

290

The separable case of 5.9 reduces via a result of [DT] to the verification that G is an absolute neighborhood retract; it is, however, unclear whether such a G must be even locally connected in dimension 1.

References

- [AO] J. M. Aarts and L. G. Oversteegen, The product structure of homogeneous spaces, Indag. Math. 1 (1990), 1-5.
- [BP1] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 17 (1958), 151-164.
- —, Selected Topics in Infinite-Dimensional Topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1975.
- C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński and S. Rolewicz, Some properties of the space (s), Collog. Math. 7 (1957), 45-51.
- [BHM] R. Brown, P. J. Higgins and S. A. Morris Countable products and sums of lines and circles: their closed subgroups, quotients and duality properties, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 78 (1975), 19-32.
- M. M. Day, Normed Linear Spaces, 3rd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- J. Diestel, Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [DG] T. Dobrowolski and J. Grabowski, Subgroups of Hilbert spaces, Math. Z. 211 (1992), 657-669.
- [DT] T. Dobrowolski and H. Toruńczyk, Separable complete ANR's admitting a group structure are Hilbert manifolds, Topology Appl. 12 (1981), 229-235.
 - R. Engelking, Dimension Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- N. J. Kalton, Basic sequences in F-spaces and their applications, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 19 (1974), 151-177.
- A. Pełczyński, Projections in certain Banach spaces, Studia Math. 19 (1960),
- H. Toruńczyk, Characterizing Hilbert space topology, Fund. Math. 111 (1981), 247 - 262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53201

NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73019, U.S.A.

U.S.A.

E-mail: TDOBROWO@NSFUVAX,MATH,UOKNOR.EDU

E-mail: ANCEL@CSD4.CSD.UWM.EDU

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW BANÁCHA 2

02-097 WARSZAWA, POLAND

E-mail: JAGRAB@MIMUW.EDU.PL

Received February 10, 1993 (3061)Revised version October 1, 1993

STUDIA MATHEMATICA 109 (3) (1994)

Almost everywhere convergence of Laguerre series

CHANG-PAO CHEN (Hsinchu) and CHIN-CHENG LIN (Chung-li)

Abstract. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Denote by c_i the inner product of f and the Laguerre function \mathcal{L}_i^a . We prove that if $\{c_i\}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{\lambda\downarrow 1} \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \sum_{n< j \le \lambda n} |\Delta^k c_j| j^{k/2-1/4} = 0 \quad \text{ and } \quad |c_j| j^{k/2-1/4} = o(1) \quad \text{as } j\to\infty$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then the Laguerre series $\sum c_j \mathcal{L}_i^a$ converges to f almost everywhere.

1. Introduction. Let $L_n^a(t)$ denote the nth Laguerre polynomial of order a on \mathbb{R} .

$$L_n^a(t) = \frac{1}{n!} t^{-a} e^t \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (t^{n+a} e^{-t}), \quad a > -1, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

or, equivalently,

$$L_n^a(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} {n+a \choose n-k} t^k, \quad a > -1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The Laguerre polynomials form a complete orthogonal system in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R}^+)$ $t^a e^{-t} dt$) and satisfy the summation formula [13, p. 102]

(1)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_{k}^{a}(t) = L_{n}^{a+1}(t).$$

It is well known (cf. [9, p. 348]) that

$$|L_n^a(t)| = O(e^{t/2}t^{-a/2-1/4}n^{a/2-1/4})$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C10, 42C15.

Key words and phrases: almost everywhere convergence. Cesàro means. Laguerre polynomials, Riesz means.

Research of the second author supported in part by National Science Council, Taipei, R.O.C.

Let

$$\mathcal{L}_{n}^{a}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{n!}{(n+a)!}} e^{-t/2} t^{a/2} L_{n}^{a}(t)$$

be the corresponding Laguerre functions. Then $\{\mathcal{L}_n^a(t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+,dt)$; moreover, $|\mathcal{L}_n^a(t)| = O(n^{-1/4}t^{-1/4})$.

The problem of the mean convergence of Laguerre expansions has been studied by many authors in the last four decades. The most celebrated result in this direction was given by Askey and Wainger [1]. They proved that for $a \geq 0$, the partial sums of the Laguerre expansion with respect to the system $\{\mathcal{L}_n^a(t)\}$ of a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ converge to f in the L^p norm if and only if 4/3 . Muckenhoupt [6–8] extended this result to all <math>a > -1 and weighted L^p ; he also proved the almost everywhere convergence of Abel's means for expansion with respect to the system $\{L_n^a(t)\}$ of a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, t^a e^{-t} dt)$ for a > -1.

Recently, Długosz [4] investigated mean convergence as well as almost everywhere convergence of Riesz summability method for Laguerre series. Her result is

THEOREM 1. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have

$$\lim_{w \to \infty} \sum_{j \le w} \left(1 - \frac{j}{w} \right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(t) = f(t)$$

almost everywhere if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $N \geq 10$, and in L^p norm if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $N \geq 4$, where $c_j = (f, \mathcal{L}^a_j) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \mathcal{L}^a_j(t) dt$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the fact that the Laguerre functions of an integral order $a=0,1,2,\ldots$, appear (nearly) as eigenfunctions of the sublaplacian acting on the space of functions on the Heisenberg group. Later on, basing on Długosz's idea, Stempak [10–12] not only filled out the gap from $a\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ to $a\in\mathbb{R}^+$ by using a construction of generalized twisted convolution, but also extended it to the Laguerre systems $\{\tilde{L}_n^a(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{l_n^a(t)\}_{n=0}^\infty$.

For the sake of convenience, we use c_j to denote the inner product of f and \mathcal{L}_i^a ,

$$c_j = (f, \mathcal{L}_j^a) = \int\limits_0^\infty f(t) \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \, dt \, ,$$

and

$$\Delta^0 c_i = c_i$$
, $\Delta^k c_i = \Delta^{k-1} c_i - \Delta^{k-1} c_{i+1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the following result.

THEOREM 2. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $\{c_j\}$ satisfies

(2)
$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n < j \le \lambda n} |\Delta^k c_j| j^{k/2 - 1/4} = 0, \\ |c_j| j^{k/2 - 1/4} = o(1) \quad as \ j \to \infty \end{cases}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) = f(t)$ almost everywhere.

The approach we use is rather straightforward, and relies only on elementary calculation, which was first given by the first author [2, 3] for trigonometric series. In the next section we review some properties of Cesàro means and Riesz means, and in Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 2. Finally, we mention that C will be used to denote a constant, $C_{a,\mu,t}$ the constant dependent on a, μ, t , and so on. All of these constants are not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. Preliminaries. For $\alpha > -1$, define the *n*th (C, α) mean of the sequence $\{s_n(t)\}$ by

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{A_{n-j}^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^{\alpha}} s_j(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{A_{n-j}^{\alpha}}{A_n^{\alpha}} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(t) ,$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha} = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \approx \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$$

and

$$s_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t).$$

The sum $\sigma_n^{\alpha}(t)$ is called the *n*th Cesàro mean of $\{s_n(t)\}$ of order α . Whenever α is a positive integer, $\sigma_n^{\alpha}(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+\alpha}\right) c_j \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(t).$$

We say that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(t)$ is summable (C,α) to s(t) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^{\alpha}(t) = s(t)$. For each α and n, σ_n^{α} can be regarded as a linear operator acting on the sequence $\{s_m(t)\}$. In this sense, we write $\sigma_n^{\alpha}(\{s_m(t)\})$ instead of $\sigma_n^{\alpha}(t)$. It is well known that

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha}(\{\sigma_m^{\beta}(t)\}) \to A, \quad \sigma_n^{\beta}(\{\sigma_m^{\alpha}(t)\}) \to A, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^{\alpha+\beta}(t) \to A$$

are equivalent (cf. [5, Chapter 5]).

The Riesz typical means with respect to \mathcal{L}^a_j of order $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ are defined by

$$R_w^k(t) = \sum_{j \le w} \left(1 - \frac{j}{w}\right)^k c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t),$$

where w > 0. In this notation, the first part of Theorem 1 can be written as
(3) $\lim_{w \to \infty} R_w^N(t) = f(t)$ almost everywhere if $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $N \ge 10$.

It is known that the almost everywhere convergence of the Riesz means of an order $k \geq 0$ is equivalent to the almost everywhere convergence of the Cesàro means of the same order (cf. [5, Chapter 5]). Furthermore, we have the following result.

LEMMA 3. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $c_j = o(j^{1/4})$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\sigma_n^k(t) - R_n^k(t)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$

Proof. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Since $|\mathcal{L}_j^a(t)| = O(j^{-1/4}t^{-1/4})$, we have $c_j\mathcal{L}_j^a(t) = o(1)$ as $j \to \infty$. It follows from the definitions of σ_n^k and R_n^k that $|\sigma_n^k(t) - R_n^k(t)|$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left| \left(\left(1 - \frac{j}{n+1} \right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+k} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{j}{n} \right)^{k} \right) c_{j} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{a}(t) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left| \left(\left(1 - \frac{j}{n+1} \right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{j}{n+k-1} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{j}{n} \right)^{k-1} \right) c_{j} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{a}(t) \right|$$

$$+ \frac{k}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} |c_{j} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{a}(t)|.$$

Using induction on k, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^k(t) - R_n^k(t)| &\leq \left(\frac{k}{n} + \frac{k-1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t)| \\ &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t)|\right) \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \,. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. If $c_j = o(j^{1/4})$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n^{\alpha}(\{R_m^{\beta}(t)\}) = A \quad and \quad \lim_{w \to \infty} R_w^{\alpha+\beta}(t) = A$$

are equivalent for all nonnegative integers α, β .

3. Proof of the main result. Throughout this section, we assume $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For y > w > 0,

$$\frac{y^N}{(y-w)^N} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^N = \sum_{s=0}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s} \left(1 - \frac{j}{w}\right)^s.$$

Multiplying by $c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t)$ on both sides and summing over $0 \leq j \leq w$, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{y^N}{(y-w)^N} \sum_{j \le w} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s} \sum_{j \le w} \left(1 - \frac{j}{w}\right)^s c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s} R_w^s(t) \\ &= \sum_{j \le w} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) + \sum_{s=1}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s} R_w^s(t) \,, \end{split}$$

and hence

$$(4) \quad \frac{y^N}{(y-w)^N} \Big(\sum_{j \le y} - \sum_{w < j \le y} \Big) \Big(1 - \frac{j}{y} \Big)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t)$$

$$= \sum_{j \le w} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) + \sum_{s=1}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1 \right)^{-s} R_w^s(t) .$$

On the other hand, we multiply the equality

$$\frac{y^N}{(y-w)^N} = \sum_{s=0}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s} = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s}$$

by f(t) to obtain

(5)
$$\frac{y^N}{(y-w)^N}f(t) = f(t) + \sum_{s=1}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1\right)^{-s} f(t).$$

The equation (4) minus (5) leaves

$$\frac{y^N}{(y-w)^N} \left(\left\{ R_y^N(t) - f(t) \right\} - \sum_{w < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j \le w} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) - f(t) \right) + \left(\sum_{s=1}^N \binom{N}{s} \left(\frac{y}{w} - 1 \right)^{-s} \left\{ R_w^s(t) - f(t) \right\} \right).$$

Let $\lambda > 1$. We set $w = m \in \mathbb{N}$, $y = \lambda m$, and take the operator σ_n^9 on both

sides of the above equality to get

 $(6) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{N} \left[\sigma_{n}^{9}(\left\{R_{\lambda m}^{N}(t)-f(t)\right\}) - \sigma_{n}^{9}\left(\left\{\sum_{m < j \leq \lambda m} \left(1-\frac{j}{\lambda m}\right)^{N} c_{j} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{a}(t)\right\}\right)\right]$ $= \sigma_{n}^{9}(t) - f(t) + \sum_{s=1}^{N} \binom{N}{s} (\lambda-1)^{-s} \sigma_{n}^{9}(\left\{R_{m}^{s}(t)-f(t)\right\}).$

Consider $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, and $N \ge 10$. By (3),

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\{R^N_{\lambda m}(t)-f(t)\}=0\quad \text{ almost everywhere},$$

and hence for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\sigma_n^9(\{R_{\lambda m}^N(t) - f(t)\}) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

For $1 \le s \le N$, we have $9+s \ge 10$. Thus, for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, Corollary 4 and (3) yield

$$\sigma_n^9(\{R_m^s(t) - f(t)\}) = \sigma_n^9(\{R_m^s(t)\}) - f(t) \to 0$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Taking limit superior on both sides of (6), we obtain

LEMMA 5. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, and $N \ge 10$. Then, if $c_j = o(j^{1/4})$, for $\lambda > 1$,

(7)
$$\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} |\sigma_n^{9}(t) - f(t)|$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)^N \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \left| \sigma_n^{9} \left(\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - \frac{j}{\lambda m} \right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \right\} \right) \right|$$

holds almost everywhere.

Next we are going to estimate the right hand side of (7). Set

$$b_j = \sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}} c_j.$$

Then, for $y > \omega > 0$,

(8)
$$\sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) = e^{-t/2} t^{a/2} \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N b_j L_j^a(t) .$$

Formula (1) and summation by parts yield

 $(9) \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^{N} b_{j} L_{j}^{a}$ $= \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^{N} b_{j} (L_{j}^{a+1} - L_{j-1}^{a+1})$ $= \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \Delta \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^{N} b_{j} \right\} L_{j}^{a+1} + \left(1 - \frac{[y]+1}{y}\right)^{N} b_{[y]+1} L_{[y]}^{a+1}$ $- \left(1 - \frac{[\omega]+1}{y}\right)^{N} b_{[\omega]+1} L_{[\omega]}^{a+1}$ $= \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^{N} \Delta b_{j} L_{j}^{a+1} + \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \Delta \left(1 - \frac{j}{y}\right)^{N} b_{j+1} L_{j}^{a+1}$ $+ \left(1 - \frac{[y]+1}{y}\right)^{N} b_{[y]+1} L_{[y]}^{a+1} - \left(1 - \frac{[\omega]+1}{y}\right)^{N} b_{[\omega]+1} L_{[\omega]}^{a+1}.$

Applying the mean value theorem to $f(x) = (1-x)^N$, we obtain

$$\left|\Delta \left(1-\frac{j}{y}\right)^N\right| = \left|\left(1-\frac{j}{y}\right)^N - \left(1-\frac{j+1}{y}\right)^N\right| \leq \frac{N}{y}\,.$$

Plug in (9) and get

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^{N} b_{j} L_{j}^{a} \right| \\ & \le \left| \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^{N} \Delta b_{j} L_{j}^{a+1} \right| + \frac{N}{y} \sum_{\omega < j \le y} |b_{j+1} L_{j}^{a+1}| \\ & + |b_{[y]+1} L_{[y]}^{a+1}| + |b_{[\omega]+1} L_{[\omega]}^{a+1}| \\ & \le \left| \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^{N} \Delta b_{j} L_{j}^{a+1} \right| + (N+2) \max_{[\omega] \le j \le [y]} |b_{j+1} L_{j}^{a+1}| \, . \end{split}$$

Replace b_j by Δb_j , $\Delta^2 b_j$, ..., $\Delta^{\mu} b_j$, etc. Finally, we get

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{\omega < j \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N b_j L_j^a \right| \leq \left| \sum_{\omega < j \leq y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N \Delta^{\mu} b_j L_j^{a + \mu} \right| + \varPhi_{\omega, y}(t),$$

where

$$\Phi_{\omega,y}(t) = (N+2) \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} \max_{[\omega] \le j \le [y]} |\Delta^i b_{j+1} L_j^{a+i+1}(t)|.$$

Convergence of Laquerre series

299

There exists a constant C, independent of i and j, such that

$$\begin{split} |\varDelta^{i}b_{j+1}L_{j}^{a+i+1}(t)| &\leq C\max_{j+1\leq k\leq i+j+1}|b_{k}L_{j}^{a+i+1}(t)| \\ &\leq C_{\mu,t}\max_{j+1\leq k\leq i+j+1}\sqrt{\frac{k!}{(k+a)!}}|c_{k}|j^{(a+i+1)/2-1/4} \\ &\leq C_{\mu,t}\max_{j+1\leq k\leq i+j+1}|c_{k}|k^{i/2+1/4} \end{split}$$

for all $0 \le i \le \mu - 1$, which implies

(11)
$$|\Phi_{\omega,y}(t)| \le C_{\mu,t} \max_{k \ge |\omega|+1} (|c_k| k^{\mu/2-1/4}) .$$

Leibniz's rule gives

$$|\Delta^{\mu}b_{j}| = \left|\Delta^{\mu}\left(\sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}}c_{j}\right)\right|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\frac{(j+\mu)!}{(j+\mu+a)!}}|\Delta^{\mu}c_{j}|$$

$$+\sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} {\mu \choose i} \Delta^{\mu-i}\sqrt{\frac{(j+i)!}{(j+i+a)!}} \cdot |\Delta^{i}c_{j}|.$$

Using the inequality $1 - \sqrt{1 - y} \le y$ for $y \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\left|\Delta\left(\sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}}\right)\right| = \sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{j+a+1}}\right) \le \sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}}\frac{a}{j+a+1},$$

which leads to

(13)
$$\left| \Delta^{k} \left(\sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}} \right) \right| \leq C_{\mu} \sqrt{\frac{j!}{(j+a)!}} \frac{a}{j+a+1}$$

$$\leq a C_{\mu} j^{-a/2-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq \mu.$$

Both inequalities (12) and (13) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta^{\mu}b_{j}| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{(j+\mu)!}{(j+\mu+a)!}} |\Delta^{\mu}c_{j}| + C_{a,\mu}j^{-a/2-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} {\mu \choose i} |\Delta^{i}c_{j}| \\ &\leq C_{a,\mu}(j^{-a/2}|\Delta^{\mu}c_{j}| + j^{-a/2-1} \max_{j \leq k \leq j+\mu-1} |c_{k}|). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

(14)
$$\left| \sum_{\omega < j < y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N \Delta^{\mu} b_j L_j^{a+\mu}(t) \right|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{y-\omega}{y}\right)^{N} \sum_{\omega < j \leq y} |\Delta^{\mu}b_{j}| \cdot |L_{j}^{a+\mu}(t)|$$

$$\leq C_{a,\mu,t} \left(\frac{y-\omega}{y}\right)^{N}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\omega < j \leq y} |\Delta^{\mu}c_{j}| j^{\mu/2-1/4} + \sum_{\omega < j \leq y} j^{-1} \left(\max_{j \leq k \leq j+\mu-1} |c_{k}|\right) j^{\mu/2-1/4}\right]$$

$$\leq C_{a,\mu,t} \left(\frac{y-\omega}{y}\right)^{N}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\omega < j \leq y} |\Delta^{\mu}c_{j}| j^{\mu/2-1/4} + \left(\sum_{\omega < j \leq y} j^{-1}\right) \max_{k \geq \omega} (|c_{k}| k^{\mu/2-1/4})\right]$$

$$\leq C_{a,\mu,t} \left(\frac{y-\omega}{y}\right)^{N}$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{\omega < j \leq y} |\Delta^{\mu}c_{j}| j^{\mu/2-1/4} + \log \frac{y}{\omega} \cdot \max_{k \geq \omega} (|c_{k}| k^{\mu/2-1/4})\right].$$

It follows from (8), (10), (11), and (14) that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \sum_{\omega < j \le y} \left(1 - \frac{j}{y} \right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \right| \\ & \le C_{a,\mu,t} \left(\frac{y - \omega}{y} \right)^N \left[\sum_{\omega < j \le y} |\Delta^\mu c_j| j^{\mu/2 - 1/4} + \log \frac{y}{\omega} \cdot \max_{k \ge [\omega]} (|c_k| k^{\mu/2 - 1/4}) \right] \\ & + C_{\mu,t} \max_{k \ge [\omega] + 1} (|c_k| k^{\mu/2 - 1/4}) \,. \end{split}$$

Let $\omega=m,\,y=\lambda m,$ and then take σ_n^α on both sides. Thus we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \sigma_n^{\alpha} \bigg(\bigg\{ \sum_{m < j \leq \lambda m} \bigg(1 - \frac{j}{\lambda m} \bigg)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^{\alpha}(t) \bigg\} \bigg) \right| \\ & \leq C_{\alpha,\mu,t} \bigg(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \bigg)^N \sigma_n^{\alpha} \bigg(\bigg\{ \sum_{m < j \leq \lambda m} |\Delta^{\mu} c_j| j^{\mu/2 - 1/4} \bigg\} \bigg) \\ & + C_{\alpha,\mu,t} \bigg(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \bigg)^N \log \lambda \cdot \sigma_n^{\alpha} \big(\{ \max_{k \geq m} (|c_k| k^{\mu/2 - 1/4}) \} \big) \\ & + C_{\mu,t} \sigma_n^{\alpha} \big(\{ \max_{k \geq m + 1} (|c_k| k^{\mu/2 - 1/4}) \} \big) \,, \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\begin{split} & \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \left| \sigma_n^{\alpha} \bigg(\bigg\{ \sum_{m < j \le \lambda m} \bigg(1 - \frac{j}{\lambda m} \bigg)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \bigg\} \bigg) \right| \\ & \le C_{a,\mu,t} \bigg(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \bigg)^N \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \bigg(\sum_{n < j \le \lambda n} |\Delta^{\mu} c_j| j^{\mu/2 - 1/4} \bigg) \\ & + C_{a,\mu,t} \bigg(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \bigg)^N \log \lambda \cdot \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} (\max_{k \ge n} |c_k| k^{\mu/2 - 1/4}) \\ & + C_{\mu,t} \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} (\max_{k \ge n + 1} |c_k| k^{\mu/2 - 1/4}) \end{split}$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we have verified the following result.

LEMMA 6. Let $\mu, N \in \mathbb{N}$. If $|c_j|j^{\mu/2-1/4} = o(1)$ as $j \to \infty$, then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \left| \sigma_n^{\alpha} \left(\left\{ \sum_{m < j < \lambda m} \left(1 - \frac{j}{\lambda m} \right)^N c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \right\} \right) \right| \le C_{a,\mu,t} \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \right)^N \phi_{\mu}(\lambda) ,$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\alpha > 0$, $\lambda > 1$, and

$$\phi_{\mu}(\lambda) = \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n < j \le \lambda n} |\Delta^{\mu} c_j| j^{\mu/2 - 1/4}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\phi_{\mu}(\lambda)$ be defined as in Lemma 6. Then condition (2) implies $\lim_{\lambda\downarrow 1}\phi_k(\lambda)=0$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$. For $\lambda>1$, it follows from Lemmas 5 and 6 that for almost all $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\begin{split} & \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} |\sigma_n^9(t) - f(t)| \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \right)^{10} \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \left| \sigma_n^9 \left(\left\{ \sum_{m < j \le \lambda m} \left(1 - \frac{j}{\lambda m} \right)^{10} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) \right\} \right) \right| \\ &\le C_{a,k,t} \phi_k(\lambda) \,. \end{split}$$

Taking $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1}$ on both sides, we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} |\sigma_n^9(t) - f(t)| \le C_{a,k,t} \lim_{\lambda\downarrow 1} \phi_k(\lambda) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\sigma_n^9(t) \to f(t)$ almost everywhere and hence $R_w^9(t) \to f(t)$ almost everywhere as $w \to \infty$.

Adopting the argument before Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\overline{\lim}}_{n \to \infty} |\sigma_n^8(t) - f(t)| \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)^9 \underbrace{\overline{\lim}}_{n \to \infty} \left|\sigma_n^8 \left(\left\{\sum_{m \text{ circle}} \left(1 - \frac{j}{\lambda m}\right)^9 c_j \dot{\mathcal{L}}_j^a(t)\right\}\right)\right|. \end{split}$$

Combining this with Lemma 6, we conclude that $\sigma_n^8(t) \to f(t)$ almost everywhere. Consequently, $R_w^8(t) \to f(t)$ almost everywhere. Repeating the above argument, we see that $R_w^7(t) \to f(t)$ almost everywhere, $R_w^6(t) \to f(t)$ almost everywhere, and so on. Finally, we conclude that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \mathcal{L}_j^a(t) = f(t)$ almost everywhere.

References

- R. Askey and S. Wainger, Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series, Amer. J. Math. 87 (1965), 695-708.
- [2] C.-P. Chen, L¹-convergence of Fourier series, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 41 (1986), 376-390.
- [3] —, Pointwise convergence of trigonometric series, ibid. 43 (1987), 291-300.
- [4] J. Długosz, Almost everywhere convergence of some summability methods for Laguerre series, Studia Math. 82 (1985), 199-209.
- [5] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1973.
- [6] B. Muckenhoupt, Poisson integrals for Hermite and Laguerre expansions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 231-242.
- [7] -, Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series I, ibid. 147 (1970), 419-431.
- [8] -, Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series II, ibid. 433-460.
- [9] G. Sansone, Orthogonal Functions, Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Conn., 1959.
- [10] K. Stempak, Mean summability methods for Laguerre series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 322 (1990), 671-690.
- [11] —, Almost everywhere summability of Laguerre series, Studia Math. 100 (1991), 129-147.
- [12] -, Almost everywhere summability of Laguerre series II, ibid. 103 (1992), 317-327.
- [13] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY
HSINCHU, TAIWAN 30043
REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail: CPCHEN@MATH.NTHU.EDU.TW

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY
CHUNG-LI, TAIWAN 32054
REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail: CLIN@MATH.NCU.EDU.TW

Received August 12, 1993

(3146)