

M. WIŚNIEWSKI (Kielce)

EXTREME ORDER STATISTICS IN AN EQUALLY CORRELATED GAUSSIAN ARRAY

Abstract. This paper contains the results concerning the weak convergence of d -dimensional extreme order statistics in a Gaussian, equally correlated array. Three types of limit distributions are found and sufficient conditions for the existence of these distributions are given.

1. Notation and definitions. Let $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a triangular array of d -dimensional random vectors whose mean values and variances satisfy

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} E\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} &= (EX_{ki}^{(n)} = 0 : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}), \\ V\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} &= (VX_{ki}^{(n)} = 1 : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that

- (ii) the rows of the considered array are Gaussian equally correlated sequences.

This means that

$$\text{cov}(X_{ki}^{(n)}, X_{kj}^{(n)}) = \varrho_{ij}^{(0)}, \quad \text{cov}(X_{ki}^{(n)}, X_{lj}^{(n)}) = \varrho_{ij}^{(n)}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \neq l$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote the matrices of covariance coefficients by

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{(0)} = (\varrho_{ij}^{(0)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}, \quad \mathbf{\Delta}^{(n)} = (\varrho_{ij}^{(n)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}.$$

We additionally assume that

$$(iii) \quad \varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \in (0, 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60G70.

Key words and phrases: extreme order statistics, Gaussian array, equally correlated.

We also define, for each $\mathbf{t} \in (0, \infty)^d$ and $\mathbf{v} \in (0, 1)^d$,

$$\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{t}) = \begin{bmatrix} t_1^{1/2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & t_d^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} (1-v_1)^{1/2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & (1-v_d)^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We denote by $\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)}$ (for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) the d -dimensional vector of the k th extreme order statistics in the sequence

$$\{\mathbf{X}_l^{(n)} : l \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Thus we have

$$M_{ni}^{(n)} \leq M_{ni}^{(n-1)} \leq \dots \leq M_{ni}^{(1)} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We want to find the limit distributions of the vectors of extreme order statistics normalized by means of sequences of vectors $\mathbf{a}_n = (a_n, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b}_n = (b_n, \dots, b_n)$, where $b_n = (2 \ln n)^{-1/2}$ and $a_n = b_n^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}b_n(\ln \ln n + \ln 4\pi)$. (Notice that all algebraic operations are meant componentwise.)

In 1962 S. M. Berman found the limit distribution of the first extreme order statistics built on the base of a one-dimensional equally correlated Gaussian sequence (see Berman [1]). Mittal's, Ylvisaker's and Pickands's papers (see [4], [5]) give a generalization of this result in the stationary case. In the following section the limit distributions of the k th extreme order statistics built on the base of a multidimensional equally correlated Gaussian array are found.

2. Main results

PROPOSITION 1. *Assume that the array $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies conditions (i)–(iii). Then the rows of the array can be represented by means of sums of independent vectors in the following way:*

$$(\mathbf{X}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n^{(n)}) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} (\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{r}(n)) + \mathbf{Y}_1^{(n)} \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n)), \dots, \mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{r}(n)) + \mathbf{Y}_n^{(n)} \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n))),$$

where $\mathbf{r}(n) = (\varrho_{11}^{(n)}, \dots, \varrho_{dd}^{(n)})$, and $\{\mathbf{Y}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}\}$ is an independent Gaussian sequence with covariance matrices

$$(1) \quad \text{cov}(\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)}) = \left(\frac{\varrho_{ij}^{(n)}}{(\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d},$$

$$(2) \quad \text{cov}(\mathbf{Y}_k^{(n)}) = \left(\frac{\varrho_{ij}^{(0)} - \varrho_{ij}^{(n)}}{[(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})(1 - \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})]^{1/2}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d},$$

and with vectors of mean values

$$E\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} = E\mathbf{Y}_k^{(n)} = \mathbf{0}$$

(see the one-dimensional case in Berman [1], Galambos [2], Section 3.8, Pickands [5]).

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a d -dimensional, Gaussian, equally correlated sequence with

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)}, \mathbf{X}_m^{(n)}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta^{(0)} & \Delta^{(n)} \\ \Delta^{(n)} & \Delta^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } k \neq m,$$

and with $E\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} = \mathbf{0}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (Thus $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains the n th row of the considered array.) For $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ the Gaussian sequences of random variables $\{X_{ki}^{(n)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are equally correlated with parameters $\varrho_{ii}^{(n)}$. Hence they have the following representation (see Berman [1], Galambos [2]):

$$X_{ki}^{(n)} = Y_{0i}^{(n)}(\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} + Y_{ki}^{(n)}(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the sequences $\{Y_{ki}^{(n)} : k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}\}$ consist of independent random Gaussian variables with mean 0 and variance 1. The random variables $Y_{0i}^{(n)}$ can be obtained from the ergodic theorem in the following way:

$$(3) \quad Y_{0i}^{(n)} = (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{-1/2} \text{l.i.m.}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k X_{ji}^{(n)} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Because the random vector $\mathbf{Z}_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{X}_j^{(n)} \mathbb{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n))$ is normal and $E\mathbf{Z}_k^{(n)} = \mathbf{0}$ its characteristic function $\Psi_k^{(n)}$ is

$$\Psi_k^{(n)}(\mathbf{w}) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w} \mathbb{O}_k^{(n)} \mathbf{w}') \quad \text{for } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\mathbb{O}_k^{(n)} = (o_k^{(n)}(p, q))_{1 \leq p, q \leq d}$. It is easy to see that

$$(4) \quad o_k^{(n)}(p, q) = \left[\frac{1}{k} \varrho_{pq}^{(0)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \varrho_{pq}^{(n)} \right] (\varrho_{pp}^{(n)} \varrho_{qq}^{(n)})^{-1/2}.$$

Notice that if $\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} = (Y_{01}^{(n)}, \dots, Y_{0d}^{(n)})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|\mathbf{Z}_k^{(n)} - \mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)}\| > \varepsilon) &= P(\max\{|Z_{ki}^{(n)} - Y_{0i}^{(n)}| : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}\} > \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d P(|Z_{ki}^{(n)} - Y_{0i}^{(n)}| > \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{E|Z_{ki}^{(n)} - Y_{0i}^{(n)}|^2}{\varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3) we obtain $P(\|\mathbf{Z}_k^{(n)} - \mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)}\| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence for each $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $\Psi_k^{(n)}(\mathbf{w}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_0^{(n)}(\mathbf{w})$, where $\Psi_0^{(n)}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)}$. From (4) it results that

$$\Psi_0^{(n)}(\mathbf{w}) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w} \mathbb{O}_0^{(n)} \mathbf{w}'), \quad \text{where } \mathbb{O}_0^{(n)} = (\varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}.$$

We have shown that $\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)}$ is normally distributed with covariance matrix (1).

Define the random Gaussian sequence

$$\mathbf{Y}_k^{(n)} = [\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} - \mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{r}(n))] \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n))^{-1}.$$

From (3) it follows (Rudin [6], Theorem 4.6) that

$$(5) \quad EX_{ki}^{(n)} Y_{0j}^{(n)} = (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p=1}^m EX_{ki}^{(n)} X_{pj}^{(n)} = \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2}.$$

Hence we obtain (for $k \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov}(Y_{ki}^{(n)} Y_{kj}^{(n)}) \\ &= [(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})(1 - \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})]^{-1/2} E[X_{ki}^{(n)} - (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} Y_{0i}^{(n)}][X_{kj}^{(n)} - (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2} Y_{0j}^{(n)}] \\ &= [(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})(1 - \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})]^{-1/2} [\varrho_{ij}^{(0)} - (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2} \\ &\quad - (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{-1/2} + (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2}] \\ &= (\varrho_{ij}^{(0)} - \varrho_{ij}^{(n)}) [(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})(1 - \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\mathbf{Y}_k^{(n)}$ has the covariance matrix (2).

The independence of the vectors of the sequence $\{\mathbf{Y}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}\}$ results from (5) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov}(Y_{0i}^{(n)} Y_{kj}^{(n)}) \\ &= (1 - \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2} [\varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{-1/2} - (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov}(Y_{ki}^{(n)} Y_{mj}^{(n)}) \\ &= [(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})(1 - \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})]^{-1/2} [\varrho_{ij}^{(n)} - (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2} \\ &\quad - (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{-1/2} + (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{1/2} (\varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{1/2} \varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so the proof is complete.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose the array $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies conditions (i)–(iii), and additionally the following conditions hold:*

- (iv) $\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \ln n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \tau_{ii} \in (0, \infty)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,
- (v) $\varrho_{ij}^{(n)} (\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \varrho_{jj}^{(n)})^{-1/2} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \varrho_{ij}$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Then

$$P((\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n)/\mathbf{b}_n \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} (\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}^k * \Phi_{\mathbf{t}})(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (\tau_{11}, \dots, \tau_{dd})$, $*$ denotes convolution,

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}^k(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda^k(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}), \quad \Lambda^k(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^d e^{-e^{-x_i}} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{(e^{-x_i})^s}{s!},$$

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(2^{-1/2} \mathbf{x} \mathbb{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{t})),$$

and Φ is the distribution function of a Gaussian vector \mathbf{Y}_0 , with $\text{cov}(\mathbf{Y}_0) = (\varrho_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ and $E\mathbf{Y}_0 = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. We denote the k th extreme order statistics in the sequence $\{\mathbf{Y}_l^{(n)} : l \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ by $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n^{(k)}$ (see Proposition 1). Observe that

$$(\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n)/\mathbf{b}_n = \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{J}_n^{(k)},$$

where

$$\mathbf{I}_n = (2 \ln n)^{1/2} \mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{r}(n)), \quad \mathbf{J}_n^{(k)} = [\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n \mathbb{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n))] \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n))/\mathbf{b}_n.$$

Since the vectors \mathbf{I}_n and $\mathbf{J}_n^{(k)}$ are independent, to complete the proof it is enough to show that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$(6) \quad P(\mathbf{I}_n \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$(7) \quad P(\mathbf{J}_n^{(k)} \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_{\mathbf{t}}^k(\mathbf{x}).$$

Condition (v) implies that the distribution functions of the vectors $\mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)}$ (see Proposition 1) converge pointwise to the distribution function of \mathbf{Y}_0 ; moreover, from (iv) it follows that

$$(2 \ln n)^{1/2} \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{r}(n)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{1/2} \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{t}).$$

Hence we obtain (6).

Corollary 2 of Wiśniewski [7] shows that the independence of the components of the limit maximum vector $\overline{\mathbf{M}}^{(1)}$ is equivalent to the independence of the components of the limit vectors of the order statistics $\overline{\mathbf{M}}^{(k)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From Example 5.3.1 of Galambos [2] it follows that $\overline{\mathbf{M}}^{(1)}$ has independent components $\overline{M}_i^{(1)}$.

Additionally, Theorems 2.2.2 and 1.5.3 of Leadbetter, Lindgren and Rootzén [3] imply that

$$P(\overline{M}_i^{(k)} \leq x_i) = e^{-e^{-x_i}} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{(e^{-x_i})^s}{s!}.$$

Hence, we get

$$P((\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n)/\mathbf{b}_n \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^k(\mathbf{x}).$$

We note that

$$P(\mathbf{J}_n^{(k)} \leq \mathbf{x}) = P((\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{A}_n)/\mathbf{B}_n \leq \mathbf{x})$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{a}_n \mathbb{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n)), \quad \mathbf{B}_n = \mathbf{b}_n \mathbb{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n)).$$

From a multidimensional version of Khinchin's theorem it follows that to complete the proof of (7) we must show that

$$(8) \quad \frac{A_{ni} - a_n}{b_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \tau_{ii}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \frac{B_{ni}}{b_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 1.$$

Now, (9) follows from $\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ (see (iv)). Since

$$(1 - \varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^{-1/2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} + O((\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^2) \quad \text{as } \varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$\frac{A_{ni} - a_n}{b_n} = [\frac{1}{2}\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} + O((\varrho_{ii}^{(n)})^2)](2 \ln n + o(\ln n)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \tau_{ii},$$

and this completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. *If the array $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) and*

$$(iv)' \quad \varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \ln n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

then

$$P((\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n)/\mathbf{b}_n \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \Lambda^k(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. Notice that (see the proof of Theorem 1)

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max\{|I_{ni}| : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}\} > \varepsilon) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d P(|I_{ni}| > \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{EI_{ni}^2}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^d 2\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} E(Y_{0i}^{(n)})^2 \ln n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the condition

$$P(\|I_n\| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

follows from (iv)'. Now, the proof is similar to that of (2).

THEOREM 3. *If the array $\{\mathbf{X}_k^{(n)} : k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies conditions (i)–(iii), (v) and*

$$(iv)'' \quad \varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \ln n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

then

$$P([\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n))] \mathbb{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n)) \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proof. We notice that

$$[\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n))] \mathbb{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n)) = \mathbf{Y}_0^{(n)} + \mathbf{N}_n^{(k)},$$

where (see the proof of Theorem 1)

$$\mathbf{N}_n^{(k)} = (\overline{\mathbf{M}}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n) \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n)) \mathbb{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n)).$$

To complete the proof it is enough to show that

$$(10) \quad P(\|\mathbf{N}_n^{(k)}\| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(11) \quad P(\max\{|N_{ni}^{(k)}| : i \in \{1, \dots, d\}\} > \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{i=1}^d P(|N_{ni}^{(k)}| > \varepsilon) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^d P\left(\left|\frac{\overline{M}_{ni}^{(k)} - a_n}{b_n}\right| > \varepsilon(2\varrho_{ii}^{(n)} \ln n)^{1/2}\right).$$

Since the limit distributions of the sequences $\{(\overline{M}_{ni}^{(k)} - a_n)/b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ exist for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see for example Galambos [2]), the condition (10) follows from (iv)'' and (11).

We emphasize that in the situation considered in Theorem 3 all extreme order statistics have identical limit distributions.

Finally, we formulate a result which is easy to obtain by the method of proof of Proposition 1 and Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *If a d -dimensional, normalized, Gaussian sequence $\{\mathbf{X}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equally correlated with covariance matrix*

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{X}_m, \mathbf{X}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{(0)} & \Delta^{(1)} \\ \Delta^{(1)} & \Delta^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{for } n \neq m)$$

and $\varrho_{ii}^{(1)} \in (0, 1)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then

$$P([\mathbf{M}_n^{(k)} - \mathbf{a}_n \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{r}(n))] \mathbb{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{r}(n)) \leq \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \Phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where Φ_1 is the distribution function of a Gaussian vector \mathbf{Y} with

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_{ij}^{(1)} \\ (\varrho_{ii}^{(1)} \varrho_{jj}^{(1)})^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \quad \text{and} \quad E\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{0}.$$

References

- [1] S. M. Berman, *Equally correlated random variables*, Sankhyā A 24 (1962), 155–156.
- [2] J. Galambos, *The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics*, Wiley, New York, 1978.
- [3] M. R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren and H. Rootzén, *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [4] Y. Mittal and D. Ylvisaker, *Limit distributions for the maxima of stationary Gaussian processes*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 3 (1975), 1–18.
- [5] J. Pickands III, *Maxima of stationary Gaussian processes*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 7 (1967), 190–223.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [7] M. Wiśniewski, *Multidimensional point processes of extreme order statistics*, Demonstratio Math., to appear.

MATEUSZ WIŚNIEWSKI
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF KIELCE
AL. 1000-LECIA PAŃSTWA POLSKIEGO 7
25-314 KIELCE, POLAND

Received on 30.11.1992