# On $x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}=3 \mu x y z$ and Jacobi polynomials 

by
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We consider the elliptic curve given by

$$
x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}=3 \mu x y z
$$

over various fields. Theorem 1 gives the connection between an invariant differential form $\omega$ on this curve and Jacobi polynomials with some arguments. More precisely, Jacobi polynomials are given by coefficients of the power series expansion of $\omega$ at a basepoint with respect to some local parameter. This is quite analogous to the Jacobi quartic case (cf. [8]), where Legendre polynomials appear. We denote polynomials appearing as coefficients of $\omega$ by $B_{n}$.

As holomorphic differential 1-forms are unique up to constants, we obtain congruences for $B_{n}$ by using the Cartier operator for complete irreducible smooth algebraic curves over algebraically closed fields of positive characteristic (Theorem 3). From the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius map together with Honda theory, we obtain congruences for $B_{n}$ modulo higher powers (Theorem 4). Also finding the special element for a formal group associated with some part of $\omega$ gives Theorem 5 (cf. [5]).

Theorems 1 and 2 are given in Section 1, whereas Theorems 3-5, concerning congruences for $B_{n}$, are in Section 2.

1. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic different from 3 and $\mu \in \bar{K}$, the algebraic closure of $K$, and let $E_{\mu}$ be the curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}(\bar{K})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}-3 \mu x y z=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{\mu}$ is non-singular and gives an elliptic curve if $\mu^{3} \neq 1$. Here we take $O=$ $[0,-1,1]$ as the origin for $E_{\mu}$. Then

$$
\omega=\frac{d x}{y^{2}-\mu x}
$$

is a holomorphic differential 1-form and thus is an invariant differential form
on $E_{\mu}$. $x$ is a local parameter at $O$. In the affine space $z=1$, (1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+y^{3}+1-3 \mu x y=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ is defined by

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha, \beta \\
\gamma
\end{array} ; X\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n}(\beta)_{n}}{(\gamma)_{n} n!} X^{n},
$$

where $(\theta)_{n}=\theta(\theta+1) \ldots(\theta+n-1)$ for $n>0$ and $(\theta)_{0}=1$.
Theorem 1. Let $E_{\mu}$ be defined over $K=\mathbb{Q}(\mu)$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, \mu^{3} \neq 1$, and let $\omega$ have the power series expansion at $O$ with respect to $x$ as

$$
\omega=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n} d x .
$$

Then

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
b_{3 n} & =\gamma_{n} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3}
\end{array} ; \mu^{3}\right) & \text { with } \gamma_{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}}{n!}, \\
b_{3 n+1} & =\tau_{n} \cdot \mu \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{4}{3}
\end{array} ; \mu^{3}\right) & \text { with } \tau_{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{n}}{n!}, \\
b_{3 n+2} & =0 \quad \text { for any } n \geq 0 . &
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Proof. Set

$$
f=\frac{1}{y^{2}-\mu x}, \quad \text { so } \quad y^{2}=\mu x+\frac{1}{f} .
$$

Then from (1.2) we have

$$
y=\frac{-\left(1+x^{3}\right) f}{1-2 \mu x f}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\frac{-\left(1+x^{3}\right) f}{1-2 \mu x f}\right)^{2}=\mu x+\frac{1}{f}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{1+2 x^{3}\left(1-2 \mu^{3}\right)+x^{6}\right\} f^{3}=-3 \mu x f+1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now solve (1.3) for $f$. Let $f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n}$. Then we know that $b_{0}=f(0)=1$ and from (1.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i+j+k=n} b_{i} b_{j} b_{k}\right) x^{n}+2\left(1-2 \mu^{3}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i+j+k=n} b_{i} b_{j} b_{k}\right) x^{n+3} \\
&+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i+j+k=n} b_{i} b_{j} b_{k}\right) x^{n+6}=-3 \mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} x^{n+1}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By comparing the coefficients of $x^{n}$ in both sides, we can determine $b_{n}$ for any $n \geq 0$ inductively. Thus (1.3) together with $b_{0}=1$ determines $f$ completely.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\left\{\left(\frac{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}{2}\right)^{1 / 3}-\mu x\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=1+2 x^{3}\left(1-2 \mu^{3}\right)+x^{6}$. As $S$ takes 1 as $x$ tends to 0 , we take principal values $|\operatorname{Im}(\log z)|<\pi$ for the logarithm in $\sqrt{S}$, and also in $\left(\left(\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+\right.\right.$ 1) $/ 2)^{1 / 3}$ and $\left(2 /\left(\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1\right)\right)^{1 / 3}$, for $\left(\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1\right) / 2$ and $2 /\left(\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1\right)$ both take 1 as $x$ tends to 0 . With this choice, $g$ is an analytic function around $x=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S g^{3} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\left\{\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)^{1 / 3}-\mu x\left(\frac{2}{X}\right)^{1 / 3}\right\}^{3} \quad \text { with } X=\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1 \\
& =-3 \mu x g+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g(0)=1$, we get $g=f$ around $x=0$.
Now $g$ has the following power series expansion near 0 (cf. (16) on p. 170 and (29) on p. 172 of [1]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}{2}\right)^{1 / 3}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3}
\end{array} ; \mu^{3}\right)}{n!}(-x)^{3 n}, \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}\right)^{1 / 3}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{n} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{4}{3}
\end{array} \mu^{3}\right)}{n!}(-x)^{3 n},
\end{aligned}
$$

and by (1.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\binom{-n, n+\frac{2}{3}}{\frac{2}{3}} \mu^{3}\right) x^{3 n} \\
&+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau_{n} \cdot \mu \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{4}{3}
\end{array} \mu^{3}\right) x^{3 n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the theorem follows.
Remarks. 1. For a non-negative integer $n, j>0$ and $i-j>-1$, set

$$
G_{n}(i, j, x)={ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, i+n \\
j
\end{array} ; x\right) .
$$

$G_{n}$ is called the Jacobi polynomial. It satisfies the following second order differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(1-x) G_{n}^{\prime \prime}+(j-(i+1) x) G_{n}^{\prime}+(i+n) n G_{n}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $G_{n}$ is the unique entire rational solution. Furthermore, $\left\{G_{n}(i, j, \cdot)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}}$ form an orthonormal system of polynomials in $[0,1]$ with respect to the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) g(x) x^{j-1}(1-x)^{i-j} d x
$$

(cf. [2]). Legendre polynomials are Jacobi polynomials:

$$
P_{n}(x)=G_{n}\left(1,1, \frac{1-x}{2}\right)={ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
n+1,-n \\
1
\end{array} ; \frac{1-x}{2}\right) .
$$

$P_{n}$ are also defined as

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
P_{2 n}(x) & =\frac{(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n}}{n!} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2}
\end{array} ; x^{2}\right) \\
P_{2 n+1}(x) & =\frac{(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{n}}{n!} x \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{3}{2} \\
\frac{3}{2}
\end{array} ; x^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$P_{n}$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}-2 x P_{n}^{\prime}+n(n+1) P_{n}=0 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These Legendre polynomials appear as coefficients of the power series expansion of the invariant differential

$$
\omega=\frac{d x}{y}=\frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-2 \varrho x^{2}+x^{4}}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{n}(\varrho) x^{2 n} d x
$$

for the Jacobi quartic $y^{2}=1-2 \varrho x^{2}+x^{4}$ (cf. [8]). Theorem 1 gives an analogous result. Thus we define polynomials $B_{n}$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
B_{3 n}(x) & =\frac{(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}}{n!} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3}
\end{array} ; x^{3}\right)=\gamma_{n} G_{n}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, x^{3}\right), \\
B_{3 n+1}(x) & =\frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{n}}{n!} x \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{4}{3}
\end{array} ; x^{3}\right)=\tau_{n} x G_{n}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, x^{3}\right), \\
B_{3 n+2}(x) & =0 \quad \text { for } n \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

(We need the last definition only to simplify our statements.) The first few polynomials are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}(x)=1, \quad B_{1}(x)=-x, \quad B_{2}(x)=0, \quad B_{3}(x)=-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{5}{3} x^{3}, \\
& B_{4}(x)=\frac{4}{3} x-\frac{7}{3} x^{4}, \quad B_{5}(x)=0, \quad B_{6}(x)=\frac{5}{9}-\frac{40}{9} x^{3}+\frac{44}{9} x^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the differential equation (1.5) for $G_{n}$, we can find the differential equation for $B_{m}$ with $m \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ :

$$
\left(1-x^{3}\right) B_{m}^{\prime \prime}-3 x^{2} B_{m}^{\prime}+m(m+2) x B_{m}=0 .
$$

(For $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, this equation does not have a polynomial solution.) Notice the similarity of this to (1.6).

From the identity (cf. (16) on p. 170 of [1])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{n+\alpha}{n} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1 \\
\alpha+1
\end{array} ; \frac{1-x}{2}\right)  \tag{1.7}\\
& =(-1)^{n}\binom{n+\beta}{n} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1 \\
\beta+1
\end{array} ; \frac{1+x}{2}\right) \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

by taking $(\alpha, \beta)=\left(-\frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$ and also $(\alpha, \beta)=\left(\frac{1}{3}, 0\right)$ we obtain a simpler expression for $B_{n}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
B_{3 n}(x) & ={ }_{2} F_{1}\binom{-n, n+\frac{2}{3} ; 1-x^{3}}{1}=G_{n}\left(\frac{2}{3}, 1,1-x^{3}\right), \\
B_{3 n+1}(x) & =-x \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\frac{4}{3} \\
1
\end{array} 1-x^{3}\right)=-x G_{n}\left(\frac{4}{3}, 1,1-x^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

2. For any $n \geq 0$,

$$
B_{n}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / 3][x] \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg} B_{n}(x)=n \quad \text { if } n \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3) .
$$

The assertion on the degree of $B_{n}$ is clear from the expressions for $b_{n}$ in Theorem 1. So we only have to show that $B_{n}$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[1 / 3]$.

From (1.2), by setting $Y=y+1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y= & -\mu x+\mu x Y+Y^{2}-\frac{1}{3} x^{3}-\frac{1}{3} Y^{3} \\
= & -\mu x+\mu x\left(-\mu x+\mu x Y-\frac{1}{3} x^{3}+Y^{2}-\frac{1}{3} Y^{3}\right) \\
& +\left(-\mu x+\mu x Y-\frac{1}{3} x^{3}+Y^{2}-\frac{1}{3} Y^{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{3} x^{3}-\frac{1}{3} Y^{3} \\
= & -\mu x+\ldots \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / 3][\mu][[x]] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\omega=\frac{d x}{y^{2}-\mu x}=\frac{d x}{Y^{2}-2 Y+1-\mu x}=\frac{d x}{1+\mu x+\ldots} \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / 3][\mu][[x]] d x .
$$

Hence $B_{n}(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}[1 / 3][\mu]$.
The following theorem gives an analogous statement to Theorem 4.1 on p. 140 of [7]. This may be known but it does not seem to appear anywhere in the literature.

Theorem 2. Let $K$ be a finite field of characteristic $p \neq 3$. Set

$$
H(t)= \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{(p-1) / 3} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n} n!} t^{3 n} & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 3), \\ t \sum_{n=0}^{(p-2) / 3} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{n} n!} t^{3 n} & \text { if } p \equiv 2(\bmod 3) .\end{cases}
$$

Then

1. For $\mu \in \bar{K}$ with $\mu^{3} \neq 1, E_{\mu}$ is supersingular if and only if $H(\mu)=0$.
2. $H(t)$ has distinct roots in $\bar{K}$.

Proof. 1. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=x^{3}+y^{3}+z^{3}-3 \mu x y z \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mu} \text { is supersingular } & \Leftrightarrow \text { the Hasse invariant }=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \text { the coefficient of }(x y z)^{p-1} \text { in } h^{p-1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. Proposition 4.21 on p. 332 of [3]).
(a) For $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, write $p=3 k+1$. Then the coefficient of $(x y z)^{p-1}$ in $h^{p-1}$ is

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{3 k}{k-n}\binom{2 k+n}{k-n}\binom{k+2 n}{k-n}(-3)^{3 n} \mu^{3 n}
$$

Hence it suffices to find an integer $c_{k} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ independent of $n$ satisfying

$$
\binom{3 k}{k-n}\binom{2 k+n}{k-n}\binom{k+2 n}{k-n}(-3)^{3 n} \equiv c_{k} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n} n!}(\bmod p)
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-n)!\cdot 3^{k-n} & =(3 k-3 n)(3 k-3(n+1)) \ldots(3 k-3(k-1)) \\
& \equiv(-1-3 n)(-1-3(n+1)) \ldots(-1-3(k-1))(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv(-1)^{k-n}(3 n+1)(3 n+4) \ldots(3 k-2)(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
(k-n)!\equiv \frac{(-1)^{k-n}\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-2)\}}{3^{k-n}\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 n-2)\}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{3 k}{k-n}\binom{2 k+n}{k-n}\binom{k+2 n}{k-n}(-3)^{3 n}=\frac{(3 k)!(-3)^{3 n}}{\{(k-n)!\}^{3}(3 n)!} \\
& \equiv \frac{(3 k)!3^{3(k-n)}\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 n-2)\}^{3}(-3)^{3 n}}{(-1)^{3(k-n)}\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-2)\}^{3}(3 n)!}(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv \frac{-1}{\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-2)\}^{3}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n} n!}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k$ is even, $(3 k)!\equiv-1$ and $3^{3 k} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Thus we take

$$
c_{k}=\frac{-1}{\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-2)\}^{3}}
$$

(b) For $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, write $p=3 k+2$. Then the coefficient of $(x y z)^{p-1}$ in $h^{p-1}$ is

$$
\mu \sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{3 k+1}{k-n}\binom{2 k+n+1}{k-n}\binom{k+2 n+1}{k-n}(-3)^{3 n+1} \mu^{3 n}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-n)!\cdot 3^{k-n} & =(3 k-3 n)(3 k-3(n+1)) \ldots(3 k-3(k-1)) \\
& \equiv(-1)^{k-n}(3 n+2)(3 n+5) \ldots(3 k-1)(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
(k-n)!\equiv \frac{(-1)^{k-n}\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-1)\}}{3^{k-n}\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 n-1)\}}(\bmod p)
$$

So for $k>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{3 k+1}{k-n}\binom{2 k+n+1}{k-n}\binom{k+2 n+1}{k-n}(-3)^{3 n+1} \\
& \quad=\frac{(3 k+1)!(-3)^{3 n+1}}{\{(k-n)!\}^{3}(3 n+1)!} \\
& \quad \equiv \frac{-(-3)^{3 n+1} 3^{3(k-n)}\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 n-1)\}^{3}}{(-1)^{3(k-n)}\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-1)\}^{3}(3 n+1)!}(\bmod p) \\
& \quad \equiv \frac{-1}{\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-1)\}^{3}} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{n}}{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{n} n!}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k$ is odd, $(3 k+1)!\equiv-1$ and $3^{3 k+1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
So for $k>0$, we take

$$
c_{k}=\frac{-1}{\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-1)\}^{3}}
$$

For $k=0$, i.e. for $p=2$, the coefficient of $x y z$ in $h$ is $-3 \mu$. Hence $E_{\mu}$ is supersingular if and only if $H(\mu)=\mu \neq 0$.
2. In both cases $p \equiv 1$ and $2(\bmod 3), H$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-t^{3}\right) H^{\prime \prime}-3 t^{2} H^{\prime}-t H=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be checked by direct computation.
Suppose that $H$ has a multiple root $t=\mu_{0}$. Then $H\left(\mu_{0}\right)=H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=0$. Hence $\left(1-\mu_{0}^{3}\right) H^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=0$. So if $\mu_{0}^{3} \neq 1$, then $H^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=0$. By taking the derivative of (1.9), we can show that $H^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=0$ if $\mu_{0}^{3} \neq 1$. By repeating this process, we arrive at $H^{(n)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=0$ for any $n \geq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence we only have to show that

$$
H(\mu) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \mu^{3}=1
$$

Suppose that $p=3 k+1$. Then $k \equiv-\frac{1}{3}(\bmod p)$, so for $\mu^{3}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\mu) & \left.\equiv{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-k, k+\frac{2}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]\right)(\bmod p) \\
& =G_{k}(2 / 3,2 / 3,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But from the proof of Theorem 1, we have the identity

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}{2}\right)^{1 / 3}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} G_{n}\left(2 / 3,2 / 3, \mu^{3}\right) x^{3 n}
$$

with $S=1+2 x^{3}\left(1-2 \mu^{3}\right)+x^{6}$.
For $\mu^{3}=1$, we have $S=\left(1-x^{3}\right)^{2}$. Hence

$$
\frac{1}{1-x^{3}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{3 n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} G_{n}(2 / 3,2 / 3,1) x^{3 n} .
$$

So

$$
\gamma_{n} G_{n}(2 / 3,2 / 3,1)=1 \quad \text { for any } n \geq 0
$$

from which we get

$$
G_{k}(2 / 3,2 / 3,1)=\frac{1}{\gamma_{k}} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

(Or we can use the identity (1.7) for $(\alpha, \beta)=(-1 / 3,0)$ by substituting $x=-1$.)

Similarly for $p=3 k+2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\mu) & \left.\equiv \mu \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-k, k+\frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{4}{3}
\end{array}\right]\right)(\bmod p) \\
& =\mu G_{k}(4 / 3,4 / 3,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}\right)^{1 / 3}=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau_{n} G_{n}\left(4 / 3,4 / 3, \mu^{3}\right) x^{3 n}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{1}{1-x^{3}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{3 n}=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau_{n} G_{n}(4 / 3,4 / 3,1) x^{3 n} .
$$

Hence

$$
\mu G_{k}(4 / 3,4 / 3,1)=\frac{-\mu}{\tau_{k}} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

(Or we can use the identity (1.7) for $(\alpha, \beta)=(1 / 3,0)$ by substituting $x=-1$ as before.)

Remark. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be the ring of $p$-adic integers. If we denote the coefficient of $(x y z)^{p-1}$ in $h^{p-1}$ by $\Lambda(\mu)$, then for $p \neq 3$, as polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\mu]$,

$$
\Lambda(\mu) \equiv B_{p-1}(\mu)(\bmod p) .
$$

Proof. With $c_{k}$ in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$
\Lambda(\mu) \equiv \begin{cases}c_{k} \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.-k, k+\frac{2}{3} ; \mu^{3}\right)(\bmod p) \\
\frac{2}{3} \\
c_{k} \cdot \mu \cdot{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-k, k+\frac{4}{3} \\
\frac{4}{3}
\end{array} \mu^{3}\right)(\bmod p)
\end{array} \text { for } p=3 k+1,\right. \\
c^{-k}+2>2 .\end{cases}
$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$
c_{k} \equiv \begin{cases}\gamma_{k}(\bmod p) & \text { for } p=3 k+1, \\ \tau_{k}(\bmod p) & \text { for } p=3 k+2\end{cases}
$$

For $p=3 k+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{k} & =\frac{(-1)^{k}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_{k}}{k!}=\frac{(-1)^{k}(3 k)!}{3^{2 k}(k!)^{2}\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-2)\}} \\
& \equiv \frac{-(-1)^{k}}{\{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-2)\}^{3}} \equiv c_{k}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k$ is even.
For $p=3 k+2$ and $k>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{k} & =\frac{(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)_{k}}{k!}=\frac{(3 k+1)!}{3^{2 k}(k!)^{2}\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-1)\}} \\
& \equiv \frac{-1}{\{2 \cdot 5 \cdot \ldots \cdot(3 k-1)\}^{3}} \equiv c_{k}(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k$ is odd.
For $p=2$,

$$
\Lambda(\mu)=-3 \mu \equiv B_{1}(\mu)(\bmod 2)
$$

2. In this section we obtain congruences for $B_{n}$ by using the Cartier operator and Honda theory.

Theorem 3. Let $p$ be a prime different from 3. For $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, as polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\mu]$ we have

$$
B_{m p^{n}-1}(\mu) \equiv B_{p-1}(\mu) B_{p-1}\left(\mu^{p}\right) \ldots B_{p-1}\left(\mu^{p^{n-1}}\right) B_{m-1}\left(\mu^{p^{n}}\right)(\bmod p) .
$$

Proof. We proceed our proof as in [8]. We consider $E_{\mu}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{p}(\mu)}$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be the Cartier operator (cf. [6]):

$$
\mathcal{C}: H^{0}\left(E_{\mu}, \Omega^{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(E_{\mu}, \Omega^{1}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\omega=\frac{d x}{y^{2}-\mu x}=f d x .
$$

Note that $x$ is a local parameter at $O=[0,-1,1]$. Then $f$ can be written uniquely as $f=f_{0}^{p}+f_{1}^{p} x+\ldots+f_{p-1}^{p} x^{p-1}$. If we set $f_{p-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{k-1}(\mu)\right)^{p}=B_{p k-1}(\mu) \quad \text { for any } k \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}(\omega)=f_{p-1} d x=B_{p-1}^{1 / p} f d x . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing coefficients of $x^{k-1}$ of $f_{p-1}$ and $B_{p-1}^{1 / p} f$, we get

$$
a_{k-1}=B_{p-1}^{1 / p} B_{k-1} .
$$

Hence from (2.1),

$$
B_{p k-1}(\mu)=B_{p-1}(\mu) B_{k-1}\left(\mu^{p}\right) .
$$

So for $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{m p^{n}-1}(\mu) & =B_{p-1}(\mu) B_{m p^{n-1}-1}\left(\mu^{p}\right) \\
& =B_{p-1}(\mu) B_{p-1}\left(\mu^{p}\right) B_{m p^{n-2}-1}\left(\mu^{p^{2}}\right)=\ldots \\
& =B_{p-1}(\mu) B_{p-1}\left(\mu^{p}\right) \ldots B_{p-1}\left(\mu^{p^{n-1}}\right) B_{m-1}\left(\mu^{p^{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $p$ be a prime different from 3 and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $\bar{E}_{\mu}$ be $E_{\mu} \bmod p$ and assume that $\bar{E}_{\mu}$ is non-singular (i.e. $\left.\mu^{3} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)\right)$. Let $\xi(x)=x^{p}$ be the Frobenius map and denote the trace of $\xi$ acting on the Tate module by $\operatorname{Tr}(\xi)$. Then:

1. $\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) \equiv B_{p-1}(\mu)(\bmod p)$.
2. For $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$,

$$
B_{m p^{n}-1}(\mu)-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) B_{m p^{n-1}-1}(\mu)+p B_{m p^{n-2}-1}(\mu) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{n}\right),
$$

where we set $B_{m p^{n-2}-1}(\mu)=0$ for $n=1$.
In particular, for $m \geq 1$

$$
B_{p m-1}(\mu) \equiv B_{p-1}(\mu) B_{m-1}(\mu)(\bmod p) .
$$

Proof. Set

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-1}(\mu)}{n} x^{n}
$$

and consider the formal group $F$ with $f$ as its transformer:

$$
F(x, y)=f^{-1}(f(x)+f(y))
$$

(cf. [4] for terminology). Then $F$ gives the formal group of $E_{\mu}$ by taking $O=[0,-1,1]$ as the origin and $x$ as a local parameter at $O$. Since the
equation (1.1) defines a smooth group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and its formal completion along the unit section is $\operatorname{Spf}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}[[x]]\right)$, this $F$ is $p$-integral. Let $\bar{F}=$ $F(\bmod p)$. Since $\xi$ satisfies the equation

$$
X^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) X+p=0,
$$

the induced map $\bar{\xi}$ on $\bar{F}$ also satisfies the same equation (cf. Theorem 7.4 on p. 92 of [7]). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(\bar{\xi}^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) \bar{\xi}+p\right)(x) \\
& =\bar{F}\left(\left(\bar{\xi}^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) \bar{\xi}\right)(x),[p]_{*}(x)\right) \\
& =\bar{F}\left(\bar{F}\left(x^{p^{2}},[-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi)]_{*}\left(x^{p}\right)\right),[p]_{*}(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where for any $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p},[u](x)=f^{-1}(u f(x))$ gives an endomorphism of $F$ and $[u]_{*}(x)=[u](x)(\bmod p)$. Hence

$$
f^{-1}\left(f\left(x^{p^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) f\left(x^{p}\right)+p f(x)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

From Lemma (4.2) in [4],

$$
f\left(x^{p^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) f\left(x^{p}\right)+p f(x) \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

From this, we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-1}}{n} x^{p^{2} n}-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-1}}{n} x^{p n}+p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-1}}{n} x^{n} \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

By taking the coefficient of $x^{p^{n} m}$, we have

$$
\frac{B_{p^{n-2} m-1}}{p^{n-2} m}-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) \frac{B_{p^{n-1} m-1}}{p^{n-1} m}+p \frac{B_{p^{n} m-1}}{p^{n} m} \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

Hence

$$
B_{m p^{n}-1}-\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) B_{m p^{n-1}-1}+p B_{m p^{n-2}-1} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{n}\right),
$$

which proves the second statement.
For the first statement, we have, $\bmod p$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}(\xi) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\xi \text { acting on } H^{1}\left(\bar{E}_{\mu}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{E}_{\mu}}\right)\right) \\
& =\text { the coefficient of }(x y z)^{p-1} \text { in } h^{p-1}=B_{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. (1.8) for $h$ and Remark after Theorem 2).
Theorem 5. Suppose that $p$ is a prime with $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then for $m \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $n \geq 1$, as polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[\mu]$,

$$
B_{p^{n} m}(\mu) \equiv B_{p^{n-1} m}\left(\mu^{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{n}\right) .
$$

Proof. The proof goes exactly as in [5]. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be the field of $p$-adic numbers and $K=\mathbb{Q}_{p}(\mu)$, and consider the Gaussian valuation on $K$, i.e.
for $f(\mu)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \mu^{i} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}[\mu]$,

$$
\nu(f) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \min \left\{\nu_{p}\left(a_{i}\right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

with the $p$-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ normalized by $\nu_{p}(p)=1$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ be the valuation ring and the maximal ideal of $K$, respectively. Then there exists an endomorphism $\sigma$ of $K$ such that

$$
\alpha^{\sigma} \equiv \alpha^{p}(\bmod \mathcal{P}) \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}
$$

In particular, for $f(\mu)$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}[\mu]$,

$$
(f(\mu))^{\sigma}=f\left(\mu^{p}\right)
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{3 n+1}(\mu) x^{3 n}=B_{1}(\mu)+B_{4}(\mu) x^{3}+\ldots \\
& =-\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{S}}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{S}+x^{3}+1}\right)^{1 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S=1+2 x^{3}\left(1-2 \mu^{3}\right)+x^{6}$. Then by changing a variable from $x$ to $t$ with $x^{3}=t^{3}\left(1-\mu^{3} t^{3}\right) /\left(1-t^{3}\right)$, we get

$$
g^{\prime}(x) d x=\frac{-\mu}{1-\mu^{3} t^{3}} d t \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} r^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

Then

$$
r(t)=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{3 n+1}}{3 n+1} t^{3 n+1}
$$

and $r$ is of type $(-\mu, p-T)$ in the terminology of [4]. From the next little lemma the transformation from $x$ to $t$ is given by

$$
x=t+\ldots \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\mu][[t]]
$$

So the formal group with $g(x)$ as its transformer is also of type $(-\mu, p-T)$ (cf. Proposition 2.5 in [4]). Thus

$$
p g(x)-g^{\sigma}\left(x^{p}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

where for $u(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} x^{n}$ in $K[[x]]$,

$$
u^{\sigma}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{\sigma} x^{n}
$$

Hence for $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and for $m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$,

$$
p \frac{B_{p^{n} m}(\mu)}{p^{n} m}-\frac{B_{p^{n-1} m}\left(\mu^{p}\right)}{p^{n-1} m} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

For $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, as $p^{n} m \equiv p^{n-1} m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ we have

$$
B_{p^{n} m}=B_{p^{n-1} m}=0
$$

Lemma. There exists a power series

$$
x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} t^{n}=t+\ldots \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[a][[t]]
$$

satisfying $x^{3}=t^{3}\left(1-a t^{3}\right) /\left(1-t^{3}\right)$.
Proof. Set

$$
x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} t^{n}
$$

Then we can determine the $d_{n}$ inductively to make them satisfy the given equation.
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