ANNALES POLONICI MATHEMATICI LIX.3 (1994)

## On a class of nonlinear elliptic equations in Hilbert spaces

by PIOTR FIJAŁKOWSKI (Łódź)

**Abstract.** We consider elliptic nonlinear equations in a separable Hilbert space and their solutions in spaces of Sobolev type.

1. Introduction. We study equations of the form

(1) 
$$P(D)u = F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u)) \quad (D = -i\partial),$$

with a strongly elliptic polynomial P of n variables, defined for  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to H$ , where H is a separable Hilbert space. The equations are understood in a weak sense (see Definition 2). We make assumptions giving an a priori bound for solutions in a space of Sobolev type. As an example, we consider assumptions of Bernstein type. Assumptions of this kind appear in the papers [1], [5] concerning equations on a bounded interval, and in [8], [3] and [4] concerning equations on the half-line, on the line and in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively.

## 2. Spaces of Sobolev type

DEFINITION 1. We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^s = \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the Sobolev space of real tempered distributions u such that

$$||u||_s^2 := (2\pi)^{-n} \int |\mathcal{F}u(\xi)|^2 (1+|\xi|^2)^s \, d\xi < \infty$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  stands for the Fourier transform.

 ${\rm R}\,{\rm e}\,{\rm m}\,{\rm a}\,{\rm r}\,{\rm k}$  1. Definition 1 may be used for both real and complex Sobolev spaces, depending on whether we consider real or complex functions and distributions.

 $\mathcal{H}^s$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle u, w \rangle_s := (2\pi)^{-n} \int (\mathcal{F}u)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}w)(\xi)} (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi$$

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35J65.

Key words and phrases: nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems.

in the complex case or

$$\langle u, w \rangle_s := \operatorname{Re}(2\pi)^{-n} \int (\mathcal{F}u)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}w)(\xi)} (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi$$

in the real case.

We denote the local Sobolev space by  $\mathcal{H}^s_{\text{loc}} = \mathcal{H}^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and treat it as a Fréchet space in the standard way (see for example [6]).

Note two important lemmas.

LEMMA 1. The embedding  $\mathcal{H}^s_{\text{loc}} \to \mathcal{H}^{s'}_{\text{loc}}$ , for s > s', is completely continuous.

The proof is in [6], Theorem 10.1.27.

LEMMA 2. If  $u \in \mathcal{H}^s$  then any  $\partial^{\alpha} u$ , for  $|\alpha| < s - n/2$ , is a continuous bounded function and there exists a constant C such that

(2) 
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|\alpha| < s - n/2} |\partial^{\alpha} u(x)| \le C ||u||_s.$$

 ${\rm P\,r\,o\,o\,f.}\,$  See [6], Corollary 7.9.4. One can obtain inequality (2) by a standard calculation.

Assume that  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$  is a complex Hilbert space. Let  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  be the Hilbert space of measurable functions  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to H$  for which

$$||u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n},H)}^{2} := \int ||u(x)||_{H}^{2} dx < \infty.$$

The scalar product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  is defined by

$$\langle u, w \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)} := \int \langle u(x), w(x) \rangle_H dx$$

Let  $(e_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a complete orthonormal system in H. For  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$ , let

$$u_{\gamma}(x) := \langle u(x), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H$$
.

By the Bessel inequality, for any finite set  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n},H)}^{2} &= \int \|u(x)\|_{H}^{2} \, dx \geq \int \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} |u_{\gamma}(x)|^{2} \, dx \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \int |u_{\gamma}(x)|^{2} \, dx = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \|u_{\gamma}\|_{0}^{2} \, . \end{aligned}$$

Hence at most countable many  $u_{\gamma}$  are nonzero outside a set of measure zero. From the Lebesgue theorem and the Parseval equality, we have

(3) 
$$||u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n},H)}^{2} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} ||u_{\gamma}||_{0}^{2}.$$

204

We define the Fourier transform for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  by means of the Fourier transform in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ :

(4) 
$$\mathcal{F}u := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\mathcal{F}u_{\gamma}) e_{\gamma} \,.$$

One can verify that this definition is independent of the choice of a complete orthonormal system  $(e_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$ onto itself and, by (3),

(5) 
$$||u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n},H)}^{2} = (2\pi)^{-n} ||\mathcal{F}u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n},H)}^{2}$$

for any  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$ .

For  $s \geq 0$ , we define the space  $\mathcal{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, H)$  to be

$$\left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H) : \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)} := (2\pi)^{-n} \int \|\mathcal{F}u(\xi)\|^2_H (1+|\xi|^2)^s \, d\xi < \infty \right\}.$$

 $\mathcal{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n},H)$  is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle u, w \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)} := (2\pi)^{-n} \int \langle \mathcal{F}u(\xi), \mathcal{F}w(\xi) \rangle_H (1+|\xi|^2)^s d\xi$$

In the case of a real Hilbert space H, we mean by  $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n,H)$  the real Hilbert space

 $\{u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H + iH) : u(x) \in H \text{ for almost every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$ 

We shall use derivatives of  $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n,H)$  functions in the following weak sense:

DEFINITION 2. Let  $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq s$ . We denote by  $\partial^{\alpha} u$ an element of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  such that

(6)  $\langle \partial^{\alpha} u(\cdot), h \rangle_{H} = \partial^{\alpha} \langle u(\cdot), h \rangle_{H}$  for any  $h \in H$ .

Note that if 
$$u(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} u_{\gamma}(x) e_{\gamma}$$
, then  $\partial^{\alpha} u(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \partial^{\alpha} u_{\gamma}(x) e_{\gamma}$ .

3. Existence theorem for a single equation. We shall construct a solution of an equation of the form (1) in the space  $\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  by approximation by a sequence of solutions of adapted problems "with values in finite-dimensional spaces". The following lemma plays the basic role in this construction:

LEMMA 3. Every sequence  $(u_k)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  weakly convergent to  $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  contains a subsequence  $(u_{k_l})$  for which the sequences  $(\partial^{\alpha} u_{k_l}(x))$  weakly converge in H to  $(\partial^{\alpha} u)(x)$  for a.e. x and  $|\alpha| < s - n/2$ .

Proof. The weak convergence of  $(u_k)$  implies its boundedness:

(7) 
$$||u_k||_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n,H)} \le M$$

for some constant M.

P. Fijałkowski

The essential ranges of the functions  $u_k$ , k = 1, 2, ... (without the values on a set of measure zero) are contained in a separable subspace of H (see Section 2). Hence we can assume that H is separable. Let  $(e_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a complete orthonormal system in H (at most countable).

The weak convergence of  $(u_k)$  in  $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  implies that

(8) 
$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} u_k(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H \to \langle \partial^{\alpha} u(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H$$
 weakly in  $L^2$ 

for any  $|\alpha| \leq s$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

From (7), we have

(9) 
$$\|\langle u_k(\cdot), e_\gamma \rangle_H\|_s \le M.$$

Making use of (9) and Lemma 1, we construct by the diagonal method a subsequence  $(u_{k_l})$  such that, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\langle u_{k_l}(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H \to w_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{H}^{s-n/2}_{\text{loc}} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}^{s-n/2}_{\text{loc}}.$$

For  $|\alpha| \leq s - n/2$ , we have

(10) 
$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} u_{k_l}(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H \to \partial^{\alpha} w_{\gamma} \quad \text{in } L^2_{\text{loc}}, \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Gamma$$

Comparing (8) and (10) for  $\alpha = (0, \ldots, 0)$ , we obtain  $\langle u(x), e_{\gamma} \rangle_{H} = w_{\gamma}(x)$  for a.e. x, hence  $\langle u_{k_{l}}(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_{H} \rightarrow \langle u(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_{H}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^{s-n/2}_{\text{loc}}$ . By the diagonal method, we construct a subsequence (denoted again by  $(u_{k_{l}})$  for simplicity of notation) such that

(11) 
$$\langle \partial^{\alpha} u_{k_l}(x), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H \to \langle \partial^{\alpha} u(x), e_{\gamma} \rangle_H$$
 for a.e.  $x$ ,

for any  $|\alpha| \leq s - n/2$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We shall show that this is the desired subsequence. By (11), it is sufficient to show that, for  $|\alpha| < s - n/2$ , the set  $\{\|\partial^{\alpha} u_{k_l}(x)\|\}$  is bounded for a.e. x. From (2) and (7), we have

(12) 
$$\|\partial^{\alpha} u_{k_{l}}(x)\|^{2} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle \partial^{\alpha} u_{k_{l}}(x), e_{\gamma} \rangle_{H}|^{2}$$
$$\leq C^{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \|\langle u_{k_{l}}(\cdot), e_{\gamma} \rangle_{H}\|^{2}_{s}$$
$$= C^{2} \|u_{k_{l}}\|^{2}_{\mathcal{H}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, H)} \leq C^{2} M^{2},$$

which ends the proof.

We now formulate and prove the main

THEOREM 1. Let H be a real, infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, and  $(e_{\gamma})_{\gamma=1,2,...}$  a complete orthonormal system in H. Let  $H_p$  denote the space generated by  $\{e_{\gamma} : \gamma = 1,...,p\}$  and let  $R_p : H \to H_p$  be the orthonormal projector onto  $H_p$ . Let P be a polynomial of n variables and degree T such that the polynomial  $P(-i\partial)$  of the variable  $\partial$  has real coefficients and satisfies the condition

(13) 
$$1+|\xi|^T \le CP(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Fix  $t \in [0, T]$  and set

$$m := \sum_{0 \leq l < t-n/2} n^l$$

Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to H$  satisfy the Carathéodory condition of the following form:  $F(x, \cdot)$  is sequentially continuous in the weak topologies of  $H^m$  and Hfor a.e. x, and  $F(\cdot, (v_\alpha)_{|\alpha| < t-n/2})$  is measurable for all  $(v_\alpha)_{|\alpha| < t-n/2} \in H^m$ .

Suppose that for any bounded set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times H^m$  there exists a function  $h_K \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

(14) 
$$||F(x, (v_{\alpha})|_{|\alpha| < t-n/2})|| \le h_K(x)$$
 for a.e. x

for  $(x, (v_{\alpha})_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}) \in K$  ( $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm in  $H^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Assume that there is a sequence of open bounded sets  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \ldots$  with  $\bigcup U_j = \mathbb{R}^n$  and a constant M such that no equation

(15) 
$$P(D)u = \lambda R_p F_j(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u)_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda \in [0, 1],$$
  
with

$$F_j(x, (v_\alpha)_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}) := \begin{cases} F(x, (v_\alpha)_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}) & \text{for } x \in U_j, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin U_j \end{cases}$$

has a solution in the set

$$\left\{u \in \mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H_p) : \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H)} > M\right\}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Under these assumptions the equation

(16) 
$$P(D)u = F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u)_{|\alpha| < t-n/2})$$

understood in the sense of Definition 2, has a solution  $u \in \mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  for which

$$||u||_{\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n,H)} \le M.$$

Proof. Consider the equations

(17) 
$$P(D)u = R_p F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u)_{|\alpha| < t - n/2}), \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Treating  $H_p$  as  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , we conclude, from the assumptions of the theorem, that equation (17) has a solution  $u_p \in \mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H_p)$  for any p (see [4], Theorem 2). We have

$$||u_p||_{\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n,H)} \le M, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

By the Eberlein–Shmul'yan theorem, the sequence  $(u_p)$  contains a subsequence  $(u_{p_k})$  weakly convergent to some  $u \in \mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$  and

$$||u||_{\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n,H)} \le M$$

By Lemma 3, we may assume that the sequences  $(\partial^{\alpha} u_{p_k}(x))$ ,  $|\alpha| < t - n/2$ , weakly converge in H to the corresponding  $\partial^{\alpha} u(x)$  for a.e. x.

We shall prove that u is a solution of equation (16). We have to show that

(18) 
$$P(D)\langle u(\cdot),h\rangle_H = \langle F(\cdot,(\partial^{\alpha}u(\cdot))|_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}),h\rangle_H$$

in  $\mathcal{D}'$  for any  $h \in H$ . We know that

(19) 
$$P(D)\langle u_{p_k}(\cdot),h\rangle_H = \langle R_{p_k}F(\cdot,(\partial^{\alpha}u_{p_k}(\cdot)))_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}),h\rangle_H$$

in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . We shall prove that (17) follows from (19) by passing to the limit in  $\mathcal{D}'$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}$ . We have

$$\int \varphi(x) \langle u_{p_k}(x), h \rangle_H \, dx = \int \langle u_{p_k}(x), \varphi(x)h \rangle_H \, dx$$
$$\to \int \langle u(x), \varphi(x)h \rangle_H \, dx \,,$$

because  $\int \langle \cdot(x), \varphi(x)h \rangle_H dx$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{R}^n, H)$ . Now, from the sequential continuity of P(D) in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , we conclude that the left-hand side of (19) converges to the left-hand side of (18) in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . We shall prove the same for the right-hand sides, which means that

(20) 
$$\int \varphi(x) \langle R_{p_k} F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u_{p_k}(x))_{|\alpha| < t - n/2}), h \rangle_H dx$$
$$\to \int \varphi(x) \langle F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u(x))_{|\alpha| < t - n/2}), h \rangle_H dx$$
for one of  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ 

for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}$ .

We show first that

(21) 
$$\langle R_{p_k} F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u_{p_k}(x))|_{\alpha| < t-n/2}), h \rangle_H$$
  
 $\rightarrow \langle F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u(x))|_{\alpha| < t-n/2}), h \rangle_H$  for a.e.  $x$ .

Assume that  $h \in H_l$  for some l. Then, for large k,

$$\langle R_{p_k} F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u_{p_k}(x))_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}), h \rangle_H = \langle F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u_{p_k}(x))_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}), h \rangle_H,$$

hence (21) is true by the Carathéodory condition.

From (12) and (14), we have

(22) 
$$\|F(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u_{p_k}(x))|_{|\alpha| < t-n/2})\| \le C(x) < \infty \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

This implies (21) for all  $h \in H$  (see [9], p. 121, Theorem 3). By the Lebesgue convergence theorem, formulas (12), (14) and (21) imply the convergence (20). The proof is complete.

EXAMPLE 1. We define a class of equations satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.

Let P be a polynomial of n variables and degree T such that the polynomial  $P(-i\partial)$  of the variable  $\partial$  has real coefficients and satisfies (13). Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to H$  satisfy (14) and the Carathéodory condition in the sense of Theorem 1. Assume that there exist constants 0 < a < 2, L > 0 and

nonnegative functions  $f \in L^{2/a}$  and  $g \in L^{2/(2-a)}$  such that

(23) 
$$\langle v_{(0,...,0)}, F(x, (v_{\alpha})_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}) \rangle_H \le 0$$
  
for  $||v_{(0,...,0)}|| \ge g(x)$  and a.e.  $x$ ,

and

(24) 
$$||F(x, (v_{\alpha})_{|\alpha| < t-n/2})|| \le f(x) + L||(v_{\alpha})_{|\alpha| < t-n/2}||^{a}$$
  
for  $||v_{(0,...,0)}|| \le g(x)$  and a.e.  $x$ .

Treating  $H_p$  as  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , we obtain the necessary a priori bounds for solutions of equations (15) as in [4], Example 2.

EXAMPLE 2. We now describe a more concrete example of the class described above.

Let  $n = 1, T = 2, a = 1, P(\xi) = \xi^2 + b, b > 0$ , and  $A : H \to H$  a linear, continuous, invertible operator. Suppose that  $B : \mathbb{R} \times H \to H$  satisfies the Carathéodory condition in the sense of Theorem 1 and

$$||B(x,v)|| \le h(x), \quad h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let  $F(x, v) = -A^*Av + B(x, v)$ . We have

$$\langle v, F(x,v) \rangle_H = - \langle v, A^* A v \rangle + \langle v, B(x,v) \rangle$$
  
= - \langle A v, A v \rangle + \langle v, B(x,v) \rangle   
\le - C \|v\|^2 + \|v\|h(x) \le 0

for  $||v|| \ge q(x) := h(x)/C$  with some constant C > 0. Then condition (23) is satisfied. Condition (24) is satisfied for L = 0 and

$$f(x) = (\|A^*A\|/C + 1)h(x)$$

Consider, for example, the following problem:

$$-\frac{d^2 u(x,t)}{dx^2} + u(x,t) = -u(x,t) + \psi\left(x, \int_0^1 K(x,t,\tau)u(x,\tau)\,d\tau\right),$$

where K is measurable,  $K(x, \cdot, \cdot) \in L^2([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$  for a.e.  $x, \psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and

(25) 
$$|\psi(x,y)| \le h(x), \quad h \in L^2(x)$$

We look for  $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2([0, 1]))$  (we treat u as the mapping  $x \mapsto u(x, \cdot)$ ). We have

and

$$(x, v) = -v + \psi \left( x, \int_{-\infty}^{1} K(x, \cdot, \tau) v(\tau) d\tau \right)$$

 $P(\xi) = \xi^2 + 1$ 

 $F(x,v) = -v + \psi\left(x, \int_{0}^{1} K(x, \cdot, \tau)v(\tau) d\tau\right).$ 

The function F satisfies the Carathéodory condition. In fact, the map

$$L^{2}([0,1]) \ni v \mapsto \int_{0}^{1} K(x, \cdot, \tau) \, d\tau \in L^{2}([0,1])$$

is linear and completely continuous (for almost all x), hence it transforms weakly convergent sequences to strongly convergent ones. The Nemytskiĭ operator

$$L^{2}([0,1]) \ni v \mapsto \psi(x,v(\cdot)) \in L^{2}([0,1])$$

is continuous by (25) (see for example [2], Proposition 1).

 $\operatorname{Remark} 2$ . Note that a Hammerstein operator does not have so good properties as the operator F defined in Example 2. Consider the operator

$$G(v) = \int_0^1 K(\cdot, \tau) \psi(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau ,$$

where

$$K \in L^2([0,1] \times [0,1]), \quad |\psi(t,y)| \le h(t) + |y|, \quad h \in L^2([0,1])$$

Suppose that  $G: L^2([0,1]) \to L^2([0,1])$  is sequentially continuous in the sense of the weak topology in  $L^2([0,1])$ . Then, for any  $w \in L^2([0,1])$ , the map

$$G_w: v \mapsto \langle G(v), w \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}$$

transforms weakly convergent sequences in  $L^2([0,1])$  to convergent numerical ones.

Suppose that  $\psi$  is differentiable with respect to the second variable and that  $G_w : L^2([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the assumptions of the following theorem of Palmer (see [7]):

Let X be a reflexive Banach space, Y a Banach space and let  $F : X \to Y$ be uniformly Fréchet differentiable on any ball in X. Then F is sequentially continuous with the weak topology in X and the strong topology in Y if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) for any  $v \in X$  the Fréchet derivative F'(v) is a completely continuous linear operator,

(ii) the Fréchet derivative  $F': X \to L(X, Y)$  (the space of linear continuous operators from X into Y) is completely continuous.

We have

$$G_w(v) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(t,\tau)\psi(\tau,v(\tau))w(t) \, d\tau \, dt = \int_0^1 K_w(\tau)\psi(\tau,v(\tau)) \, d\tau \, ,$$

where

$$K_w(\tau) := \int_0^1 K(t,\tau)w(t) dt$$

Compute the derivative

$$G'_w(v) \cdot h = \int_0^1 K_w(\tau) \partial_2 \psi(\tau, v(\tau)) h(\tau) d\tau.$$

By the isomorphism  $L(L^2([0,1]);\mathbb{R}) \cong L^2([0,1])$ , we have

$$G'_w(v) = K_w(\cdot)\partial_2\psi(\cdot, v(\cdot))$$

We conclude that the condition (ii) will not be satisfied if  $G'_w$  is not constant. In fact, any nonconstant superposition operator

$$L^2([0,1]) \ni v \mapsto N(v) := \varphi(\cdot, v(\cdot)) \in L^2([0,1])$$

does not transform bounded sets onto precompact ones. In fact, let  $N(u_1) \neq N(u_2)$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in L^2([0, 1])$ . Let

$$v_k(x) := \begin{cases} u_1(x) & \text{for } x \in [2^{-k}2p, 2^{-k}(2p+1)], \\ u_2(x) & \text{for } x \in [2^{-k}(2p+1), 2^{-k}(2p+2)], \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 1, p = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{k-2}. \end{cases}$$

The sequence  $(v_k)$  is bounded in  $L^2([0,1])$  but  $N(v_k)$  has no subsequence which converges in  $L^2([0,1])$ .

4. Existence theorem for a system of equations. We formulate a theorem similar to Theorem 1 for systems of equations.

THEOREM 2. Let H be a real infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space, and  $(e_{\gamma})_{\gamma=1,2,...}$  a complete orthonormal system in H. Let  $H_p$  denote the space generated by the system  $\{e_{\gamma} : \gamma = 1,...,p\}$  and let  $R_p : H \to H_p$  be the orthonormal projector onto  $H_p$ . Let  $P_r$  be polynomials of n variables and degrees  $T_r$  such that the polynomials  $P_r(-i\partial)$  of the variable  $\partial$  have real coefficients and satisfy

$$1 + |\xi|^{T_r} \le CP_r(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ r = 1, \dots, k,$$

for some constant C. Let  $t_r \in [0, T_r[, r = 1, ..., k, and$ 

$$m := \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{0 \le l < t_r - n/2} n^l.$$

Assume that  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times H^m \to H^k$  satisfies the Carathéodory condition of the following form:  $F(x, \cdot)$  is sequentially continuous in the weak topologies of  $H^m$  and  $H^k$  for a.e. x and  $F(\cdot, (v^r_\alpha)|_{\alpha| < t_r - n/2, r = 1, ..., k})$  is measurable for all  $(v_{\alpha}^{r})_{|\alpha| < t_{r}-n/2, r=1,...,k} \in H^{m}$ . Assume that for any bounded set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \times H^{m}$  there exists a function  $h_{K} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  such that

$$||F(x, (v_{\alpha}^{r})_{|\alpha| < t_{r} - n/2, r = 1, ..., k})|| \le h_{K}(x)$$

for  $(x, (v_{\alpha}^{r})_{|\alpha| < t_{r}-n/2, r=1,...,k}) \in K$  a.e. x. Assume that there is a sequence of open bounded sets  $U_{1} \subset U_{2} \subset \ldots$  with  $\bigcup U_{j} = \mathbb{R}^{n}$  and a constant M such that no system

$$P_{l}(D)u^{l} = \lambda R_{p}F_{j}^{l}(x, (\partial^{\alpha}u^{r})_{|\alpha| < t_{r} - n/2, r = 1, \dots, k}),$$
  
$$l = 1, \dots, k \ (F = (F^{1}, \dots, F^{k}))$$

has a solution in the set

$$\left\{ u = (u^1, \dots, u^k) \in \bigotimes_{r=1}^k \mathcal{H}^{t_r}(\mathbb{R}^n, H_p) : \sum_{r=1}^k \|u^r\|_{\mathcal{H}^{t_r}(\mathbb{R}^n, H)}^2 > M^2 \right\}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, ..., \lambda \in [0, 1], p = 1, 2, ...$  (The functions  $F_j^l$  are defined as

$$F_{j}^{l}(x, (v_{\alpha}^{r})|_{\alpha| < t_{r} - n/2, r = 1, \dots, k}) = \begin{cases} F^{l}(x, (v_{\alpha}^{r})|_{\alpha| < t_{r} - n/2, r = 1, \dots, k}) & \text{for } x \in U_{j}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin U_{j}. \end{cases}$$

 $Under \ these \ assumptions \ the \ system$ 

$$P_l(D)u^l = F^l(x, (\partial^{\alpha} u^r)_{|\alpha| < t_r - n/2, r = 1, ..., k}), \quad l = 1, ..., k$$

has a solution  $u \in \mathbf{X}_{r=1}^{k} \mathcal{H}^{t_{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, H)$  for which

$$\sum_{r=1}^k \|u^r\|_{\mathcal{H}^{t_r}(\mathbb{R}^n,H)} \le M$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EXAMPLE}}\xspace 3.$  One can construct an example analogous to  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Example}}\xspace 1$  with the condition

$$\langle v_{(0,...,0)}, F(x, (v_{\alpha}^{r})_{|\alpha| < t_{r} - n/2, r = 1,...,k}) \rangle_{H^{k}} \leq 0$$
  
for  $||v_{(0,...,0)}\rangle_{H^{k}} \leq 0$ 

for 
$$||v_{(0,...,0)}|| \ge g(x)$$
 for a.e. x

instead of (23).

## References

- S. N. Bernstein, Sur les équations du calcul des variations, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 29 (1912), 431-485.
- [2] F. E. Browder, Nonlinear functional analysis and nonlinear integral equations of Hammerstein and Urysohn type, in: Contributions to Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Acad. Press, New York, 1971, 425–500.

- [3] P. Fijałkowski, On the equation x''(t) = F(t, x(t)) in the Sobolev space  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , Ann. Polon. Math. 53 (1991), 29–34.
- [4] —, On the solvability of nonlinear elliptic systems in Sobolev spaces, ibid. 56 (1992), 149–156.
- [5] A. Granas, R. Guenther and J. Lee, Nonlinear boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations, Dissertationes Math. 244 (1985).
- [6] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- K. J. Palmer, On the complete continuity of differentiable mappings, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 9 (1969), 3–4.
- B. Przeradzki, On the solvability of singular BVP's for second-order ordinary differential equations, Ann. Polon. Math. 50 (1990), 279-289.
- [9] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ S. BANACHA 22 90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

> Reçu par la Rédaction le 28.10.1992 Révisé le 3.3.1993 et 5.7.1993