b}) f)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}^p w(x) dx 
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{p\alpha_n b(x)} ||T((e^{-z_n b} - e^{-z b}) f)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}^p w(x) dx 
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||T((e^{-z_n b} - e^{-z b}) f)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}^p e^{p\eta ||b(x)||} w(x) dx,$$

for  $|z_n| < \eta$ .

Fix  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $e^{\gamma p|b|} \in A_q$ . Then  $e^{\gamma p|b|/2} \in A_q$  and by hypothesis,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(e^{\gamma p|b|/2}), L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(e^{\gamma p|b|/2}))$ . Since  $(w^{1+\varepsilon}, v^{1+\varepsilon}) \in W$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we also have  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(v^{1+\varepsilon}), L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(w^{1+\varepsilon}))$ . Thus, by Stein's interpolation result, we obtain

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(ve^{\gamma p|b|\varepsilon/2(1+\varepsilon)}), L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(we^{\gamma p|b|\varepsilon/2(1+\varepsilon)}))$$
.

Hence, setting  $\eta = \gamma \varepsilon/2(1+\varepsilon)$ , we have

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^p(ve^{\eta p|b|}), L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(we^{\eta p|b|}))$$
.

It follows that the norm of I is bounded by a constant times

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|(e^{-z_n b(x)} - e^{-zb(x)}) f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^p e^{p\eta |b(x)|} v(x) \, dx.$$

We claim that this integral approaches 0 as  $n\to\infty$ . Indeed, it is clear that the integrand converges to 0 pointwise. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(e^{-z_n b(x)} - e^{-z b(x)}) f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}} e^{\eta |b(x)|} \\ &\leq e^{|\alpha_n| |b(x)|} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}} e^{\eta |b(x)|} + e^{|\alpha| |b(x)|} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}} e^{\eta |b(x)|} \\ &\leq 2 \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}} e^{2\eta |b(x)|}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^p e^{2p\eta|b(x)|}v(x)\,dx \leq \|f\|_{L_0^\infty(\mathbf{A})}^p \int\limits_{\mathrm{supp}(f)} e^{2p\eta|b(x)|}v(x)\,dx \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{L_0^\infty(\mathbf{A})}^p \Big(\int\limits_{\mathrm{supp}(f)} e^{2p\eta|b(x)|(1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}\,dx\Big)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathrm{supp}(f)} v(x)^{1+\varepsilon}\,dx\Big)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &= C\|f\|_{L_0^\infty(\mathbf{A})}^p \Big(\int\limits_{\mathrm{supp}(f)} e^{\gamma p|b(x)|}\,dx\Big)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathrm{supp}(f)} v(x)^{1+\varepsilon}\,dx\Big)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}\,. \end{split}$$

Since  $e^{\gamma p|b|}$  and  $v^{1+\varepsilon}$  are locally integrable and f has compact support, this last expression is finite. By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,  $\int \|I\|_{\mathbf{B}}^p w \, dx$  goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

We will use similar arguments to estimate the norm of H. Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|H\|_{\mathbf{B}}^p w(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|(e^{z_n b(x)} - e^{-z b(x)}) T(e^{-z b} f)(x)\|_{\mathbf{B}}^p w(x) \, dx \,,$$

it is clear that the integrand converges to 0. This last integral is bounded by  $2\int \|T(e^{-zb}f)(x)\|_{\mathbf{B}}^p e^{p\eta|b(x)|}w(x)\,dx$ , so using the same interpolation argument as above, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \|H\|_{\mathbf{B}}^{p} w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \|e^{-zb(x)} f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{p} e^{p\eta |b(x)|} v(x) dx 
\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \|f(x)\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{p} e^{p\eta |b(x)|} v(x) dx.$$

As before, we can conclude that  $\int \|II\|_{\mathbf{B}}^p w \, dx$  goes to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . It follows that  $\|(T_{z_n} - T_z)f\|_{L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(w)} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 2.11. Let  $\delta>0$  and  $\eta>0$  be as in Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.10, respectively. By taking  $\eta<\delta$  if necessary, we can assume that

$$M = \sup_{D_n} \|T_z\| < \infty \,,$$

where the norm denotes the operator norm in  $\mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^{p}(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^{p}(w))$ .

Let  $0 < r < \eta$  and  $\partial D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = r\}$ , oriented counterclockwise. By the previous lemma, the Bochner integral

(2.12) 
$$\frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_z} \frac{T_z(f)}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

exists for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , and yields an operator,  $C_n$ , which is densely

defined in  $L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(v)$  with values in  $L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(w)$ . Moreover,

$$||C_n(f)||_{L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(w)} \le M \frac{n!}{r^n} ||f||_{L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(v)},$$

thus showing  $C_n \in \mathcal{L}(L^p_{\mathbf{A}}(v), L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(w))$  with an operator norm bounded by  $Mn!r^{-n}$ .

We are now ready to prove the continuity of the iterated commutators.

THEOREM 2.13. Let **A** and **B** be Banach spaces and suppose that  $T: L_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{A}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{B})$  is a linear operator. Let 1 , and W be a stable class of pairs of weights. Suppose that

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^{p}(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^{p}(w)) \quad \text{for all } (w, v) \in W,$$
  
 $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^{p}(u), L_{\mathbf{B}}^{p}(u)) \quad \text{for all } u \in A_{q}.$ 

Then, given  $b \in BMO$  and  $(w,v) \in W$ , the n-th commutator of T and b, defined pointwise as  $T((b(x)-b(\cdot))^n f(\cdot))(x)$  for  $f \in L_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{A})$ , coincides with the operator  $C_n$  given by (2.12). Hence, for each  $n=1,2,3,\ldots$ , the n-th commutator belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^p(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w))$ .

Proof. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in L_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{A})$ , set

$$S_N(x,z) = \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{z^j}{j!} b(x), \quad T_z^{(N)}(f)(x) = S_N(x,z) T(S_N(\cdot,-z)f)(x).$$

Since  $S_N(x,z) \to e^{zb(x)}$  and  $|S_N(x,z)| \leq e^{\eta|b(x)|}$  for all  $z \in D_\eta$ , the same arguments used to prove Lemma 2.10 show that  $T_z^{(N)}(f) \to T_z(f)$  in  $L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w)$  with  $||T_z^{(N)}(f)||_{L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w)}$  uniformly bounded for  $z \in D_\eta$ . Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem for the Bochner integral (see [32]), we see that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{T_z^{(N)}(f)(x,z)}{z^{n+1}} dz = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_x} \frac{T_z(f)}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

exists in  $L^p_{\mathbf{B}}(w)$ . But since T is linear, for all N > n, we have

$$C_{n}(f)(x) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_{r}} \frac{T_{z}^{(N)}(f)(x,z)}{z^{n+1}} dz$$

$$= n! \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N} \frac{b(x)^{j}}{j!} T\left(\frac{(-b(\cdot))^{l}}{l!} f(\cdot)\right)(x) \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_{r}} z^{j+l-n-1} dz$$

$$= n! \sum_{j+l=n} \frac{b(x)^{j}}{j!} T\left(\frac{(-b(\cdot))^{l}}{l!} f(\cdot)\right)(x) = T((b(x) - b(\cdot))^{n} f(\cdot))(x).$$

We can conclude that the nth commutator  $T((b(x)-b(\cdot))^nf(\cdot))(x)$  coin-

cides with the operator  $C_n$  and, thus, it belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(L_{\mathbf{A}}^p(v), L_{\mathbf{B}}^p(w))$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Applications. In this section we present applications of Theorem 2.13. As we mentioned in the introduction, one of the main tools for obtaining weighted norm inequalities for the operator T involves the sharp function of C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein. Let Mf be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function of f and set  $M_p(f) = (M(|f|^p))^{1/p}$ . Now, suppose that for some  $p, 1 , there is a constant <math>C = C_p > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$(Tf)^{\#}(x) \le CM_p f(x) .$$

Then T is bounded from  $L^q(u)$  into  $L^q(u)$  for  $p < q < \infty$  and  $u \in A_{q/p}$  (see for example [22, 27]). If T is linear, then we can apply Theorem 2.13 to prove that [b,T] is also in  $\mathcal{L}(L^q(u),L^q(u))$  for  $p < q < \infty$  and  $u \in A_{q/p}$ . Several of the applications below arise as a consequence of this situation.

3.1. Convolution kernels. Let  $k(x) = \Omega(x)/|x|^n$ . For  $1 < r < \infty$  and  $0 < \delta \le 1$ , set

$$\omega_r(\delta) = \sup_{|\varrho| \le \delta} \left( \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} |\Omega(x) - \Omega(\varrho x)|^r d\sigma(x) \right)^{1/r},$$

where the supremum is taken over all rotations  $\varrho$  of the unit sphere  $\Sigma_{n-1}$  with  $|\varrho| = \sup_{x \in \Sigma_{n-1}} |x - \varrho x| \le \delta$ . We say that  $\Omega$  satisfies the  $L^r$ -Dini condition if  $\Omega \in L^r(\Sigma_{n-1})$ ,  $\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \Omega \, d\sigma = 0$ , and  $\int_0^1 (\omega_r(\delta)/\delta) \, d\delta < \infty$ .

Define the singular integral operator T by  $Tf(x) = \text{pv} \int k(y) f(x-y) dy$ , for  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As shown in [23],  $(Tf)^{\#}(x) \leq CM_{r'}f(x)$ .

When  $r = \infty$  and  $\omega_{\infty}$  is defined in terms of the  $L^{\infty}(\Sigma_{n-1})$ -norm, we essentially get the classical singular integral operators. Since these operators are known to be bounded on  $L^p(u)$  for  $1 and <math>u \in A_p$ , Theorem 2.13 implies that the commutator [b,T] is also bounded on  $L^p(u)$  for  $b \in \text{BMO}$ ,  $1 , and <math>u \in A_p$ .

3.2. Calderón-Zygmund operators. Let  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be the diagonal of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define a standard kernel to be a locally integrable function  $k : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta \to \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} |k(x,y)| &\leq C|x-y|^{-n}\,,\\ |k(x,y)-k(z,y)| &+ |k(y,x)-k(y,z)| &\leq C|x-z|^{\varepsilon}|y-z|^{-(n+\varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

for 2|x-z| < |y-z| and some  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ .

Let  $T: C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a continuous linear operator. Then T is called a Calderón–Zygmund operator in the sense of Coifman and Meyer [7]

if T extends to a continuous operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and it is associated with a standard kernel k, which means

$$Tf(x) = \int k(x,y)f(y) dy$$

for  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \notin \text{supp}(f)$ .

Given a Calderón Zygmund operator T and  $1 , there exists <math>C = C_p > 0$  such that

$$(3.3) (Tf)^{\#}(x) \le CM_p f(x)$$

(see [7]). Such an inequality fails for p = 1, as can be seen by considering the Hilbert transform [7].

3.4. Weakly-strongly singular Calderón–Zygmund operators. There are singular integral operators which enjoy properties similar to those of the Calderón–Zygmund operators, while the kernels are more singular near the diagonal than in the standard case. The model for these operators is the multiplier operator  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  defined by

$$(T_{a\beta})^{\wedge}(\xi) = \frac{e^{i|\xi|^a}}{|\xi|^{\beta}} \theta(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) ,$$

where 0 < a < 1,  $\beta > 0$ , and  $\theta$  is a standard cutoff function. This operator was named weakly-strongly singular by C. Fefferman [13]. The convolution kernel of  $T_{a\beta}$  turns out to be essentially the function  $\exp(i|x|^{a'})/|x|^{n+\lambda}$ , with 1/a + 1/a' = 1 and  $\lambda = (na/2 - \beta)/(1 - a)$ . The non-convolution case is modeled after this example as follows.

Let  $T: C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a continuous linear operator. Such a T is called a weakly-strongly singular Calderón–Zygmund operator if there is an  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , so that T extends to a continuous operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for some 1 < p, q < 1 with  $p/q \le \alpha$  [3].

For  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \notin \text{supp}(f)$ ,

$$Tf(x) = \int k(x,y)f(y) dy$$

where the distribution kernel coincides with a locally integrable function  $k: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Delta \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies

$$|k(x,y) - k(z,y)| \le C|x-z|^{\epsilon}|y-z|^{-(n+\epsilon/\alpha)}$$

for 2|x-z| < |y-z| and some  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Given a weakly-strongly singular Calderón–Zygmund operator and given r,  $p < r < \infty$ , there exists a  $C = C_r > 0$  such that  $(Tf)^{\#}(x) \le CM_rf(x)$ .

3.5. Pseudo-differential operators. We next consider pseudo-differential operators in the Hörmander class  $L^m_{\varrho,\delta}$  [17]. Let  $m\in\mathbb{R},\ 0\leq\delta\leq1$ , and  $0\leq\varrho\leq1$ . An operator  $T\in L^m_{\varrho,\delta}$  if it has the representation

$$Tf(x) = \int e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} p(x,\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

for  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  and satisfies the estimates

$$|D_x^{\alpha} D_{\xi}^{\beta} p(x,\xi)| \le C_{\alpha\beta} (1+|\xi|)^{m-\varrho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}.$$

The function p, which is uniquely determined by T, is called the symbol of the operator.

In [7] it is shown that pseudo-differential operators in  $L^0_{1,0}$  are Calderón-Zygmund operators. Thus, the pointwise estimate (3.3) holds. This estimate has been proved by alternate means by N. Miller [24]. More generally, operators in  $L^m_{\varrho,\delta}$  with  $0 \le \delta < 1$ ,  $0 < \varrho \le 1$ , and  $m \le -(n+1)(1-\varrho)$  are Calderón-Zygmund operators [2] and thus satisfy estimate (3.3). Similarly, pseudo-differential operators in  $L^m_{\varrho,\delta}$  with  $0 \le \delta < 1$ ,  $0 < \varrho \le 1$ , and  $m \le n(1-\varrho)$  are weakly-strongly singular Calderón-Zygmund operators, with p = 2,  $q = 2/\varrho$ , and  $\alpha = 1/\varrho$  [2]. Such operators satisfy (3.3) with r > 2.

S. Chanillo and A. Torchinsky [6] have proved that pseudo-differential operators in the class  $L_{\varrho,\delta}^{-n(1-\varrho)/2}$ ,  $0 \le \delta < \varrho \le 1$ , satisfy (3.3) with p=2. It is an open problem whether the same pointwise inequality holds with r<2. The following result is a partial answer to this problem [2].

Given  $T \in L^m_{\varrho,\delta}$ ,  $0 \le \delta < 1$  and  $0 < \varrho \le 1$ , and given r,  $1 < r < \infty$ , there is a  $C = C_r > 0$  such that  $(Tf)^\#(x) \le CM_rf(x)$  for  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  provided that  $\lambda = \max\{0, (\delta - \varrho)/2\}, \ 0 < \varrho \le \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2n\lambda/(n+2)),$  and  $m \le -n(1-\varrho) - \mu$ , where

$$2\mu = \left\{1 + n(\varrho + \lambda) - \sqrt{\left\{(1 + n(\varrho + \lambda)\right\}^2 - 4n\lambda}\right\}.$$

One should note that  $\mu = 0$  if  $\lambda = 0$ .

3.6. Multipliers. The pointwise conditions imposed on the kernels or symbols of our operators are at one end of a scale of integral conditions [27]. We will now consider a class of operators whose symbols satisfy a Hörmander type condition.

Let m be a bounded, measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define the multiplier operator  $T = T_m$  by  $(Tf)^{\wedge}(\xi) = m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ . Given  $1 \leq s < \infty$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that  $m \in M(s, l)$  if

$$\sup_{R>0} \sup_{|\beta| \le l} \left( R^{s|\beta|-n} \int_{\{\xi: R<|\xi| < 2R\}} |D^{\beta} m(\xi)|^s d\xi \right)^{1/s} < \infty$$

(see [23]). For l>n/2, M(2,l) is the usual Hörmander multiplier condition. If  $m\in M(s,l)$  with  $1< s\leq 2$  and  $n/s< l\leq n$ , then for r>n/l there is a constant  $C=C_r>0$  so that  $(T_Nf)^\#(x)\leq CM_rf(x)$ . Here,  $T_N$  is defined by a smooth cutoff of m,  $T_N$  converges to T as  $N\to\infty$ , and the constant C is independent of N.

The M(s,l) condition has been generalized to include cases where l is not an integer. Let  $\lambda$  be a nonnegative real number. Set  $M(s,\lambda)=M(s,l)$  if  $\lambda$  is an integer. If  $\lambda$  is not an integer, let  $l=[\lambda]$  and  $\gamma=\lambda-l$ . Then  $m\in M(s,\lambda)$  if  $m\in M(s,l)$  and

$$\sup_{R>0} \sup_{0<|\eta|\leq r/2} \max_{|\beta|=t} \left( \left(\frac{r}{|\eta|}\right)^{\gamma s} R^{s|\beta|-n} \times \int_{\{\xi:R<|\xi|<2R\}} |D^{\beta}m(\xi)-D^{\beta}m(\xi-\eta)|^{s} d\xi \right)^{1/s} < \infty.$$

Such multipliers have been studied in [25] in one dimension and in [9, 28, 30] in higher dimensions. The associated multiplier operators are bounded on  $L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for certain  $A_\infty$  weights which are not necessarily in  $A_p$ . The weights in question are a product of an  $A_p$  weight and a function of the form  $(1+|x|)^a \prod_{j=1}^J |x-p_j|^{a_j}$ . The conditions on the  $A_p$  weight and the exponents a and  $a_j$  create a stable class of weights.

 $3.7.\ Rough\ singular\ integrals.$  There are two standard techniques for proving weighted inequalities for classical singular integrals. One involves the so-called "good- $\lambda$ " inequalities and the other is based on the sharp function. Both methods require fairly strong regularity conditions on the kernel of the operator.

Using the method of rotations, Calderón and Zygmund obtained  $L^p$  estimates for the principal value operator

$$Tf(x) = \text{pv} \int \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} f(x-y) \, dy$$

assuming only that the function  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree zero,  $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma_{n-1})$  and  $\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \Omega d\sigma = 0$ .

The method of J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia [11] gives  $A_p$  weighted inequalities for operators such as the T above. Thus, Theorem 2.13 implies that  $[b,T] \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(u),L^p(u))$  for  $b \in \text{BMO}$ ,  $1 , and <math>u \in A_p$ .

Recently, D. K. Watson [31] and J. Duoandikoetxea [10] have considered kernels satisfying the weaker assumption  $\Omega \in L^r(\Sigma_{n-1})$ . They proved, independently, that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(u), L^p(u))$  for p in an appropriate range depending on r and  $u \in A_q$ , with q depending on p and r, and also for weights u such that  $u^q \in A_p$ , with q again depending on p and r. Since all of these classes of weights are stable, we can invoke Theorem 2.13 to obtain the corresponding weighted estimates for the commutators [b, T] with  $b \in BMO$ .

Now, consider the kth Calderón commutator  $T_k$  defined by

$$T_k f(x) = \operatorname{pv} \int \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n+k}} (a(x) - a(y))^k f(x - y) \, dy,$$

where a is a Lipschitz function,  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree zero,  $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma_{n-1})$ , and for  $|\beta| = k$ ,  $\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} x^{\beta} \Omega \, d\sigma = 0$ . S. Hofmann [16] has proved weighted results for  $1 with <math>A_p$  weights for these operators, so that Theorem 2.13 applies to the commutators  $[b, T_k]$ .

3.8. Vector-valued operators. Given a function  $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can consider the approximation to the identity  $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ , where  $\Phi_t(x) = t^{-n}\Phi(x/t)$ , and its associated maximal function  $M_{\Phi}$  defined by

$$M_{\Phi}f(x) = \sup_{t>0} |\Phi_t * f(x)|.$$

When  $\Phi$  is the characteristic function of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we get the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. Under fairly mild conditions on  $\Phi$ , such as

$$|\Phi(x-y) - \Phi(x)| \le C|y|/|x|^{n+1}$$
 for  $|x| > 2|y|$ ,

 $M_{\Phi}$  is bounded on  $L^{p}(w)$  for  $w \in A_{p}$ . Since the convolutions are linear,  $M_{\Phi}$  is realized as the  $l^{\infty}$  norm of a linear operator. Thus, the commutator

$$\sup_{t>0} |b(\Phi_t * f) - \Phi_t * (bf)|$$

is bounded on  $L^p(w)$  for  $w \in A_p$ . In particular, we extend the result of Coifman, Rochberg, and Weiss to weighted  $L^p$  spaces with more general kernels. This same idea applies to maximal singular integrals and the Carleson maximal function [19, 27].

Let T be a sublinear operator which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3. In many important instances, T can be realized as a Banach space norm of a linear operator S; in other words,  $Tf(x) = ||Sf(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}$ . The weighted norm inequalities for T imply that S satisfies Theorem 2.13, so that the commutator  $[b,S] \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(v),L^p(w))$ . However, since the difference of norms is bounded by the norm of the difference, we have the following inequality:

$$||[b, S](f)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}} = ||b(x)Sf(x) - S(bf)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}$$

$$\geq |||b(x)Sf(x)||_{\mathbf{B}} - ||S(bf)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}}|$$

$$= ||b(x)|Tf(x) - T(bf)(x)|.$$

It follows that for a nonnegative function  $b \in BMO$ ,

$$||[b, S](f)(x)||_{\mathbf{B}} \ge |[b, T](f)(x)|.$$

Thus, when b is nonnegative, the commutator results for S apply to T.

The main application of this situation that we have in mind is to square functions.

For the second application, let  $\Psi$  be a Schwartz function with integral mean value zero,  $\int \Psi = 0$ . We define the Littlewood-Paley operators by

$$\begin{split} g[\varPsi](f)(x) &= \Big(\int\limits_0^\infty |\varPsi_t * f(x)|^2 t^{-1} \, dt \Big)^{1/2} \,, \\ S[\varPsi](f)(x) &= \Big(\int\limits_{\{(z,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}: |z-x| < t\}} |\varPsi_t * f(z)|^2 t^{-1-n} \, dz \, dt \Big)^{1/2} \,, \end{split}$$

and

$$g_{\lambda}^{*}[\Psi](f)(x) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+}} \left( \frac{t}{t + |x - z|} \right)^{\lambda n} |\Psi_{t} * f(z)|^{2} t^{-1-n} dz dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad 1 < \lambda.$$

In the classical situation,  $\Psi_t(x) = t\nabla P(x,t)$ , where P is the Poisson kernel. Each of these operators can be realized as the weighted  $L^2$  norm of a linear operator; for example,

$$S[\Psi](f)(x) = \|\chi_{\Gamma_t(x)}(\Psi_t * f)(z)\|,$$

where  $I_t(x)$  is the cone  $\{(z,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : |z-x| < t\}$  and the  $L^2$  norm is taken with respect to the measure  $t^{-1-n} dz dt$ . The condition on  $\Psi$  guarantees that these operators are bounded on  $L^p(w)$  for  $w \in A_p$ . Thus, Theorem 2.13 applies to show that the commutators of these operators with nonnegative BMO functions are bounded operators. We would expect this to hold for all BMO functions, though our methods will not yield such a result.

## References

- J. Alvarez, An algebra of L<sup>p</sup>-bounded pseudo-differential operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 94 (1983), 268-282.
- [2] J. Alvarez and J. Hounie, Estimates for the kernel and continuity properties of pseudo-differential operators, Ark. Mat. 28 (1990), 1-22.
- [3] J. Alvarez and M. Milman, H<sup>p</sup> continuity properties of Calderón-Zygmund-type operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 118 (1986), 63-79.
- [4] —, —, Vector valued inequalities for strongly singular Calderón-Zygmund operators, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 2 (1986), 405-426.
- [5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, Boston 1988.
- [6] S. Chanillo and A. Torchinsky, Sharp function and weighted L<sup>p</sup> estimates for a class of pseudo-differential operators, Ark. Mat. 24 (1986), 1-25.



- [7] R. Coifman et Y. Meyer, Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Astérisque 57 (1978).
- [8] R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 611-635.
- [9] R. L. Combs, Weighted norm inequalities with general weights for multipliers on functions with vanishing moments, Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State Univ., Las Cruces, N.Mex., 1991.
- [10] J. Duoandikoetxea, Weighted norm inequalities for homogeneous singular integrals, preprint.
- [11] J. Duo and iko etxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 541-561.
- [12] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I, Wiley Interscience, New York 1958.
- [13] C. Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators, Acta Math. 123 (1969), 9-36.
- [14] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H<sup>p</sup> spaces of several variables, ibid. 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [15] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holland Math. Stud. 116, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1985.
- [16] S. Hofmann, Weighted norm inequalities and vector-valued inequalities for certain rough operators, preprint.
- [17] L. Hörmander, Pseudo-differential operators and hypo-elliptic operators, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., 1967, 138-183.
- [18] J. Hounie, On the L<sup>2</sup> continuity of pseudo-differential operators, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 11 (1986), 765-778.
- [19] R. A. Hunt and W.-S. Young, A weighted norm inequality for Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 274-277.
- [20] S. Janson, Mean oscillation and commutators of singular integrals operators, Ark. Mat. 16 (1978), 263-270.
- [21] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer, 1976.
- [22] D. S. Kurtz, Operator estimates using the sharp function, Pacific J. Math. 139 (1989), 267-277.
- [23] D. S. Kurtz and R. L. Wheeden, Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 255 (1979), 343-362.
- [24] N. Miller, Weighted Sobolev spaces and pseudodifferential operators with smooth symbols, ibid. 269 (1982), 91–109.
- [25] B. Muckenhoupt, R. L. Wheeden and W.-S. Young, Sufficiency conditions for L<sup>p</sup> multipliers with general weights, ibid. 300 (1987), 463-502.
- [26] C. Neugebauer, Inserting An-weights, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 644-648.
- [27] J. L. Rubio de Francia, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Torrea, Calderón-Zygmund theory for operator valued kernels, Adv. in Math. 62 (1986), 7-48.
- [28] E. Sawyer, Multipliers on Besov and power-weighted L<sup>2</sup> spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 33 (1984), 353-366.
- [29] E. M. Stein, Interpolation of linear operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1956), 482-492.
- [30] J. O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy Spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. 1381, Springer, 1989.

[31] D. K. Watson, Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, Duke Math. J. 60 (1990), 389-400.

[32] A. C. Zaanen, Interpolation, North-Holland, 1967.

Current address of Carlos Pérez:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY LAS CRUCIES, NEW MEXICO 88003 U.S.A. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

Received March 3, 1992 Revised version September 7, 1992 (2995)