

Convex meromorphic mappings

by ALBERT E. LIVINGSTON (Newark, Del.)

Abstract. We study functions $f(z)$ which are meromorphic and univalent in the unit disk with a simple pole at $z = p$, $0 < p < 1$, and which map the unit disk onto a domain whose complement is either convex or is starlike with respect to a point $w_0 \neq 0$.

1. Introduction. Let $S(p)$, $0 < p < 1$, be the class of functions meromorphic and univalent in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with a simple pole at $z = p$ with a power series expansion $f(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$ for $|z| < p$. The class $S(p)$ has been investigated by a number of authors. We let $C(p)$ be the subclass of $S(p)$ made up of functions f such that $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f[\Delta]$ is a convex set. Royster [11] considered the class $K(p)$ consisting of members of $S(p)$ for which there exists δ , $0 < \delta < 1$, so that for $\delta < |z| < 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] < 0.$$

Obviously $K(p) \subset C(p)$. Royster also studied the class $\Sigma(p) \subset S(p)$ consisting of functions f such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1 + pz}{1 - pz} - \frac{z + p}{1 + pz} - \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] > 0$$

for $z \in \Delta$, and proved that $K(p) = \Sigma(p)$ for $0 < p < 2 - \sqrt{3}$ but for $p > 2 - \sqrt{3}$, $K(p)$ is a proper subset of $\Sigma(p)$. Pfaltzgraff and Pinchuk [10] essentially proved that $C(p) = \Sigma(p)$ for $0 < p < 1$, by way of the Herglotz representation of functions of positive real part [12]. We will give another proof of this fact. We will also consider several coefficient problems. If f is a member of $S(p)$ we will consider the two expansions

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad |z| < p,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: convex, starlike, meromorphic.

and

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a_n(z-p)^n, \quad |z-p| < 1-p.$$

Goodman [2] conjectured that if f is a member of $S(p)$, then

$$(1.3) \quad |b_n| \leq \frac{1+p^2+\dots+p^{2n-2}}{p^{n-1}}.$$

Jenkins [3] proved that (1.3) is true for any value of n for which the Bieberbach conjecture holds. Since DeBrange [1] has now proven that conjecture to be valid for all n , it follows that (1.3) holds for all n . The inequality (1.3) is actually sharp in $C(p)$, since the extremal function $f(z) = -pz/(z-p)(1-pz)$ maps Δ onto the complement of the real interval $[-p/(1-p)^2, -p/(1+p)^2]$. Miller [9] proved that if f is a member of $\Sigma(p)$, then

$$\left| b_2 - \frac{(1+p^2+p^4)}{p(1+p^2)} \right| \leq \frac{p}{1+p^2}$$

from which it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}(b_2) \geq \frac{1+p^4}{p(1+p^2)} > 1.$$

Miller [9] also obtained a lower bound for $\operatorname{Re} b_3$, which is positive for p near 0, for f in $C(p) = \Sigma(p)$. We will obtain the sharp inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} b_3 \geq \frac{1-p^2+p^4}{p^2} > 1$$

if f is in $C(p) = \Sigma(p)$.

Concerning the expansion (1.2), the sharp estimate $|a_{-1}| \leq p^2/(1-p^2)$ if f is a member of $S(p)$ has been proven by Kirwan and Schober [4] and also Komatu [5]. Komatu [5] obtained the sharp bound on $|a_1|$ for f in $S(p)$ and the extremal function is a member of $C(p)$. We will give another proof in $C(p)$ and also obtain the sharp bound on $|a_2|$ for f in $C(p)$.

2. The class of $C(p)$. In this section we will give a different necessary and sufficient condition for membership in $C(p)$ and a new proof that $C(p) = \Sigma(p)$.

THEOREM 1. *f is a member of $C(p)$ if and only if for $z \in \Delta$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + p^2 - 2pz + \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] < 0.$$

Proof. If f is a member of $S(p)$ let $h(z) = f((z+p)/(1+pz))$; then h has a simple pole at $z = 0$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus h[\Delta] = \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f[\Delta]$. Thus, f is a member of

$C(p)$ if and only if h is convex with a simple pole at $z = 0$. This is the case if and only if [10]

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) < 0$$

for $z \in \Delta$. A straightforward computation gives

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} Q(z)$$

where

$$Q(z) = \frac{1-pz}{1+pz} + \frac{\frac{(1-p^2)z}{(1+pz)^2} f''\left(\frac{z+p}{1+pz}\right)}{f'\left(\frac{z+p}{1+pz}\right)}.$$

But $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) < 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} Q((z-p)/(1-pz)) < 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. However,

$$Q\left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz}\right) = \frac{1-p^2-2pz}{(1-p^2)} + \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{(1-p^2)f'(z)},$$

which gives (2.1).

Remark. If f is a member of $C(p)$ and

$$P(z) = 2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)}$$

then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$, $P(p) = 1 - p^2$ and $P'(p) = 0$.

LEMMA 1. Let $P(z)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$, and $P(0) = 1$. If $0 < p < 1$, then for $z \in \Delta$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P(z) + p}{z} - pz \right] > 0.$$

Proof. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $P_r(z) = P(rz)$. Then

$$Q_r(z) = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P_r(z) + p}{z} - pz$$

is analytic for $|z| \leq 1$. If $|z| = 1$, then

$$Q_r(z) = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P_r(z)}{z} - p\left(z - \frac{1}{z}\right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_r(z) = |1-pz|^2 \operatorname{Re} P_r(z) > 0.$$

Since $Q_r(z)$ is analytic for $|z| \leq 1$, $\operatorname{Re} Q_r(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain for $z \in \Delta$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P(z) + p}{z} - pz \right] \geq 0.$$

But equality cannot occur in the last inequality since the quantity on the left side equals $1 - p^2$ when $z = p$.

LEMMA 2. If $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $P(p) = 1 - p^2$, then for $z \in \Delta$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{zP(z) - p + pz^2}{(z-p)(1-pz)} \right] > 0.$$

PROOF. Let $p < r < 1$ and $\alpha = (r-1)p/(r-p^2)$ and $L_r(z) = r(z - \alpha)/(1 - \bar{\alpha}z)$. It is easily verified that $L_r[\Delta] = \{z : |z| < r\}$ and $L_r(p) = p$.

Let

$$Q_r(z) = \frac{zP(L_r(z)) - p + pz^2}{(z-p)(1-pz)}.$$

$Q_r(z)$ is analytic for $|z| \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} P(L_r(z)) > 0$ for $|z| \leq 1$. If $|z| = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} Q_r(z) &= \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{zP(L_r(z))}{(z-p)(1-pz)} + \frac{pz(z-1/z)}{(z-p)(1-pz)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|1-pz|^2} \operatorname{Re} P(L_r(z)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since Q_r is analytic for $|z| \leq 1$, it follows that $\operatorname{Re} Q_r(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain for $z \in \Delta$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{zP(z) - p + pz^2}{(z-p)(1-pz)} \right] \geq 0.$$

But equality cannot occur in the last inequality since the expression on the left equals 1 when $z = 0$.

THEOREM 2. $C(p) = \Sigma(p)$ for $0 < p < 1$.

PROOF. Let f be a member of $\Sigma(p)$ and

$$P(z) = -1 - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + \frac{1+pz}{1-pz} - \frac{z+p}{z-p}.$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$, and $P(0) = 1$. Straightforward computations give

$$2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P(z) + p}{z} - pz.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] > 0$$

for $z \in \Delta$, and thus by Theorem 1, f is a member of $C(p)$.

Conversely, suppose f is a member of $C(p)$ and let

$$P(z) = 2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)}.$$

Then by Theorem 1, $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$, and $P(p) = 1 - p^2$. Straightforward computations give

$$-1 - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{z+p}{z-p} + \frac{1+pz}{1-pz} = \frac{zP(z) - p + pz^2}{(z-p)(1-pz)}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[-1 - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{z+p}{z-p} + \frac{1+pz}{1-pz} \right] > 0$$

for $z \in \Delta$. Therefore f is a member of $\Sigma(p)$.

3. The coefficients a_n . In this section we use Theorem 1 to study the coefficients a_1 and a_2 in (1.2), if f is a member of $C(p)$. We will make use of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let $P(z)$ be analytic in Δ and satisfy $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$, $P(p) = 1 - p^2$ and $P'(p) = 0$, $0 < p < 1$. If $P(z) = (1 - p^2) + d_2(z - p)^2 + d_3(z - p)^3 + \dots$ for $|z - p| < 1 - p$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad |d_2| \leq \frac{2}{1 - p^2},$$

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \frac{p}{1 - p^2} d_2 + d_3 \right| \leq \frac{6p}{(1 - p^2)^2}, \quad 2/3 \leq p < 1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \frac{p}{1 - p^2} d_2 + d_3 \right| \leq \frac{2(1 + \frac{9}{4}p^2)}{1 - p^2}, \quad 0 < p \leq 2/3.$$

All the inequalities are sharp.

Proof. Let

$$w(z) = \frac{P(z) - (1 - p^2)}{P(z) + 1 - p^2}.$$

Then $w(p) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq 1$, $z \in \Delta$. Also

$$w'(z) = \frac{2(1 - p^2)P'(z)}{[P(z) + (1 - p^2)]^2}$$

and hence $w'(p) = 0$. Comparing coefficients in the expansions of both sides of

$$[P(z) + (1 - p^2)]w(z) = P(z) - (1 - p^2),$$

we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad d_2 = (1 - p^2)w''(p)$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{p}{1-p^2}d_2 + d_3 = pw''(p) + (1-p)\frac{w'''(p)}{3}.$$

We can write

$$w(z) = \phi\left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz}\right)$$

where ϕ is analytic for $|z| < 1$, $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$ and $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$, $z \in \Delta$. In particular, we obtain

$$w''(p) = \frac{\phi''(0)}{(1-p^2)^2}.$$

Since $|\phi''(0)/2| \leq 1$, we have $|w''(p)| \leq 2/(1-p^2)^2$. Thus from (3.4) we obtain

$$|d_2| = (1-p^2)|w''(p)| \leq \frac{2}{1-p^2},$$

which is (3.1).

Next from (3.5) we obtain

$$\frac{p}{1-p^2}d_2 + d_3 = \frac{1}{(1-p^2)^2} \left[\frac{\phi'''(0)}{3} + 3p\phi''(0) \right].$$

If $\phi(z) = c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$, $z \in \Delta$, then

$$\frac{p}{1-p^2}d_2 + d_3 = \frac{2}{(1-p^2)^2}[c_3 + 3pc_2].$$

Using known inequalities for bounded functions, we obtain

$$|c_3 + 3pc_2| \leq |c_3| + 3p|c_2| \leq 1 - |c_2|^2 + 3p|c_2|.$$

Therefore

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \frac{p}{1-p^2}d_2 + d_3 \right| \leq \frac{2}{(1-p^2)^2}[1 + 3p|c_2| - |c_2|^2].$$

Let $x = |c_2|$ and $h(x) = 1 + 3px - x^2$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then $h'(x) = 3p - 2x$. If $p \geq 2/3$, then $h'(x) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and hence

$$(3.7) \quad h(x) \leq h(1) = 3p, \quad 2/3 \leq p < 1.$$

If $0 < p < 2/3$, then $h(x)$ achieves its maximum at $x = 3p/2$. Hence

$$(3.8) \quad h(x) \leq 1 + \frac{9}{4}p^2, \quad 0 < p \leq 2/3.$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) gives (3.2) and (3.3).

Equality is attained in (3.1) by the function

$$P(z) = \frac{1 + p^2 - 4pz + (1 + p^2)z^2}{1 - z^2},$$

which is obtained by taking $w(z) = [(z-p)/(1-pz)]^2$. The same function gives equality in (3.2).

If $0 < p < 2/3$, let

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z^2(z + \frac{3}{2}p)}{1 + \frac{3}{2}pz}$$

and $w(z) = \phi((z-p)/(1-pz))$. The resulting function $P(z) = (1-p^2)(1+w(z))/(1-w(z))$ gives equality in (3.3).

THEOREM 3. *Let f be a member of $C(p)$ and have the expansion (1.2). Then*

$$(3.9) \quad |a_1| \leq \frac{p^2}{(1-p^2)^3},$$

$$(3.10) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{(4+9p^2)|a_{-1}|}{12(1-p^2)^3}, \quad 0 < p \leq 2/3,$$

$$(3.11) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{p}{(1-p^2)^3}|a_{-1}| \leq \frac{p^3}{(1-p^2)^4}, \quad 2/3 \leq p \leq 1.$$

All the inequalities are sharp.

Remark. Making use of the area theorem, Komatu [5] proved inequality (3.9) for the larger class $S(p)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$P(z) = 2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)}.$$

Then $P(z)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3. Comparing coefficients on both sides of the equation

$$[2p(z-p) - (1-p^2)]f'(z) - (z-p)[(1-p^2) - p(z-p)]f''(z) = P(z)f'(z)$$

we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad 2a_1(1-p^2) = a_{-1}d_2$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad 6(1-p^2)a_2 = 2pa_1 + a_{-1}d_3.$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.12) gives

$$|a_1| \leq \frac{|a_{-1}|}{(1-p^2)^2}.$$

However, $|a_{-1}| \leq p^2/(1-p^2)$ (cf. [4], [5]), giving (3.9).

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) gives

$$(3.14) \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{6(1-p^2)} \left[\frac{p}{1-p^2}d_2 + d_3 \right] a_{-1}.$$

If $0 < p \leq 2/3$, then (3.3) and (3.14) gives (3.10). If $2/3 \leq p < 1$, then (3.2) combined with (3.14) gives (3.11).

Equality is attained in (3.9) and (3.11) by $f(z) = -pz/((z - p)(1 - pz))$. If $0 < p \leq 2/3$, equality is attained in (3.10) by the function f which satisfies

$$2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(z - p)(1 - pz)f''(z)}{f'(z)} = P(z)$$

where $P(z)$ is the function satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 3 and giving equality in (3.3). Since $\operatorname{Re} P(z) = 0$ on $|z| = 1$ with finitely many exceptions and since

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{z}{(z - p)(1 - pz)} \left[p \left(z - \frac{1}{z} \right) - P(z) \right],$$

it follows that on $|z| = 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = \frac{1}{|1 - pz|^2} \operatorname{Re} P(z) = 0$$

with finitely many exceptions.

Laborious computations give

$$P(z) = \frac{(1 - p^2)(2 + 2p - p^2)}{2 - 2p - p^2} \cdot \frac{(1 + z)(z - e^{i\gamma})(z - e^{-i\gamma})}{(1 - z)(z - e^{i\beta})(z - e^{-i\beta})}$$

where $e^{i\gamma} \neq e^{i\beta}$, and $e^{i\beta}$ is not real for $0 < p < 2/3$. Thus $\operatorname{Re}(1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)) = 0$ on $|z| = 1$ with 3 exceptional points. It follows that for the extremal function in the case $0 < p < 2/3$, $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f[\Delta]$ is the interior of a triangle.

Remark. In the case $0 < p < 2/3$ of Theorem 3, using the inequality $|a_{-1}| \leq p^2/(1 - p^2)$ in (3.10) does not result in a sharp inequality.

THEOREM 4. *If f is a member of $C(p)$ with expansion (1.2), then*

$$\left| p + \frac{a_0(1 - p^2)}{a_{-1}} \right| \leq \frac{1 + p^2}{p},$$

and the inequality is sharp.

Proof. Let

$$h(z) = \frac{-a_{-1}}{(1 - p^2)f\left(\frac{p - z}{1 - pz}\right)},$$

then h is a member of $S(p)$ and for $|z - p| < 1 - p$,

$$h(z) = z + \left(p + \frac{(1 - p^2)a_0}{a_{-1}} \right) z^2 + \dots$$

Using (1.3) when $n = 2$, we get

$$\left| p + \frac{(1 - p^2)a_0}{a_{-1}} \right| \leq \frac{1 + p^2}{p}.$$

Equality is attained by $f(z) = -pz/((z - p)(1 - pz))$.

4. The coefficients b_n . Let f be a member of $C(p)$ and have the expansion (1.1) for $|z| < p$. As remarked in the introduction, sharp upper bounds on $|b_n|$ are known for all n and a sharp lower bound on $\operatorname{Re}(b_2)$ follows from results in [9]. In this section we will obtain a sharp lower bound on $\operatorname{Re}(b_3)$ which suggests a conjecture concerning $\operatorname{Re}(b_n)$ for all n .

THEOREM 5. *Let f be a member of $C(p)$ with expansion (1.1). Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} b_2 \geq \frac{1+p^4}{p(1+p^2)} > 1$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} b_3 \geq \frac{1-p^2+p^4}{p^2} = \frac{1+p^6}{p^2(1+p^2)} > 1.$$

Both inequalities are sharp, each being attained by the function

$$f(z) = \frac{p(1+p^2)z - 2p^2z^2}{(1-p^2)(p-z)(1-pz)}.$$

Proof. Let

$$P(z) = 2pz - 1 - p^2 - \frac{(-p + (1+p^2)z - pz^2)f''(z)}{f'(z)},$$

then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$, $z \in \Delta$, $P(p) = 1 - p^2$ and $P'(p) = 0$. Let $P(z) = c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$. Comparing coefficients on both sides of the equation

$$P(z)f'(z) = [2pz - (1+p^2)]f'(z) - [-p + (1+p^2)z - pz^2]f''(z),$$

we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad c_0 = 2pb_2 - (1+p^2)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad 2c_0b_2 + c_1 = 2p - 4(1+p^2)b_2 + 6pb_3.$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad b_2 = \frac{c_0 + (1+p^2)}{2p}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad 6p^2b_3 = c_0^2 + 3(1+p^2)c_0 + pc_1 + 2(1+p^2+p^4).$$

Let $w(z) = [P(z) - (1-p^2)]/[P(z) + (1-p^2)]$, then $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $w(p) = w'(p) = 0$. Thus we can write

$$w(z) = \left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right)^2 \phi(z)$$

where $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \Delta$. We have

$$P(z) = \frac{(1-p^2)(1+w(z))}{1-w(z)}.$$

Thus

$$(4.7) \quad c_0 = P(0) = \frac{(1-p^2)(1+w(0))}{1-w(0)} = \frac{(1-p^2)(1+p^2\phi(0))}{1-p^2\phi(0)}.$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} c_0 \geq (1-p^2) \frac{1-p^2|\phi(0)|}{1+p^2|\phi(0)|} \geq \frac{(1-p^2)^2}{1+p^2}.$$

Using this inequality in conjunction with (4.5) gives (4.1), which has also been proven by Miller [9].

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = P'(0) &= \frac{2(1-p^2)w'(0)}{(1-w(0))^2} \\ &= \frac{2(1-p^2)[-2p(1-p^2)\phi(0) + p^2\phi'(0)]}{(1-p^2\phi(0))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we eventually obtain

$$(4.9) \quad 6p^2b_3 = (1-p^2) \left[(1-p^2) + \frac{2p^3\phi'(0)}{(1-p^2\phi(0))^2} + 3(1+p^2) \frac{1+p^2\phi(0)}{1-p^2\phi(0)} \right] + 2(1+p^2+p^4).$$

Now let

$$Q(z) = \frac{1+p^2\phi(z)}{1-p^2\phi(z)}.$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \geq (1-p^2)/(1+p^2) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and (4.9) can be written as

$$(4.10) \quad 6p^2b_3 = (1-p^2)[(1-p^2) + pQ'(0) + 3(1+p^2)Q(0)] + 2(1+p^2+p^4).$$

Let $T(z) = Q(z) - (1-p^2)/(1+p^2)$. Since $\operatorname{Re} T(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$, it is known that

$$|T'(0)| \leq 2 \operatorname{Re} T(0).$$

Thus

$$|Q'(0)| \leq 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[Q(0) - \frac{1-p^2}{1+p^2} \right].$$

Hence

$$2 \operatorname{Re} Q(0) \geq |Q'(0)| + 2 \frac{1-p^2}{1+p^2}.$$

Using the last inequality with (4.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 6p^2 \operatorname{Re} b_3 &\geq (1-p^2) \left[(1-p^2) - p|Q'(0)| + \frac{3}{2}(1+p^2)|Q'(0)| + 3(1-p^2) \right] \\ &\quad + 2(1+p^2+p^4) \\ &= (1-p^2) \left[(1-p^2) + \frac{3+3p^2-2p}{2}|Q'(0)| + 3(1-p^2) \right] \\ &\quad + 2(1+p^2+p^4) \\ &\geq (1-p^2)[4(1-p^2)] + 2(1+p^2+p^4) \\ &= 6(1-p^2+p^4), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (4.2).

An examination of the proof indicates that equality holds in (4.1) and (4.2) if and only if $\phi(z) \equiv -1$. This leads to the extremal function stated in the theorem.

Remark. It seems reasonable to expect that the extremal function for Theorem 5 is extremal for all n . That is, we expect that if f is a member of $C(p)$, then $\operatorname{Re}(b_n) \geq (1+p^{2n})/(p^{n-1}(1+p^2))$ for all n .

5. Starlike functions. Miller [7]–[9] considered functions f of $S(p)$ for which there exists ϱ , $0 < \varrho < 1$, so that $\operatorname{Re}[zf'(z)/(f(z) - w_0)] < \varrho$ for $\varrho < |z| < 1$ and a fixed $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $w_0 \neq 0$. These functions map Δ onto the complement of a set which is starlike with respect to w_0 . This class of functions is a subclass of the class $\Sigma^*(p, w_0)$ defined as the class of functions f in $S(p)$ such that for $z \in \Delta$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{pz}{1-pz} - \frac{p}{z-p} - \frac{zf'(z)}{(f(z) - w_0)} \right] > 0.$$

Actually, the two classes are the same if $0 < p < \sqrt{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}$ (cf. [9]). But for $p \geq \sqrt{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}$ and proper choice of w_0 the first class is a proper subset of the second. We will prove that $\Sigma^*(p, w_0)$ is the class of all functions f in $S(p)$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f[\Delta]$ is starlike with respect to w_0 , which we denote by $\Sigma^s(p, w_0)$.

THEOREM 6. f is a member of $\Sigma^s(p, w_0)$ if and only if, for $z \in \Delta$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{f(z) - w_0} \right] < 0.$$

Proof. Suppose f is a member of $S(p)$ and let

$$g(z) = f \left(\frac{z+p}{1+pz} \right).$$

f is a member of $\Sigma^s(p, w_0)$ if and only if $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus g(\Delta)$ is starlike with respect to w_0 . This is the case if and only if $F(z) = g(z) - w_0$ maps Δ onto the complement of a set which is starlike with respect to the origin. Since F has its pole at the origin, $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus F[\Delta]$ is starlike with respect to the origin if and only if $\operatorname{Re}[zF'(z)/F(z)] < 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. The last inequality is true if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right) F' \left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right) \bigg/ F \left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right) \right] < 0$$

for $z \in \Delta$. A straightforward computation gives

$$\left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right) F' \left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right) \bigg/ F \left(\frac{z-p}{1-pz} \right) = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{(1-p^2)(f(z)-w_0)}$$

and the theorem follows.

THEOREM 7. $\Sigma^s(p, w_0) = \Sigma^*(p, w_0)$ for all $p, 0 < p < 1$, and all $w_0 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let f be a member of $\Sigma^*(p, w_0)$ and

$$P(z) = \frac{pz}{1-pz} - \frac{p}{z-p} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)-w_0},$$

then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $P(0) = 1$. From this we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{f(z)-w_0} = - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P(z) + p(1-z^2)}{z}.$$

Let $0 < r < 1$ and

$$Q_r(z) = \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P(rz) + p(1-z^2)}{z},$$

then $Q_r(z)$ is analytic for $|z| \leq 1$, and

$$\operatorname{Re} Q_r(z) = |1-pz|^2 \operatorname{Re} P(rz) > 0$$

for $|z| = 1$. Thus $\operatorname{Re} Q_r(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. If we let $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-p)(1-pz)P(z) + p(1-z^2)}{z} \right] \geq 0.$$

However, the expression on the left side of (5.2) is strictly positive for $z = p$. Thus equality cannot occur in (5.2). Hence from (5.1),

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{f(z)-w_0} \right] < 0$$

for $z \in \Delta$. Thus by Theorem 6, f is a member of $\Sigma^s(p, w_0)$.

Conversely, suppose f is a member of $\Sigma^s(p, w_0)$ and let

$$P(z) = - \frac{(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{f(z)-w_0},$$

then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $P(p) = 1 - p^2$. We obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{pz}{1-pz} - \frac{p}{z-p} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)-w_0} = \frac{zP(z) - p(1-z^2)}{(z-p)(1-pz)}.$$

By Lemma 2 the real part of the expression on the right side of (5.3) is strictly positive for z in Δ . Thus f is a member of $\Sigma^*(p, w_0)$.

Miller [9] has given some estimates of coefficients in the expansion (1.1) if f is a member of $\Sigma^*(p, w_0) = \Sigma^s(p, w_0)$. We will next give sharp bounds on a few coefficients in the expansion (1.2).

THEOREM 8. *If $f(z)$ is a member of $\Sigma^*(p, w_0)$ and has expansion (1.2) for $|z-p| < 1-p$ then*

$$(5.4) \quad |a_0 - w_0| \leq \frac{2+p}{1-p^2} |a_{-1}|$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad |a_1| \leq \frac{|a_{-1}|}{(1-p^2)^2}$$

Both inequalities are sharp.

Proof. We first prove inequality (5.5). Let

$$P(z) = \frac{-(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z)}{f(z)-w_0},$$

then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $P(p) = 1 - p^2$. Let

$$P(z) = (1-p^2) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n (z-p)^n$$

for $|z-p| < 1-p$. Comparing coefficients on both sides of the equation

$$(f(z) - w_0)P(z) = -(z-p)(1-pz)f'(z),$$

we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad a_{-1}c_1 + (1-p^2)(a_0 - w_0) = -pa_{-1},$$

$$(5.7) \quad a_{-1}c_2 + (a_0 - w_0)c_1 + (1-p^2)a_1 = -a_1(1-p^2).$$

Combining (5.6) and (5.7), we eventually obtain

$$(5.8) \quad -2(1-p^2)^2 a_1 = a_{-1}[(1-p^2)c_2 - pc_1 - c_1^2].$$

We now claim that $|(1-p^2)c_2 - pc_1 - c_1^2| \leq 2$. To prove this, let

$$Q(z) = \frac{1}{1-p^2} P\left(\frac{z+p}{1+pz}\right),$$

then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $Q(0) = 1$. Thus [11] there exists $m(t)$ increasing on $[0, 2\pi]$ with $\int_0^{2\pi} dm(t) = 1$, such that

$$\frac{1}{1-p^2} P\left(\frac{z+p}{1+pz}\right) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+e^{it}z}{1-e^{it}z} dm(t).$$

Thus

$$P(z) = (1-p^2) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1-pz) + e^{it}(z-p)}{(1-pz) - e^{it}(z-p)} dm(t).$$

Expanding the integrand in powers of $z-p$ and integrating we obtain

$$c_1 = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} dm(t)$$

and

$$c_2 = \frac{2}{1-p^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{2it} + pe^{it}) dm(t) = \frac{2}{1-p^2} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2it} dm(t) + \frac{pc_1}{1-p^2}.$$

Thus

$$(5.9) \quad (1-p^2)c_2 - pc_1 - c_1^2 = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2it} dm(t) - c_1^2.$$

Now let

$$T(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1+e^{it}z}{1-e^{it}z} dm(t).$$

Then $\operatorname{Re} T(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $T(0) = 1$. If

$$T(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

then

$$p_1 = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} dm(t) = c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2it} dm(t).$$

Thus from (5.9),

$$(1-p^2)c_2 - pc_1 - c_1^2 = p_2 - p_1^2.$$

But it is known [6] that $|p_2 - p_1^2| \leq 2$. Thus

$$|(1-p^2)c_2 - pc_1 - c_1^2| \leq 2.$$

Therefore from (5.8) we obtain

$$2(1-p^2)^2|a_1| \leq 2|a_{-1}|,$$

which is (5.5).

Next, from (5.6),

$$|a_0 - w_0| = \frac{|a_{-1}||c_1 + p|}{1 - p^2} = \frac{|a_{-1}||p_1 + p|}{1 - p^2} \leq \frac{|a_{-1}|(2 + p)}{1 - p^2}.$$

To see sharpness, consider

$$f(z) = w_0 + pw_0 \frac{(1 - z)^2}{(z - p)(1 - pz)}.$$

Since

$$\frac{(z - p)(1 - pz)f'(z)}{f(z) - w_0} = -(1 - p^2) \frac{1 + z}{1 - z},$$

$f(z)$ is a member of $\Sigma^s(p, w_0)$. Moreover, $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f[\Delta]$ is the line segment $\xi = tw_0$, $(1 + p^2)/(1 + p)^2 \leq t \leq (1 + p^2)/(1 - p)^2$. Also, for $|z - p| < 1 - p$,

$$f(z) = \frac{pw_0(1 - p)}{(1 + p)(z - p)} + \left[w_0 + \frac{p(p - 2 + p^2)}{(1 + p)(1 - p^2)}w_0 \right] + \frac{pw_0}{(1 - p)(1 + p)^3}(z - p) + \dots,$$

from which we can see that equality is attained in (5.4) and (5.5).

THEOREM 9. *With the notation of Theorem 8,*

$$|a_{-1}| \leq \frac{p(1 - p)}{1 + p}|w_0|$$

and the inequality is sharp.

Proof. With $P(z)$ as in the proof of Theorem 8,

$$\frac{d}{dz} \log(z - p)(f(z) - w_0) = \frac{(1 - pz) - P(z)}{(z - p)(1 - pz)}.$$

Integrating, we obtain

$$f(z) - w_0 = \frac{pw_0}{z - p} \exp \int_0^z \frac{(1 - p\xi) - P(\xi)}{(\xi - p)(1 - p\xi)} d\xi.$$

Thus

$$a_{-1} = \lim_{z \rightarrow p} (z - p)(f(z) - w_0) = pw_0 \exp \int_0^p \frac{(1 - p\xi) - P(\xi)}{(\xi - p)(1 - p\xi)} d\xi$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad |a_{-1}| = p|w_0| \exp \int_0^p \frac{(1 - p\xi) - \operatorname{Re} P(\xi)}{(\xi - p)(1 - p\xi)} d\xi.$$

We can write

$$P(z) = (1 - p^2)Q\left(\frac{z - p}{1 - pz}\right)$$

where $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$ and $Q(0) = 1$. Using the well-known inequality $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \geq (1 - |z|)/(1 + |z|)$, we obtain for ξ real and $0 \leq \xi \leq p$,

$$(5.11) \quad \operatorname{Re} P(\xi) \geq (1 - p^2) \frac{1 - \left| \frac{\xi - p}{1 - p\xi} \right|}{1 + \left| \frac{\xi - p}{1 - p\xi} \right|} \\ = (1 - p^2) \frac{1 - \frac{p - \xi}{1 - p\xi}}{1 + \frac{p - \xi}{1 - p\xi}} = (1 - p)^2 \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}.$$

Combining (5.10) and (5.11) gives

$$|a_{-1}| \leq p|w_0| \exp \int_0^p \frac{(1 - p\xi) - (1 - p)^2 \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}}{(\xi - p)(1 - p\xi)} d\xi \\ = p|w_0| \exp \int_0^p \frac{p\xi + (p - 2)}{(1 - \xi)(1 - p\xi)} d\xi \\ = p|w_0| \exp \int_0^p \left(\frac{-2}{1 - \xi} + \frac{p}{1 - p\xi} \right) d\xi = p|w_0| \left(\frac{1 - p}{1 + p} \right),$$

which is the inequality to be proven. Equality is attained by the function given in Theorem 8.

COROLLARY. *With notation of Theorem 8,*

$$|a_0 - w_0| \leq \frac{p(p + 2)}{(1 + p)^2} |w_0|$$

and

$$|a_1| \leq \frac{p}{(1 - p)(1 + p)^3} |w_0|.$$

Both inequalities are sharp.

References

- [1] L. de Branges, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta Math. 154 (1985), 137–152.
- [2] A. W. Goodman, *Functions typically-real and meromorphic in the unit circle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1950), 92–105.
- [3] J. A. Jenkins, *On a conjecture of Goodman concerning meromorphic univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 25–27.
- [4] W. E. Kirwan and G. Schober, *Extremal problems for meromorphic univalent functions*, J. Analyse Math. 30 (1976), 330–348.

- [5] Y. Komatu, *Note on the theory of conformal representation by meromorphic functions I, II*, Proc. Japan Acad. 21 (1945), 269–284.
- [6] A. E. Livingston, *The coefficients of multivalent close to convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 545–552.
- [7] J. Miller, *Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions*, *ibid.* 25 (1970), 220–228.
- [8] —, *Starlike meromorphic functions*, *ibid.* 31 (1972), 446–452.
- [9] —, *Convex and starlike meromorphic functions*, *ibid.* 80 (1980), 607–613.
- [10] J. Pfaltzgraft and B. Pinchuk, *A variational method for classes of meromorphic functions*, J. Analyse Math. 24 (1971), 101–150.
- [11] W. C. Royster, *Convex meromorphic functions*, in *Mathematical Essays Dedicated to A. J. MacIntyre*, Ohio Univ. Press, Athens, Ohio, 1970, 331–339.
- [12] G. Schober, *Univalent Functions—Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Math. 478, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE
501 EWING HALL
NEWARK, DELAWARE 19716
U.S.A.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4.10.1993